

Z. TSINTSADZE

OPTIMAL PROCESSES IN THE SPECIFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS

(Reported on September 15, 2003)

The well-known methods from [1], [2] allow receiving of necessary conditions of optimality in Pontryagin’s maximum principle form for major problems of optimal control. Below the specific case of smooth-convex problem of optimization is considered, in which using these methods is difficult in principle. The smooth-convex problem of minimization (see [2]) has the form:

$$f_0(x, w) \rightarrow \inf \mid F(x, w) = 0, \quad f_i(x, w) \leq 0 \quad (i = \overline{1, n}), \quad w \in W,$$

where $f_i : X \times W \rightarrow R, i = \overline{0, n}, F : X \times W \rightarrow Y$ are given mappings, X, Y are Banach spaces, R is the set of all real numbers, W is an arbitrary set. In the case where f_i and F are independent of x , the extremal principle from [2] is not valid. Just in this case we consider the problem

$$f_0(w) \rightarrow \inf \mid F(w) = 0, \quad f_i(w) \leq 0 \quad (i = \overline{1, n}), \quad w \in W, \tag{1}$$

where W is a Banach space.

Theorem 1. *Let for the problem (1) the following assumptions be fulfilled:*

I) *for $\forall w_1 \in W, w_2 \in W$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1], \exists w \in W$ such that*

$$F(w) = \alpha F(w_1) + (1 - \alpha)F(w_2),$$

$$f_i(w) \leq \alpha f_i(w_1) + (1 - \alpha)f_i(w_2), \quad i = \overline{0, n};$$

II) *the functions $f_i, i = \overline{0, n}$ are Fréchet differentiable at \hat{w} when $F(\hat{w}) = 0$, and the mapping F is continuously differentiable and regular at \hat{w} .*

Then for any solution \hat{w} of the problem (1), there exist numbers $\lambda_i \geq 0, i = \overline{0, n}$, and an element y^ of the conjugate space Y^* such that the conditions*

a) $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$;

b) $\lambda_i f_i(\hat{w}) = 0, i = \overline{1, n}$;

c) $L(\hat{w}, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*) = \min_{w \in W} L(w, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*)$, where $L(w, \lambda_0, \lambda_1,$

$$\dots, \lambda_n, y^*) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i f_i(w) + \langle y^*, F(w) \rangle;$$

d) $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + (F'(\hat{w}))^* y^* = 0$;

e) *If there exists $w_0 \in W$ such that $F(w_0) = 0$ is fulfilled and $f_i(w_0) < 0$ for all $i = \overline{1, n}$ for which $f_i(\hat{w}) = 0$, then $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and the conditions a)-d) are sufficient for optimality of the admissible element \hat{w} ;*

f) *If among the Lagrange multipliers satisfying condition d) there are no multipliers of the form $(0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*)$, then the system of normal multipliers $(1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*)$ is uniquely defined, are fulfilled.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49K15.

Key words and phrases. Optimization, extremal principle, smooth-convex problem, linear system, mixed restrictions.

Proof. First of all we note that the condition d) is a corollary of condition c). Indeed, from the condition c) it follows $L_w(\hat{w}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*) = 0$, from which we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_w(\hat{w}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + \langle y^*, F(w) \rangle \circ F'(\hat{w}) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + \langle y^*, F'(\hat{w}) \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + (F'(\hat{w}))^* y^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since the mapping F is continuously differentiable and regular at \hat{w} , then (see [3], p.314) for any neighborhood $U(\hat{w})$ of the point \hat{w} the set $F(U(\hat{w}))$ contains a neighborhood of zero of the space Y . But then using the Lagrange principle of taking restrictions off (see [4], p.107), we have conditions a), b) and c).

Let $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then in case where $w = w_0$, from c) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(w_0) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(\hat{w}). \quad (2)$$

Since $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ($i = \overline{1, n}$), using the condition b), from (2) we have $\lambda_i = 0, i = \overline{1, n}$. If in this case $y^* \neq 0$, then in any neighborhood of zero of the space Y there exists a point y for which $\langle y^*, y \rangle < 0$. Hence $\exists w \in W \mid \langle y^*, F(w) \rangle < 0$ and this contradicts c). So, if $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*) = (0, \dots, 0)$, and this contradicts a); i.e., $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, and $\lambda_0 = 1$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\hat{w}) &= f_0(\hat{w}) + \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i f_i(\hat{w}) + \langle y^*, F(\hat{w}) \rangle \leq \\ &\leq f_0(w) + \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i f_i(w) + \langle y^*, F(w) \rangle \leq f_0(w), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall w \in W \mid F(w) = 0, f_i(w) \leq 0, i = \overline{1, n}$, i.e., \hat{w} is a solution of the problem (1).

Let now $(1, \overline{\lambda_1}, \dots, \overline{\lambda_n}, \overline{y^*}) \neq (1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, y^*)$ be two normal systems of Lagrange multipliers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_0(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda}_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + (F'(\hat{w}))^* \overline{y^*} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial f_0(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + (F'(\hat{w}))^* y^* &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \frac{\partial f_i(\hat{w})}{\partial w} + (F'(\hat{w}))^* z^* = 0,$$

where

$$\mu_i = \lambda_i - \overline{\lambda}_i, \quad z^* = y^* - \overline{y^*}.$$

From this equation we have $\mu_0 = 0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, z^*$ is a nontrivial system of Lagrange multipliers and this contradicts the normality of the problem. \square

In the case where the mapping F has the form

$$F(w) = \begin{cases} \dot{x} - f(x, u), \\ y^2 + g(x, u), \end{cases}$$

where $w = (x, y, u)$, $x \in W_{1,1}^n[t_0, t_1]$, $y \in L_2[t_0, t_1]$, $u \in L_1[t_0, t_1]$,

$$y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} f^1 \\ \vdots \\ f^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} g^1 \\ \vdots \\ g^m \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$f_0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f^0(x(t), u(t))dt, \quad f_i(w) = q_i(x(t_0), x(t_1)), \quad i = \overline{1, s} \quad (s \leq 2n),$$

we consider the problem

$$I = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f^0(x(t), u(t))dt \rightarrow \inf \quad (3)$$

under the restrictions:

$$\dot{x} = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad (4)$$

$$g(x(t), u(t)) \leq 0, \quad (5)$$

$$q(x(t_0), x(t_1)) \leq 0. \quad (6)$$

If the vector functions f, g, q are linear with respect to all their arguments, the restrictions (4),(5) are fulfilled almost everywhere on $[t_0, t_1]$ and the restriction (4) satisfies the conditions: for any (x, u) satisfying (4), the system of vectors $\text{grad}_u g^j(x, u)$, $j \in J(x, u)$, is linearly independent (here by $J(x, u)$ we denote the set of such indices $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for which $g^j(x, u) = 0$), then the assumptions I), II) theorem 1 are fulfilled and using this theorem we have the following necessary conditions of optimality for the problem (3)–(6):

Theorem 2 (necessary conditions of optimality). *Let $(x(t), u(t))$ be a solution of the problem (3)–(6). Then there exist multipliers $\psi_0 \geq 0$, $\lambda \in R^s$, $\psi(t) \in W_{1,1}^n[t_0, t_1]$ and $\mu(t) \in L_\infty^m[t_0, t_1]$ such that almost everywhere on $[t_0, t_1]$ the following conditions are fulfilled*

$$\mu_j(t) \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\mu_j(t) g^j(x(t), u(t)) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, m}, \quad (8)$$

$$H(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t)) = \min_{u \in \{u | g(x(t), u) \leq 0\}} H(x(t), u, \psi_0, \psi(t)), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t), \mu(t))}{\partial x}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t), \mu(t))}{\partial u} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where

$$H(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t)) = \psi_0 f_0(x(t), u(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(t) f^i(x(t), u(t)),$$

$$\mathcal{R}(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t), \mu(t)) = H(x(t), u(t), \psi_0, \psi(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t) g^i(x(t), u(t))$$

and

$$(\psi_0, \psi(t)) \neq (0, 0), \quad \psi(t_0) = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial x(t_0)}, \quad \psi(t_1) = - \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial x(t_1)}. \quad (12)$$

The conditions (7)–(12) allow to solve some linear control problems, in particular, the problem

$$I = \int_0^T -u(t)dt \rightarrow \inf$$

under the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= ax(t) - u(t), \\ 0 &\leq u(t) \leq ax(t), \\ x(0) &= x_0, \quad x(T) = x_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \text{const} > 0$, $x_1 > x_0 > 0$. Using the conditions (7)–(12), we have the following optimal solution

$$(x(t), u(t)) = \begin{cases} (e^{at}, 0), & t \in [0, t^*], \\ (e^{at^*}, ae^{at^*}), & t \in [t^*, T], \end{cases}$$

where t^* is defined from the condition $x(t^*) = x_1$.

REFERENCES

1. R. V. GAMKRELIDZE AND G. L. KHARATISHVILI, Extremal problems in linear topological spaces. I. *Math. Systems Theory* **1** (1967), No. 3, 229–256.
2. A. D. IOFFE AND V. M. TIKHOMIROV, Theory of extremal problems. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1974.
3. L. SHWARTS, Analysis, I. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1968.
4. Z. TSINTSADZE, The Lagrange principle of taking restrictions off and its application to linear optimal control problems in the presence of mixed restrictions and delays. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **11**(1997), 105–128.

Author's address:

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
2, University St., Tbilisi 0143
Georgia