Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics

Volume 87, 2022, 17–24

Irina Astashova

ON ASYMPTOTIC EQUIVALENCE OF *n*-TH ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Dedicated to the blessed memory of Professor N. V. Azbelev

Abstract. This paper is devoted to the problem of asymptotic equivalence of n-th order differential equations with exponentially equivalent right-hand sides. With the help of the obtained result asymptotic behavior of solutions to perturbed differential equations is described.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34C41.

Key words and phrases. Higher-order nonlinear equation, asymptotic equivalence.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომი ეძღვნება ექსპონენციალურად ეკვივალენტური მარჯვენა მხარეების მქონე *n*-ური რიგის დიფერენციალური განტოლებების ასიმპტოტური ეკვივალენტობის პრობლემას. მიღებული შედეგის დახმარებით აღწერილია შეშფოთებული დიფერენციალური განტოლებების ამონახსნების ასიმპტომური ყოფაქცევა.

1 Introduction

We study the problem of asymptotic equivalence of the equations

$$y^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x) y^{(j)}(x) + p(x) |y(x)|^k \operatorname{sgn} y(x) = f(x)$$
(1.1)

and

$$z^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x) y^{(j)}(x) + p(x) |z(x)|^k \operatorname{sgn} z(x) = 0$$
(1.2)

with $n \ge 2, k > 1$, and continuous functions p(x), f(x) and $a_j(x)$. Equation (1.2) is a so-called Emden– Fowler type differential equation. It was considered from different points of view (see, e.g., [3,12] and the references there). In particular, the asymptotic behavior of its solutions vanishing at infinity is described (see also [2, 4, 13]). So, if an asymptotic equivalence of equations (1.1) and (1.2) exists, it is possible to describe the asymptotic behavior of vanishing at infinity solutions to equation (1.1), too. Previous results are formulated in [1, 5-7, 11]. The asymptotic equivalence of ordinary differential equations and their systems can be useful to investigate some problems for partial differential equations (see, e.g., [10]). Note that the notion of asymptotic equivalence can be used in different senses (cf. [8, 9, 14-19]).

Hereafter we denote $|y|^k \operatorname{sgn} y$ by $[y]^k_+$.

2 Asymptotic equivalence of nonlinear perturbed differential equations

Theorem 2.1. Let a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} , p, f, and g be continuous functions defined in a neighborhood of ∞ . Suppose p(x), f(x) and g(x) are bounded while a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} satisfy the inequalities

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} x^{n-j-1} |a_j(x)| \, dx < \infty, \ \ j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}.$$
(2.1)

If y is a solution to the equation

$$y^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x) y^{(j)}(x) + p(x) \left[y(x) \right]_{\pm}^k = f(x) e^{-\gamma x}$$
(2.2)

with $n \ge 2$, k > 1, $\gamma > 0$ and $y(x) \to 0$ as $x \to +\infty$, then there exists a unique solution z to the equation

$$z^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x) z^{(j)}(x) + p(x) \left[z(x) \right]_{\pm}^k = g(x) e^{-\gamma x}$$
(2.3)

such that $|z(x) - y(x)| = O(e^{-\gamma x})$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Lemma 2.1. Any linear differential operator

$$L: y \mapsto y^{(n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j y^{(j)}$$
(2.4)

with all continuous functions $a_j(x)$ satisfying (2.1) can be represented in a neighbourhood of $+\infty$ as the composition operator

$$L = D_b = b_0 B_1 \circ \cdots \circ B_n,$$

where $b = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n)$, all B_j , $j = 1, \ldots, n$, are the first-order operators $u \mapsto (b_j u)'$ and each b_j , $j = 0, \ldots, n$, is a C^j function satisfying at infinity the following conditions:

- (i) $b_j(x) \to 1$,
- (ii) $x^i b_i^{(i)}(x) \to 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, j-1\},\$
- (iii) $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} x^{i-1} |b_j^{(i)}(x)| \, dx < \infty \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, j\} \text{ and some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}.$

Now, for $b = (b_0, b_1, ..., b_n)$ and $j \in \{0, ..., n\}$, put

$$b - j = (b_j, \dots, b_n).$$

Note that if a tuple b satisfies the conditions from Lemma 2.1, then so does the tuple b - j.

Lemma 2.2. Let $b = (b_0, b_1, ..., b_n)$ satisfy the conditions from Lemma 2.1. If a function y satisfies at infinity both $y \to 0$ and $D_b(y) \to 0$, then the same is true for all functions $D_{b-i}(y)$, 0 < j < n.

Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., that for some $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, the function $D_{b-j}(y)$ does not tend to zero. Consider the greatest of those j.

Since $b_j \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$ for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, we can assume the inequality $\beta < b_j < \beta^{-1}$ to hold for all those j and for some common $\beta \in (0; 1)$. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of points $x_i \to \infty$ such that $D_{b-j}(y)(x_i) > \varepsilon$. Let x'_i be the closest point to the right of x_i such that $D_{b-j}(y)(x'_i) = \beta \varepsilon$. Such a point exists. Indeed, otherwise $D_{b-j}(y) = b_j(D_{b-(j+1)}(y))' > \beta \varepsilon$ on $[x_i; \infty)$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{b-(j+1)}(y)(x) &= D_{b-(j+1)}(y)(x_i) + \int_{x_i}^x \frac{D_{b-j}(y)(s) \, ds}{b_j(s)} \\ &> D_{b-(j+1)}(y)(x_i) + \beta^2 \varepsilon(x - x_i) \to \infty \ \text{as} \ x \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} D_{b-(j+2)}(y)(x) &= D_{b-(j+2)}(y)(x_i) + \int_{x_i}^x \frac{D_{b-(j+1)}(y)(s) \, ds}{b_{j+1}(s)} \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &\dots \\ &\dots \\ &\dots \\ &\dots \\ & b_n(x)y(x) = D_{b-n}(y)(x) = D_{b-n}(y)(x_i) + \int_{x_i}^x \frac{D_{b-(n-1)}(y)(s) \, ds}{b_{n-1}(s)} \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption of Lemma 2.2 that $y \to 0$. So, $D_{b-j}(y) \ge \beta \varepsilon$ on $[x_i; x'_i]$. To complete the proof we need the following

Lemma 2.3. Suppose a tuple $b = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ satisfies the conditions from Lemma 2.1 and a function y satisfies, on a segment I of length Δ , the inequality $|D_{b-j}(y)| \geq W$ with some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and a constant W > 0. Then there exists a segment $I' \subset I$ of length $4^{j-n}\Delta$ such that $|y| \geq (2^{j-n}\beta)^{n+1-j}W\Delta^{n-j}$ on I'.

Proof. Still assuming $\beta < b_j < \beta^{-1}$ to hold for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and for some common $\beta \in (0; 1)$, we prove the lemma by reverse induction on j. For j = n, the statement is trivial since if $|D_{b-n}(y)| = |b_n y| \ge W$, then $|y| \ge \beta W$.

Suppose it is proved for some j > 1 and on a segment I of length Δ the inequality $|D_{b-(j-1)}(y)| \ge W > 0$ holds.

Since the derivative of the function $D_{b-j}(y)$ equals $D_{b-(j-1)}(y)/b_{j-1}$ and hence does not vanish on I, the function itself is monotone there and therefore can vanish at most at a single point.

If both $D_{b-j}(y)$ and $D_{b-(j-1)}(y)$ are non-negative at the middle point c of the segment I, then on the last quarter of I we have

$$D_{b-j}(y)(x) \ge D_{b-j}(y)(c) + \beta W \cdot (x-c) \ge \frac{\beta W\Delta}{4} > 0.$$

For other sign combinations of $D_{b-j}(y)(c)$ and $D_{b-(j-1)}(y)(c)$ we can prove by the same way the inequality

$$|D_{b-j}(y)| \ge W' = \frac{\beta W \Delta}{4} > 0$$

to hold on either the first or last quarter $I' \subset I$ of length $\Delta' = \Delta/4$.

Now, according to the induction hypothesis, there exists a segment $I'' \subset I'$ of length $4^{j-n} \Delta' = 4^{(j-1)-n} \Delta$, where the function y satisfies

$$|y| \ge (2^{j-n}\beta)^{n+1-j}W'(\Delta')^{n-j} = (2^{j-n}\beta)^{n+1-j} \cdot \frac{\beta W \Delta^{1+n-j}}{4^{1+n-j}} = \beta (2^{j-n-2}\beta)^{n+1-j} \cdot W \Delta^{n-(j-1)} = (2^{(j-1)-n}\beta)^{n+1-(j-1)} W \Delta^{n-(j-1)}.$$

So, the statement for (j-1) and Lemma 2.3 are proved.

Now we continue proving Lemma 2.2.

We have a sequence of segments $[x_i; x'_i]$ such that $D_{b-j}(y) \ge \beta \varepsilon$ on each of them as well as $D_{b-j}(y)(x_i) \ge \varepsilon$ and $D_{b-j}(y)(x'_i) = \beta \varepsilon$ on their ends.

By Lemma 2.3, there exist the segments $[x_i''; x_i''] \subset [x_i; x_i']$ with the inequality

$$|y| \ge (2^{j-n}\beta)^{n+1-j}\beta\varepsilon(x_i'-x_i)^{n-j}$$

holding on each of them.

Since by assumption $y \to 0$, the length of the segments $[x_i; x'_i]$ must also tend to zero. Now we can choose a sequence of points $c_i \in [x_i; x'_i]$ with

$$|D_{b-(j-1)}(y)(c_i)| = b_{j-1}(c_i) \Big| \frac{D_{b-j}(y)(x_i') - D_{b-j}(y)(x_i)}{x_i' - x_i} \Big| \ge \frac{\varepsilon - \beta \varepsilon}{x_i' - x_i} \to \infty.$$

This contradicts the choice of j as the smallest of those with $D_{b-j}(y)$ non-tending to zero. So, Lemma 2.2 is proved.

Corollary 2.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, a function y is a solution to equation (2.2) tending to zero as $x \to +\infty$ if and only if

$$b_n y = (J_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ J_0) \left[e^{-\gamma x} f(x) - p(x) \left[y(x) \right]_{\pm}^k \right],$$
(2.5)

where the operators J_j take each sufficiently rapidly decreasing continuous function φ to the vanishing at infinity primitive function of φ/b_j :

$$J_j[\varphi](x) = -\int_x^\infty \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{b_j(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Proof. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, equation (2.2) can be written, in a neighborhood of $+\infty$, as

$$D_b(y)(x) = e^{-\gamma x} f(x) - p(x) \left[y(x) \right]_{\pm}^k.$$
(2.6)

So, if a solution y to (2.6) tends to 0 as $x \to \infty$, then so does $D_b(y)$. By Lemma 2.2, the same is true for all functions $D_{b-j}(y)$, 0 < j < n, which ensures that we can obtain (2.5) from (2.6) by successively (for $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$) applying the formula

$$D_{b-(j+1)}(y) = J_j[D_{b-j}(y)], \qquad (2.7)$$

which is true whenever its left-hand side tends to zero at infinity.

For the converse statement, first, note that any function satisfying (2.5) tends to 0 due to the definition of the operators J_j . To prove that such a function satisfies (2.6), we also successively (for j = n - 1, ..., 0) apply the same formula (2.7) to equation (2.5) with its left-hand side treated as $D_{b-n}(y)$, whereafter take into account that functions having equal images under J_j must be equal to each other.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that y is a vanishing at infinity solution to equation (2.2). Let M > 0 be a common upper bound for |f|, |g|, and |p| on their domains and

$$H = \frac{3M}{\beta^{n+1}\gamma^n} \,. \tag{2.8}$$

Consider the space \mathcal{H} of all continuous functions $\eta : [x_*, +\infty) \to [-H; H]$, where x_* is a sufficiently large positive constant such that all the functions y(x), f(x), g(x), and p(x) are defined on $[x_*, +\infty)$ and, moreover, the values $e^{-\gamma x_*}$ and $Y = \sup\{|y(x)| : x \ge x_*\}$ are sufficiently small to ensure

$$k(Y + He^{-\gamma x_*})^{k-1} \le H^{-1}.$$
(2.9)

Now we define an operator $R: \mathcal{H} \to C[x_*; \infty)$ by the formula

$$R(\eta)(x) = p(x) \left(\left[y(x) \right]_{\pm}^{k} - \left[y(x) + \eta(x) e^{-\gamma x} \right]_{\pm}^{k} \right) + e^{-\gamma x} (g(x) - f(x)).$$

Taking into account the inequality

$$|[a]_{\pm}^{k} - [b]_{\pm}^{k}| \le k \max\{|a|, |b|\}^{k-1} |a-b|$$

as well as (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain, for $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, that

$$|R(\eta)(x)| \le Mk(Y + He^{-\gamma x_*})^{k-1}He^{-\gamma x} + 2Me^{-\gamma x} \le MH^{-1}He^{-\gamma x} + 2Me^{-\gamma x} = 3Me^{-\gamma x}.$$

This allows us to define an operator $F: \mathcal{H} \to C[x_*; \infty)$ by

$$F(\eta)(x) = \frac{e^{\gamma x} (J_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ J_0 \circ R)[\eta](x)}{b_n(x)}$$
(2.10)

and to note that $|F(\eta)(x)| \leq e^{\gamma x} \gamma^{-n} \beta^{-n-1} 3M e^{-\gamma x} = H$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $F(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Similar estimates show that F is a contraction. Indeed, suppose $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\delta = \sup \{ |\eta_1(x) - \eta_2(x)| : x \ge x_* \}$$

Then

$$\left|R(\eta_1)(x) - R(\eta_2)(x)\right| \le Mk(Y + He^{-\gamma x})^{k-1}\delta e^{-\gamma x} \le \frac{M\delta e^{-\gamma x}}{H}$$

for all $x \ge x_*$, and therefore

$$\left|F(\eta_1)(x) - F(\eta_2)(x)\right| \le \frac{e^{\gamma x} M \delta e^{-\gamma x}}{H \beta^{n+1} \gamma^n} = \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

So, F is a contraction and there exists a unique $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $F(\eta) = \eta$. Taking into account (2.10), this can be written as

$$e^{\gamma x}(J_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ J_0 \circ R)[\eta](x) = b_n(x) \eta(x)$$

or, taking into account the definition of R and putting $z = y + \eta e^{-\gamma x}$, as

$$(J_{n-1}\circ\cdots\circ J_0)\Big[p\cdot\big([y]_{\pm}^k-[z]_{\pm}^k\big)+e^{-\gamma x}(g-f)\Big]=b_n\cdot(z-y).$$

Since y is a vanishing at infinity solution to equation (2.2), we can use Corollary 2.1 to remove in the last equality all terms with y and f:

$$(J_{n-1}\circ\cdots\circ J_0)\left[e^{-\gamma x}g-p\left[z\right]_{\pm}^k\right]=b_nz.$$

Now the same Corollary 2.1 ensures z to be a solution to equation (2.3). By definition, z also satisfies $|z(x) - y(x)| = O(e^{-\gamma x})$ as $x \to \infty$. Suppose there exist two functions $z_1(x)$ and $z_2(x)$ defined on some half-line $[c; \infty), c \ge x_*$, and satisfying the statement of Theorem 2.1.

$$b_n z_j = (J_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ J_0) \left[e^{-\gamma x} g - p \left[z_j \right]_{\pm}^k \right], \ j = 1, 2.$$

So, putting

$$Z_c = \sup \left\{ \max \left\{ |z_1(x)|, |z_2(x)| \right\} : x \ge c \right\},$$

we obtain

$$|e^{\gamma x}|z_1(x) - z_2(x)| \le e^{\gamma x} \cdot \frac{MkZ_c^{k-1}De^{-\gamma x}}{\beta^{n+1}\gamma^n}, \text{ whence } D \le \frac{MkZ_c^{k-1}}{\beta^{n+1}\gamma^n} \cdot D$$

Now, choosing c large enough, we can make Z_c to become sufficiently small so that the last inequality holds only if D = 0. So, the uniqueness is proved.

Corollary 2.2. Suppose that the function f(x) in equation (1.1) is continuous and satisfies the condition

$$|f(x)| \le Ce^{-\gamma x}, \ C > 0, \ \gamma > 0,$$
 (2.11)

p(x) is a bounded continuous function, and a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} are continuous functions satisfying (2.1).

Then for any solution y(x) to equation (1.1) tending to zero as $x \to \infty$, there exists a solution z(x) to equation (1.2) such that

$$|y(x) - z(x)| = O(e^{-\gamma x}), \ x \to \infty.$$
 (2.12)

Similarly, for any solution z(x) to equation (1.2) tending to zero as $x \to \infty$, there exists a solution y(x) to equation (1.1) satisfying (2.12).

Acknowledgments

The research was partially supported by Russian Science Foundation (scientific project # 20-11-20272).

References

- I. V. Astashova, On asymptotic equivalence of differential equations. (Russian) Differ. Uravn 32 (1996), 851–859.
- [2] I. V. Astashova, Application of dynamical systems to the investigation of the asymptotic properties of solutions of higher-order nonlinear differential equations. (Russian) Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh. No. 8 (2003), 3–33; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 126 (2005), no. 5, 1361–1391.
- [3] I. V. Astashova, Qualitative properties of solutions to quasilinear ordinary differential equations. (Russian) In: Astashova I. V. (Ed.) Qualitative Properties of Solutions to Differential Equations and Related Topics of Spectral Analysis, pp. 22–290, UNITY-DANA, Moscow, 2012.
- [4] I. Astashova, On power and non-power asymptotic behavior of positive solutions to Emden-Fowler type higher-order equations. *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2013**, 2013:220, 15 pp.
- [5] I. Astashova, On asymptotic equivalence of nth order nonlinear differential equations. Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 63 (2015), 31–38.
- [6] I. Astashova, M. Bartušek, Z. Došlá and M. Marini, Asymptotic proximity to higher order nonlinear differential equations. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 11 (2022), no. 1, 1598–1613.
- [7] I. V. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskii, V. A. Kondratiev and L. A. Muravei, Some problems in the qualitative theory of differential equations. J. Nat. Geom. 23 (2003), no. 1-2, 1–126.
- [8] F. Brauer and J. S. W. Wong, On the asymptotic relationships between solutions of two systems of ordinary differential equations. J. Differential Equations 6 (1969), 527–543.
- [9] S. K. Choi, Y. H. Goo and N. J. Koo, Asymptotic equivalence between two linear differential systems. Ann. Differential Equations 13 (1997), no. 1, 44–52.

- [10] Yu. V. Egorov, V. A. Kondrat'ev and O. A. Oleňnik, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic systems in cylindrical domains. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* 189 (1998), no. 3, 45–68; translation in *Sb. Math.* 189 (1998), no. 3-4, 359–382.
- [11] I. T. Kiguradze, On the oscillatory character of solutions of the equation $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sign} u = 0$. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 65 (107) (1964), 172–187.
- [12] I. T. Kiguradze and T. A. Chanturia, Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 89. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [13] V. A. Kozlov, On Kneser solutions of higher order nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Ark. Mat. 37 (1999), no. 2, 305–322.
- [14] M. Pinto, Asymptotic equivalence of nonlinear and quasi linear differential equations with piecewise constant arguments. *Math. Comput. Modelling* 49 (2009), no. 9-10, 1750–1758.
- [15] A. Reinfelds, Asymptotic equivalence of difference equations in Banach space. Theory and applications of difference equations and discrete dynamical systems, 215–222, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 102, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [16] S. Saito, Asymptotic equivalence of quasilinear ordinary differential systems. Math. Japon. 37 (1992), no. 3, 503–513.
- [17] A. M. Samoilenko and O. Stanzhytskyi, Qualitative and Asymptotic Analysis of Differential Equations with Random Perturbations. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A: Monographs and Treatises, 78. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [18] M. Švec, Asymptotic relationship between solutions of two systems of differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 24(99) (1974), 44–58.
- [19] A. Zafer, On asymptotic equivalence of linear and quasilinear difference equations. Appl. Anal. 84 (2005), no. 9, 899–908.

(Received 15.08.2022; accepted 08.09.2022)

Author's addresses:

Irina Astashova

- 1. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 1 Leninskie Gory, Moscow 119991, Russia.
- 2. Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, 36 Stremyanny lane, Moscow 117997, Russia.

E-mail: ast.diffiety@gmail.com