## A PROOF OF TWO CONJECTURES RELATED TO THE ERDÖS-DEBRUNNER INEQUALITY
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## 1. Motivation

Given a triangle $A B C$ and three arbitrary points on the sides $A B, A C, B C$, the Erdös-Debrunner inequality [1] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0} \geq \min \left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the area of the middle formed triangle $D E F$ and $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ are the areas of the surrounding triangles (see Figure 1).


Figure 1: Triangle $\triangle A B C$
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The $p$-th power-mean is defined for $p$ on the extended real line by

$$
M_{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\min \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), & \text { if } p=-\infty \\ \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text { if } p \neq 0 \\ M_{0}=\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}, & \text { if } p=0 \\ \max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), & \text { if } p=\infty\end{cases}
$$
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It is known (see [2, Chapter 3]) that $M_{p}$ is a nondecreasing function of $p$. Thus, it is natural to ask whether (1.1) can be improved to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0} \geq M_{p}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The author of [4] investigated the maximum value of $p$, denoted here by $p_{\max }$, for which (1.2) is true, showing that $-1 \leq p_{\max } \leq-\left(\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}-1\right)$ (and disproving a previously published claim).

Since $p_{\max }<0$, by setting $x=\frac{B D}{A E} \frac{A C}{B C}, y=\frac{E C}{F B} \frac{A B}{A C}, z=\frac{A F}{D C} \frac{B C}{A B}$, and $q=-p$, it is shown in [4] that (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y, z):=g(x, y)^{q}+g(y, z)^{q}+g(z, x)^{q} \geq 3 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x, y):=\frac{1}{x}+y-1, q_{\text {min }}$, the analogue of $p_{\text {max }}$, satisfies $\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}-1 \leq q_{\text {min }} \leq 1$, and the variables are such that $g(x, y) \geq 0, g(y, z) \geq 0, g(z, x) \geq 0$ and $x, y, z>0$.

Let us introduce the natural domain of $f$, say $\mathcal{D}$, to be the set of all triples $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $x, y, z>0$ and $g(x, y) \geq 0, g(y, z) \geq 0$ and $g(z, x) \geq 0$. Since $f(x, y, z) \geq 0$, the function $f$ has an infimum on $\mathcal{D}$. Let us denote this infimum by m.
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To complete the analysis begun in [4], the author proposed the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1.1. For any $q \geq q_{0}=\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}-1$, if $f(x, y, z)=m$, then $x y z=1$.
Conjecture 1.2. If $q \geq q_{0}$, then $m=3$.
In this paper we prove (Theorem 2.1) that for every $q>0$, the function $f$ has a minimum $m$, and if this infimum is attained for $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x y z=1$. Moreover, we show (Theorem 3.1) that for every $q>0$ we have $m=\min \left\{3,2^{q+1}\right\}$. Our results are more general than Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 above, and imply them. After the initial submission of our paper, we learned that the initial conjectures of Janous were also proved by Mascioni [5]. However, our methods are different and Mascioni's Theorem can be obtained from our Theorem 3.10 for $q=q_{0}$. In other words, we extend the Erdös-Debrunner inequality to the range $p<0$, and $p=-q_{0}=$ $-\frac{\ln (3 / 2)}{\ln (2)}$ is just a particular value of $p$ for which $C_{p}=1$ in Theorem 3.10. This range can be extended for $p>0$ only in the trivial way, i.e., $F_{0} \geq 0 \cdot M_{p}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)$, since $F_{0}=0$ and $M_{p}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right) \neq 0$ if, for instance, the point $F$ coincides with the point $B$ and the point $E$ coincides with the point $C$. As shown next, because the minimum of $f$ is attained at the same point for every $p>-q_{0}$, we cannot have an inequality of the type $F_{0} \geq C \sqrt[3]{F_{1} F_{2} F_{3}}$ with $C>0$ either. So, our Theorem 3.10 is, in a sense, just as far as one can go along these lines in generalizing the ErdösDebrunner inequality. Of course, one may try to show that there is a constant $c_{p}>0$ such that

$$
F_{0} \leq c_{p} M_{p}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right), \quad p \geq 0
$$

however, that is beyond the scope of this paper.
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## 2. Proof of Conjecture 1.1

We are going to prove the following more general theorem from which Conjecture 1.1 follows.

Theorem 2.1. For every $q>0$, the function $f$ defined by (1.3) has a minimum $m$ and if $f(x, y, z)=m$ for some $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$ then $x y z=1$.
Proof. Since $f(1,1,1)=3$ and $f(2,1 / 2,1)=2^{q+1}$ we see that

$$
0 \leq m \leq \min \left\{3,2^{q+1}\right\}
$$

Since $g(x, y)>y-1$, we see that if $y>1+3^{\frac{1}{q}}=: a$ then $f(x, y, z)>3$. Similarly, $f(x, y, z)>3$ if $x$ or $z$ is greater than $a$. On the other hand, if $x<\frac{1}{a}$ then $g(x, y)>$ $1 / x-1>a-1=3^{1 / q}$, which implies that $f(x, y, z)>3$, again. Clearly, if $y$ or $z$ are less than $1 / a$ we also have $f(x, y, z)>3$. Hence, we can introduce the compact domain

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\left\{(x, y, z) \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{a} \leq x\right., y, z \leq a, g(x, y) \geq 0, g(y, z) \geq 0 \text { and } g(z, x) \geq 0\right\}
$$

which has the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf \{f(x, y, z) \mid(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{C}\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any continuous function defined on a compact set attains its infimum, we infer that $m$ is a minimum for $f$. Moreover, every point at which $f$ takes the value $m$ must be in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let us assume now that we have such a point $(x, y, z)$ as in the statement of Theorem 2.1: $f(x, y, z)=m$. We will consider first the case in which $(x, y, z)$ is in the interior of $\mathcal{C}$.
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By the first derivative test (sometimes called Fermat's principle) for local extrema, this point must be a critical point. So, $\frac{\partial f(x, y, z)}{\partial x}=0$, which is equivalent to

$$
x^{2}=\frac{g(x, y)^{q-1}}{g(z, x)^{q-1}}
$$

Hence the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla f(x, y, z)=(0,0,0) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=\frac{g(x, y)^{q-1}}{g(z, x)^{q-1}} ; \quad y^{2}=\frac{g(y, z)^{q-1}}{g(x, y)^{q-1}} ; \quad z^{2}=\frac{g(z, x)^{q-1}}{g(y, z)^{q-1}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the equalities in (2.3) gives $x y z=1$, and this proves the theorem when the infimum occurs at an interior point of $\mathcal{C}$.

Now let us assume that the minimum of $f$ is attained at a point $(x, y, z)$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$. Clearly the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$
\{(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{C} \mid\{x, y, z\} \cap\{a, 1 / a\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text { or } \quad g(x, y) g(y, z) g(z, x)=0\} .
$$
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Case 2: If $x=1 / a$, because $y>0$, it follows that

$$
f(x, y, z) \geq\left(\frac{1}{x}+y-1\right)^{q}>(a-1)^{q}=3 \geq m
$$

Again, this implies that $f(x, y, z)=m$ is not possible. Likewise, we can exclude the cases in which $y$, or $z$ is $1 / a$.
Case 3: Let us consider now the case in which $g(x, y)=0$, that is $y=\frac{x-1}{x}$ (observe that we need $x>1$ ). Therefore, $f(x, y, z)=f\left(x, \frac{x-1}{x}, z\right)$ becomes the following function of two variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(x, z) & =\left(\frac{x}{x-1}+z-1\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{1}{z}+x-1\right)^{q} \\
& =\left(z+\frac{1}{x-1}\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{1}{z}+x-1\right)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the arithmetic-geometric inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(z+\frac{1}{x-1}\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{1}{z}+x-1\right)^{q} & \geq 2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{x-1}+z\right)^{q}\left(\frac{1}{z}+x-1\right)^{q}}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =2 \sqrt{\left[2+z(x-1)+\frac{1}{z(x-1)}\right]^{q}} \\
& \geq 2^{q+1}
\end{align*}
$$
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## 3. Results Implying Conjecture 1.2

We are going to prove a result slightly more general than Conjecture 1.2:
Theorem 3.1. Assume the notations of Section 2. Then, for every $q>0$ we have $m=\min \left\{3,2^{q+1}\right\}$.

In [4], Theorem 3.1 was shown to be true for $\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}-1 \leq q \leq 1$. So we are going to assume without loss of generality that $q<1$ throughout. Based on what we have shown in Section 2, we can let $z=\frac{1}{x y}$ and study the minimum of the function $h(x, y)=f\left(x, y, \frac{1}{x y}\right)$ on the trace of the domain $\mathcal{C}$ in the space of the first two variables:

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{(x, y) \mid x, y \in[1 / a, a] \text { and } \frac{x+1}{x} \geq y \geq \frac{|x-1|}{x}\right\} .
$$

Before we continue with the analysis of the critical points inside the domain $\mathcal{H}$ we want to expedite the boundary analysis. We define $A:=1 / x+y-1, B:=$ $1 / y+1 /(x y)-1$ and $C:=x y+x-1$. It is a simple matter to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
A B C+A B+A C+B C=4 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(x, y)$ is on the boundary of $\mathcal{H}$, then either $y=\frac{x+1}{x}$, or $y=\frac{|x-1|}{x}$. The first possibility is equivalent to $B=0$, and the second is equivalent to $A=0$ (if $x>1$ ), or $C=0$ (if $x<1$ ). Now, if $C=0$ then $A B=4$. Hence

$$
f(x, y, z) \geq A^{q}+B^{q}+C^{q}=A^{q}+B^{q} \geq 2 \sqrt{(A B)^{q}}=2^{1+q}
$$

Similar arguments can be used for the cases $A=0$ or $B=0$. Hence, since $h(1,2)=$ $2^{q+1}$ we obtain the following result.
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Lemma 3.2. The minimum of $h$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{H}$, say $\partial \mathcal{H}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{h(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in \partial \mathcal{H}\}=2^{q+1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we analyze critical points inside $\mathcal{H}$. By Fermat's principle, these critical points will satisfy $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}=0, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}=0$, that is,

$$
-\frac{1}{x^{2}} q A^{q-1}-\frac{1}{x^{2} y} q B^{q-1}+(y+1) q C^{q-1}=0
$$

and

$$
q A^{q-1}-\frac{x+1}{x y^{2}} q B^{q-1}+x q C^{q-1}=0
$$

We remove the common factor $q$ in both of these equations to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{x^{2}} A^{q-1}-\frac{1}{x^{2} y} B^{q-1}+(y+1) C^{q-1}=0  \tag{3.3}\\
A^{q-1}-\frac{x+1}{x y^{2}} B^{q-1}+x C^{q-1}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving for $A^{q-1}$ in (3.4) and substituting in (3.3) we get

$$
-\frac{x+1}{x^{3} y^{2}} B^{q-1}+\frac{1}{x} C^{q-1}-\frac{1}{x^{2} y} B^{q-1}+(y+1) C^{q-1}=0
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{q-1}=\frac{B^{q-1}}{x^{2} y^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, replacing (3.5) in (3.4), say, we get

$$
A^{q-1}-\frac{x+1}{x y^{2}} B^{q-1}+x \frac{B^{q-1}}{x^{2} y^{2}}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A^{q-1}}{x^{2}}=\frac{B^{q-1}}{x^{2} y^{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if we put (3.5) and (3.6) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A^{q-1}}{x^{2}}=\frac{B^{q-1}}{x^{2} y^{2}}=C^{q-1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality $\frac{A^{q-1}}{x^{2}}=C^{q-1}$ is equivalent to

$$
x^{\frac{2}{1-q}}\left(\frac{1}{x}+y-1\right)=x y+x-1 .
$$

If we introduce the new variable $s=\frac{1+q}{1-q}>1$, the last equality can be written as $y x\left(1-x^{s}\right)=\left(x^{s}+1\right)(1-x)$.

Similarly, the equality $\frac{A^{q-1}}{x^{2}}=\frac{B^{q-1}}{x^{2} y^{2}}$ can be manipulated in the same way to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{x}+y-1=y^{\frac{2}{1-q}}\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{x y}-1\right), \\
& \frac{1}{x}\left(1-y^{s}\right)=(1-y)\left(1+y^{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the two equations in (3.7) give the critical points (inside the domain $\mathcal{H}$ ), which can be classified in the the following way:
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- $\left(C_{1}\right):(1,1)$;
- $\left(C_{2}\right):\left\{(x, 1): x \neq 1\right.$ satisfies $\left.x\left(1-x^{s}\right)=\left(x^{s}+1\right)(1-x)\right\}$;
- $\left(C_{3}\right):\left\{(1, y): y \neq 1\right.$ satisfies $\left.\left(1-y^{s}\right)=(1-y)\left(1+y^{s}\right)\right\} ;$
- $\left(C_{4}\right):\left\{(x, y): y=\frac{\left(x^{s}+1\right)(x-1)}{x\left(x^{s}-1\right)}\right.$ and $\left.x=\frac{y^{s}-1}{(y-1)\left(y^{s}+1\right)}, x \neq 1, y \neq 1\right\}$.

Let

$$
\phi(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(t^{s}+1\right)(t-1)}{t\left(t^{s}-1\right)} & \text { if } 1 \neq t>0 \\ \frac{2}{s} & \text { if } t=1\end{cases}
$$

which is continuous for all $t>0$. Since it is going to be useful later, we note that $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)=t \phi(t), \quad \text { for all } t>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left(C_{2}\right)$ is the set of all $(x, 1)(x \neq 1)$ with $\phi(x)=1 ;\left(C_{3}\right)$ is the set of all $(1, y)$ $(y \neq 1)$ with $\phi(1 / y)=1$; and $\left(C_{4}\right)$ is the set of all $(x, y)(x \neq 1, y \neq 1)$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y=\phi(x)  \tag{3.9}\\
x=\frac{1}{\phi(1 / y)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1. Due to (3.8), the class $\left(C_{3}\right)$ is in fact the set of all points $(1, y)$, where $y=1 / x$ and $(x, 1)$ is in $\left(C_{2}\right)$.
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To determine the nature of the critical points, we compute the second partial derivatives, and analyze the Hessian of $h$ at these critical points. Using relations (3.7) we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x^{2}}= & q(q-1)\left(\frac{1}{x^{4}} A^{q-2}+\frac{1}{x^{4} y^{2}} B^{q-2}+(y+1)^{2} C^{q-2}\right) \\
& \quad+q\left(\frac{2}{x^{3}} A^{q-1}+\frac{2}{x^{3} y} B^{q-1}\right) \\
= & \frac{2 q(1+y) C^{q-1}}{x}-q(1-q) C^{q-1}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2} A}+\frac{1}{x^{2} B}+\frac{(y+1)^{2}}{C}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
= & \frac{q C^{q-1}}{x}\left(2(1+y)-(1-q) \frac{(A+B) C+x^{2}(y+1)^{2} A B}{x A B C}\right) \\
= & \frac{q(q+1)}{x^{2} A B C^{2-q}}\left(A B C(C+1)-\frac{4}{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

using the fact that $x(y+1)=C+1$.
Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial y^{2}} & =q(q-1)\left(A^{q-2}+\frac{(x+1)^{2}}{x^{2} y^{4}} B^{q-2}+x^{2} C^{q-2}\right)+q \frac{2(x+1)}{x y^{3}} B^{q-1} \\
& =\frac{2 q x(1+x) C^{q-1}}{y}-q(1-q) C^{q-1}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{A}+\frac{(x+1)^{2}}{y^{2} B}+\frac{x^{2}}{C}\right) \\
& =\frac{q C^{q-1}}{y}\left(2 x(1+x)-(1-q) \frac{x^{2} y^{2}(A+C) B+(x+1)^{2} A C}{y A B C}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\frac{q(q+1) x^{2}}{A B C^{2-q}}\left(A B C(B+1)-\frac{4}{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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Further, the mixed second derivative is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x \partial y}= & q(q-1)\left(-\frac{1}{x^{2}} A^{q-2}+\frac{x+1}{x^{3} y^{3}} B^{q-2}+x(y+1) C^{q-2}\right) \\
& +q\left(\frac{1}{x^{2} y^{2}} B^{q-1}+C^{q-1}\right) \\
= & 2 q C^{q-1}-q(1-q) C^{q-1}\left(-\frac{1}{A}+\frac{x+1}{x y B}+\frac{x(y+1)}{C}\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
= & q C^{q-1}\left(1+q-(1-q) \frac{A C(B+1)+A B-B C}{A B C}\right) \\
= & \frac{q(q+1)}{A B C^{2-q}}\left(A B C-\frac{2}{s}(2-B C)\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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Now, by (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 B C-B^{2} C^{2} & =B C(4-B C) \\
& =B C(A B C+A B+A C) \\
& =A B C(B C+B+C)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we have the factor $A B C(B C+B+C)$ in all the terms above. This implies that the discriminant of $h$ (at the critical points, that is, assuming relations (3.7)) can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{q^{2}(q+1)^{2}}{A B C^{3-2 q}}(B C+B+C)\left(A B C+\frac{4}{s^{2}}-\frac{4}{s}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next lemma classifies the critical point $(1,1)$.
Lemma 3.3. For $q \geq 1 / 3$, the point $(1,1)$ is a local minimum. For $q<1 / 3$ the critical point $(1,1)$ is not a point of local minimum.
Proof. If $q=1 / 3, h(1,1)=3$, so, since $h(x, y)=f\left(x, y, \frac{1}{x y}\right) \geq 3$ by inequality (1.3), we establish that $(1,1)$ is a local minimum point of $h$. Assume $q \neq 1 / 3$. For $x=1$ and $y=1$ the formulae established above become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x^{2}}(1,1) & =\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial y^{2}}(1,1)=2 q(3 q-1)>0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x \partial y}(1,1) & =q(3 q-1)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
D=3 q^{2}(3 q-1)^{2}
$$

Hence, the Hessian is positive definite and so we have a local minimum at this point (cf. [3, Theorem 2.9.7, p. 74]). For the second part, observe that $D(1,1)>0$, but $\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x^{2}}(1,1)<0$ if $q<1 / 3$, and so $(1,1)$ is not a local minimum if $q<1 / 3$.

Erdös-Debrunner Inequality
C.L. Frenzen, E. J. Ionascu and $P$. Stănică
vol. 8 , iss. 3, art. 68, 2007

Title Page
Contents


Page 15 of 26
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-575b

Theorem 3.4. If $q \neq 1 / 3$, there exists only one solution $x_{0}$ of $\phi(x)=1,0<x \neq 1$, such that
(a) $x_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2(s-1)}\right)$ if $q>1 / 3(s>2)$;
(b) $x_{0} \in\left[2^{\frac{1}{s-1}}-\frac{s^{\frac{s}{s-1}}-s}{2(s-1)}, 2^{\frac{1}{s-1}}-\frac{1}{2(s-1)}\right)$ if $q<1 / 3(1<s<2)$.

Furthermore, there is only one solution $y_{0}=1 / x_{0}$ to $\phi(1 / y)=1,0<y \neq 1$. If $q=1 / 3(s=2)$, there are no positive solutions for $\phi(x)=1,0<x \neq 1$, or $\phi(1 / y)=1,0<y \neq 1$.

Proof. First, assume $q=1 / 3$. Then $s=2$. It is straightforward to show that $(x, 1)$ is in $\left(C_{2}\right)$ implies $x=1$. However, $x=1$ is not allowed. Similarly, $(1, y)$ is in $\left(C_{3}\right)$ implies $y=0$, or 1 , which are not allowed. Thus, if $q=1 / 3$, there are no positive solutions for $\phi(x)=1,0<x \neq 1$, or $\phi(1 / y)=1,0<y \neq 1$.

Now we shall assume throughout that $q \neq 1 / 3$. Let us observe that the equation $\phi(x)=1$ can be written equivalently as $\psi(x)=0(x \neq 1)$, where

$$
\psi(t):=t^{s}-2 t+1, \quad t \geq 0
$$
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Figure 2: The graph of $\psi$

We conclude that $x_{0}$ is between the intersection of the tangent line at $(0,1)$ with the $x$-axis and the intersection between the secant line connecting $(0,1)$ and $\left(t_{0}, \psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ with the $x$-axis.

Since $\psi^{\prime}(0)=-2$, the equation of the tangent line is $y-1=-2 x$ and so its intersection with the $x$-axis is $(1 / 2,0)$. The equation of the secant line through $(0,1)$ and $\left(t_{0}, \psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ is $y-1=\frac{1-\psi\left(t_{0}\right)}{-t_{0}} x$, or $y=1-\frac{(s-1) 2}{s} x$. This gives the intersection with the $x$-axis: $\left(\frac{s}{2(s-1)}, 0\right)$. Therefore the first part of our theorem is proved. The last claim is shown similarly.

Remark 2. As $q$ approaches 1 from below, $s$ becomes large and the interval around $x_{0}$ (part (a) in Theorem 3.4) is very small.
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Theorem 3.5. The critical points in $\left(C_{2}\right)$ and $\left(C_{3}\right)$ are not points of local minimum for $h$.

Proof. We show that the Hessian of $h$ is not positive semi-definite by showing that the discriminant $D$ is less than zero.

We will treat only the critical points of type $\left(C_{2}\right)$, since the case $\left(C_{3}\right)$ is similar. We get $A=A\left(x_{0}, 1\right)=1 / x_{0}, B=B\left(x_{0}, 1\right)=1 / x_{0}$ and $C=C\left(x_{0}, 1\right)=2 x_{0}-1$.

The condition $D<0$ is the same as

$$
\frac{2 x_{0}-1}{x_{0}^{2}}+\frac{4}{s^{2}}-\frac{4}{s}<0,
$$
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Figure 3: The graphs of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$

These functions are extended by continuity at $t=0$ and $t=1$. We sketch the graphs of these two functions for $s=6$ in Figure 3 .

The following two lemmas will be crucial for our final argument.
Lemma 3.6. For every $s>1$, the function $\gamma_{1}$ is convex and the function $\gamma_{2}$ is concave.

Proof. For $\gamma_{1}$, one can readily check that

$$
\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{2 s t^{s-2}}{\left(t^{s}-1\right)^{3}} \beta_{1}(t)
$$
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where

$$
\beta_{1}(t)=(s-1)\left(t^{s+1}-1\right)-(s+1)\left(t^{s}-t\right) .
$$

Next we observe that

$$
\beta_{1}^{\prime}(t)=(s+1) \beta_{2}(t)
$$

where

$$
\beta_{2}(t)=(s-1) t^{s}-s t^{s-1}+1
$$

and observe that

$$
\beta_{2}^{\prime s-1}-t^{s-2}=s(s-1) t^{s-2}(t-1) .
$$

The sign of $\beta_{2}^{\prime}$ is then easily determined, showing that $\beta_{2}$ has a point of global minimum at $t=1$. Hence $\beta_{2}(t) \geq \beta_{2}(1)=0$. This implies that $\beta_{1}$ is strictly increasing. Since $\beta_{1}(1)=0$ we see that the sign of $\beta_{1}$ is the same as the sign of $\left(t^{s}-1\right)^{3}$. This means that $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t>0$. At $t=1$ the limit is $\frac{s^{2}-1}{3 s}>0$ also.

In order to deal with $\gamma_{2}$, we rewrite it as

$$
\gamma_{2}(t)=\left(\frac{t^{r}(t-1)}{t^{r}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}=\theta(t)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}
$$

where $r:=s-1>0$. Since

$$
\gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{(r+1) \theta(t)^{\frac{2 r+1}{r+1}}}\left(\theta(t) \theta^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{r}{r+1} \theta^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

we have to show that

$$
\delta(t):=\theta(t) \theta^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{r}{r+1} \theta^{\prime 2}<0
$$

for all $t>0$.
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The first and second derivatives of $\theta$ are given by

$$
\theta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t^{2 r}-(r+1) t^{r}+r t^{r-1}}{\left(t^{r}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{r\left[(r-1) t^{2 r-1}-(r+1) t^{2 r-2}+(r+1) t^{r-1}-(r-1) t^{r-2}\right]}{\left(t^{r}-1\right)^{3}}
$$

These two expressions substituted into $\delta(t)$ yield

$$
\delta(t)=-\frac{r t^{2 r-2}}{(r+1)} \delta_{1}(t)
$$

where the sign of $\delta$ is determined by

$$
\delta_{1}(t):=t^{2 r+2}-\left(t^{r+2}+t^{r}\right)(r+1)^{2}+t^{r+1}\left(2 r^{2}+4 r\right)+1 .
$$

However, $\delta_{1}(1)=0$ and $\delta_{1}^{\prime r-1} \delta_{2}(t)$, where

$$
\delta_{2}(t)=2 t^{r+2}-\left((r+2) t^{2}+r\right)(r+1)+\left(2 r^{2}+4 r\right) t .
$$

Again, observe that $\delta_{2}(1)=0$ and $\delta_{2}^{\prime}(t)=2(r+2) \delta_{3}(t)$, where $\delta_{3}(t)=t^{r+1}-(r+$ 1) $t+r$. Finally, $\delta_{3}(1)=0$ and $\left.\delta_{3}^{\prime r}-1\right)$. Now $\delta_{3}$ has only a single critical point at $t=1$ which is a global minimum. Thus $\delta_{3}(t) \geq \delta_{3}(1)=0$. This shows that $\delta_{2}$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and is zero at $t=1$. Therefore, $\delta_{1}(t)$ has a minimum at $t=1$ implying that $\delta_{1}(t) \geq 0$ with its only zero at $t=1$. Hence $\delta(t)<0$ for all $t \neq 1$. This, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \delta(t)=-\frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{12 r^{2}}$ show that $\gamma_{2}$ is a concave function and completes the proof.

We shall need the following well-known result which may be formulated with weaker hypotheses. For convenience, we include it here.
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Lemma 3.7. The graphs of two functions $f$ and $g$ twice differentiable on $[a, b], f$ convex $\left(f^{\prime \prime}>0\right)$ and $g$ concave $\left(g^{\prime \prime}<0\right)$ cannot have more than two points of intersection.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that they have at least three points of intersection. We thus assume $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}, g\left(x_{1}\right)\right),\left(x_{2}, f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{2}, g\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$, $\left(x_{3}, f\left(x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{3}, g\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right.$, with $a \leq x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3} \leq b$ are such points. Next, we look at the expression

$$
E=\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}-\frac{f\left(x_{3}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{3}-x_{2}}=\frac{g\left(x_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}-\frac{g\left(x_{3}\right)-g\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{3}-x_{2}} .
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem applied twice to $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ the expression $E$ is equal to

$$
E=f^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(c_{2}\right)=f^{\prime \prime}(c)\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)<0, c_{1} \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), c_{2} \in\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), c \in\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)
$$

and applied to $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ gives

$$
E=g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)>0, \xi_{1} \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \xi_{2} \in\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \xi \in\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)
$$

which is a contradiction.
Let us observe that if $x_{0}$ is a solution of the equation $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ then $\left(1 / x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the system (3.9).

Theorem 3.8. If $q \neq 1 / 3$, then the only critical points of $h$ are $(1,1),\left(x_{0}, 1\right),\left(1, \frac{1}{x_{0}}\right)$, $\left(\frac{1}{x_{0}}, x_{0}\right)$, where $x_{0}$ is as in Theorem 3.4. If $q=1 / 3,(1,1)$ is the only critical point.
Proof. Start with $q=1 / 3$. Then Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 imply the claim that $(1,1)$ is the only critical point.

J

Erdös-Debrunner Inequality
C.L. Frenzen, E. J. Ionascu and P. Stănică
vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 68, 2007

Title Page
Contents


Page 22 of 26
Go Back
Full Screen
Close
journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-575b

Next, for $q \neq 1 / 3$, we consider the following system in the variables $x$ and $y$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{x}=\frac{(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)}{y^{s}-1}  \tag{3.16}\\
\frac{1}{x}=\left(\frac{y^{s+1}-y^{s}}{y^{s}-y}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In what follows next we show that every solution of $\left(C_{4}\right)$ is a solution of (3.16). Indeed, if $(x, y)$ is in $\left(C_{4}\right)$, then it satisfies

$$
x=\frac{\left(x^{s}+1\right)(x-1)}{y\left(x^{s}-1\right)}, \quad x=\frac{y^{s}-1}{(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)} .
$$

This implies that

$$
\frac{\left(x^{s}+1\right)(x-1)}{y\left(x^{s}-1\right)}=\frac{y^{s}-1}{(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)},
$$

or

$$
\left(x^{s}+1\right) x(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)-\left(x^{s}+1\right)(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)=y\left(x^{s}-1\right)\left(y^{s}-1\right)
$$

Now, use $x(y-1)\left(y^{s}+1\right)=y^{s}-1$ to simplify the first term of the previous equality and derive

$$
\left(x^{s}+1\right)\left(y^{s}-1\right)-\left(x^{s}+1\right)(y-1)\left(1+y^{s}\right)-y\left(x^{s}-1\right)\left(y^{s}-1\right)=0 .
$$

Finally, we solve for $x^{s}$ to obtain
$x^{s}\left(y^{s}-1-y^{s+1}-y+1+y^{s}-y^{s+1}+y\right)=y+y^{s+1}-1-y^{s}+y-y^{s+1}-y^{s}+1$,
which is equivalent to

$$
x^{s}\left(2 y^{s}-2 y^{s+1}\right)=2 y-2 y^{s}
$$
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So, if $y \neq 1$ this implies $x^{s}=\frac{y^{s}-y}{y^{s+1}-y^{s}}$ which implies that $\frac{1}{x}=\left(\frac{y^{s+1}-y^{s}}{y^{s}-y}\right)^{1 / s}$.
We observe that $\left(1,1 / x_{0}\right),\left(1 / x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ are solutions of (3.16). By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, these two points are the only solutions of this system, which proves our theorem.

Using Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 we infer the next result.
Theorem 3.9. The point in $\left(1 / x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ in $\left(C_{4}\right)$ is not a minimum point.
Proof. Since at this point, $A=2 x_{0}-1, B=1 / x_{0}, C=1 / x_{0}$ we see that $A B C=$ $\frac{2 x_{0}-1}{x_{0}^{2}}$ and the discriminant $D$ takes the same form as in Theorem 3.5. Hence the proof here follows in the same way as in Theorem 3.5.

Putting together Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, and Theorems 3.5, 3.8, and 3.9, we infer the truth of Theorem 3.1.

In terms of our original problem, we have obtained the following theorem.
Theorem 3.10. Given the points $D, E, F$ on the sides of a triangle $A B C$, and $F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ the areas as in Figure 1, then

$$
F_{0} \geq C_{p} M_{p}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)
$$

where $C_{p}=\min \left(1,2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / p}\right)$, for all $p<0$.
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We showed that $\left.f(x, y, z)=g(x, y)^{q}+g(y, z)^{q}+g(z, x)^{q}\right)$ has the minimum $m=$ $\min \left(3,2^{q+1}\right)$. Hence

$$
F_{0}^{q}\left(F_{1}^{-q}+F_{2}^{-q}+F_{3}^{-q}\right) \geq \min \left(3,2^{q+1}\right) .
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\max \left(3^{-1}, 2^{-q-1}\right)\left(F_{1}^{-q}+F_{2}^{-q}+F_{3}^{-q}\right) \geq F_{0}^{-q} .
$$

Raising this to power $\frac{1}{p}<0(p=-q)$, we get

$$
\min \left(3^{-1 / p}, 2^{1-1 / p}\right)\left(F_{1}^{p}+F_{2}^{p}+F_{3}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq F_{0}
$$

This gives $C_{p}=\min \left(1,2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / p}\right)$.
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