

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 7, Issue 3, Article 89, 2006

# CONVOLUTION OPERATORS WITH HOMOGENEOUS SINGULAR MEASURES ON $\mathbb{R}^3$ OF POLYNOMIAL TYPE. THE REMAINDER CASE.

MARTA URCIUOLO

FAMAF-CIEM, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA-CONICET. MEDINA ALLENDE S/N CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA 5000, CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA. urciuolo@mate.uncor.edu

Received 22 December, 2005; accepted 23 September, 2006 Communicated by A. Fiorenza

ABSTRACT. Let  $\varphi(y_1, y_2) = y_2^l P(y_1, y_2)$  where P is a polynomial function of degree l such that  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mu_{\delta}$  be the Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $\mu_{\delta}(E) = \int_{V_{\delta}} \chi_E(x, \varphi(x)) dx$  where

$$V_{\delta} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1| \le 1, \text{ and } |x_1| \le \delta |x_2| \right\}$$

and let  $T_{\mu_{\delta}}$  be the convolution operator with the measure  $\mu_{\delta}$ . In this paper we explicitly describe the type set

$$E_{\mu\delta} := \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] : \|T_{\mu\delta}\|_{p, q} < \infty \right\},\$$

for  $\delta$  small enough.

Key words and phrases: Convolution operators, Singular measures.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 26B10.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a homogeneous polynomial function of degree  $m \ge 2$  and let  $D = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| \le 1\}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

(1.1) 
$$\mu(E) = \int_{D} \chi_E(y,\varphi(y)) \, dy$$

and let  $T_{\mu}$  be the operator defined, for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , by  $T_{\mu}f = \mu * f$ . Let  $E_{\mu}$  be the set of the pairs  $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  such that there exists a positive constant c satisfying  $||Tf||_q \leq c ||f||_p$  for all  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , where the  $L^p$  spaces are taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

<sup>© 2006</sup> Victoria University. All rights reserved.

Partially supported by Conicet, Agencia Córdoba Ciencia, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica y Secyt-UNC.

The author is deeply indebted to Prof. F. Ricci for his useful suggestions.

<sup>374-05</sup> 

For  $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_{\mu}$ , T can be extended to a bounded operator, still denoted by T, from  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$  into  $L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ .

Let  $\varphi = \varphi_1^{e_1} \dots \varphi_n^{e_n}$  be a decomposition of  $\varphi$  in irreducible factors with  $\varphi_i \nmid \varphi_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . In [3] we could give a complete description of the set  $E_{\mu}$  under the assumption that  $e_i \neq \frac{m}{2}$  for each  $\varphi_i$  of degree 1. If det  $\varphi''(y)$  is not identically zero and if it vanishes somewhere on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$ , the set of the points y where det  $\varphi''(y)$  vanishes is a finite union of lines  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  through the origin. So, after a possibly linear change of variables, we localized the problem to the x axes and we studied the type set corresponding to measures  $\mu_{\delta}$  defined by

$$\mu_{\delta}(E) = \int_{V_{\delta}} \chi_{E}(y,\varphi(y)) \, dy,$$

where  $V_{\delta} = D \cap \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y_2| \leq \delta |y_1|\}$  and  $\delta$  is small enough such that  $\det \varphi''(y)$  only vanishes, on  $V_{\delta}$ , along the *x* axes. The only case left was the one corresponding to functions  $\varphi$  of the form  $\varphi(y_1, y_2) = y_2^l P(y_1, y_2)$  with  $l = \frac{m}{2}$ , *P* being a homogeneous polynomial function of degree *l* such that  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$ .

In this paper we characterize  $E_{\mu\delta}$  in this remainder case.

 $L^p$  improving properties of convolution operators with singular measures supported on hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have been widely studied in [2], [5], [6]. In particular, in [5], the type set was studied under our actual hypothesis, but the endpoint problem was left open there. Our proof of the main result involves a biparametric family of dilations and will be based on a suitable adaptation of arguments due to M. Christ, developed in [1], where the author studied the type set associated to the two dimensional measure supported on the parabola.

Also, oscillatory integral estimates are involved. A very careful study of this kind of estimate can be found in [4] where the authors study the boundedness of maximal operators associated to mixed homogeneous hypersurfaces.

Throughout this paper c will denote a positive constant, not the same at each occurrence.

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

We assume  $\varphi(y_1, y_2) = y_2^l P(y_1, y_2)$ , where  $l = \frac{m}{2}$  and P is a homogeneous polynomial function of degree l such that  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$ . We take  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that, for  $y \in V_{\delta_1}$  such that  $y_2 \neq 0$ , det  $\varphi''(y) \neq 0$ . Moreover, since  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$  we can assume that  $P(y) \neq 0$  and  $P_1(y) \neq 0$  for all  $y \in V_{\delta_1}$ . Now, if  $\max_{V_{\delta_1}} |P_2(y_1, y_2)| \neq 0$ , we choose  $\delta < \min\left(\frac{l\min_{V_{\delta_1}} |P(y_1, y_2)|}{2\max_{V_{\delta_1}} |P_2(y_1, y_2)|}, \delta_1\right)$ . In the other case we take  $\delta = \delta_1$ .

The main result we prove is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\varphi(y_1, y_2) = y_2^l P(y_1, y_2)$  where  $l = \frac{m}{2}$  and P is a homogeneous polynomial function of degree l such that  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$  and  $y_2 \nmid P(y_1, y_2)$ . Let  $V_{\delta}$  be defined as above and let  $E_{V_{\delta}}$  be the corresponding type set. Then  $E_{V_{\delta}}$  is the closed polygonal region with vertices  $(0, 0), (1, 1), (\frac{2l+1}{2l+2}, \frac{2l-1}{2l+2})$  and  $(\frac{3}{2l+2}, \frac{1}{2l+2})$ .

Standard arguments (see, for example Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 in [3]) imply the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** If 
$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_{\mu_{\delta}}$$
 then  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{3}{p} - 2$  and  $\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{l+1}$ .

So, since  $\|T_{\mu_{\delta}}\|_{_{1,1}} < \infty$ , by duality arguments it only remains to prove that

(2.1) 
$$\|T_{\mu_{\delta}}\|_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1},\frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} < \infty$$

We set  $Q_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}, 2 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta}{64}, \frac{\delta}{8} \end{bmatrix}$ . We take a truncation function  $\theta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\theta(y_1, y_2) \ge 0$ , supp  $\theta \subset Q_0$  and  $\theta(y_1, y_2) = 1$  on  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta}{32}, \frac{\delta}{16} \end{bmatrix}$ . We define, for  $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$ , the biparametric family of dilations on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $(\varepsilon, \gamma) \circ (y_1, y_2) = (\varepsilon y_1, \gamma y_2)$  and  $(\varepsilon, \gamma) \circ (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\varepsilon y_1, \gamma y_2, \varepsilon^l \gamma^l y_3)$  repectively. Also, for  $j, k \ge 0$ , we set  $Q_{j,k} = (2^{-j}, 2^{-k}) \circ Q_0$ .

For 
$$f \in S(\mathbb{R}^3)$$
, we define

$$(2.2) T_{j,k}f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int f(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3 - \varphi(y_1, y_2)) \theta(2^j y_1, 2^k y_2) dy_1 dy_2$$

so for  $f \ge 0$ ,

(2.3) 
$$T_{\mu_{\frac{\delta}{8}}}f \le c\sum_{0\le j\le k}T_{j,k}f$$

To study  $\sum_{0 \le j \le k} T_{j,k} f$ , we will adapt the argument developed by M. Christ (see [1]) to the setting of biparametric dilations. First of all, we prove the following

**Proposition 2.3.** There exists a positive constant c > 0 such that for  $0 \le j \le k$ ,

$$||T_{j,k}||_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1},\frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} \le c$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{j,k}f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\ &= \int f\left(x_{1} - y_{1}, x_{2} - y_{2}, x_{3} - \varphi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(2^{j}y_{1}, 2^{k}y_{2}\right) dy_{1} dy_{2} \\ &= 2^{-(j+k)} \int f\left(x_{1} - 2^{-j}y_{1}, x_{2} - 2^{-k}y_{2}, x_{3} - \varphi\left(2^{-j}y_{1}, 2^{-k}y_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) dy_{1} dy_{2} \\ &= 2^{-(j+k)} T^{(j-k)} f_{j,k}\left(2^{j}x_{1}, 2^{k}x_{2}, 2^{(j+k)l}x_{3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$T^{(j)}f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int f(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3 - y_2^l P(y_1, 2^j y_2)) \theta(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2$$

and

$$f_{j,k}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f\left(\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-k}\right) \circ (x_1, x_2, x_3)\right).$$

So

(2.4) 
$$\|T_{j,k}f(x_1, x_2, x_3)\|_q = 2^{(j+k)\left(\frac{1+l}{p} - \frac{1+l}{q} - 1\right)} \|T^{(j-k)}\|_{p,q} \|f\|_p.$$

Now,

$$\det \left( y_2^l P\left( y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2 \right) \right)'' = 2^{(2-2l)(j-k)} \det \left( \varphi \right)'' \left( y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2 \right).$$

so as in the proof of Lemma 4 in [3] we obtain that there exists c > 0 such that  $||T^{(j-k)}||_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1},\frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} \leq c$  for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , and the proposition follows.

We take  $0 \le j \le k$ , and denote by  $\mu_{j,k}$  and  $\mu^{(j)}$  the measures associated to  $T_{j,k}$  and  $T^{(j)}$  respectively. For  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ ,

$$\widehat{\mu^{(j-k)}}\left(\xi\right) = \int e^{-i\left(\xi_1 y_1 + \xi_2 y_2 + \xi_3 y_2^l P\left(y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2\right)\right)} \theta\left(y_1, y_2\right) dy_1 dy_2.$$

If for some  $\xi$  on the unit sphere,  $\Omega_{\xi}^{(j-k)}(y_1, y_2) = \xi_1 y_1 + \xi_2 y_2 + \xi_3 y_2^l P(y_1, 2^{j-k}y_2)$  has a critical point belonging to the supp  $\theta$ , then

$$\xi_1 + \xi_3 y_2^l P_1\left(y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2\right) = 0$$

and

$$\xi_2 + \xi_3 \left( 2^{j-k} y_2^l P_2 \left( y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2 \right) + l y_2^{l-1} P \left( y_1, 2^{j-k} y_2 \right) \right) = 0.$$

but then, since  $P_1(y) \neq 0$  for  $y \in V_{\delta_1}$ , from the first equation we obtain that there exist constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a < b such  $2^a |\xi_3| \leq |\xi_1| \leq 2^b |\xi_3|$ , and, from the second one and the choice of  $\delta$  we obtain constants  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with c < d such that  $2^c |\xi_3| \leq |\xi_2| \leq 2^d |\xi_3|$ . So  $\xi$  belongs to the cone

$$C_0 = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2^a \left| \xi_3 \right| < \left| \xi_1 \right| < 2^b \left| \xi_3 \right|, \ 2^c \left| \xi_3 \right| < \left| \xi_2 \right| < 2^d \left| \xi_3 \right| \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose  $C_0$  is as above. Then the family of cones  $\{(2^j, 2^k) \circ C_0\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has finite overlapping (i.e.,  $\#\{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : C_0 \cap ((2^j, 2^k) \circ C_0) \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$ ).

*Proof.* We suppose  $\xi \in C_0$  and  $(2^j, 2^k) \circ \xi \in C_0$ , then

$$2^{a} |\xi_{3}| < |\xi_{1}| < 2^{b} |\xi_{3}|, \qquad 2^{c} |\xi_{3}| < |\xi_{2}| < 2^{d} |\xi_{3}|$$

and

$$2^{(j+k)l+a} |\xi_3| < 2^j |\xi_1| < 2^{(j+k)l+b} |\xi_3|,$$
  
$$2^{(j+k)l+c} |\xi_3| < 2^k |\xi_2| < 2^{(j+k)l+d} |\xi_3|$$

so

 $2^{j} |\xi_{1}| < 2^{(j+k)l+b} |\xi_{3}| < 2^{(j+k)l+b-a} |\xi_{1}|$ 

and

$$2^{b} |\xi_{3}| > |\xi_{1}| > 2^{-j} 2^{(j+k)l+a} |\xi_{3}| +$$

so

$$a - b - kl < j(l - 1) < b - a - kl$$
,

analogously we obtain

$$c - d - jl < k(l - 1) < d - c - jl,$$

thus

$$\frac{(c-d)(l-1) + (a-b)l}{l^2 - (l-1)^2} < k < \frac{(d-c)(l-1) + (b-a)l}{l^2 - (l-1)^2}$$

and so

$$\frac{a-b}{l-1} - l\frac{(d-c)\left(l-1\right) + (b-a)l}{\left(l^2 - \left(l-1\right)^2\right)\left(l-1\right)} < j < \frac{(b-a)}{l-1} + l\frac{(d-c)\left(l-1\right) + (b-a)l}{\left(l^2 - \left(l-1\right)^2\right)\left(l-1\right)}.$$

We define  $m_0(\xi) = n(\xi_1, \xi_3) r(\xi_2, \xi_3)$  where n and r belong to  $C^{\infty}(R^2 - \{0\})$ , are homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the isotropic dilations,

$$\sup n \subset \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_3) : 2^{a-1} |\xi_3| < |\xi_1| < 2^{b+1} |\xi_3| \right\}$$
$$n \ge 0 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \text{ on } \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_3) : 2^a |\xi_3| < |\xi_1| < 2^b |\xi_3| \right\},$$
$$\sup p r \subset \left\{ (\xi_2, \xi_3) : 2^{c-1} |\xi_3| < |\xi_2| < 2^{d+1} |\xi_3| \right\},$$

 $r \ge 0$  and  $r \equiv 1$  on  $\{(\xi_2, \xi_3) : 2^c |\xi_3| < |\xi_2| < 2^d |\xi_3|\}$ , so  $m_0$  is homogeneous of degree zero with respect to the isotropic dilations, it belongs to  $C^{\infty}$  on each octant of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $m_0 \ge 0$ ,  $m_0 \equiv 1$  on  $C_0$  and

$$\sup m_0 \subset \widetilde{C}_0 = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2^{a-1} |\xi_3| < |\xi_1| < 2^{b+1} |\xi_3|, \ 2^{c-1} |\xi_3| < |\xi_2| < 2^{d+1} |\xi_3| \right\}.$$

For  $(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we define  $m_{j,k}(\xi) = m_0((2^{-j}, 2^{-k}) \circ \xi)$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_{j,k}$  the operator with multiplier  $m_{j,k}$ . If  $\xi$  belongs to an open octant of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  then  $\xi$  belongs to  $(2^j, 2^k) \circ C_0$  for some  $(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  (indeed  $2^{-k} \sim \frac{|\xi_1|}{|\xi_3|}$  and  $2^{-j} \sim \frac{|\xi_2|}{|\xi_3|}$ ) and from the previous lemma, it belongs to a finite number of

them (independent of  $\xi$ ). So  $\sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} m_{j,k}(\xi) \leq c$ . Now it is easy to check that, for 1 , $there exists <math>A_p > 0$  such that for  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$  and any choice of  $\varepsilon_{j,k} = \pm 1$ ,

(2.5) 
$$\left\| \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \varepsilon_{j,k} \mathfrak{Q}_{j,k} f \right\|_p \le A_p \left\| f \right\|_p.$$

Indeed, we now show that

$$m\left(\xi\right) = \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \varepsilon_{j,k} m_{j,k}\left(\xi\right)$$

satisfies the hypothesis of the Marcinkiewicz Theorem, as stated in Theorem 6' in [7].

We have just observed that

$$|m\left(\xi\right)| \le \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} m_{j,k}\left(\xi\right) \le c.$$

Now we want to estimate  $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}m(\xi)\right|$ . We recall that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}m_0$  is homogeneous of degree -1. We pick  $\xi$  in an open octant. In a small neighborhood of  $\xi$  only finitely many  $(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  (independent of  $\xi$ ) are involved. For each one of them,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} m_{j,k} \left(\xi\right) = 2^{-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} m_0 \left(2^{-j} \xi_1, 2^{-k} \xi_2, 2^{-(j+k)l} \xi_3\right)$$
$$\leq c 2^{-j} \left|2^{-j} \xi_1, 2^{-k} \xi_2, 2^{-(j+k)l} \xi_3\right|^{-1} \leq c 2^{-j} \left|2^{-j} \xi_1\right|^{-1}$$

so

$$\sup_{\xi_{2},\xi_{3}} \int_{2^{s}}^{2^{s+1}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}} m\left(\xi\right) \right| d\xi_{1} \le c,$$

and in a similar way (using the homogeneity of the derivatives of  $m_{j,k}$ ) we obtain that for each  $0 < k \leq 3$ ,

$$\sup_{\xi_{k+1},\ldots,\xi_3} \int_{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi_1 \ldots \partial \xi_k} m\left(\xi\right) \right| d\xi_1 \le c,$$

as  $\rho$  ranges over dyadic rectangles of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and that this inequality holds for every one of the six pemutations of the variables  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ .

We now define  $h(\xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $h \ge 0$ ,  $h \equiv 1$  on the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $h_{j,k}(\xi) = h((2^{-j}, 2^{-k}) \circ \xi)$ and  $R_{j,k}$  the operators with multipliers  $h_{j,k}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** There exists a constant C > 0, independent of K, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} R_{j,k} \right\|_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1}, \frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} \le C.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K_{i,k}$  be the kernel of  $T_{i,k}R_{i,k}$ . A computation shows that,

..

$$K_{j,k}(x) = 2^{(j+k)l} \left( \mu^{(j-k)} * h^{\vee} \right) \left( \left( 2^{j}, 2^{k} \right) \circ x \right).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} |K_{j,k}(\xi)| \le \sum_{0 \le j \le k} 2^{(j+k)l} |G^{(j,k)}((2^j, 2^k) \circ \xi)|$$

with  $G^{(j,k)}$  defined by  $(G^{(j,k)})^{\wedge} = (\mu^{(j-k)})^{\wedge} h$ . Since  $j-k \leq 0$ , as in Lemma 7 in [3] we obtain that  $(G^{(j,k)})^{\wedge} \in S(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with each seminorm bounded on j, k, it follows that the same holds for  $G^{(j,k)}$ . Now

$$\sum_{0 \le j \le k} 2^{(j+k)l} \left| G^{(j,k)} \left( \left( 2^j, 2^k \right) \circ \xi \right) \right| \le \sum_{j,k,h \ge 0} 2^{ja+ka+ha} \left| G^{(j,k,h)} \left( 2^j \xi_1, 2^k \xi_2, 2^h \xi_3 \right) \right|$$

with  $a = \frac{l}{l+1}$ ,  $G^{(j,k,h)} = G^{(j,k)}$  for h = l(j+k) and  $G^{(j,k,h)} = 0$  otherwise. It is well known that from the uniform boundedness properties of  $G^{(j,k,h)}$  it follows that

$$\sum_{j,k,h\geq 0} 2^{ja+ka+ha} \left| G^{(j,k,h)} \left( 2^{j}\xi_{1}, 2^{k}\xi_{2}, 2^{h}\xi_{3} \right) \right| \leq \frac{c}{\left| \xi_{1} \right|^{a} \left| \xi_{2} \right|^{a} \left| \xi_{3} \right|^{a}},$$

SO

$$\sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} |K_{j,k}(\xi)| \le \frac{c}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{l}{l+1}} |\xi_2|^{\frac{l}{l+1}} |\xi_3|^{\frac{l}{l+1}}}$$

so  $\sum_{\substack{0 \le j \le k \le K}} T_{j,k} R_{j,k}$  convolves  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$  into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{l+1}$  with bounds independent of K.

**Lemma 2.6.** There exists a constant C > 0, independent of K, such that

$$\left\|\sum_{1 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} \left(I - P_{j,k}\right) \left(I - \mathfrak{Q}_{j,k}\right)\right\|_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1}, \frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} \le C.$$

*Proof.* The kernel  $H_{j,k}$  of

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} \left( I - P_{j,k} \right) \left( I - \mathfrak{Q}_{j,k} \right)$$

satisfies

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k \le K} |H_{j,k}(\xi)| \le \sum_{0 \le j \le k} 2^{(j+k)l} |g^{(j,k)}((2^j, 2^k) \circ \xi)|$$

with  $g^{(j,k)}$  defined by  $(g^{(j,k)})^{\wedge} = (\mu^{(j-k)})^{\wedge} (1-h) (1-m_0)$ .

Observe that, from Lemma 7 in [3], we have  $(\mu^{(j-k)})^{\wedge}(1-h)(1-m_0) \in S(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with each seminorm bounded on j, k. From this fact the proof follows as in the previous lemma.

*Proof of the theorem.* We have just observed that it is enough to prove (2.1). Since we can suppose  $f \ge 0$ , by (2.3), we need only check that there exists C > 0, independent of K such that

$$\left\| \sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} \right\|_{\frac{2l+2}{2l+1}, \frac{2l+2}{2l-1}} \le C,$$

where  $T_{j,k}$  are defined by (2.2). For a constant  $c_0 > 0$ , we define  $\mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k} = \sum_{|i-j| \leq c_0} \mathfrak{Q}_{i,k}$ . So  $\mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k}$  have the same properties as  $\mathfrak{Q}_{j,k}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k} \circ \mathfrak{Q}_{j,k} = \mathfrak{Q}_{j,k}$  thus we have that (2.5) holds for  $\mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k}$ . Then, for 1 and

$$F = \{f_{j,k}\}_{j,k\geq 0} \in L^p(l^2), \qquad \left\|\sum_{j,k\geq 0} \mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k}f_{j,k}\right\|_p \le c_p \|F\|_{L^p(l^2)}.$$

We decompose

$$\sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} f = \sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} \left( I - P_{j,k} \right) \left( I - \mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k} \right) f + \sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} P_{j,k} f + \sum_{0 \le j \le k \le K} T_{j,k} \mathfrak{Q}'_{j,k} \left( I - P_{j,k} \right) f.$$

Now, proceeding as in [1], the theorem follows from Proposition 2.3, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 and the remarks in [8, p. 85] concerning the multiparameter maximal function.  $\Box$ 

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. CHRIST, Endpoint bounds for singular fractional integral operators, UCLA, preprint, (1988).
- [2] E. FERREYRA, T. GODOY AND M. URCIUOLO, Endpoint bounds for convolution operators with singular measures, *Coll. Math.*, **76**(1) (1998), 35–47.
- [3] E. FERREYRA, T. GODOY AND M. URCIUOLO, The type set for homogeneous singular measures on  $R^3$  of polynomial type, to appear in *Coll. Math.*
- [4] I. IKROMOV, M. KEMPE AND D. MÜLLER, Damped oscillatory integrals and boundedness of maximal operators associated to mixed homogeneous hypersurfaces, *Duke Math. J.*, 126(3) (2005), 471–490.
- [5] A. IOSEVICH AND E. SAWYER, Sharp  $L^p L^q$  estimates for a class of averaging operators, Annales de l'institut Fourier, **46**(5) (1996), 1359–1384.
- [6] F. RICCI AND E.M. STEIN, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. III. Fractional integration along manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **86** (1989), 360–389.
- [7] E.M. STEIN, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press (1970).
- [8] E.M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis. Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals,* Princeton University Press (1993).