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#### Abstract

Let $\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ where $P$ is a polynomial function of degree $l$ such that $P(1,0) \neq 0$. Let $\mu_{\delta}$ be the Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by $\mu_{\delta}(E)=\int_{V_{\delta}} \chi_{E}(x, \varphi(x)) d x$


 where$$
V_{\delta}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1, \text { and }\left|x_{1}\right| \leq \delta\left|x_{2}\right|\right\}
$$

and let $T_{\mu_{\delta}}$ be the convolution operator with the measure $\mu_{\delta}$. In this paper we explicitely describe the type set

$$
E_{\mu_{\delta}}:=\left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]:\left\|T_{\mu_{\delta}}\right\|_{p, q}<\infty\right\}
$$

for $\delta$ small enough.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $m \geq 2$ and let $D=$ $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y| \leq 1\right\}$. Let $\mu$ be the Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(E)=\int_{D} \chi_{E}(y, \varphi(y)) d y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $T_{\mu}$ be the operator defined, for $f \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, by $T_{\mu} f=\mu * f$. Let $E_{\mu}$ be the set of the pairs $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$ such that there exists a positive constant $c$ satisfying $\|T f\|_{q} \leq c\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where the $L^{p}$ spaces are taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

[^0]For $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_{\mu}, T$ can be extended to a bounded operator, still denoted by $T$, from $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Let $\varphi=\varphi_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots \varphi_{n}^{e_{n}}$ be a decomposition of $\varphi$ in irreducible factors with $\varphi_{i} \nmid \varphi_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. In [3] we could give a complete description of the set $E_{\mu}$ under the assumption that $e_{i} \neq \frac{m}{2}$ for each $\varphi_{i}$ of degree 1 . If $\operatorname{det} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)$ is not identically zero and if it vanishes somewhere on $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{0\}$, the set of the points $y$ where $\operatorname{det} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)$ vanishes is a finite union of lines $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$ through the origin. So, after a possibly linear change of variables, we localized the problem to the $x$ axes and we studied the type set corresponding to measures $\mu_{\delta}$ defined by

$$
\mu_{\delta}(E)=\int_{V_{\delta}} \chi_{E}(y, \varphi(y)) d y
$$

where $V_{\delta}=D \cap\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|y_{2}\right| \leq \delta\left|y_{1}\right|\right\}$ and $\delta$ is small enough such that $\operatorname{det} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)$ only vanishes, on $V_{\delta}$, along the $x$ axes. The only case left was the one corresponding to functions $\varphi$ of the form $\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ with $l=\frac{m}{2}, P$ being a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $l$ such that $P(1,0) \neq 0$.

In this paper we characterize $E_{\mu_{\delta}}$ in this remainder case.
$L^{p}$ improving properties of convolution operators with singular measures supported on hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have been widely studied in [2], [5], [6]. In particular, in [5], the type set was studied under our actual hypothesis, but the endpoint problem was left open there. Our proof of the main result involves a biparametric family of dilations and will be based on a suitable adaptation of arguments due to M. Christ, developed in [1], where the author studied the type set associated to the two dimensional measure supported on the parabola.

Also, oscillatory integral estimates are involved. A very careful study of this kind of estimate can be found in [4] where the authors study the boundedness of maximal operators associated to mixed homogeneous hypersurfaces.

Throughout this paper $c$ will denote a positive constant, not the same at each occurrence.

## 2. The Main Result

We assume $\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, where $l=\frac{m}{2}$ and $P$ is a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $l$ such that $P(1,0) \neq 0$. We take $\delta_{1}>0$ such that, for $y \in V_{\delta_{1}}$ such that $y_{2} \neq$ 0 , $\operatorname{det} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$. Moreover, since $P(1,0) \neq 0$ we can assume that $P(y) \neq 0$ and $P_{1}(y) \neq 0$ for all $y \in V_{\delta_{1}}$. Now, if $\max _{V_{\delta_{1}}}\left|P_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right| \neq 0$, we choose $\delta<\min \left(\frac{l \min _{V_{\delta_{1}}}\left|P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|}{2 \max _{V_{\delta_{1}}}\left|P_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right|}, \delta_{1}\right)$. In the other case we take $\delta=\delta_{1}$.

The main result we prove is the following.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ where $l=\frac{m}{2}$ and $P$ is a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $l$ such that $P(1,0) \neq 0$ and $y_{2} \nmid P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. Let $V_{\delta}$ be defined as above and let $E_{V_{\delta}}$ be the corresponding type set. Then $E_{V_{\delta}}$ is the closed polygonal region with vertices $(0,0),(1,1),\left(\frac{2 l+1}{2 l+2}, \frac{2 l-1}{2 l+2}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{3}{2 l+2}, \frac{1}{2 l+2}\right)$.

Standard arguments (see, for example Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 in [3]) imply the following result.
Lemma 2.2. If $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_{\mu_{\delta}}$ then $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{3}{p}-2$ and $\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{l+1}$.
So, since $\left\|T_{\mu_{\delta}}\right\|_{1,1}<\infty$, by duality arguments it only remains to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\mu_{\delta}}\right\|_{\frac{2 l+2}{2 l+1, \frac{2 l+2}{2 l-1}}}<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $Q_{0}=\left[\frac{1}{4}, 2\right] \times\left[\frac{\delta}{64}, \frac{\delta}{8}\right]$. We take a truncation function $\theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} \theta \subset Q_{0}$ and $\theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=1$ on $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \times\left[\frac{\delta}{32}, \frac{\delta}{16}\right]$. We define, for $\varepsilon, \gamma>0$, the biparametric family of dilations on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ given by $(\varepsilon, \gamma) \circ\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\varepsilon y_{1}, \gamma y_{2}\right)$ and $(\varepsilon, \gamma) \circ\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=$ $\left(\varepsilon y_{1}, \gamma y_{2}, \varepsilon^{l} \gamma^{l} y_{3}\right)$ repectively. Also, for $j, k \geq 0$, we set $Q_{j, k}=\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-k}\right) \circ Q_{0}$.
For $f \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j, k} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int f\left(x_{1}-y_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}, x_{3}-\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(2^{j} y_{1}, 2^{k} y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so for $f \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu_{\frac{\delta}{\delta}}} f \leq c \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} T_{j, k} f \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To study $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} T_{j, k} f$, we will adapt the argument developed by M. Christ (see [1]) to the setting of biparametric dilations. First of all, we prove the following
Proposition 2.3. There exists a positive constant $c>0$ such that for $0 \leq j \leq k$,

$$
\left\|T_{j, k}\right\|_{\frac{l l+2}{2 l+1}, \frac{2 l+2}{2 l-1}} \leq c
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{j, k} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& =\int f\left(x_{1}-y_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}, x_{3}-\varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(2^{j} y_{1}, 2^{k} y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \\
& =2^{-(j+k)} \int f\left(x_{1}-2^{-j} y_{1}, x_{2}-2^{-k} y_{2}, x_{3}-\varphi\left(2^{-j} y_{1}, 2^{-k} y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \\
& =2^{-(j+k)} T^{(j-k)} f_{j, k}\left(2^{j} x_{1}, 2^{k} x_{2}, 2^{(j+k) l} x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we denote

$$
T^{(j)} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\int f\left(x_{1}-y_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}, x_{3}-y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, 2^{j} y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

and

$$
f_{j, k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f\left(\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-k}\right) \circ\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) .
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{j, k} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right\|_{q}=2^{(j+k)\left(\frac{1+l}{p}-\frac{1+l}{q}-1\right)}\left\|T^{(j-k)}\right\|_{p, q}\|f\|_{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}=2^{(2-2 l)(j-k)} \operatorname{det}(\varphi)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right) .
$$

so as in the proof of Lemma 4 in [3] we obtain that there exists $c>0$ such that $\left\|T^{(j-k)}\right\|_{\frac{2 l+2}{2 l+1}, \frac{2 l+2}{} \frac{2 l-1}{} \leq} \leq$ $c$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$, and the proposition follows.

We take $0 \leq j \leq k$, and denote by $\mu_{j, k}$ and $\mu^{(j)}$ the measures associated to $T_{j, k}$ and $T^{(j)}$ respectively. For $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$,

$$
\widehat{\mu^{(j-k)}}(\xi)=\int e^{-i\left(\xi_{1} y_{1}+\xi_{2} y_{2}+\xi_{3} y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)\right)} \theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}
$$

If for some $\xi$ on the unit sphere, $\Omega_{\xi}^{(j-k)}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\xi_{1} y_{1}+\xi_{2} y_{2}+\xi_{3} y_{2}^{l} P\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)$ has a critical point belonging to the $\operatorname{supp} \theta$, then

$$
\xi_{1}+\xi_{3} y_{2}^{l} P_{1}\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\left(2^{j-k} y_{2}^{l} P_{2}\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)+l y_{2}^{l-1} P\left(y_{1}, 2^{j-k} y_{2}\right)\right)=0
$$

but then, since $P_{1}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in V_{\delta_{1}}$, from the first equation we obtain that there exist constants $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a<b$ such $2^{a}\left|\xi_{3}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 2^{b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|$, and, from the second one and the choice of $\delta$ we obtain constants $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $c<d$ such that $2^{c}\left|\xi_{3}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2^{d}\left|\xi_{3}\right|$. So $\xi$ belongs to the cone

$$
C_{0}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: 2^{a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|, 2^{c}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{d}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $C_{0}$ is as above. Then the family of cones $\left\{\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ C_{0}\right\}_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has finite overlapping (i.e., $\left.\#\left\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: C_{0} \cap\left(\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ C_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}<\infty\right)$.
Proof. We suppose $\xi \in C_{0}$ and $\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ \xi \in C_{0}$, then

$$
2^{a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|, \quad 2^{c}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{d}\left|\xi_{3}\right|
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{(j+k) l+a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<2^{j}\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{(j+k) l+b}\left|\xi_{3}\right| \\
2^{(j+k) l+c}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<2^{k}\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{(j+k) l+d}\left|\xi_{3}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

SO

$$
2^{j}\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{(j+k) l+b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<2^{(j+k) l+b-a}\left|\xi_{1}\right|
$$

and

$$
2^{b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|>\left|\xi_{1}\right|>2^{-j} 2^{(j+k) l+a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|
$$

so

$$
a-b-k l<j(l-1)<b-a-k l
$$

analogously we obtain

$$
c-d-j l<k(l-1)<d-c-j l
$$

thus

$$
\frac{(c-d)(l-1)+(a-b) l}{l^{2}-(l-1)^{2}}<k<\frac{(d-c)(l-1)+(b-a) l}{l^{2}-(l-1)^{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{a-b}{l-1}-l \frac{(d-c)(l-1)+(b-a) l}{\left(l^{2}-(l-1)^{2}\right)(l-1)}<j<\frac{(b-a)}{l-1}+l \frac{(d-c)(l-1)+(b-a) l}{\left(l^{2}-(l-1)^{2}\right)(l-1)}
$$

We define $m_{0}(\xi)=n\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right) r\left(\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ where $n$ and $r$ belong to $C^{\infty}\left(R^{2}-\{0\}\right)$, are homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the isotropic dilations,

$$
\operatorname{supp} n \subset\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right): 2^{a-1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{b+1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}
$$

$n \geq 0$ and $n \equiv 1$ on $\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right): 2^{a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{b}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} r \subset\left\{\left(\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right): 2^{c-1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{d+1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}
$$

$r \geq 0$ and $r \equiv 1$ on $\left\{\left(\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right): 2^{c}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{d}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}$, so $m_{0}$ is homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the isotropic dilations, it belongs to $C^{\infty}$ on each octant of $\mathbb{R}^{3}, m_{0} \geq 0, m_{0} \equiv 1$ on $C_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{supp} m_{0} \subset \widetilde{C_{0}}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: 2^{a-1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{1}\right|<2^{b+1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|, 2^{c-1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|<\left|\xi_{2}\right|<2^{d+1}\left|\xi_{3}\right|\right\}
$$

For $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, we define $m_{j, k}(\xi)=m_{0}\left(\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-k}\right) \circ \xi\right)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}$ the operator with multiplier $m_{j, k}$. If $\xi$ belongs to an open octant of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ then $\xi$ belongs to $\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ C_{0}$ for some $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (indeed $2^{-k} \sim \frac{\left|\xi_{1}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}$ and $2^{-j} \sim \frac{\left|\xi_{2}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}$ ) and from the previous lemma, it belongs to a finite number of
them (independent of $\xi$ ). So $\sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} m_{j, k}(\xi) \leq c$. Now it is easy to check that, for $1<p<\infty$, there exists $A_{p}>0$ such that for $f \in L^{2} \cap L^{p}$ and any choice of $\varepsilon_{j, k}= \pm 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \varepsilon_{j, k} \mathfrak{Q}_{j, k} f\right\|_{p} \leq A_{p}\|f\|_{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we now show that

$$
m(\xi)=\sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \varepsilon_{j, k} m_{j, k}(\xi)
$$

satisfies the hypothesis of the Marcinkiewicz Theorem, as stated in Theorem 6' in [7].
We have just observed that

$$
|m(\xi)| \leq \sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} m_{j, k}(\xi) \leq c
$$

Now we want to estimate $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m(\xi)\right|$. We recall that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m_{0}$ is homogeneous of degree -1 . We pick $\xi$ in an open octant. In a small neighborhood of $\xi$ only finitely many $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ (independent of $\xi$ ) are involved. For each one of them,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m_{j, k}(\xi) & =2^{-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m_{0}\left(2^{-j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-k} \xi_{2}, 2^{-(j+k) l} \xi_{3}\right) \\
& \leq c 2^{-j}\left|2^{-j} \xi_{1}, 2^{-k} \xi_{2}, 2^{-(j+k) l} \xi_{3}\right|^{-1} \leq c 2^{-j}\left|2^{-j} \xi_{1}\right|^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\sup _{\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}} \int_{2^{s}}^{2^{s+1}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m(\xi)\right| d \xi_{1} \leq c
$$

and in a similar way (using the homogeneity of the derivatives of $m_{j, k}$ ) we obtain that for each $0<k \leq 3$,

$$
\sup _{\xi_{k+1}, \ldots, \xi_{3}} \int_{\rho}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \xi_{1} \ldots \partial \xi_{k}} m(\xi)\right| d \xi_{1} \leq c
$$

as $\rho$ ranges over dyadic rectangles of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and that this inequality holds for every one of the six pemutations of the variables $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$.

We now define $h(\xi) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), h \geq 0, h \equiv 1$ on the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{3}, h_{j, k}(\xi)=h\left(\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-k}\right) \circ \xi\right)$ and $R_{j, k}$ the operators with multipliers $h_{j, k}$.
Lemma 2.5. There exists a constant $C>0$, independent of $K$, such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k} R_{j, k}\right\|_{\frac{2 l+2}{2 l+1}, \frac{2 l+2}{2 l-1}} \leq C
$$

Proof. Let $K_{j, k}$ be the kernel of $T_{j, k} R_{j, k}$. A computation shows that,

$$
K_{j, k}(x)=2^{(j+k) l}\left(\mu^{(j-k)} * h^{\wedge \vee}\right)\left(\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ x\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K}\left|K_{j, k}(\xi)\right| \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} 2^{(j+k) l}\left|G^{(j, k)}\left(\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ \xi\right)\right|
$$

with $G^{(j, k)}$ defined by $\left(G^{(j, k)}\right)^{\wedge}=\left(\mu^{(j-k)}\right)^{\wedge} h$. Since $j-k \leq 0$, as in Lemma 7 in [3] we obtain that $\left(G^{(j, k)}\right)^{\wedge} \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with each seminorm bounded on $j, k$, it follows that the same holds for $G^{(j, k)}$. Now

$$
\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} 2^{(j+k) l}\left|G^{(j, k)}\left(\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ \xi\right)\right| \leq \sum_{j, k, h \geq 0} 2^{j a+k a+h a}\left|G^{(j, k, h)}\left(2^{j} \xi_{1}, 2^{k} \xi_{2}, 2^{h} \xi_{3}\right)\right|
$$

with $a=\frac{l}{l+1}, G^{(j, k, h)}=G^{(j, k)}$ for $h=l(j+k)$ and $G^{(j, k, h)}=0$ otherwise. It is well known that from the uniform boundedness properties of $G^{(j, k, h)}$ it follows that

$$
\sum_{j, k, h \geq 0} 2^{j a+k a+h a}\left|G^{(j, k, h)}\left(2^{j} \xi_{1}, 2^{k} \xi_{2}, 2^{h} \xi_{3}\right)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{a}\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{a}}
$$

so

$$
\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K}\left|K_{j, k}(\xi)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{\frac{l}{l+1}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{\frac{l}{l+1}}\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{\frac{l}{l+1}}},
$$

so $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k} R_{j, k}$ convolves $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{l+1}$ with bounds independent of $K$.

Lemma 2.6. There exists a constant $C>0$, independent of $K$, such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k}\left(I-P_{j, k}\right)\left(I-\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}\right)\right\|_{\frac{2 l+2}{2 l+1,2 l+2}}^{2 l-1} \leq C
$$

Proof. The kernel $H_{j, k}$ of

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k}\left(I-P_{j, k}\right)\left(I-\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq K}\left|H_{j, k}(\xi)\right| \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} 2^{(j+k) l}\left|g^{(j, k)}\left(\left(2^{j}, 2^{k}\right) \circ \xi\right)\right|
$$

with $g^{(j, k)}$ defined by $\left(g^{(j, k)}\right)^{\wedge}=\left(\mu^{(j-k)}\right)^{\wedge}(1-h)\left(1-m_{0}\right)$.
Observe that, from Lemma 7 in [3], we have $\left(\mu^{(j-k)}\right)^{\wedge}(1-h)\left(1-m_{0}\right) \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with each seminorm bounded on $j, k$. From this fact the proof follows as in the previous lemma.

Proof of the theorem. We have just observed that it is enough to prove (2.1). Since we can suppose $f \geq 0$, by (2.3), we need only check that there exists $C>0$, independent of $K$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k}\right\|_{\substack{\frac{2 l+2}{2 l+1}, \frac{2 l+2}{2 l-1}}} \leq C
$$

where $T_{j, k}$ are defined by $\sqrt{2.2}$. For a constant $c_{0}>0$, we define $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime}=\sum_{|i-j| \leq c_{0}} \mathfrak{Q}_{i, k}$. So $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime}$ have the same properties as $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}=\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}$ thus we have that $\left\langle 2.5\right.$ ) holds for $\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime}$. Then, for $1<p<\infty$ and

$$
F=\left\{f_{j, k}\right\}_{j, k \geq 0} \in L^{p}\left(l^{2}\right), \quad\left\|\sum_{j, k \geq 0} \mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime} f_{j, k}\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|F\|_{L^{p}\left(l^{2}\right)}
$$

We decompose

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k} f=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k}\left(I-P_{j, k}\right)\left(I-\mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime}\right) f+ & \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k} P_{j, k} f \\
& +\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq K} T_{j, k} \mathfrak{Q}_{j, k}^{\prime}\left(I-P_{j, k}\right) f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, proceeding as in [1], the theorem follows from Proposition 2.3, Lemmas 2.5] and 2.6 and the remarks in [8, p. 85] concerning the multiparameter maximal function.
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