CHOVER-TYPE LAWS OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF NA SEQUENCES

GUANG-HUI CAI, Hangzhou

(Received August 17, 2005)

Abstract. To derive a Baum-Katz type result, a Chover-type law of the iterated logarithm is established for weighted sums of negatively associated (NA) and identically distributed random variables with a distribution in the domain of a stable law in this paper.

Keywords: negatively associated sequence, laws of the iterated logarithm, weighted sum, stable law, Rosental type maximal inequality

 $MSC \ 2000: \ 60F15, \ 62G50$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{X_j, j \ge 1\}$ are independently identically distributed (i.i.d.) with symmetric stable distributions. And let these distributions belong to the domain of normal attraction and non-degeneration. So, their characteristic functions are of the forms:

$$\operatorname{Eexp}(\operatorname{i} tX_j) = \exp(-|t|^{\alpha}), \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ j \ge 1.$$

Chover (1966) has obtained that

(1.1)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(n^{-1/\alpha} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right| \right)^{1/\log \log n} = e^{1/\alpha} \text{ a.s.}$$

We call it Chover-type LIL (Laws of the iterated logarithm). This type of LIL has been shown by Vasudeva and Divanji [11], Zinchenko [13] for delayed sums, by Chen and Huang [2] for geometric weighted sums, and by Chen [1] for weighted sums. Note that Qi and Cheng [9] extended the Chover-type law of the iterated logarithm for the partial sums to the case when the underlying distribution is in the domain of attraction of a non-symmetric stable distribution (see below for details).

27

Let L_{α} denote a stable distribution with exponent $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Recall that the distribution of X is said to be in the domain of attraction of L_{α} if there exist constants $A_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B_n > 0$ such that

(1.2)
$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j - A_n}{B_n} \xrightarrow{d} L_{\alpha}.$$

Assuming (1.2), Qi and Cheng (1996) and Peng and Qi (2003) showed that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(B_n^{-1} \bigg| \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - A_n \bigg| \right)^{1/\log \log n} = e^{1/\alpha} \text{ a.s.}$$

It is well known that (1.2) holds if and only if

(1.3)
$$1 - F(x) = \frac{C_1(x)l(x)}{x^{\alpha}}, \ F(-x) = \frac{C_2(x)l(x)}{x^{\alpha}}, \ x > 0$$

where F(x) denotes a stable distribution with exponent $\alpha \in (0,2)$ for x > 0, $C_i(x) \ge 0$, $\lim_{x \to \infty} C_i(x) = C_i$, i = 1, 2, $C_1 + C_2 > 0$, and $l(x) \ge 0$ is a slowly varying in the sense of Karamata function, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{l(tx)}{l(t)} = 1 \text{ for } x > 0.$$

According to Lin (1999, page 76, Exercise 21), we have $B_n = (nl(n))^{1/\alpha}$.

As for negatively associated (NA) random variables, Joag (1983) gave the following definition.

Definition (Joag, 1983). A finite family of random variables $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is said to be negatively associated (NA) if for every pair of disjoint subsets T_1 and T_2 of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Cov}(f_1(X_i, i \in T_1), f_2(X_j, j \in T_2)) \leq 0,$$

whenever f_1 and f_2 are coordinatewise increasing and the covariance exists. An infinite family is negatively associated if every finite subfamily is negatively associated.

To derive a Baum-Katz type result, the main purpose of this paper is to establish a Chover-type law of the iterated logarithm for weighted sums of NA and indentically distributed random variables with a distribution in the domain of a stable law.

Throughout this paper, let $h \in B[0, 1]$ denote that a function h is bounded on [0, 1]. Further, C will represent a positive constant through its value may change from one appearance to another, and $a_n = O(b_n)$ will mean $a_n \leq Cb_n$.

2. Main results

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemma and definition.

Lemma 2.1 (Shao, 2000). Let $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables, $EX_i = 0, E|X_i|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$ and for every $i \ge 1$. Then there exists C = C(p), such that

$$\operatorname{E}\max_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right|^{p} \leqslant C \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{E}|X_{i}|^{p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{E} X_{i}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\right\}.$$

Definition (Lin and Lu, 1997). A function f(x) > 0 (x > 0) is said to be quasimonotone non-decreasing, if

$$\limsup_{x \to \infty} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant x} \frac{f(t)}{f(x)} < \infty.$$

Now we state the main results and their proofs.

Theorem 1. Let $\{X, X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be an NA sequence of identically distributed random variables with distribution F(x), where F(x) denotes a stable distribution with exponent $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Let h be a bounded function on [0,1], $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n h(i/n)X_i$. We have $\mathbf{E} X = 0$, $\alpha > 1$. Let f(x) > 0 be quasi-monotone non-decreasing and $\int_1^\infty 1/(xf(x)) \, dx < \infty$. $l(x) \ge 0$ is a slowly varying in the sense of Karamata function, $\sup_{n\ge 1} l(a_n)/l(n) < \infty$, where $a_n = (nf(n)l(n))^{1/\alpha}$. Then under condition (1.2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

(2.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| > \varepsilon (nf(n)l(n))^{1/\alpha}\right) < \infty$$

Proof of Theorem 1. For any $i \ge 1$, define $X_i^{(n)} = X_i I(|X_i| \le a_n)$, $S_j^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^j (h(i/n)X_i^{(n)} - Eh(i/n)X_i^{(n)})$, where $a_n = (nf(n)l(n))^{1/\alpha}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(2.2)$$

$$P\left(\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}|S_j|>\varepsilon a_n\right)\leqslant P\left(\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}|X_j|>a_n\right)$$

$$+P\left(\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}|S_j^{(n)}|>\varepsilon a_n-\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{j} \operatorname{E}h(i/n)X_i^{(n)}\right|\right).$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$(2.2)$$

First we show that

(2.3)
$$\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \operatorname{E} h(i/n) X_i^{(n)} \right| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let us consider two cases, (i) when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, notice that $h \in B[0,1]$. Then for any positive integers n, N,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{E} h(i/n) X_i^{(n)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E} |h(i/n) X_i^{(n)}| \\ &\leq \frac{Cn}{a_n} \int_{|x| \leq a_n} |x| \, \mathrm{d}F(x) \leq \frac{Cn}{a_n} a_N + \frac{Cn}{a_n} \int_{a_N < |x| \leq a_n} |x| \, \mathrm{d}F(x) \\ &=: C(A+B). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that f(x) > 0 is quasi-monotone non-decreasing and (1.3) holds. We have for $n \ge N$, N large enough,

$$B = \frac{n}{a_n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n \int_{a_{k-1} < |x| \le a_k} |x| \, \mathrm{d}F(x) \le \frac{n}{a_n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n a_k P(a_{k-1} < |X| \le a_k)$$

$$\leqslant C \sum_{k=N+1}^n k P(a_{k-1} < |X| \le a_k) \le CNP(|X| \ge a_N) + C \sum_{k=N}^\infty P(|X| \ge a_k)$$

$$\leqslant C \frac{1}{f(N)} + C \sum_{k=N}^\infty \frac{1}{kf(k)} \le C \frac{1}{f(N)} + C \int_N^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{kf(k)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

It is obvious that for each given N,

$$A \leqslant C \frac{a_N}{(f(n))^{1/\alpha}} \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

So, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have (2.3).

(ii) When $1 < \alpha < 2$, using $EX_i = 0, h \in B[0, 1]$ and (1.3), when $n \to \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \operatorname{E} h(i/n) X_i^{(n)} \right| = \frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j \operatorname{E} h(i/n) X_i I(|X_i| > a_n) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{E} |h(i/n) X_i| I(|X_i| > a_n) \leq \frac{Cn}{a_n} \operatorname{E} |X| I(|X| > a_n)$$
$$= \frac{Cn}{a_n} \int_{a_n}^{\infty} P(|X| \ge x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{Cn}{a_n} \int_{a_n}^{\infty} \frac{Cl(x)}{x^{\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{n}{a_n} Ca_n^{1-\alpha} l(a_n) \leq \frac{C}{f(n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

30

So, for $1 < \alpha < 2$, we also have (2.3). Further, (i) and (ii) imply (2.3). By (2.2) and (2.3), we have that

$$P\left(\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}|S_j|>\varepsilon a_n\right)\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^n P(|X_j|>a_n)+P\left(\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}|S_j^{(n)}|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}a_n\right),$$

for n large enough. Hence we need only to prove

(2.4)
$$I =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(|X_j| > a_n) < \infty,$$

(2.5)
$$II =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j^{(n)}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} a_n\right) < \infty.$$

From (1.3), it is easily seen that

(2.6)
$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > a_n) \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{nf(n)} \leqslant C \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{xf(x)} < \infty.$$

Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $h \in B[0,1]$ imply that

$$(2.7)$$

$$II \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \operatorname{E} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_{j}^{(n)}|^{2} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{E} |h(i/n)X_{i}^{(n)}|^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \operatorname{E} |X|^{2} I(|X| \leq a_{n}) = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \int_{|x| \leq a_{n}} x^{2} \, \mathrm{d}F(x)$$

$$= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a_{k-1} < |x| \leq a_{k}} x^{2} \, \mathrm{d}F(x) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2} P(a_{k-1} < |X| \leq a_{k}) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P(a_{k-1} < |X| \leq a_{k}) \leq C \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{xf(x)} < \infty.$$

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

(2.8)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{|S_n|}{B_n}\right)^{1/\log \log n} \leqslant e^{1/\alpha} \text{ a.s.}$$

Proof of Corollary 1. Notice that for any positive integer n there exists a non-negative integer k, such that $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$. And there exists a $t \in [0, 1)$, such

that $n = 2^{k+t}$. Using (2.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}-1} (2^{k+1}-1)^{-1} P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{k+t}} |S_j| > \varepsilon (2^{k+1}f(2^{k+t})l(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha}\Big) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\Big(\max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2^{k+t}} |S_j| > \varepsilon (2^{k+1} f(2^{k+t}) l(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha} \Big) < \infty,$$

and consequently

$$\frac{\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant 2^{k+t}}|S_j|}{(2^{k+1}f(2^{k+t})l(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha}}\to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

 So

$$\frac{|S_n|}{(nf(n)l(n))^{1/\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant 2^{k+t}} |S_j|}{(2^{k+1}f(2^{k+t})l(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha}} \frac{(2^{k+1}f(2^{k+t})l(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha}}{(nf(n))^{1/\alpha}}$$
$$\leqslant 2^{1/\alpha} \frac{\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant 2^{k+t}} |S_j|}{(2^{k+1}f(2^{k+t}))^{1/\alpha}} \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Then

(2.9)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{(nf(n)l(n))^{1/\alpha}} = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $f(x) = \log^{1+\varepsilon} x$. It is obvious that $\int_1^\infty 1/(xf(x)) \, dx < \infty$. By (2.9), we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{(nl(n)\log^{1+\varepsilon} n)^{1/\alpha}} = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{|S_n|}{B(n)}\right)^{1/\log\log n} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{(1+\varepsilon)/\alpha} \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{|S_n|}{B(n)} \right)^{1/\log \log n} \leqslant e^{1/\alpha} \text{ a.s.}$$

Now we complete the proof of (2.8).

A c k n o w l e d g m e n t s. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments.

32

References

- Chen, P. Y.: Limiting behavior of weighted sums with stable distributions. Statist. Probab. Lett. 60 (2002), 367–375.
 Zbl 1014.60010
- [2] Chen, P. Y., Huang, L. H.: The Chover law of the iterated logarithm for random geometric series of stable distribution. Acta Math. Sin. 46 (2000), 1063–1070. (In Chinese.) Zbl 1009.60010
- [3] A law of the iterated logarithm for stable summands. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 441–443. Zbl 0144.40503
- [4] Joag, D. K., Proschan, F.: Negative associated random variables with application. Ann. Statist. 11 (1983), 286–295.
 Zbl 0508.62041
- [5] Ledoux, M., Talagrand, M.: Probability in Banach Spaces. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
 Zbl 0748.60004
- [6] Lin, Z. Y., Lu, C. R.: Limit Theorems on Mixing Random Variables. Kluwer Academic Publishers and Science Press, Dordrecht-Beijing, 1997.
- [7] Lin, Z. Y., Lu, C. R., Su, Z. G.: Foundation of Probability Limit Theory. Beijing, Higher Education Press, 1999.
- [8] Peng, L., Qi, Y. C.: Chover-type laws of the iterated logarithm for weighted sums. Statist. Probab. Lett. 65 (2003), 401–410.
 Zbl pre02041538
- [9] Qi, Y. C., Cheng, P.: On the law of the iterated logarithm for the partial sum in the domain of attraction of stable distribution. Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A 17 (1996), 195–206. (In Chinese.)
 Zbl 0861.60043
- [10] Shao, Q. M.: A comparison theorem on moment inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables. J. Theor. Probab. 13 (2000), 343–356. Zbl 0971.60015
- [11] Vasudeva, K., Divanji, G.: LIL for delayed sums under a non-indentically distributed setup. Theory Prob. Appl. 37 (1992), 534–562.
- [12] Zhang, L. X., Wen, J. W.: Strong laws for sums of B-valued mixing random fields. Chinese Ann. Math. 22A (2001), 205–216.
- [13] Zinchenko, N. M.: A modified law of iterated logarithm for stable random variable. Theory Prob. Math. Stat. 49 (1994), 69–76.
 Zbl 0863.60046

Author's address: Guang-hui Cai, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310035, P. R. China, e-mail: cghzju@163.com.