



# On an Arithmetic Convolution

Jitender Singh

Department of Mathematics  
Guru Nanak Dev University  
Amritsar-143005  
Punjab  
INDIA

[sonumaths@gmail.com](mailto:sonumaths@gmail.com)

## Abstract

The Cauchy-type product of two arithmetic functions  $f$  and  $g$  on nonnegative integers is defined by  $(f \bullet g)(k) := \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} f(m)g(k-m)$ . We explore some algebraic properties of the aforementioned convolution, which is a fundamental characteristic of the identities involving the Bernoulli numbers, the Bernoulli polynomials, the power sums, the sums of products, and so forth.

## 1 Introduction

An arithmetic function is a map  $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  be a binary operation satisfying the following properties:

- (1) the binary operation  $\psi$  is associative and commutative;
- (2) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \psi(x, y) = n, n \geq 0\}$  is finite; and
- (3) either  $\psi(x, 0) = n$  implies  $x = n$  or  $\psi(x, 1) = n$  implies  $x = n$ .

For a given  $\psi$ , as defined above, a  $\psi$ -convolution on the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of all arithmetic functions is a binary operation  $*_{\psi} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , such that  $(f *_{\psi} g)(k) = \sum_{\psi(k_1, k_2) = k} f(k_1)g(k_2)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ .

The Cauchy product with  $\psi(k_1, k_2) = k_1 + k_2$ , the Dirichlet product with  $\psi(k_1, k_2) := k_1 k_2$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , the natural product with

$$\psi(k_1, k_2) = \begin{cases} k_1, & \text{if } k_1 = k_2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the lcm product with  $\psi(k_1, k_2) = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2)$  are some known  $\psi$ -convolutions. For other convolutions, the reader may refer to Lehmer [1], Subbarao [2], McCarthy [3], and references cited therein.

The purpose of the present study is to explore some algebraic properties of the weighted Cauchy product on  $\mathcal{S}$  defined as follows:

$$(f \bullet g)(k) := \sum_{\psi(k_1, k_2)=k} \binom{k_1 + k_2}{k_1} f(k_1)g(k_2), \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi(k_1, k_2) = k_1 + k_2$ . With the usual addition,  $(f + g)(k) = f(k) + g(k)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ , the triple  $(\mathcal{S}, +, \bullet)$  is a commutative ring with one. The convolution  $\bullet$ , also known as binomial convolution, was used by Haukkanen [4] to discuss the roots of arithmetic functions. The convolution, however, has largely remained unnoticed. The term “binomial convolution” is also used to denote another convolution in the modern literature [5], so, in the sequel, we will call the aforementioned convolution  $\bullet$ , *the Cauchy-type product*. Recently, Gould and Quaintance [6] have used the term binomial transform for the Cauchy-type product.

Consider the set  $\mathcal{A} := \{f \in \mathcal{S} \mid f(0) \neq 0\}$  with the Cauchy-type product as binary operation. Then the set  $\mathcal{A}$  with the identity  $e$  defined by

$$e(k) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and, the inverse  $f^{-1} = g$  defined inductively by

$$g(0) = \frac{1}{f(0)}; \quad g(k) = -\frac{1}{f(0)} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} f(m)g(k-m), \quad (2)$$

is an abelian group as  $\mathcal{A}$  does under the well-known Cauchy product. It also follows from (2) that  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is invertible if and only if  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  serves as the group of units in the ring  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $I, \nu \in \mathcal{A}$  be the arithmetic functions such that  $I(k) = 1$  and  $\nu(k) = (-1)^k$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Note that  $I \bullet \nu = e$ , which gives  $I^{-1} = \nu$ . The following easy result shows that, in the Cauchy-type product, the arithmetic function  $\nu$  plays a role analogous to that of the Möbius function.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $F(k) := \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} f(m)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $f(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} F(m)(-1)^{k-m}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that  $F = f \bullet I$  and  $I \bullet \nu = e$ . □

Rest of the paper is organized as follows. The identities concerning the Bernoulli numbers, the Bernoulli polynomials, and the power sums are discussed via the Cauchy-type product in Section 2. In the same Section, some interesting properties of the arithmetic functions, which obey symmetric identities are also derived. It will become apparent that the identities are a consequence of the Cauchy-type product. Algebraic properties of the Cauchy-type product are obtained in Section 3. In Section 4, some identities involving both the Dirichlet product and the Cauchy-type product are discussed.

## 2 Motivation

The Cauchy-type product is inherent in many identities involving binomial coefficients. For example, it appears in the following fundamental identity of the Bernoulli numbers

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \frac{B_m}{k+1-m} = e(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3)$$

where the  $k$ th Bernoulli number is denoted by  $B_k$ . The left side of (3) is the Cauchy-type product  $\mathcal{B} \bullet \xi_1$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(k) := B_k$  and  $\xi_1(k) := \frac{1}{k+1}$ . Thus (3) is equivalent to  $\mathcal{B} = \xi_1^{-1}$ .

A relatively simple identification is the binomial theorem,  $\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} x^m = (\epsilon_x \bullet I)(k)$ , where  $\epsilon_0 := e$  and  $\epsilon_1 = I$ , so that  $\epsilon_x(0) = 1$  and  $\epsilon_x(k) := x^k$  for  $k > 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\epsilon_x \in \mathcal{A}$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , where

$$\epsilon_x^{-1}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ (-x)^k, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Further, the  $k$ th Bernoulli polynomial  $\mathcal{B}_x(k)$  is defined by the generating function  $\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_x(k) \frac{t^k}{k!}$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We then have  $\mathcal{B}(k) = \mathcal{B}_0(k)$ . Among many other properties of  $\mathcal{B}_x$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we are interested in the one, which is given by  $\mathcal{B}_x(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} x^m \mathcal{B}(k-m)$  or  $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_x$ . This identification leads to the identities,  $\mathcal{B}_{x+y} = \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_{x+y} = (\mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_x) \bullet \epsilon_y = \mathcal{B}_x \bullet \epsilon_y$ ;  $\mathcal{B}_{1-x} = \nu \mathcal{B}_x$ . Also, by inversion,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x \bullet \epsilon_x^{-1}$ , which gives

$$\mathcal{B}(0) = \mathcal{B}_x(0); \quad \mathcal{B}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \mathcal{B}_x(k-m) (-x)^m, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we take  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ , in  $\mathcal{B}_{1-x} = \nu \mathcal{B}_x$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x \bullet \epsilon_{-x}$  respectively, we find that  $I \bullet \mathcal{B} = \nu \mathcal{B}$ .

The inverse of  $\mathcal{B}_x$  with respect to the Cauchy-type product is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{-1} = \xi_1 \bullet \epsilon_{-x} = \xi_1(\xi_{-x+1,1} - \xi_{-x,1}), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\xi_{x,m}(k) := \begin{cases} \frac{x^{k+m}}{k+m}, & \text{if } x \neq 0; \\ e(k), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $\xi_{0,m} := e$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . By applying the transformation  $x \rightarrow -x$  in (5), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_{-x}^{-1}(k) = \xi_1(k)(\xi_{x+1,1}(k) - \xi_{x,1}(k)) = \frac{(1+x)^{k+1} - x^{k+1}}{k+1}. \quad (6)$$

It can be easily verified that  $\xi_1^2(k) = 2 \frac{2^{k+1} - 1}{(k+1)(k+2)}$ . Therefore, now (5) implies

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{-2}(k) = -2 \frac{(1-2x)^{k+2} - 2^{k+1}(1-x)^{k+2} - 2^{k+1}(-x)^{k+2}}{(k+1)(k+2)}, \quad (7)$$

and the process inductively leads to the following.

**Theorem 3.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{-n}(k) = \frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j} (j-nx)^{k+n}. \quad (8)$$

In addition,  $\mathcal{B}_{1-x}^{-n}(k) = (-1)^k \mathcal{B}_x^{-n}(k)$  and  $\frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{B}_x^{-n}(k) = -nk \mathcal{B}_x^{-n}(k-1)$ .

By Theorem 3, one obtains a generalization of (3) as

$$n! \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_x^n(k-m)}{(k-m)!} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} (j-nx)^{m+n}}{(n-j)!} \frac{1}{(m+n)!} \frac{1}{j!} = e(k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (9)$$

which is precisely (3) for  $n = 1$ .

It is interesting to note the following two identities obtainable from (9), for  $k = 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-j} (j-nx)^n}{(n-j)! j!} = 1, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-j} (j-nx)^{n-\alpha}}{(n-j)! j!} = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \geq \alpha, \quad x \neq \frac{1}{i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (11)$$

## 2.1 Power sum identities

The ring structure on  $\mathcal{S}$  is helpful in computing many other identities. For example, from (6) one has

$$\left( \xi_1 \bullet \sum_{x=1}^n \epsilon_x \right) (k) = \sum_{x=1}^n (\xi_1 \bullet \epsilon_x)(k) = \sum_{x=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{-x}^{-1}(k) = \frac{(n+1)^{k+1} - 1}{k+1},$$

which allows the classical Faulhaber formula for the power sum in the form below (see Singh [7])

$$\sum_{x=1}^n \epsilon_x(k) = \sum_{x=1}^n (\xi_1^{-1} \bullet \mathcal{B}_{-x}^{-1})(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} \mathcal{B}(m) \frac{(n+1)^{k+1-m} - 1}{k+1-m}. \quad (12)$$

Now, if  $\mathcal{S}_n(k)$  denotes the power sum  $\sum_{x=1}^n \epsilon_x(k)$ , then (12) can be used to represent the Faulhaber power sum by  $\mathcal{S}_x := \mathcal{B} \bullet \xi_{x+1,1} - e$ . We also have  $\mathcal{B}_{x+1} - \mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_{x+1} - \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_x = \mathcal{B} \bullet (\epsilon_{x+1} - \epsilon_x)$  using which the power sum can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}_x(k) = (\mathcal{B} \bullet (\xi_{x+1,1} - \xi_{1,1}))(k) = \xi_1(k) (\mathcal{B} \bullet (\epsilon_{x+1} - \epsilon_1))(k+1) = \xi_1(k) (\mathcal{B}_{x+1} - \mathcal{B}_1)(k+1). \quad (13)$$

## 2.2 Symmetric identities

The Bernoulli numbers are also known to satisfy many symmetric identities. For given  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies the following identity attributed to Carlitz [8]

$$(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{B}(m+i) = (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \mathcal{B}(n+i). \quad (14)$$

More generally, if  $F := I \bullet f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then (see Gould and Quaintance [6])

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} f(m+i) = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (-1)^{m-i} F(n+i) \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (15)$$

Letting  $f = \mathcal{B}$  in (15) recovers (14). The identity (15) motivates the following.

**Definition 4.** For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $\psi_m : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ . Define  $\psi_m$ -product of  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  to be the map  $\otimes_{\psi_m} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , such that  $(f \otimes_{\psi_m} g)(n) := ((f \circ \psi_m) \bullet (g \circ \psi_m))(n)$ , where  $\circ$  denotes the composition of maps.

It follows from the definition that the binary operation  $\otimes_{\psi_m}$  is commutative and associative, for each fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Also, if  $\psi_m$  is the identity map of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\otimes_{\psi_m}$  coincides with the Cauchy-type product.

Note that the identity (15) can be rewritten as

$$(I \otimes_{\psi_m} f)(n) = (-1)^n (\nu \otimes_{\psi_n} F)(m), \quad (16)$$

where  $\psi_m(n) := n + m$ .

The next result tells us that the Bernoulli numbers are not the only ones, which satisfy the symmetric identity (14).

**Theorem 5.** For nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(-1)^n (I \otimes_{\psi_m} f)(n) = (-1)^m (I \otimes_{\psi_n} f)(m) \Leftrightarrow I \bullet f = \nu f, \quad (17)$$

where  $\psi_m(n) = m + n$ . Furthermore, any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying (17) is determined by its image  $f(2\mathbb{N}_0)$ , i.e.,

$$f(2k+1) = - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{2k+1}{2i+1} \mathcal{E}_1(2i+1) f(2(k-i)), \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_1(2i+1)$  denotes the  $(2i+1)$ th Euler polynomial evaluated at 1.

*Proof.* We take  $I \bullet f = \nu f$  in (15) to obtain the symmetric identity in (17).

To prove the converse part, we put  $m = 0$  in (17).

For the remaining part, note that the Euler polynomials are defined via the generating function  $\frac{e^{2xt}}{e^t+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k(x) \frac{x^k}{k!}$ , where the  $k$ th Euler polynomial is denoted by  $E_k(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_x \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\mathcal{E}_x(k) := E_k(x)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_1$  satisfies

$$\nu \bullet \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_1 = 2e, \quad (19)$$

since  $\frac{2e^t}{e^t+1} + e^{-t} \frac{2e^t}{e^t+1} = 2$ .

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies (17). Multiplying (19) by  $I \bullet f$  and using  $(I \bullet f) = \nu f$ , we get

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \bullet \frac{(\nu f + f)}{2} = \nu f, \quad (20)$$

from which (18) follows.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* Any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying (17) also satisfies

$$f(2k) = - \frac{2}{2k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{2k+1}{2i+1} \mathcal{B}(2(k-i)) f(2i+1), \quad k > 0, \quad (21)$$

but (21) is not sufficient to determine  $f$ .

If some  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  does not satisfy the symmetric identity (17), we may define the deviation  $\Delta_f \in \mathcal{S}$  of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\Delta_f := I \bullet f - \nu f. \quad (22)$$

Using this in (16), we find that

$$(-1)^n (I \otimes_{\psi_m} f)(n) - (-1)^m (I \otimes_{\psi_n} f)(m) = (\nu \oplus_{\psi_n} \Delta_f)(m). \quad (23)$$

**Example 7.** The arithmetic function  $\mathcal{E}_1$  satisfies  $\nu \bullet \mathcal{E}_1 = \nu \mathcal{E}_1$  which, together with (19), gives  $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}_1} = 2(I - e)$ . Therefore,  $(\nu \oplus_{\psi_n} \Delta_{\mathcal{E}_1})(m) = -2\nu(m)e(n)$ . Now from (23), one has the following identity

$$(-1)^n (I \otimes_{\psi_m} \mathcal{E}_1)(n) - (-1)^m (I \otimes_{\psi_n} \mathcal{E}_1)(m) = 2(\nu(n)e(m) - \nu(m)e(n)), \quad (24)$$

which, in particular, gives the symmetric identity

$$(-1)^n (I \otimes_{\psi_m} \mathcal{E}_1)(n) = (-1)^m (I \otimes_{\psi_n} \mathcal{E}_1)(m), \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 8.** For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_{a+c} = \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_{b+c}. \quad (25)$$

Further, if  $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{S}$  is such that  $(n+1)\sigma_x(n) := (\mathcal{B}_{x+1} - \mathcal{B})(n+1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

$$b(\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_b \sigma_{a+c-1}) = a(\epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_a \sigma_{b+c-1}). \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathcal{B}_{x+c} = \epsilon_x \bullet \mathcal{B}_c$  and  $\epsilon_x(\epsilon_y f \bullet \epsilon_z g) = \epsilon_{xy} f \bullet \epsilon_{xz} g$ , for  $x, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore,  $\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_{a+c} = \epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_{ab}$ . Since the right-side is symmetric in  $a$  and  $b$ , this proves (25).

For proof of the second part, we use  $\mathcal{B}_x(n) = n\sigma_{x-1}(n-1) + \mathcal{B}(n)$  for  $x = a+c, b+c$  in (25) to obtain

$$nb(\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_b \sigma_{a+c-1})(n-1) + (\epsilon_a \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_b \mathcal{B})(n) = na(\epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c \bullet \epsilon_a \sigma_{b+c-1})(n-1) + (\epsilon_b \mathcal{B} \bullet \epsilon_a \mathcal{B})(n)$$

from which (26) follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $b^n - a^n + c(b^{n-1} - a^{n-1}) \neq 0$ . Then the  $n$ th Bernoulli polynomial satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}_c(n) = \frac{1}{b^{n-1}(b+c) - a^{n-1}(a+c)} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{B}_c(n-i) \left( a^{n-1-i} b^i \sigma_{a+c-1}(i) - a^i b^{n-1-i} \sigma_{b+c-1}(i) \right) \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* It is a consequence of (26).  $\square$

Note that (27) is the Tuentner's identity [9] for  $c = 0$ . Taking  $c = 1$  in (27), gives a class of formulas for the Bernoulli numbers as follows

$$\mathcal{B}(n) = \frac{1}{b^{n-1}(b+1) - a^{n-1}(a+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{B}(n-i) (-1)^i \left( a^{n-1-i} b^i \sigma_a(i) - a^i b^{n-1-i} \sigma_b(i) \right). \quad (28)$$

**Corollary 10.** The higher order Bernoulli polynomials and the power sums satisfy

$$\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c^r \bullet \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_{a+c}^r = \epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c^r \bullet \epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_{b+c}^r; \quad b^r (\epsilon_a \mathcal{B}_c^r \bullet \epsilon_b \sigma_{a+c-1}^r) = a^r (\epsilon_b \mathcal{B}_c^r \bullet \epsilon_a \sigma_{b+c-1}^r), \quad (29)$$

for each positive integer  $r$ , and  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $(\epsilon_x f)^r = \epsilon_x f^r$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now the proof follows from (25).  $\square$

Some of the symmetric-identities are a consequence of the following simple result.

**Proposition 11.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $F = I \bullet f$ . Then, for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}$

$$f \bullet g_1 = F \bullet g_2 \Leftrightarrow g_1 = I \bullet g_2. \quad (30)$$

*Proof.* It follows from  $f \bullet g_1 = f \bullet I \bullet g_2$ . □

Note that, if  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F = I \bullet f$  and  $G = I \bullet g$ , then  $f \bullet G = g \bullet F$ .

**Example 12.** Take  $g_1(s) = \frac{1}{(m+n+s+1)\binom{m+n+s}{m}}$  and  $g_2(s) = \frac{(-1)^s}{(m+n+s+1)\binom{m+n+s}{n}}$ . Then  $g_1, g_2$  satisfy (30). Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^s \frac{\binom{s}{i} f(i)}{(m+n+s-i+1)\binom{m+n+s-i}{m}} = \sum_{i=0}^s \frac{\binom{s}{i} (-1)^{s-i} F(i)}{(m+n+s-i+1)\binom{m+n+s-i}{n}}, \quad (31)$$

which is the identity of Gould and Quaintance [6].

Similarly, taking  $(g_1, g_2) = (\nu\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$  in (30) gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} (-1)^{s-i} \mathcal{B}(s-i) f(i) = \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \mathcal{B}(s-i) F(i). \quad (32)$$

It can be easily checked that the pair  $(g_1, g_2) = (\nu\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$  also satisfies (30).

Moreover, if  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\nu g = \nu \bullet g$ , then the pair  $(g_1, g_2) = (\nu g, g)$  satisfies (30).

From the above discussion, one notices that the Cauchy-type product is involved in many identities satisfied by the Faulhaber polynomials, the Bernoulli numbers, the higher order Bernoulli numbers, the Bernoulli polynomials, etc. This motivates us to explore some algebraic properties of the Cauchy-type product, which is useful in understanding the interplay between the identities. The Cauchy-type product on the arithmetic functions is essentially the same as the ordinary product on their respective generating functions. However, sometimes as we have seen earlier, it is advantageous to work with the algebraic properties of the Cauchy-type product in comparison to the usual product of the generating functions.

### 3 Algebraic characterization

The set  $\mathcal{D}$  of all  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $f(1) \neq 0$ , has an abelian group structure with respect to the Dirichlet multiplication  $*$  defined by

$$(f * g)(k) = \sum_{d|k} f(d)g\left(\frac{k}{d}\right).$$

The pair  $(\mathcal{D}, *)$  is a torsion-free group. So is the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$ , as proved below.

**Proposition 13.** The group  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$  is torsion-free.



*Proof.* If possible, suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f \neq e$  and  $f^s = e$ , for some positive integer  $s > 1$ . Then  $f^s(0) = 1$  and  $f^s(k) = 0$  for all  $k > 0$ . To arrive at contradiction, we use induction on  $k$  and show that  $f(k) = 0$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e.,  $s = 1$ .

Consider  $f^s(1) = 0$ , which gives  $\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^s k_i=1} \binom{1}{k_1, \dots, k_s} f(k_1) \cdots f(k_s) = sf(1)f(0)^{s-1} = 0$  or  $f(1) = 0$  since  $s > 0$  and  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Now suppose that  $f(i) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and consider  $f^s(k+1) = 0$ , which gives  $\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^s k_i=k+1} \binom{k+1}{k_1, \dots, k_s} f(k_1) \cdots f(k_s) = 0$  where the former expression survives only when none of the  $k_j$ 's takes value from the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  in accordance with the induction hypothesis. Therefore,  $sf(k+1)f(0)^{s-1} = 0$  or  $f(k+1) = 0$  thus proving the final step of induction. This shows that  $f(k) = f(0)e(k)$ . Also,  $f^s(0) = f(0)^s e(0) = 1$ , or  $f(0) = 1$ . It follows that  $f = e$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The Cauchy product and the Cauchy-type product on the set  $\mathcal{A}$  give rise to the same group structure up to isomorphism.

**Theorem 14.**  $(\mathcal{A}, \circ) \cong (\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\xi(k) = k!$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Note that  $\binom{k}{m} = \frac{\xi(k)}{\xi(m)\xi(k-m)}$ . Recall that an ordinary product of two arithmetic functions  $f$  and  $g$  is the arithmetic function  $fg$  defined by  $(fg)(k) = f(k)g(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Now for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $fg \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $(fg)(0) = f(0)g(0) \neq 0$ . With these, consider for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\xi f \bullet \xi g)}{\xi}(k) &= \frac{1}{\xi(k)} \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{\xi(k)}{\xi(m)\xi(k-m)} (\xi f)(m) (\xi g)(k-m) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{1}{\xi(m)\xi(k-m)} \xi(m) f(m) \xi(k-m) g(k-m) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k f(m) g(k-m) = (f \circ g)(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Similarly, the reverse identity  $\xi \left( \frac{f}{\xi} \circ \frac{g}{\xi} \right) = f \bullet g$  also holds. This association defines a bijection  $\Phi_\xi : (\mathcal{A}, \circ) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$  sending  $f \mapsto \xi f$  s.t.  $\Phi_\xi(f \circ g) = \xi(f \circ g) = (\xi f) \bullet (\xi g) = \Phi_\xi(f) \bullet \Phi_\xi(g)$ . Thus  $\Phi_\xi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

*Remark 15.* The proof of the preceding theorem works even when the Cauchy product  $\circ$  is replaced by any other product  $\circ_1$ , for which there is a  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that (33) holds, for  $\circ$  replaced by  $\circ_1$ , and  $\xi$  replaced by  $\theta$ .

In a similar way,  $(\mathcal{D}, *) \cong (\mathcal{D}, \star)$  where  $\star$  is defined by

$$(f \star g)(k) = \sum_{d|k} \frac{\gamma(k)}{\gamma(d)\gamma(\frac{k}{d})} f(d)g\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) = \left( \gamma \left\{ \frac{f}{\gamma} \star \frac{g}{\gamma} \right\} \right)(k), \quad (34)$$

for some  $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Example 16.** If  $k = \prod_{p|k} p^{\alpha_p(k)}$  is the prime power decomposition of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (34) is the binomial convolution for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\gamma(\prod_{p|k} p^{\alpha_p(k)}) = \prod_{p|k} \alpha_p(k)!$ , where we note that  $\alpha_p(\frac{k}{d}) = \alpha_p(k) - \alpha_p(d)$ .

The set of all completely multiplicative functions forms a subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}$  with the binomial convolution, which is not the case under Dirichlet convolution. For an account of the binomial convolution, we recommend the recent work of Tóth and Haukkanen [5].

Dirichlet multiplication is a powerful tool in the multiplicative number theory. Let  $U_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{D} \mid f(1) = 1\}$ ,  $C_1 := \{ce \mid c \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  $U_M$  is the subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}$  which consists of all multiplicative functions, and  $U_A$  is the subgroup consisting of all anti-multiplicative functions. Then  $\mathcal{D} = U_M \oplus U_A \oplus C_1$ ,  $U_1 = U_M \oplus U_A$  (see Denlay [10]). We will prove an analogue of the aforementioned direct-sum decomposition for  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$ .

**Proposition 17.** *Following four sets are subgroups of  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$*

1.  $U := \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(0) = 1\}$
2.  $C := \{f(0)e \mid f \in \mathcal{A}\}$
3.  $V := \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(k_1 + k_2) = f(k_1)f(k_2)\}$  for all  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$
4.  $W := \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the subgroup criterion. □

Observe that the set  $V$ , as defined above, is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the Cauchy-type product which is not the case in the usual Cauchy product. The subgroups  $V$  and  $W$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are analogous to the subgroups  $U_M$  and  $U_A$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 18.** *The group  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$  has a direct sum decomposition given by  $\mathcal{A} = U \oplus C$  where  $U = V \oplus W$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $g = \frac{f}{f(0)} \in U$ , where  $g(k) = \frac{f(k)}{f(0)}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $(\frac{f}{f(0)} \bullet f(0)e)(0) = f(0)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and,  $(\frac{f}{f(0)} \bullet f(0)e)(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{f(m)}{f(0)} f(0)e(k-m) = f(k)e(0) = f(k)$  for all  $k > 0$ . Thus  $f = \frac{f}{f(0)} \bullet f(0)e$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . So,  $\mathcal{A} = U \oplus C$  since  $U \cap C = \{e\}$ .

For the remaining part, observe that  $e \in V$  so  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover  $f(k) = f(1)^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  so that  $f$  is completely determined by its value at 1. Therefore  $f(k) = 0$  if and only if  $f(1) = 0$  if and only if  $f = e$ . Now for any  $f, g \in V$ ,  $f(0) = 1 = g(0)$  and  $f \bullet g^{-1} = \epsilon_{f(1) + \frac{1}{g(1)}} \in V$ ; therefore  $V \leq U$ . Similarly,  $W \neq \emptyset$  as  $e \in W$ . Also, for  $f, g \in W$ ,  $(f \bullet g^{-1})(0) = f(0)g^{-1}(0) = 1$  and  $(f \bullet g^{-1})(1) = f(1) + g^{-1}(1) = -\frac{g(1)}{g(0)} = 0$ . Thus  $f \bullet g^{-1} \in W$ . This verifies that  $W \leq U$ . Now for any  $f \in U$  if  $f(1) \neq 0$  then  $f = f_1 \bullet f_2 \in V \oplus W$  where  $f_1(k) = (-f(1))^k$  and  $f_2(k) = (\epsilon_{f(1)} \bullet f)(k)$ . Clearly  $f_1 \in V$  and,  $f_2(1) = f(1) + (-f(1))^1 f(0) = 0$ ,  $f_2(0) = f(0) = 1$ . Therefore  $f_2 \in W$ . On the other hand if  $f(1) = 0$  then  $f = e \bullet f \in V \oplus W$ . So,  $U = V \oplus W$ . □

### 3.1 Vector space structure

Since  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$  is torsion-free, it can be regarded as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the scalar multiplication  $\cdot : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$\frac{p}{q} \cdot f := f^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

where  $f^{\frac{p}{q}} := g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $g^q = f^p$  with the obvious notation  $f^0 = f$ ;  $f^{-k} := (f^k)^{-1}$  and  $f^k = f \bullet \dots \bullet f$  ( $k$ -times) for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such a  $g$  is unique for a given  $f$ . To see this, if  $h^q = g^q$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $(h \bullet g^{-1})^q = e$  which gives  $g = h$  since otherwise  $h \bullet g^{-1}$  will be a non identity element of finite order in  $\mathcal{A}$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is torsion-free. This observation allows us to calculate for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $m$ th root of any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , i.e., an arithmetic function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $g^m = f$ , which can be computed inductively via the following:

$$g(0) = f(0)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad g(1) = \frac{f(1)}{mg(0)^{m-1}},$$

$$g(k) = \frac{1}{mg(0)^{m-1}} \left\{ f(k) - \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i = k, k_i < k} \binom{k}{k_1, \dots, k_m} g(k_1) \cdots g(k_m) \right\}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (35)$$

**Example 19.** If we take  $f = \epsilon_x$  and  $m = 2$  then  $g = \epsilon_{-x}^{1/2}$  can be calculated using the preceding formula (35) as follows

$$g(0) = 1; \quad g(1) = -\frac{x}{2}; \quad g(2) = \frac{1}{2}(f(2) - 2g(1)^2) = \frac{x^2}{4},$$

$$g(3) = \frac{1}{2}(-x^3 - 6g(1)g(2)) = -\frac{x^3}{8}; \quad g(4) = \frac{x^4}{4}$$

and inductively leads to  $\epsilon_{-x}^{1/2} = \epsilon_{-x/2}$ . More generally, for any rational  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , one has  $\epsilon_x^r = \epsilon_{rx}$ .

Since each  $f \in V$  is of the form  $f = \epsilon_{f(1)}$ , it follows that  $V$  is a subspace of  $U$ . It is also clear that, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in W$ , the  $m$ th root of  $f$  is in  $W$ . Therefore,  $f^r \in W$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $W$  is also a subspace of  $U$ . Note that a nontrivial subgroup of a torsion-free group is torsion-free; therefore, each of the nontrivial subgroups of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be regarded as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Also, it is not hard to see that, for a Hamel-basis  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Q})$ , the collection of arithmetic functions  $\mathcal{B}_V := \{\epsilon_x \mid x \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a Hamel-basis for the vector space  $V(\mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore,  $V(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong U/W$ . On these lines, it will be interesting to obtain an appropriate Hamel-basis for the rational vector space  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Table 1 gives the  $m$ th roots of the Bernoulli numbers as evaluated using (35), for some values of  $m$  and  $k$ . The numerator and the denominator of  $\mathcal{B}(k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , correspond

Table 1: Some roots of the Bernoulli numbers in  $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet)$

$k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}(k)$	1	$-\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{48}$	$\frac{1}{64}$	$-\frac{3}{1280}$	$-\frac{19}{3072}$	$\frac{79}{86016}$	$\frac{275}{49152}$	$-\frac{2339}{2949120}$
$\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{3}}(k)$	1	$-\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{54}$	$\frac{7}{324}$	$\frac{2}{3645}$	$-\frac{197}{13122}$	$-\frac{683}{61236}$	$\frac{1009}{59049}$	$\frac{261203}{5314410}$
$\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$	1	$-\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{7}{384}$	$\frac{39}{2048}$	$-\frac{2311}{491520}$	$-\frac{9471}{524288}$	$\frac{254713}{176160768}$	$\frac{16744565}{402653184}$	$\frac{1127877731}{96636764160}$
$\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{5}}(k)$	1	$-\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{13}{750}$	$\frac{97}{6250}$	$-\frac{237}{31250}$	$-\frac{69061}{4687500}$	$\frac{9768883}{820312500}$	$\frac{99676471}{2929687500}$	$-\frac{827331922}{18310546875}$

to the sequences A241885 and A242225, respectively, in the *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* [11].

The sequence  $\mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(k)$ ,  $q \neq 0$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  is determined by the generating function

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(k) \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad (36)$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(k)$  is the  $k$ th Nörlund polynomial (see Liu and Srivastava [12]) in  $\frac{p}{q}$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(0) &= 1; \quad \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(1) = -\frac{p}{2q}; \quad \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(2) = \frac{p(3p - q)}{12q^2}, \\ \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(3) &= -\frac{p^2(p - q)}{8q^3}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{\frac{p}{q}}(4) = \frac{p(15p^3 - 30p^2q + 5pq^2 + 2q^3)}{240q^4}, \end{aligned}$$

etc.

## 4 Mixed products

Singh [13] introduced the Möbius-Bernoulli polynomials via the following:

$$M_k(x, n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{\frac{x}{d}}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the  $k$ th Möbius-Bernoulli polynomial is denoted by  $M_k(x, n)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_{x,n} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{x,n}(k) := M_k(x, n)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n}(k) = \mathcal{B}(k) \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{k-1} = \mathcal{B}(k) (\mu * N_{k-1})(n)$$

where and  $N_k(n) := \epsilon_n(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $N_{-1}(n) := \frac{1}{n}$ . The  $k$ th Möbius-Bernoulli number is defined as  $M_k(n) = M_{0,n}(k)$ . Now the following remarkable identity holds

$$\sum_{i=1; \gcd(i,n)=1}^n i^k = \xi_1(k)(\mathcal{M}_{0,n} \bullet (\epsilon_{n+1} - \epsilon_1))(k) = (\mu N_{k-1} * S_{k,x})(n) \quad (37)$$

where  $S_{k,x}(n) := \mathcal{S}_{x/n}(k)$ . Note that the identity (37) involves both the Dirichlet convolution and the Cauchy-type product. It may be useful to explore the identities expressing an interaction between the Dirichlet product and the Cauchy-type product.

## 5 Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to the unknown referees and my colleagues Parminder Singh and Harpreet Kaur for their helpful suggestions in the linguistic improvement of the paper.

## References

- [1] D. H. Lehmer, Arithmetic of double series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **33** (1938), 945–957.
- [2] M. V. Subbarao, On some arithmetic convolutions, in *The Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. **251**, Springer, 1972, pp. 247–271.
- [3] P. J. McCarthy, *Introduction to Arithmetic Functions*, Springer, 1986.
- [4] P. Haukkanen, Roots of sequences under convolutions, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **32** (1994), 369–372.
- [5] L. Tóth and P. Haukkanen, On binomial convolution of arithmetic functions, *J. Comb. Number Theory*, **1** (2009), 31–47.
- [6] H. W. Gould and J. Quaintance, Bernoulli numbers and a new binomial transform identity, *J. Integer Seq.*, **17** (2014), Article 14.2.2.
- [7] J. Singh, Defining power sums of  $n$  and  $\varphi(n)$  integers, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **5** (2009), 41–53.
- [8] L. Carlitz, Problem 795, *Math. Mag.*, **44** (1971), 107.
- [9] H. J. H. Tuentler, A symmetry of power sum polynomials and Bernoulli numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **108** (2001), 258–261.
- [10] J. E. Denlay, Groups of arithmetic functions, *Math. Mag.*, **78** (2005), 83–97.

- [11] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://oeis.org>.
- [12] G. D. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Explicit formulas for the Nörlund polynomials  $B_n^{(x)}$  and  $b_n^{(x)}$ , *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **51** (2006), 1377–1384.
- [13] J. Singh, Sums of products involving power sums of  $\varphi(n)$  integers, *J. Numbers*, (2014), Article ID 158351.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A25; Secondary 11B68, 05A10, 11B65.  
*Keywords*: Cauchy product, Cauchy-type product, Dirichlet convolution, arithmetic function, Bernoulli number, torsion-free group, Bernoulli polynomial, power sum.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A027641](#), [A027642](#), [A116419](#), [A116420](#), [A241885](#), and [A242225](#).)

---

Received April 15 2014; revised version received May 7 2014; May 15 2014. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, May 19 2014.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).