# ON THE EXISTENCE OF BOUNDED CONTINUOUS SOLUTION OF HAMMERSTEIN INTEGRAL EQUATION

#### **Azzeddine Bellour**

Abstract. In this paper, we establish the existence of bounded continuous solutions over any measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of some nonlinear integral equations. Our method is based on fixed point theorems.

## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear integral equations (NIE) have been studied by many authors in the literature; see [1-3]. In this paper, we are interested in the study of the existence of continuous solutions of the following Hammerstein integral equation,

$$y(t) = u(t, y(t)) + \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in \Omega$$

$$(1.1)$$

where,  $u(.,.), F(.,.) : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \to k(.,.) : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  are given functions, and  $\Omega$  is a measurable set in  $\mathbf{R}^n, n \ge 1$ , and  $y(.) : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  is an unknown function on  $\Omega$ . We use the following notations:

$$C_a(\Omega) = \{ f \in (\Omega), \text{ such that } \|f\|_{\infty} \le a \}$$

we consider the space  $L^1(\Omega)$  with the norm  $||f(.)||_1 = \int_{\Omega} |f(t)| dt < \infty$ , and the space  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with the norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{t \in \Omega} |f(t)| < \infty$ .  $\lambda(\Omega)$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ .

In the first part of this work, we use some generalized Lipschitzian conditions on the functions u(.,.), F(.,.), and we require that k(.,.) be bounded by a measurable function in  $L_1$ -space. Then, we use Banach's fixed point theorem and prove the existence as well as the uniqueness of a bounded solution belonging to  $C(\Omega)$ .

In the second part, we change the conditions on u(.,.), k(.,.), F(.,.), we assume that u(t, x) is independent of x, and we consider two cases of  $\Omega$ ; in both cases, we prove the existence of a bounded solution belonging to  $C(\Omega)$ . Moreover, in Case 2,  $\Omega$  is compact, and we use Schauder's fixed point theorem.

In the third part, we give an application to a two point boundary value problem.

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Subject Classification: 45N05, 47J05.

Keywords and phrases: Hammerstein integral equations; fixed point theorems.

## 2. Existence and uniqueness of bounded continuous solution

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the functions u(.,.), k(.,.), F(.,.) satisfy the following generalized Lipschitzian conditions:

1. u(.,.) is continuous on  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ , u(t,0) is bounded on  $\Omega$ , and u(.,.) satisfies

$$|u(t,x) - u(t,y)| \le b_1(t) |x - y|,$$

where,  $b_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

2. F(.,.) is measurable on  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ ,  $F(.,0) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and F(.,.) satisfies

$$|F(t,x) - F(t,y)| \le b_2(t) |x - y|,$$

where,  $b_2: \Omega \to \mathbf{R}^+$  is a measurable function.

3. k(.,.) is continuous at the first variable, and there exists  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $|k(t,s)| \leq g(s)$  a.e.

4.  $b = ||b_1||_{\infty} + \int_{\Omega} g(s)b_2(s) \, ds < 1.$ 

Then the Hammerstein integral equation (1.1) has a unique bounded solution in  $C(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = \frac{\|u(t,0)\|_{\infty} + \int_{\Omega} g(s)F(s,0)ds}{1-b}$ , and define the operator T from  $C_a(\Omega)$  into itself as follows:

$$Ty(t) = u(t, y(t)) + \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in \Omega$$

Claim 1: The operator T is well defined. Let  $y \in C_a(\Omega)$ ; then we have that u(t, y(t)) is continuous on  $\Omega$ . Next, let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence in  $\Omega$  converging to t. Since,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega} k(t_n, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds - \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| k(t_n, s) - k(t, s) \right| \left| ab_2(s) + F(s, 0) \right| \, ds, \end{split}$$

and by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have,

$$\lim_{t_n \to t} \int_{\Omega} |k(t_n, s) - k(t, s)| |ab_2(s) + F(s, 0)| \, ds = 0.$$

Then the function  $\int_{\Omega} k(.,s)F(s,y(s))ds$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ , and so Ty(.) is continuous on  $\Omega$ . Moreover, for  $y(.) \in C_a(\Omega)$ , we have for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,

$$|Ty(t)| \le |u(t, y(t))| + \left| \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds \right|$$
  
$$\le |u(t, 0)| + b_1(t) \, |y(t)| + \int_{\Omega} g(s) \, |F(s, 0)| \, ds + \int_{\Omega} g(s) b_2(s) \, |y(s)| \, ds \le a.$$

Then, T is well defined.

Bounded continuous solution of Hammerstein integral equation

Claim 2: T is a contraction mapping on the Banach space  $(C_a(\Omega), \|.\|_{\infty})$ . Let,  $x(.), y(.) \in C_a(\Omega)$ . We have,

$$|Tx(t) - Ty(t)| \le |u(t, x(t)) - u(t, y(t))| + \left| \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)(F(s, x(s)) - F(s, y(s))) \, ds \right|$$
  
$$\le b_1(t) \, ||x - y||_{\infty} + ||x - y||_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)b_2(s) \, ds \le b \, ||x - y||_{\infty} \, .$$

Then by Banach's fixed point theorem, the integral equation (1.1) has a unique bounded solution  $y(.) \in C(\Omega)$ .

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the following Hammerstein integral equation:

$$y(t) = h(t) + \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(1+y^2(s))}{(1+s^2)(\alpha+t)} \, ds, \ t \in [0,\infty)$$
(2.1)

where, h(.) is a bounded continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\alpha$  is a positive number. Let  $k(t,s) = \frac{1}{(1+s^2)(\alpha+t)}$ ,  $F(t,s) = \ln(1+y^2(s))$ , hence by using the notations of Theorem 1, we have  $b_1(t) = 0$ ,  $b_2(t) = 1$ ,  $g(s) = \frac{1}{\alpha(1+s^2)}$ . Then by Theorem 1, we conclude that the Hammerstein integral equation (2.1) has a unique bounded solution  $y_{\alpha}(.) \in C([0,\infty))$  if  $\alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

## 3. Existence of bounded continuous solution

In the following, we assume that u(t, x) = v(t) in Equation (1.1).

THEOREM 2. Suppose that the functions v(.), k(.,.), F(.,.) satisfy the following conditions:

1. v(.) is bounded and continuous on  $\Omega$ ,

2. F(.,.) is a measurable function, continuous at the second variable, and satisfies one of the following two conditions:

(i) F(., .) is nonincreasing at the second variable, or

(ii) F(., .) is nondecreasing at the second variable, and for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,

$$v(t) + \int_{\Omega} k(t,s) F(s,0) \, ds \ge 0$$

Moreover F satisfies

$$|F(t,x)| \leq b_1(t)b_2(x), \text{ fo all } (t,x) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R},$$

where,  $b_1: \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  and  $b_2: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_+$  are measurable functions.

3. k(.,.) is a nonnegative measurable function and continuous at the first variable; moreover there exists  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $k(t,s)b_1(s) \leq g(s)$  a.e.,

4. there exists a > 0 satisfying  $||v||_{\infty} + ||g||_1 \sup_{t \in [0,a]} b_2(t) \le a$ .

Then the Hammerstein integral equation (1.1) has a bounded solution in  $C(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Define inductively the sequence  $y_{n+1}(t) = Ty_n(t), n \in \mathbf{N}, t \in \Omega$  such that

 $y_0(t) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } F(.,.) \text{ is nonincreasing at the second variable,} \\ 0, & \text{if } F(.,.) \text{ is nondecreasing at the second variable,} \end{cases}$ 

A. Bellour

where the operator T is defined from  $C_a(\Omega)$  into itself as follows:

$$Ty(t) = v(t) + \int_{\Omega} k(t,s)F(s,y(s)) \, ds.$$

We have, similar to Theorem 1, that operator T is well defined, hence the sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}$  is well defined, and by induction, the sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}$  is either nonincreasing for all  $t \in \Omega$ , or nondecreasing for all  $t \in \Omega$ , so, it converges to some  $y(t) \in \mathbf{R}$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ .

Then, by using Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get,

$$y(t) = v(t) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y_n(s)) \, ds = v(t) + \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) F(s, y(s)) \, ds,$$

Now, to show that  $y(.) \in C_a(\Omega)$ , let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence converging to t. Then,

$$|y(t_n) - y(t)| \le |v(t_n) - v(t)| + b_2(a) \int_{\Omega} |k(t_n, s) - k(t, s)| b_1(s) \, ds$$

hence by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get  $y(.) \in C_a(\Omega)$ . Then, (1.1) has a bounded solution  $y(.) \in C(\Omega)$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the Hammerstein integral equation (2.1) in Example 1, such that the function h(.) is nonnegative on  $[0, \infty)$ , hence for  $b_1(t) = 1$ ,  $b_2(t) = \ln(1+t^2)$ ,  $g(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha(1+t^2)}$  in Theorem 2. It can be shown easily that for all  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a > 0 such that

$$||h||_{\infty} + \frac{\pi}{2\alpha} \sup_{t \in [0,a]} b_2(t) \le a,$$

then by Theorem 2, we conclude that (2.1) has a bounded solution  $y_{\alpha}(.) \in C([0, \infty))$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

In the following, we assume that  $\Omega$  is compact, and u(t,s) = v(t), for all  $(t,x) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ .

In Theorem 3, the main tool in the existence proof of a solution of (1.1) is Schauder's fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that the functions v(.), k(.,.), F(.,.) satisfy the following conditions:

1. v(.) is continuous on  $\Omega$ ,

2. F(.,.) is continuous on  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ , and satisfies:

$$|F(t,x)| \le b_1(t)b_2(x), \text{ for all } (t,x) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R},$$

where,  $b_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$ , and  $b_2 : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_+$  is a measurable function,

3. k(.,.) is bounded on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  and continuous at the first variable,

4. there exists a > 0 satisfying  $||v||_{\infty} + b \sup_{t \in [0,a]} b_2(t) \le a$ , where

$$b = \sup_{t \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} k(t, s) b_1(s) \, ds$$

Then the Hammerstein integral equation (1.1) has a bounded solution in  $C(\Omega)$ .

130

*Proof.* Define the operator T from  $C_a(\Omega)$  into itself as follows:

$$y(t)=u(t,y(t))+\int_{\Omega}k(t,s)F(s,y(s))\,ds,\quad t\in\Omega,$$

then, similar to Theorem 1, the operator T is well defined.

The proof is divided into two steps:

Step 1: The operator T is continuous on  $(C_a(\Omega), \|.\|_{\infty})$ . Let  $\{y_n(.)\} \subset C_a(\Omega)$ be a sequence converging to  $y(.) \in C_a(\Omega)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then, from the uniform continuity of F on  $\Omega \times [-a, a]$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ ,

$$\sup_{t\in\Omega} |F(t, y_n(t)) - F(t, y(t))| \le \frac{\epsilon}{\left(1 + \lambda\left(\Omega\right) \sup_{t,s\in\Omega} |k(t,s)|\right)}$$

Hence, for all  $n \ge n_0$ , and for all  $t \in \Omega$ , we have:

$$|Ty_n(t) - Ty(t)| \le \sup_{t,s \in \Omega} |k(t,s)| \int_{\Omega} |F(s,y_n(s)) - F(s,y(s))| \, ds \le \epsilon,$$

and  $Ty_n(.)$  converges to Ty(.) in  $(C_a(\Omega), \|.\|_{\infty})$ , and the operator T is continuous.

Step 2: T is totally bounded, by Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem, and we need only to prove that  $F = \{T \ y; \ y \in C_a(\Omega)\}$  is equicontinuous. Let  $y \in C_a(\Omega)$ , and let  $t, l \in \Omega$ . We have

$$|Ty(t) - Ty(l)| \le |v(t) - v(l)| + \sup_{x \in [0, a]} b_2(x) \int_{\Omega} |k(t, s) - k(l, s)| b_1(s) \, ds,$$

so, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $t, l \in \Omega$  with  $|t - l| < \delta$ , then  $|Ty(t) - Ty(l)| < \epsilon$  for all  $y \in C_a(\Omega)$ . Then F is equicontinuous, and the proof of Theorem 5 follows from Schauder's fixed point theorem.

REMARK 1. It is obvious that if  $b_2$  is bounded in Theorem 2 (Theorem 3), then the condition 4 in Theorem 2 (Theorem 3) holds.

## 4. Application

Theorem 1, and Theorem 3 immediately yield existence results for two point boundary values problem:

$$\begin{cases} -y^{''}(t) = F(t, y(t)) \text{ on } [0, T] \\ y(0) = \alpha, \ y(T) = \beta \end{cases}, \ y \in C^2([0, T]). \tag{4.1}$$

This problem can be written as a Hammerstein integral equation:

$$y(t) = h(t) + \int_0^T k(t,s)F(s,y(s))\,ds, \quad y \in C([0,T]),$$
  
where  $h(t) = \alpha + \frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{T}t$ , and  $k(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(T-t)}{T}s, & 0 \le s \le t \le T\\ \frac{(T-s)}{T}t, & 0 \le t \le s \le T. \end{cases}$ 

The following result is directly yielded by applying Theorem 1.

#### A. Bellour

THEOREM 4. Suppose that F is measurable on  $[0,T] \times \mathbf{R}$ , F(t,0) is bounded on [0,T] and F satisfies,

$$F(t,x) - F(t,y) \le b_2(t) |x - y|,$$

where  $b_2: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}^+$  is a measurable function, and satisfies:

$$\int_0^T (T-s)s \ b_2(s) \, ds \le T$$

Then (4.1) has a unique solution in  $C^2([0,T])$ .

Also, by applying Theorem 3, the following result takes place:

THEOREM 5. Suppose that

(i) F is continuous on  $[0,T] \times \mathbf{R}$ , such that

$$|F(t,x)| \le b_1(t)b_2(x), \text{ for all } (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}$$

where  $b_1 : [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $b_2 : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_+$  are measurable functions such that  $\|b_1\|_{\infty} < \infty$ 

(ii) there exists c > 0 such that  $\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\} + \frac{T^2 ||b_1||_{\infty}}{8} \sup_{t \in [a,c]} b_2(t) \le c$ . Then (4.1) has a solution in  $C^2([0,T])$ .

As a special case, if F(t, x) = f(t) for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ , then, we have the following result:

COROLLARY 1. Suppose that

(i)  $f:[0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$  is continuous,

$$|F(t,x)| \leq b_1(t)b_2(x), \text{ for all } (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}$$

where  $b_1 : [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $b_2 : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_+$  are measurable functions such that  $\|b_1\|_{\infty} < \infty$ ,

(ii) there exists c > 0 such that  $\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\} + \frac{T^2}{8} \sup_{t \in [a,c]} f(t) \le c$ .

Then (4.1) has a solution in  $C^2([0,T])$ .

#### REFERENCES

- J. Banas, Z. Knap, Integrable solutions of a functional-integral equation, Revista Mat. de la Univ. omplutense de Madrid 2 (1989), 31–38.
- [2] G. Emmanuele, An existence theorem for Hammerstein integral equations, Portug. Math. 51 (1994), 607–611.
- [3] A.H. Marc, R. Precup, Nonnegative solutions of nonlinear integral equations in ordered Banach spaces, Fixed Point Theory 5 (2004), 65–70.
- [4] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

(received 03.05.2010; in revised form 25.09.2010)

Department of Mathematics, Ecole Normale Superieure de Constantine, Constantine-Algeria *E-mail*: bellourazze123@yahoo.com

132