

N. KEKELIA

**ON RELATION BETWEEN STABILITY AND CORRECTNESS OF
LINEAR SYSTEMS OF GENERALIZED ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS**

(Reported on April 17, 2000)

Consider the problem

$$dx(t) = dA(t) \cdot p(t) \cdot x(t) + df(t), \tag{1}$$

$$x(t_0) = c_0, \tag{2}$$

where $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are, respectively, the real matrix- and vector-functions with locally bounded variation components, $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix-function locally integrable with respect to A , $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Along with the problem (10), (2) let us consider the problem

$$d\tilde{x}(t) = d\tilde{A}(t) \cdot \tilde{p}(t) \cdot \tilde{x}(t) + d\tilde{f}(t), \tag{3}$$

$$\tilde{x}(\tilde{t}_0) = \tilde{c}_0, \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{A} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are, respectively, real matrix- and vector-functions with locally bounded variation components, $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix-function locally integrable with respect to \tilde{A} , $\tilde{c}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tilde{t}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Before passing to the statement of the basic results, we give some notation and definitions.

$\mathbb{R} =] - \infty, +\infty[$ is the set of real numbers, $[a, b]$ and $]a, b[$ are, respectively, closed and open intervals; $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$.

$\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the space of all real $n \times m$ -matrices $x = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n,m}$ with the norm $\|x\| = \max_{j=1, \dots, m} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ij}|$.

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n \times m} = \{(x_{ij})^{n,m} : x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m)\}.$$

$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is a space of all real column n -vectors $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$.

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then x^{-1} and $\det(x)$ are, respectively, the inverse to x matrix and the determinant of x ; I_n is the identity $n \times n$ matrix;

$V_c^d = \sup \{V_a^b(x) : c < a < b < d\}$, where V_a^b is the sum of total variations on a closed interval $[a, b]$ of components x_{ij} ($i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m$) of the matrix-function $x :]c, d[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$; $v(x)(t) = (v(x_{ij})(t))_{i,j=1}^n$, where $v(x_{ij})(t) = (V_{-\infty}^t x_{ij})$ for $t \in]c, d[$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m$)¹;

$x(t-)$ and $x(t+)$ are the left and the right limits of the matrix-function $x :]c, d[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ at the point $t \in]c, d[$, $d_1 x(t) = x(t) - x(t-)$, $d_2 x(t) = x(t+) - x(t)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B05.

Key words and phrases. Stability, correctness, linear systems of generalized ordinary differential equations.

¹ x_{ij} as a constant outside $[a, b]$ is assumed to be continuous.

$BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m})$ is the set of all matrix-functions $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ of bounded variations on every closed interval from \mathbb{R}_+ .

If $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function, $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq s < t < +\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t x(\tau) dg(\tau) &= \int_{]s,t[} x(\tau) dg_1(\tau) - \int_{]s,t[} x(\tau) dg_2(\tau) + \\ &+ \sum_{s < \tau \leq t} x(\tau) d_1g(\tau) - \sum_{s \leq \tau < t} x(\tau) d_2g(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are continuous nondecreasing functions such that the function $g_1 - g_2$ is identically equal to the continuous part of g , and $\int_{]s,t[} dg_j(\tau)$ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral over the open interval $]s, t[$ with respect to the measure corresponding to the function g_j ($j = 1, 2$) (if $s = t$, then $\int_s^t x(\tau) dg(\tau) = 0$);

$L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}; g)$ is the set of all functions $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $\mu(g)$ -measurable (i.e. measurable with respect to the measures $\mu(g_1)$ and $\mu(g_2)$) and integrable on the closed interval $[0, b]$ for every $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

A matrix-function t_0 is said to be nondecreasing if each of its components is such.

If $G = (g_{ik})_{i,k=1}^{\ell,n} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$ is a nondecreasing matrix-functions, then $L(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : G)$ is the set of all matrix-functions $x = (x_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{n,m} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that $x_{kj} \in L(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}; g_{ik})$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell; k = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m$);

$$\int_s^t dG(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \int_s^t x_{kj}(\tau) dg_{ik}(\tau) \right)_{i,j=1}^{\ell,m} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t < +\infty.$$

If $G_j : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are nondecreasing matrix-functions, $G \equiv G_1 - G_2$ and $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t dG(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) &= \int_s^t dG_1(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) - \int_s^t dG_2(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t < +\infty; \\ L(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}; G) &= \bigcap_{j=1}^2 L(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}; G_j). \end{aligned}$$

Under a solution of the system (1) is understood a vector-function $x \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$x(t) - x(s) = \int_s^t dA(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) \cdot x(\tau) + f(t) - f(s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t < +\infty.$$

We will assume that $f \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $A \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ and $p \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$ are such that

$$\det(I_n + (-1)^j djA(t) \cdot p(t)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (5)$$

Then the problem (1), (2) has a unique solution (see [1]).

Definition 1. The problem (1), (2) is said to be *correct* if for every arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ and arbitrarily large $\rho > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{t}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\tilde{c}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$\tilde{A} \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $\tilde{f} \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{p} \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$ satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |t_0 - \tilde{t}_0| < \delta, \quad \|c_0 - \tilde{c}_0\| < \delta, \\ \|M(t) - \tilde{M}(t)\| < \delta \quad \|f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)\| < \delta, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (M - \tilde{M}) < \rho \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\det(I_n + (-1)^j d^j \tilde{A}(t) \cdot \tilde{p}(t)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (7)$$

with

$$M(t) = \int_0^t dA(\tau) \cdot p(\tau), \quad \tilde{M}(t) = \int_0^t d\tilde{A}(\tau) \cdot \tilde{p}(\tau), \quad (8)$$

the inequality

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (9)$$

holds, where x and y are the solutions of the problems (1), (2) and (3), (4), respectively.

Definition 2. The problem (1), (2) is said to be *weakly correct* if for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{t}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tilde{c}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tilde{A} \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $\tilde{f} \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{p} \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$ satisfying the conditions (6), (7) and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (M - \tilde{M}) < \delta, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (f - \tilde{f}) < \delta,$$

where the matrix-functions M and \tilde{M} are defined by (8), the inequality (9) holds, where x and y are the solutions of the problems (1), (2) and (3), (4), respectively.

Definition 3. Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nondecreasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \xi(t) = +\infty$. A solution x of the system (1) is said to be ξ -*exponentially asymptotically stable* if there exists a positive number η such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = dl(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that an arbitrary solution y of the system (1) the satisfying the inequality

$$\|x(t_0) - y(t_0)\| < \delta$$

for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, admits the estimate

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| < \varepsilon \exp(-\eta(\xi(t) - \xi(t_0))) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0$$

The uniform stability of the solution x is defined just in the same way as for systems of ordinary differential equations (see, e.g., [2] or [3]).

Definition 4. The system (1) is said to be *uniformly stable* (ξ -*exponentially asymptotically stable*) if every solution of that system is uniformly stable (ξ -exponentially asymptotically stable).

Definition 5. A pair (A, p) of matrix-functions $A \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ and $p \in L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$ satisfying the condition (5) is said to be *uniformly stable* (ξ -*exponentially asymptotically stable*) if the system

$$dx(t) = dA(t) \cdot p(t) \cdot x(t)$$

is uniformly stable (ξ -exponentially asymptotically stable).

Theorem 1. Let $A \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $f \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$, and let the condition (5) hold. Moreover, let the pair (A, p) be ξ -exponentially asymptotically stable and the conditions

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\nu(\xi)(t)}{t} (B) < +\infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\nu(\xi)(t)}{t} (\tilde{B}) = 0$$

hold, where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\xi)(t) &= \sup\{\tau \geq t : \xi(\tau) \leq \xi(t) + 1\}, \\ B(A, p)(t) &= \int_0^t dA(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) + \sum_{0 \leq \tau < t} d_1 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) (I_n - d_1 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau))^{-1} \cdot d_1 A(\tau) \cdot (\tau) - \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq \tau < t} d_2 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) (I_n + d_2 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau))^{-1} \cdot d_2 A(\tau) \cdot (\tau), \\ \tilde{B}(A, p, f)(t) &= f(t) + \sum_{0 < \tau \leq t} d_1 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) (I_n - d_1 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau))^{-1} \cdot d_1 f(\tau) - \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq \tau < t} d_2 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau) (I_n + d_2 A(\tau) \cdot p(\tau))^{-1} \cdot d_2 f(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (1), (2) is correct.

Theorem 2. Let $A \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, $f \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A)$, and let the condition (5) hold. Let, moreover, the pair (A, p) be uniformly stable. Then the problem (1), (2) is weakly correct.

REFERENCES

1. S. SCHWABIK, M. TVRDÝ AND O. VEJVODA, Differential and integral equations. Boundary value problems and adjoints. *Academia, Praha*, 1979.
2. B. P. DEMIDOVICH, Lectures on mathematical theory of stability. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1967.
3. I. T. KIGURADZE, Initial and boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. I. (Russian) *Metsniereba, Tbilisi*, 1997.

Author's address:
Sukhumi Branch of
Tbilisi State University
12, Djikia St., 380086 Tbilisi
Georgia