

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 21 (2018), Article 18.6.2

# A Proof of the Lucas-Lehmer Test and its Variations by Using a Singular Cubic Curve

Ömer Küçüksakallı Mathematics Department Middle East Technical University 06800 Ankara Turkey komer@metu.edu.tr

#### Abstract

We give another proof of the Lucas-Lehmer test by using a singular cubic curve. We also illustrate a practical way to choose a starting term for the Lucas-Lehmer-Riesel test by trial and error. Moreover, we provide a nondeterministic test for determining the primality of integers of the form  $N = hp^n - 1$  for any odd prime p. We achieve these by using the group structure on a singular cubic curve induced from the group law of elliptic curves.

## 1 Introduction

The largest primes known are given by expressions of the type  $N = 2^n - 1$  since there is an efficient, deterministic primality test for such integers.

**Theorem 1** (Lucas-Lehmer). Let  $S_0 = 4$ . If we define  $S_k = S_{k-1}^2 - 2$  for all  $k \ge 1$  recursively, then the integer  $N = 2^n - 1$  is prime if and only if  $S_{n-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ .

There are already several proofs of this fact in the literature [3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12]. In this paper, we give another proof by using a singular cubic curve. Secondly, we illustrate a practical way to choose  $S_0$  by trial and error for the Lucas-Lehmer-Riesel test, which is concerned with the integers of the form  $N = h2^n - 1$ . Finally, we give a nondeterministic test for determining the primality of integers of the form  $N = hp^n - 1$  for an odd prime p.

### 2 Main results

Consider the projective curve

$$C: y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2.$$

Let K be an arbitrary field with  $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2$ . The curve C is a singular cubic curve defined over K that has a node at the origin. There are two distinct tangent lines at the origin, namely y = x and y = -x. The cubic curve C and these tangent lines are illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Cubic curve  $C: y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2$ .

The non-singular part of C with coordinates from K is denoted by  $C_{ns}(K)$ . The group law of elliptic curves makes  $C_{ns}(K)$  into an abelian group. Moreover, we have the following characterization for this group.

**Proposition 2.** The map  $\psi : C_{ns}(K) \to K^*$  given by the formula  $\psi(x, y) = \frac{y-x}{y+x}$  is a group isomorphism.

*Proof.* See [13, Prop. III.2.5] and [13, Exer. 3.5].

There is a connection between the map  $x \mapsto x^2 - 2$  and the duplication map on  $C_{ns}(K)$ . To see this connection, we follow [5] and consider

$$\phi(z) = \frac{e^z}{(1-e^z)^2}$$

and its derivative

$$\phi'(z) = \frac{e^z(e^z+1)}{(1-e^z)^3}.$$

It is easily verified that the cubic curve  $C: y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2$  is parametrized by  $x = \phi(z)$ and  $y = \phi'(z)$ . Note that  $\psi((\phi(z), \phi'(z))) = e^z$  under the isomorphism of Proposition 2. It follows that  $[n](\phi(z), \phi'(z)) = (\phi(nz), \phi'(nz))$  since  $(e^z)^n = e^{nz}$ . The family of Dickson polynomials, denoted  $\mathcal{D}_n(x)$ , is a normalization of Chebyshev polynomials that is used in the theory of finite fields [7]. For each integer n, the polynomial  $\mathcal{D}_n(x)$  is uniquely defined by the equation  $\mathcal{D}_n(y+y^{-1}) = y^n + y^{-n}$  where y is an indeterminate. The first few examples of these polynomials are  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = x, \mathcal{D}_2(x) = x^2 - 2$  and  $\mathcal{D}_3(x) = x^3 - 3x$ . Note that  $\phi(z) = 1/(e^z + e^{-z} - 2)$ . Now, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{D}_n\left(\frac{1}{\phi(z)} + 2\right) = \mathcal{D}_n(e^z + e^{-z}) = e^{nz} + e^{-nz} = \frac{1}{\phi(nz)} + 2.$$

For any integer  $n \ge 1$ , define  $f_n(x) := 1/(\mathcal{D}_n(1/x+2)-2)$ . The rational function  $f_n(x)$  satisfies the functional equation  $f_n(\phi(z)) = \phi(nz)$  by the computation above. Let  $\pi_x$  be the projection to the first coordinate. Set L(x) = 1/x + 2. We write  $\mathbf{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$ . We have the following commutative diagram:

$C_{\rm ns}(K)$	[n]	$\longrightarrow C_{\rm ns}(K)$
$\pi_x \downarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^1(K)$	$f_n$	$\downarrow \pi_x \\ \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(K)$
$\begin{array}{c} L \downarrow \\ \mathbf{P}^1(K) \end{array}$	$\mathcal{D}_n$	$ \downarrow L \\ \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(K) $

For the case n = 2, we have

$$[2](x,y) = \left(\frac{x^2}{4x+1}, \frac{x^3(2x+1)}{y(4x+1)}\right).$$

The rational map  $f_2$  associated with the duplication map on  $C_{\rm ns}(K)$  is given by  $f_2(x) = x^2/(4x+1)$ . Recall that it satisfies the relation  $f_2(x) = 1/(\mathcal{D}_2(1/x+2)-2)$  where  $\mathcal{D}_2(x) = x^2 - 2$ .

There is a unique point of  $C_{ns}(K)$  of order two, namely (-1/4, 0). Note that there are two points of order four, namely (-1/2, i/2) and (-1/2, -i/2). To see this, we can use  $f_4(x) = f_2(f_2(x)) = x^4/((2x+1)^2(4x+1))$ .

The following fact is the key argument to our alternative proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 3.** Let p be an odd prime and let P = (x, y) be a point of  $C_{ns}(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$ , then the order of P, denoted o(P), satisfies the following:

- 1. o(P) divides p-1 if  $y \in \mathbf{F}_p$ , and
- 2. o(P) divides p+1 if  $y \notin \mathbf{F}_p$ .

*Proof.* If both coordinates of P are in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then  $\psi(x, y) = \frac{y-x}{y+x} \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$ . We have  $\psi(x, y)^{p-1} = 1$  and we conclude that o(P) divides p-1 by Proposition 2.

Now suppose that  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$  but  $y \notin \mathbf{F}_p$ . We have  $y^p = -y$  because  $y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2$ . Observe that

$$\psi(x,y)^{p+1} = \left(\frac{y-x}{y+x}\right)^p \left(\frac{y-x}{y+x}\right) = \left(\frac{-y-x}{-y+x}\right) \left(\frac{y-x}{y+x}\right) = 1.$$

We conclude that o(P) divides p + 1 by Proposition 2.

A natural generalization of the Lucas-Lehmer test, namely the Lucas-Lehmer-Riesel test, is concerned with integers of the form  $N = h2^n - 1$  for odd integers h. The recurrence relation is the same for this generalized test. However, the starting value  $S_0$  varies depending on both h and n. Historically, the proof of this theorem was obtained in several steps:

- 1. If h = 1, and if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then pick  $S_0 = 3$ . [8]
- 2. If h = 1, and if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  then choose  $S_0 = 4$ . [6]
- 3. If h = 3, and if  $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ , then choose  $S_0 = 5778$ . [6]
- 4. If  $h \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ , and if  $3 \nmid N$ , then choose  $S_0 = w^h + w^{-h}$  where  $w = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ . [9]
- 5. Otherwise, h is a multiple of 3 and we follow [10] to choose  $S_0$ .

Unfortunately, there may not be any canonical value for  $S_0$  even though the *h* value is fixed [2]. On the other hand, it is easy to choose  $S_0$  by trial and error in practice by using the Jacobi symbol. For this purpose, we give the following method, which is inspired by [12].

**Theorem 4.** Given  $N = h2^n - 1$ , with n > 1, h odd and  $0 < h < 2^{n+1} - 1$ , let D be a positive integer such that the Jacobi symbol satisfies  $\left(\frac{D}{N}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{D-1}{N}\right) = 1$ . Define a sequence by

$$S_0 = \mathcal{D}_h\left(\frac{2(D+1)}{D-1}\right)$$
 and  $S_k = \mathcal{D}_2(S_{k-1})$ 

for  $k \geq 1$ . Then N is prime if and only if N divides  $S_{n-2}$ .

Proof. Suppose that N is prime. Then the Jacobi symbol reduces to the Legendre symbol. If  $t = L^{-1}(\frac{2(D+1)}{D-1}) = (D-1)/4$ , then  $4t + 1 = D \pmod{N}$ . Consider the point  $P = (t, t\sqrt{D}) \in C_{ns}(\mathbf{F}_{N^2})$ . The order of P is a divisor of  $N + 1 = h2^n$  by Lemma 3. We claim that  $P \neq [2]Q$  for any Q = (x, y) with  $x \in \mathbf{F}_N$ . Assume otherwise, i.e.,  $f_2(x) = t$  for some  $x \in \mathbf{F}_N$ . It follows that  $x^2/(4x+1) = x^4/y^2 = (D-1)/4$  and therefore  $y^2 = 4x^4/(D-1)$ . This gives  $y \in \mathbf{F}_N$  because D-1 is a square modulo N. However, this is a contradiction because P = [2]Q implies that P has both coordinates in  $\mathbf{F}_N$ . Thus, the point [h]P has order precisely  $2^n$ . Finally, the point  $[2^{n-2}][h]P$  is of order 4. There are two such points, namely  $(-1/2, \pm i/2)$ . In either case the x-coordinate is -1/2. Thus  $f_{2^{n-2}}(f_h(t)) = -1/2$  and as a result  $\mathcal{D}_{2^{n-2}}(\mathcal{D}_h(s)) = L(-1/2) = 0$ . This finishes the proof of necessity.

Suppose that N is composite. Let p be a prime factor of N with Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = -1$ . In  $C_{\rm ns}(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$ , we have  $[p+1]P = \infty$  by Lemma 3. Therefore  $[p+1][h]P = \infty$  as well. On the

other hand, assume that  $\mathcal{D}_{2^{n-2}}(S_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ . It follows that  $[h2^{n-2}]P = (-1/2, \pm i/2)$ and therefore  $[2^n][h]P = \infty$  in  $C_{ns}(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$ . If the order of [h]P was a proper divisor of  $2^n$ , then the equality  $[2^{n-2}]P = (-1/2, \pm i/2)$  would not hold. We conclude that the order of [h]Pis precisely  $2^n$  and therefore  $2^n$  divides p + 1. Thus  $p + 1 = 2^n k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . From this point on, we follow [12]. We have  $h2^n - 1 = N = (2^n k - 1)\ell$  for some integer  $\ell$ . Reducing everything modulo  $2^n$ , it is easily seen that  $\ell = 2^n m + 1$  for some integer m. Since  $N \neq p$ , it is obvious that  $m \geq 1$ . If k = m = 1, then  $h = 2^n$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $k \geq 2$  or  $m \geq 2$ , and therefore  $h \geq 2^{n+1} - 1$ .

Remark 5. This proof constitutes an alternative proof for the Lucas-Lehmer test if we fix h = 1 and D = 3. In that case  $N = 2^n - 1 \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$  for any integer  $n \geq 3$ . Clearly  $\left(\frac{3}{N}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{2}{N}\right) = 1$ . Moreover  $S_0 = \mathcal{D}_1(4) = 4$ .

We also note that Lehmer's choice  $S_0 = 5778$  for the case h = 3 and  $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ is obtained by choosing D = 5/4. It follows that 2(D+1)/(D-1) = 18 and therefore  $S_0 = \mathcal{D}_3(18) = 5778$ . Another choice could be D = 5, which would give  $S_0 = 18$  according to the above theorem.

Now let us consider Riesel's choice  $S_0 = \mathcal{D}_h(4)$  for the case  $h \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ , and  $3 \nmid N$ . This is obtained by choosing D = 3 in the above theorem. The facts  $\left(\frac{3}{N}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{2}{N}\right) = 1$  for  $N = h2^n - 1$  can be verified easily by using the properties of the Jacobi symbol.

Now we give a test for determining the primality of integers of the form  $N = hp^n - 1$  for an odd prime p. Unlike the previous theorem, it is not deterministic after  $S_0$  is chosen. This theorem is inspired by the results of Williams, which are concerned with the primes p = 3, 5and 7 [14, 15].

**Theorem 6.** Let p be a prime and let  $N = hp^n - 1$  be an odd integer, with n > 1 and gcd(h,p) = 1. Let D be a positive integer such that the Jacobi symbol satisfies  $\left(\frac{D}{N}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{D-1}{N}\right) = 1$ . Define the generalized Lucas sequence by

$$S_0 = \mathcal{D}_h\left(\frac{2(D+1)}{D-1}\right)$$
 and  $S_k = \mathcal{D}_p(S_{k-1})$ 

for  $k \geq 1$ . This sequence has the following properties:

- 1. If  $S_k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{N}$  for all  $k \leq n$ , then N is composite.
- 2. If  $S_k \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$  for some positive minimal integer  $k \leq n$  and  $p^{2k} > N$  then N is prime.

Proof. Suppose that N is prime. As in the proof of the previous theorem, let  $P = (t, t\sqrt{D})$ with  $t = L^{-1}(\frac{2(D+1)}{D-1}) = (D-1)/4$ . The order of  $P \in C_{ns}(\mathbf{F}_{N^2})$  is a divisor of  $N+1 = hp^n$  by Lemma 3. It follows that the order of [h]P is a divisor of  $p^n$ . Then we must have  $[p^k]P = \infty$ for some  $k \leq n$ . This finishes the proof of the first part. Now, suppose that N is composite. Let q be a prime factor of N with the Jacobi symbol  $(\frac{D}{q}) = -1$ . In  $C_{ns}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ , we have  $[q+1]P = \infty$  by Lemma 3. Therefore  $[q+1][h]P = \infty$ , too. On the other hand, assume that  $\mathcal{D}_{p^k}(S_0) \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$  for some minimal positive integer k. It follows that the order of [h]P is  $p^k$ . We conclude that  $p^k$  divides q+1, i.e.,  $q+1 = p^k \ell$  for some integer positive integer  $\ell$ . We have  $hp^n - 1 = N = (p^k \ell - 1)m$  for some integer m. Reducing everything modulo  $p^k$ , it is easily seen that  $m = p^k a + 1$  for some integer a. Since  $N \neq p$ , it is obvious that  $a \geq 1$ . Hence  $\ell \geq 1$  or  $a \geq 1$ , and therefore  $p^{2k} \leq N$ .

We remark that the inequality  $p^{2k} > N$  in the second part of the above theorem can be improved as in [15]. We will leave it as it is for simplicity since this test is far from being deterministic in either case. On the other hand it is a common practice in algorithmic number theory to use a random element of a cyclic group since its order is expected to be large most of the time.

In order to make the above theorem deterministic, after  $S_0$  is chosen, we need to prove that the congruence  $\mathcal{D}_p(x) \equiv S_0 \pmod{N}$  has no solution if N is prime. It would then imply that P has order precisely  $p^n$ . In that case, we could replace the second part of the above theorem as: "Otherwise,  $S_n \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$  and N is prime if  $p^n > h$ ". This would give us a necessary and sufficient test if  $p^n > h$ . More precisely, we would be able to say that  $N = hp^n - 1$  is prime if and only if  $S_n \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$ . This idea has already been accomplished by Berrizbeitia and Berry for p = 3 by using the cubic reciprocity law [1]. We hope that the isomorphism of Proposition 2 together with the higher degree reciprocity laws may shed some light in the future for the cases  $p \geq 5$ .

#### **3** Acknowledgment

The author thanks S. Wong and an anonymous referee for their helpful comments and suggestions to improve this manuscript.

# References

- [1] P. Berrizbeitia and T. G. Berry, Cubic reciprocity and generalised Lucas-Lehmer tests for primality of  $A \cdot 3^n \pm 1$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 1923–1925.
- [2] W. Bosma, Explicit primality criteria for  $h2^k \pm 1$ , Math. Comp. **61** (1993), 97–109.
- [3] J. W. Bruce, A really trivial proof of the Lucas-Lehmer test, Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993), 370–371.
- [4] B. H. Gross, An elliptic curve test for Mersenne primes, J. Number Theory 110 (2005), 114–119.
- [5] O. Küçüksakallı, Value sets of Lattès maps over finite fields, J. Number Theory 143 (2014), 262–278.

- [6] D. H. Lehmer, An extended theory of Lucas' functions, Ann. of Math. (2) 31 (1930), 419–448.
- [7] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 20, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [8] E. Lucas, Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques, Amer. J. Math. 1 (1878), 184–196.
- [9] H. Riesel, A note on the prime numbers of the forms  $N = (6a + 1)2^{2n-1} 1$  and  $M = (6a 1)2^{2n} 1$ , Ark. Mat. **3** (1956), 245–253.
- [10] H. Riesel, Lucasian criteria for the primality of  $N = h \cdot 2^n 1$ , Math. Comp. 23 (1969) 869–875.
- [11] M. I. Rosen, A proof of the Lucas-Lehmer test, Amer. Math. Monthly 95 (1988), 855– 856.
- [12] O. J. Rödseth, A note on primality tests for  $N = h2^n 1$ , BIT **34** (1994), 451–454.
- [13] J. H. Silverman, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 106, Springer, 2009.
- [14] H. C. Williams, The primality of  $N = 2A3^n 1$ , Canad. Math. Bull. 15 (1972), 585–589.
- [15] H. C. Williams, Effective primality tests for some integers of the forms  $A5^n 1$  and  $A7^n 1$ , Math. Comp. 48 (1987), 385–403.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11Y11; Secondary 11G20. Keywords: elliptic curve, Jacobi symbol, Dickson polynomial, Lucas sequence.

Received May 29 2018; revised versions received May 30 2018; July 5 2018. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, July 11 2018.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.