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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider certain subclasses of analytic functions with bounded radius and bounded boundary rotation and study the mapping properties of these classes under a general integral operator defined by the Hadamard product.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc

$$
\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} .
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be spiral-like if there exists a real number $\lambda\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

The class of all spiral-like functions was introduced by L. Spacek [16] in 1933 and we denote it by $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{*}$. Later in 1969, Robertson [15] considered the class $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}$ of analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ for which $z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{*}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)$ be the class of functions $h(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $h(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{\Re e^{i \lambda} h(z)-\delta \cos \lambda}{1-\delta}\right| d \theta \leq k \pi \cos \lambda, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 2,0 \leq \delta<1, \lambda$ is real with $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}$.
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For $\lambda=0$, this class was introduced in [12] and for $\delta=0$, see [13]. For $k=2$, $\lambda=0$ and $\delta=0$, the class $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{0}(0)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{P}$ of functions $h(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $h(0)=1$ and whose real part is positive.

Definition 1.1. (Hadamard product or convolution) Given two functions $f$ and $g$ in the class $\mathcal{A}$, where $f$ is given by (1.1) and $g$ is given by

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}=(g * f)(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta, b ; g)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}-1\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(f * g)(z) / z \neq 0(z \in \mathbb{U}), k \geq 2,0 \leq \delta<1, \lambda$ is real with $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark 1.3. (i) If we set

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}
$$

in Definition 1.2, then we obtain the classes

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta, b ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\right):=\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta, b)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta, b ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}\right):=\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta, b)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: 1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)\right\}
$$

respectively. For $\lambda=0$, these classes were studied by Noor et al. [10].
(ii) If we set $b=1$ in (i), then we have the classes

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta, 1 ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta, 1 ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta)\right\}
$$

respectively, studied by Noor et al. [11] and Moulis [9].
(iii) For $k=2$ and $\lambda=0$, we have the class

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2}^{0}(\delta, b ; g)=\mathcal{S}_{\delta}(g, b)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{(f * g)(z)}-1\right)\right\}>\delta\right\}
$$

defined by Prajapat [14].
(iv) If we set

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}
$$

in (iii), then we have the classes

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2}^{0}\left(\delta, b ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\delta}^{*}(b)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right\}>\delta\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2}^{0}\left(\delta, b ; z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{\delta}(b)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\delta\right\},
$$

respectively, introduced by Frasin [6].
Definition 1.4. [7] Given $f_{j}, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We let $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the integral operator defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} ; g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)=\mathcal{F} \\
\mathcal{F}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{\left(f_{1} * g_{1}\right)(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\left(f_{n} * g_{n}\right)(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z) / z \neq 0(z \in \mathbb{U}, 1 \leq j \leq n)$.
REmark 1.5. The integral operator $\mathcal{F}$ generalizes many operators which were introduced and studied recently.
(i) For $g_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}$ with $\alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$, we have the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{n}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{n}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $g_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}$ with $\alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$, we have the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

recently studied by Breaz and Breaz [2], Breaz et al. [4], Breaz and Güney [3] and Bulut [5].
(ii) For $n=1, \alpha_{1}=\alpha \in[0,1], \alpha_{2}=\cdots=\alpha_{n}=0$ and $f_{1}=f \in \mathcal{S}$, $g_{1}(z)=g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}$, we have the integral operator

$$
\mathcal{F}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)^{\beta} d t
$$

studied in [8].
(iii) For $n=1, \alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}=\cdots=\alpha_{n}=0$ and $f_{1}=f \in \mathcal{A}, g_{1}(z)=g(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}$, we have the integral operator of Alexander

$$
\mathcal{F}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t
$$

introduced in [1].
For other examples, see Frasin [7].
In this paper, we investigate some properties of the integral operator $\mathcal{F}$ defined by (1.5) for the class $\mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}(\delta, b ; g)$.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $f_{j} \in \mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta_{j}, b ; g_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $k \geq 2,0 \leq \delta_{j}<1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\lambda$ is real with $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$. If

$$
0 \leq 1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\delta_{j}-1\right)<1
$$

then the integral operator $\mathcal{F}$ defined by (1.5) is in the class $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\gamma, b)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\delta_{j}-1\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f_{j}, g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(1 \leq j \leq n)$, by (1.3), we have

$$
\frac{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}{z}=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n, j} b_{n, j} z^{n-1}
$$

and $\frac{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. By (1.5), we get

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)=\left(\frac{\left(f_{1} * g_{1}\right)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\left(f_{n} * g_{n}\right)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

This equality implies that

$$
\ln \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)=\alpha_{1} \ln \frac{\left(f_{1} * g_{1}\right)(z)}{z}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \ln \frac{\left(f_{n} * g_{n}\right)(z)}{z}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\ln \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)=\alpha_{1}\left[\ln \left(f_{1} * g_{1}\right)(z)-\ln z\right]+\cdots+\alpha_{n}\left[\ln \left(f_{n} * g_{n}\right)(z)-\ln z\right]
$$

By differentiating above equality, we get

$$
\frac{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\frac{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-\frac{1}{z}\right)
$$

Hence, we obtain from this equality that

$$
\frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)
$$

Then by multiplying the above relation with $1 / b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{b} \frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)\right]-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently
$e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\right) e^{i \lambda}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} e^{i \lambda}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)\right]$.
Subtracting and adding $\left(\cos \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \delta_{j}\right)$ on the left hand side and then taking real part, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\gamma \cos \lambda\right\} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)\right]-\delta_{j} \cos \lambda\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is given by (2.1). Integrating (2.2) and then using (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\gamma \cos \lambda\right\}\right| d \theta \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)\right]-\delta_{j} \cos \lambda\right\}\right| d \theta \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_{j} \in \mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta_{j}, b ; g_{j}\right)(1 \leq j \leq n)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left[1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j} * g_{j}\right)(z)}-1\right)\right]-\delta_{j} \cos \lambda\right\}\right| & d \theta \\
& \leq\left(1-\delta_{j}\right) k \pi \cos \lambda \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Using (2.4) in (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Re\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\gamma \cos \lambda\right\}\right| d \theta & \leq k \pi \cos \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(1-\delta_{j}\right) \\
& =k \pi \cos \lambda(1-\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\gamma, b)$ with $\gamma$ is given by (2.1).
By setting $g_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{n}(1 \leq j \leq n)$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f_{j} \in \mathcal{R}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta_{j}, b\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $k \geq 2,0 \leq \delta_{j}<1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\lambda$ is real with $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$. If

$$
0 \leq 1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\delta_{j}-1\right)<1
$$

then the integral operator $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ defined by (1.6) is in the class $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\gamma, b)$, where $\gamma$ is defined by (2.1).

Remark 2.3. If we set $k=2$ and $\lambda=0$ in Corollary 2.2, then we have [5, Theorem 1].

By setting $g_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}(1 \leq j \leq n)$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.4. Let $f_{j} \in \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}\left(\delta_{j}, b\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $k \geq 2,0 \leq \delta_{j}<1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\lambda$ is real with $|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$. If

$$
0 \leq 1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\delta_{j}-1\right)<1
$$

then the integral operator $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$ defined by (1.7) is in the class $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\lambda}(\gamma, b)$, where $\gamma$ is defined by (2.1).

REmark 2.5. If we set $k=2$ and $\lambda=0$ in Corollary 2.4, then we have [5, Theorem 3].

Letting $k=2$ and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have [7, Theorem 2.1] as follows.
Corollary 2.6. Let $f_{j} \in \mathcal{S}_{\delta_{j}}\left(g_{j}, b\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $0 \leq \delta_{j}<1, b \in$ $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$. Also let $\alpha_{j}>0(1 \leq j \leq n)$. If

$$
0 \leq 1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left(\delta_{j}-1\right)<1
$$

then the integral operator $\mathcal{F}$ defined by (1.5) is in the class $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(b)$, where $\gamma$ is defined by (2.1).
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