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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. We shall use the following standard notations of value distribution theory:

$$
T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), \ldots
$$

(see Hayman [6],Yang [13] and Yi and Yang [16]). We denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying

$$
S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, possibly outside of a set with finite measure. A meromorphic function $a$ is called a small function with respect to $f$ if $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. Let $S(f)$ be the set of meromorphic functions in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ which are small functions with respect to $f$. For some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, we define

$$
\Theta(a, f)=1-\overline{\lim }_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, a ; f)}{T(r, f)}
$$

For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ and $k$ a positive integer, we denote by $N(r, a ; f \mid=1)$ the counting function of simple $a$-points of $f$, and denote by $N(r, a ; f \mid \leq k)(N(r, a ; f \mid \geq k))$ the counting functions of those $a$-points of $f$ whose multiplicities are not greater (less) than $k$ where each $a$-point is counted according to its multiplicity (see [6]). $\bar{N}(r, a ; f \mid \leq k)(\bar{N}(r, a ; f \mid \geq k))$ are defined similarly, where in counting the $a$ points of $f$ we ignore the multiplicities.

Set

$$
N_{k}(r, a ; f)=\bar{N}(r, a ; f)+\bar{N}(r, a ; f \mid \geq 2)+\cdots+\bar{N}(r, a ; f \geq k)
$$

We define

$$
\delta_{k}(a, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k}(r, a ; f)}{T(r, f)}
$$
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Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. If for some $a \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ the roots of $f-a$ and $g-a$ coincide in locations and multiplicities we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a C M$ (counting multiplicities) and if they coincide in locations only we say that $f$ and $g$ share $a I M$ (ignoring multiplicities).

In 1997, Yang and Hua [14] proved the following result.
Theorem A ([14]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, $n \geq 6$ a positive integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share the value $1 C M$, then either $f=c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $g=$ $c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ are constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=1$ or $f=\operatorname{tg}$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$.

Using the same argument as in [14], Fang [3] proved the following result.
Theorem B ([3]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n>2 k+4$. If $\left[f^{n}\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}\right]^{(k)}$ share the value $1 C M$, then either $f=c_{1} e^{c z}, g=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are three constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}(n c)^{2 k}=1$, or $f \equiv t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n}=1$.

Fang [5] obtained some unicity theorems corresponding to Theorem B.
Theorem C ([5]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n>2 k+8$. If $\left[f^{n}(f-1)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 $C M$, then $f \equiv g$.

Recently, Zhang and Lin [17], Zhang, Chen and Lin [18] extended Theorem C and obtained the following results.

Theorem D ([17]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, $n, m$ and $k$ be three positive integers with $n>2 k+m+4$, and $\lambda, \mu$ be constants such that $|\lambda|+|\mu| \neq 0$. If $\left[f^{n}\left(\mu f^{m}+\lambda\right)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n}\left(\mu g^{m}+\lambda\right)\right]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then
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(i) when $\lambda \mu \neq 0, f \equiv g$;
(ii) when $\lambda \mu=0$, either $f \equiv t g$, where $t$ is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m}=1$, or $f=c_{1} e^{c z}, g=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and c are three constants satisfying

$$
(-1)^{k} \lambda^{2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+m}[(n+m) c]^{2 k}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad(-1)^{k} \mu^{2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+m}[(n+m) c]^{2 k}=1
$$
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Theorem $\mathbf{F}$ ([14]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer, and $a \in C-\{0\}$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share the value a $C M$, then either $f=d g$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity $d$ or $g=c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $f=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ are constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-a^{2}$.

Lin and Yi [7] obtained some unicity theorems corresponding to Theorem F.
Theorem G ([7]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f)>\frac{2}{n+1}, n \geq 12$. If $\left[f^{n}(f-1)\right] f^{\prime}$ and $\left[g^{n}(g-1)\right] g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then $f \equiv g$.

Lin and Yi [8] extended Theorem G by replacing the value 1 with the function $z$ and obtained the following result.
Theorem $\mathbf{H}$ ([8]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $n \geq$ 12 an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1) g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $g=\frac{(n+2)\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$ and $f=\frac{(n+2) h\left(1-h^{n+1}\right)}{(n+1)\left(1-h^{n+2}\right)}$, where $h$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function.

Recently, Zhang, Chen and Lin [18] extended Theorems F and G and obtained the following result.

Theorem I ([18]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers with $n>\max \{m+10,3 m+3\}$, and let $P(z)=$ $a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f \equiv$ tg for a constant $t$ such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m+1, \ldots, n+m+1-i, \ldots, n+1), a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$, or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)
$$
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$$
-\omega_{2}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)
$$

Regarding Theorem I, it is natural to ask the following questions.
Problem 1.2. Is it possible that the value 1 can be replaced by a function $z$ in Theorem I?

Problem 1.3. Is it possible to relax the nature of sharing $z$ in Theorem I and if possible, how far?

In 2001, Lahiri [9, 10] first employed the idea of weighted sharing of values which measures how close a shared value is to being shared $I M$ or to being shared $C M$. Recently, many mathematicians (such as H. X. Yi, I. Lahiri, M. L. Fang, A. Banerjee, W. C. Lin, X. Yan) have been interested in investigating meromorphic functions sharing values with finite weight in the field of complex analysis.

We first introduced the notion of weighted sharing of values as follows.
Definition $1.1([9,10])$. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, we denote by $E_{k}(a ; f)$ the set of all a-points where an a-point of multiplicity $m$ is counted $m$ times if $m \leq k$ and $k+1$ times if $m>k$. If $E_{k}(a ; f)=E_{k}(a ; g)$, we say that $f, g$ share the value a with weight $k$.

We denote by $E_{m)}(a ; f)$ the set of all a-points of $f$ with multiplicities not exceeding $m$, where an a-point is counted according to its multiplicity. If for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}, E_{\infty}(a ; f)=E_{\infty}(a ; g)$, then we say that $f, g$ share the value a $C M$.

The definition implies that if $f, g$ share a value $a$ with weight $k$ then $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f-a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g-a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$; and $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f-a$ with multiplicity $m(>k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g-a$ with multiplicity $n(>k)$, where $m$ is not necessarily equal to $n$.
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We write $f, g$ share $(a, k)$ to mean that $f, g$ share the value $a$ with weight $k$, clearly if $f, g$ share $(a, k)$, then $f, g$ share $(a, p)$ for all integers $p(0 \leq p \leq k)$.Also, we note that $f, g$ share a value $a I M$ or $C M$ if and only if they share $(a, 0)$ or $(a, \infty)$, respectively.

With the notion of weighted sharing of values, we investigate the solution of the above question and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, m$ and $k$ be three positive integers with $n \geq 5 m+5 k+8$. If $\left[f^{n} P(f)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n} P(g)\right]^{(k)}$ share $(1,0)$, then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, m$ and $k$ be three positive integers with $n>\frac{9}{2} m+4 k+\frac{9}{2}$. If $\left[f^{n} P(f)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n} P(g)\right]^{(k)}$ share $(1,1)$, then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, m$ and $k$ be three positive integers with $n \geq 3 m+3 k+5$. If $\left[f^{n} P(f)\right]^{(k)}$ and $\left[g^{n} P(g)\right]^{(k)}$ share (1,2), then the conclusion of Theorem E still holds.
Remark 1. From Theorems 1.2-1.4, we obtain a positive answer to Question 1.1.
Theorem 1.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers with $n>m+10$, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+$ $a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z C M$, then either $f \equiv$ tg for a constant $t$ such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m+1, \ldots, n+m+1-i, \ldots, n+1), a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$, or $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)
$$
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$$
-\omega_{2}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let $n$ and $m$ be two positive integers with $n>4 m+22$, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+$ $a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z I M$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.5 still holds.

Theorem 1.7. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, let $n, l$ and $m$ be three positive integers, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $E_{l)}\left(z, f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}\right)=$ $E_{l)}\left(z, g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}\right)$,
(i) If $l=1$ and $n>3 m+18$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.5 still holds.
(ii) If $l=2$ and $n>\frac{3}{2} m+12$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.5 still holds.

Remark 2. Theorem 1.5 is an improvement of Theorem H. Theorem 1.6 and 1.7 are complements to Theorem H.

Though the standard definitions and notations of value distribution theory are available in $[6,13]$, we explain the ones which are used in the paper.
Definition $1.8([1,16])$. When $f$ and $g$ share $1 I M$, We denote by $\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; f)$ the counting function of the 1-points of $f$ whose multiplicities are greater than 1-points of $g$, where each zero is counted only once; Similarly, we have $\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; g)$. Let $z_{0}$ be a zero of $f-1$ of multiplicity $p$ and a zero of $g-1$ of multiplicity $q$, we also denote by $N_{11}(r, 1 ; f)$ the counting function of those 1-points of $f$ where $p=q=1$; $\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}(r, 1 ; f)$ denotes the counting function of those 1-points of $f$ where $p=q \geq 2$, each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way, one can define $N_{11}(r, 1 ; g), \bar{N}_{E}^{(2}(r, 1 ; g)$.
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Definition $1.9([9,10])$. Let $f, g$ share a value $1 I M$. We denote by $\bar{N}_{*}(r, 1 ; f, g)$ the reduced counting function of those 1-points of $f$ whose multiplicities differ from the multiplicities of the corresponding 1-points of $g$. Clearly $\bar{N}_{*}(r, 1 ; f, g) \equiv \bar{N}_{*}(r, 1 ; g, f)$ and $\bar{N}_{*}(r, 1 ; f, g)=\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; f)+\bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; g)$.

Uniqueness of Entire or Meromorphic Functions Hong-Yan Xu and Ting-Bin Cao vol. 10, iss. 3, art. 88, 2009

Title Page
Contents

| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| Page 10 of 30 |  |
| Go Back |  |
| Full Screen |  |
| Close |  |

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-575b

## 2. Some Lemmas

For the proof of our results we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([15, p. 27, Theorem 1.12]). Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f)=a_{0}+a_{1} f+a_{2} f^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} f^{n}$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants and $a_{n} \neq 0$. Then

$$
T(r, P(f))=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([18]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, let $n, k, m$ be positive integers with $n \geq k+2$, and $P(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+a_{m} z^{m}$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are complex constants. Then $\left[f^{n} P(f)\right]^{(k)}=1$ has infinitely many solutions.

Lemma 2.3 ([18]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n, k$ be two positive integers with $n>k$, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+$ $a_{1} z+a_{0}$ be a nonzero polynomial, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m}$ are complex constants. If $\left[f^{n} P(f)\right]^{(k)}\left[g^{n} P(g)\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1$, then $P(z)$ is reduced to a nonzero monomial, that is, $P(z)=a_{i} z^{i} \not \equiv 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$; further, $f=c_{1} / \sqrt[n+i]{a_{i}} e^{c z}, g=$ $c_{2} / \sqrt[n+i]{a_{i}} e^{-c z}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c$ are three constants satisfying $(-1)^{k}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n}[(n+$ 1)c) $]^{2 k}=1$.

Let $f$ be an entire function; we have $\Theta(\infty, f)=1$. Using the same argument as [12, Lemma 2.12], we can easily obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 2.4. Let $f$ and $g$ be two entire functions, and let $k$ be a positive integer.If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)(l=0,1,2)$. Then
(i) If $l=0$,
(2.1)

$$
\Theta(0, f)+\delta_{k}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, g)+\delta_{k+2}(0, f)+\delta_{k+2}(0, g)>5
$$
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then either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g ;$
(ii) If $l=1$,
(2.2) $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Theta(0, f)+\delta_{k}(0, f)+\delta_{k+2}(0, f)\right)+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)$

$$
+\delta_{k+1}(0, g)+\Theta(0, g)+\delta_{k}(0, g)>\frac{9}{2}
$$

then either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g ;$
(iii) If $l=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Theta(0, f)+\delta_{k}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)\right\}+\delta_{k+2}(0, g)>3, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, let $n$ and $m$ be three positive integers with $n>7$, and let $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+$ $a_{1} z+a_{0}$, where $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}, a_{m} \neq 0$ are complex constants. If $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z I M$, then $S(r, f)=S(r, g)$.
Proof. Using the same arguments as in [8] and [18], we easily obtain Lemma 2.5.
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Proof. Using the same arguments as those in [11] and [18], we can easily get Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions. Then

$$
f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime} g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime} \not \equiv z^{2}
$$

where $n \geq m+4$ is a positive integer.
Proof. Using the same argument as in [11] and [18], we easily obtain Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.8 ([3]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share 1 $C M$, one of the following three cases holds:
(i) $T(r, f) \leq N_{2}(r, \infty, f)+N_{2}(r, \infty, g)+N_{2}(r, 0, f)+N_{2}(r, 0, g)+S(r, f)+$ $S(r, g)$, the same inequality holding for $T(r, g)$;
(ii) $f \equiv g$;
(iii) $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Lemma 2.9 ([4]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions, and let $l$ be a positive integer. If $E_{l)}(1, f)=E_{l)}(1, g)$, then one of the following cases must occur:
(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f)+ & T(r, g) \leq N_{2}(r, \infty ; f)+N_{2}(r, 0 ; f)+N_{2}(r, \infty ; g) \\
& +N_{2}(r, 0 ; g)+\bar{N}(r, 1 ; f)+\bar{N}(r, 1 ; g)-N_{11}(r, 1 ; f) \\
& +\bar{N}(r, 1 ; f \mid \geq l+1)+\bar{N}(r, 1 ; g \mid \geq l+1)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$
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(ii) $f=\frac{(b+1) g+(a-b-1)}{b g+(a-b)}$, where $a(\neq 0)$, b are two constants.

Lemma 2.10 ([4]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share 1 IM, then one of the following cases must occur:
(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f)+T(r, g) \leq 2[ & \left.N_{2}(r, \infty ; f)+N_{2}(r, 0 ; f)+N_{2}(r, \infty ; g)+N_{2}(r, 0 ; g)\right] \\
& +3 \bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; f)+3 \bar{N}_{L}(r, 1 ; g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $f=\frac{(b+1) g+(a-b-1)}{b g+(a-b)}$, where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants.

Lemma 2.11. Let $f$ and $g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $n>m+6$ be a positive integer, and let $F_{1}=\frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}$ and $G_{1}=\frac{g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}}{z}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\frac{(b+1) G_{1}+(a-b-1)}{b G_{1}+(a-b)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(\neq 0), b$ are two constants, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.5 still holds.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, F_{1}\right) & =T\left(r, \frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq T\left(r, f^{n} P(f)\right)+T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)+\log r \\
& \leq(n+m) T(r, f)+2 T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f) \\
& =(n+m+2) T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& (n+m) T(r, f)  \tag{2.6}\\
& =T\left(r, f^{n} P(f)\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =N\left(r, \infty ; f^{n} P(f)\right)+m\left(r, f^{n} P(f)\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}\right)-N\left(r, \infty ; f^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+m\left(r, \frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (r, } \left.\frac{f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}}{z}\right)+T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) \\
\quad-N\left(r, \infty ; f^{\prime}\right)-N\left(r, 0 ; f^{\prime}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) \\
\leq
\end{array} \quad T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+T(r, f)-N(r, \infty ; f)-N\left(r, 0 ; f^{\prime}\right)+\log r+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

So
(2.7) $T\left(r, F_{1}\right) \geq(n+m-1) T(r, f)+N(r, \infty ; f)+N\left(r, 0 ; f^{\prime}\right)+\log r+S(r, f)$.

Thus, by (2.5), (2.7) and $n>m+6$, we get $S\left(r, F_{1}\right)=S(r, f)$. Similarly,
(2.8) $T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \geq(n+m-1) T(r, g)+N(r, \infty ; g)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right)+\log r+S(r, g)$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g), r \in I$, where $I$ is a set with infinite measure. Next, we consider three cases.
Case 1. $b \neq 0,-1$, If $a-b-1 \neq 0$, then by (2.4) we know

$$
\bar{N}\left(r,-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1} ; G_{1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right) \leq N(r, \infty ; g)+N(r, 0 ; g)+S(r, g) \leq 2 T(r, g)+S(r, g) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r,-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1} ; G_{1}\right)+S\left(r, G_{1}\right) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, \infty ; g)+\bar{N}(r, 0 ; g)+m T(r, g)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right)+\bar{N}(r, 0 ; f) \\
& \quad+m T(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, 0 ; f)+\bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)+2 \log r+S(r, g) \\
\leq & (2 m+4) T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, \infty ; g)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by $n>m+6$ and (2.8), we know $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g), r \in I$, this is impossible.
If $a-b-1=0$, then by (2.4) we know $F_{1}=\left((b+1) G_{1}\right) /\left(b G_{1}+1\right)$. Obviously,

$$
\bar{N}\left(r,-\frac{1}{b} ; G_{1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r,-\frac{1}{b} ; G_{1}\right)+S\left(r, G_{1}\right) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, \infty ; g)+\bar{N}(r, 0 ; g)+m T(r, g)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)+2 \log r+S(r, g) \\
\leq & (m+2) T(r, g)+\bar{N}(r, \infty ; g)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right)+2 \log r+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime} g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime} \not \equiv z^{2}
$$

Since $n \geq m+6$, by Lemma 2.7 we get a contradiction.
Case 3. $b=0$. Then (2.4) becomes $F_{1}=\left(G_{1}+a-1\right) / a$.
If $a-1 \neq 0$, then $\bar{N}\left(r, 1-a ; G_{1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)$. Applying a similar argument to that for Case 1, we can again deduce a contradiction.

If $a-1=0$, then $F_{1} \equiv G_{1}$, that is

$$
f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime} \equiv g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}
$$

By Lemma 2.6, we obtain the conclusions of Lemma 2.11.
Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 2.11.
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## 3. The Proofs of Theorems 1.2-1.4

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. (i) $P(z)=a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$.
By the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.2, we know that either both $f$ and $g$ are transcendental entire functions or both $f$ and $g$ are polynomials.

First, we consider the case when $f$ and $g$ are transcendental entire functions.
Let $F=f^{n} P(f)$ and $G=g^{n} P(g)$, from the condition of Theorem 1.2, we know that $F, G$ share $(1,0)$.

By Lemma 2.1 we can easily get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(0, F) & =1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, 0 ; F)}{T(r, F)} \\
& =1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; f^{n} P(f)\right)}{(n+m) T(r, f)} \\
& =1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, 0 ; f)+\bar{N}(r, 0 ; P(f))}{(n+m) T(r, f)},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(0, F) \geq 1-\frac{m+1}{n+m}=\frac{n-1}{n+m} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(0, G) \geq \frac{n-1}{n+m} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Next, by the definition of $N_{k}(r, a ; f)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{k+1}(0, f)= & 1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}(r, 0 ; f)}{T(r, f)} \geq 1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+1) \bar{N}(r, 0 ; f)}{T(r, f)}, \\
& \delta_{k+1}(0, F)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}\left(r, 0 ; f^{n} P(f)\right)}{T(r, F)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k+1}(0, F) \geq 1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m+k+1) T(r, f)}{(n+m) T(r, f)}=\frac{n-k-1}{n+m} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq \frac{n-k-1}{n+m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k+2}(0, F) \geq \frac{n-k-2}{n+m}, \quad \delta_{k+2}(0, G) \geq \frac{n-k-2}{n+m} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\Theta(0, f)+\delta_{k}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, g)+\delta_{k+2}(0, f)+\delta_{k+2}(0, g)>5
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we deduce either $F^{(k)} \cdot G^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$.
If $F^{(k)} \cdot G^{(k)} \equiv 1$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[f ^ { n } \left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}\right.\right.} & \left.\left.+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \times\left[g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)} \equiv 1
\end{align*}
$$

then by the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.3 we can get a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that $F \equiv G$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}\left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)=g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=f / g$. If $h$ is a constant, then substituting $f=g h$ into (3.7) we deduce

$$
a_{m} g^{n+m}\left(h^{n+m}-1\right)+a_{m-1} g^{n+m-1}\left(h^{n+m-1}-1\right)+\cdots+a_{0} g^{n}\left(h^{n}-1\right)=0
$$

which implies $h^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m, \ldots, n+m-i, \ldots, n), a_{m-1} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$. Thus $f \equiv t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{d}=1$, where $d=(n+m, \ldots, n+m-i, \ldots, n), a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some $i=0,1, \ldots, m$.

If $h$ is not a constant, then we know by (3.7) that $f$ and $g$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g)=0$, where $R\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{n}\left(a_{m} \omega_{1}^{m}+a_{m-1} \omega_{1}^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \omega_{1}+\right.$ $\left.a_{0}\right)-\omega_{2}^{n}\left(a_{m} \omega_{2}^{m}+a_{m-1} \omega_{2}^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \omega_{2}+a_{0}\right)$. This proves (i) of Theorem 1.2.

Now we consider the case when $f$ and $g$ are two polynomials. From $F, G$ share $(1,0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[f ^ { n } \left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}\right.\right.} & \left.\left.+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)}-1  \tag{3.8}\\
& =c\left\{\left[g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)}-1\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant. Let $\operatorname{deg} f=l$. Then by (3.8) we know that $\operatorname{deg} g=l$. Differentiating the two sides of (3.8), we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n-k-1} q_{1}=g^{n-k-1} q_{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are two polynomials with $\operatorname{deg} q_{1}=\operatorname{deg} q_{2}=(m+k+1) l-(k+1)$. By $n \geq 4 m+4 k+8$, we have $\operatorname{deg} g^{n-k-1}=(n-k-1) l>\operatorname{deg} q_{2}$. Therefore by (3.9) we know that there exists $z_{0}$ such that $f\left(z_{0}\right)=g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. Hence, by (3.8) and $f\left(z_{0}\right)=g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, we deduce that $c=1$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[f ^ { n } \left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}\right.\right.} & \left.\left.+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \equiv\left[g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)\right]^{(k)}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}\left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)-g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)=p(z), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-1$. Next, we prove $p(z)=0$ by rewriting (3.10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n-k} p_{1}=g^{n-k} p_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are two polynomials with $\operatorname{deg} p_{1}=\operatorname{deg} p_{2}=(m+k) l-k$ and $\operatorname{deg} f=l$.
Therefore, the total number of the common zeros of $f^{n-k}$ and $g^{n-k}$ is at least $k$. Then by (3.11) we deduce that $p(z) \equiv 0$, i.e.,
$f^{n}\left(a_{m} f^{m}+a_{m-1} f^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} f+a_{0}\right) \equiv g^{n}\left(a_{m} g^{m}+a_{m-1} g^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} g+a_{0}\right)$.
Then using the same argument of (3.7), we can also get the case (i) of Theorem 1.2.
(ii) $P(z) \equiv c_{0}$. From Theorem B, we can easily see that the case (ii) of Theorem 1.2 holds.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. From the condition of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.4(ii), using the same argument of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 can be easily proved .

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Proof. From the condition of Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 2.4(iii), using the same argument of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.4 can be easily proved .
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## 4. The Proofs of Theorems 1.5-1.7

Let $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ be defined as in Lemma 2.11 and

$$
F^{*}=\frac{a_{m} f^{n+m+1}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} f^{n+m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} f^{n+1}}{n+1}
$$

and

$$
G^{*}=\frac{a_{m} g^{n+m+1}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} g^{n+m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0} g^{n+1}}{n+1}
$$

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof. From the condition of Theorem 1.5, then $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ share $z C M$.
By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(r, F^{*}\right)=(n+m+1) T(r, f)+S(r, f)  \tag{4.1}\\
& T\left(r, G^{*}\right)=(n+m+1) T(r, g)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(F^{*}\right)^{\prime}=F_{1} z$, we deduce

$$
m\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{z F_{1}}\right)+S(r, f) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right)+\log r+S(r, f)
$$
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where $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are roots of the algebraic equation

$$
\frac{a_{m} z^{m}}{n+m+1}+\frac{a_{m-1} z^{m-1}}{n+m}+\cdots+\frac{a_{0}}{n+1}=0
$$

and $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ are roots of the algebraic equation

$$
a_{m} z^{m}+a_{m-1} z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}=0
$$

By the definition of $F_{1}, G_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right) \leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)+2 \bar{N}(r, 0 ; f)+N\left(r, c_{1} ; f\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& +\cdots+N\left(r, c_{m} ; f\right)+N\left(r, 0 ; f^{\prime}\right)+2 \log r
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain
(4.4) $N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; G_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; G_{1}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, \infty ; g)+2 \bar{N}(r, 0 ; g)+N\left(r, c_{1} ; g\right)$

$$
+\cdots+N\left(r, c_{m} ; g\right)+N\left(r, 0 ; g^{\prime}\right)+2 \log r .
$$

If Lemma 2.8(i) holds, from (4.2) - (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, F_{1}\right) \leq(m+5) T(r, f)+(m+6) T(r, g)+4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain
(4.6) $T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \leq(m+5) T(r, g)+(m+6) T(r, f)+4 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g)$.

By (4.1), (4.5), (4.6) and $n>m+10$, we can obtain a contradiction.
If Lemma 2.8(ii) holds, then $F_{1} \equiv G_{1}$. By Lemma 2.6, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.5.

If Lemma 2.8(iii) holds, then $F_{1} \cdot G_{1} \equiv 1$. By Lemma 2.7 and $n>m+10$, we can get a contradiction.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.
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### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Proof. Suppose that (i) in Lemma 2.10 holds. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}}{F_{1}^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& =N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}^{\prime}}{F_{1}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(m+4) T(r, f)+2 \log r+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1}\right) \leq(m+4) T(r, g)+2 \log r+S(r, g) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.2) - (4.4), (4.7) - (4.8) and Lemma 2.10(i), we have
(4.9) $\quad(n-4 m-22)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq 20 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g)$.

By $n>4 m+22$, we get a contradiction. Hence $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ satisfy (ii) in Lemma 2.10. By Lemma 2.11, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.6.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.
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Suppose that (i) in Lemma 2.9 holds, then we have

$$
\text { (4.10) } \begin{aligned}
& T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \leq 2\left[N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; G_{1}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1} \mid \geq 2\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1} \mid \geq 2\right)\right]+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1} \mid \geq 2\right) & \leq N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}}{F_{1}^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& =N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}^{\prime}}{F_{1}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(m+4) T(r, f)+2 \log r+S(r, f),
\end{align*}
$$

similarly, we have
(4.12)

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1} \mid \geq 2\right) \leq(m+4) T(r, g)+2 \log r+S(r, g)
$$

By (4.2) - (4.4), (4.10) - (4.12) and Lemma 2.9(i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-3 m-18)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq 16 \log r+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $n>3 m+18$, we get a contradiction. Hence $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ satisfy (ii) in Lemma 2.9. By Lemma 2.11, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.7(i).
(ii) If $l=2$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1}\right)-N_{11}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1} \mid \geq 3\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1} \mid \geq 3\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, 1 ; F_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, 1 ; G_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} T\left(r, G_{1}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Suppose that (i) in Lemma 2.9 holds, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (4.14) } & T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+T\left(r, G_{1}\right) \leq 2\left[N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; F_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; F_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, 0 ; G_{1}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.N_{2}\left(r, \infty ; G_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1} \mid \geq 3\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1} \mid \geq 3\right)\right]+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1} \mid \geq 3\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}}{F_{1}^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{F_{1}^{\prime}}{F_{1}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(m+4) T(r, f)+\log r+S(r, f),
\end{align*}
$$
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## 5. Remarks

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.5(1.6) that if the condition $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $z \mathbf{C M}$ (IM) are replaced by the condition $f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}$ share $a(z) \mathrm{CM}$ (IM), where $a(z)$ is a meromorphic function such that $a(z) \not \equiv 0, \infty$ and $T(r, f)=o\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.5(1.6) still holds. Similarly, if the condition $E_{l)}\left(z, f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}\right)=E_{l)}\left(z, g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}\right)(l=1,2)$ is replaced by the condition $E_{l)}(a(z)$,
$\left.f^{n} P(f) f^{\prime}\right)=E_{l)}\left(a(z), g^{n} P(g) g^{\prime}\right)(l=1,2)$ respectively, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.7 still holds.
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