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#### Abstract

In this article we generalize some definitions and results from ideals in rings to ideals in semirings. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring with identity. Let $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $\vartheta(R)$ denotes the set of all ideals of $R$. A proper ideal $I \in \vartheta(R)$ is called $\phi$-prime ideal if $r a \in I-\phi(I)$ implies $r \in I$ or $a \in I$. An element $a \in R$ is called $\phi$-prime to $I$ if $r a \in I-\phi(I)$ (with $r \in R$ ) implies that $r \in I$. We denote by $p(I)$ the set of all elements of $R$ that are not $\phi$-prime to $I . I$ is called a $\phi$-primal ideal of $R$ if the set $P=p(I) \cup \phi(I)$ forms an ideal of $R$. Throughout this work, we define almost primal and $\phi$-primal ideals, and we also show that they enjoy many of the properties of primal ideals.


## 1. Introduction

The concept of semiring was introduced by H. S. Vandiver in 1935. The concept of primal ideals in a commutative ring $R$ was introduced and studied in [6] (see also [7]). The set of elements of $R$ that are not prime to $I$ is denoted by $S(I)$; while the set of elements of $R$ that are not weakly prime to $I$ is denoted by $W(I)$. A proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be a primal if $S(I)$ forms an ideal; therefore, 0 is not necessarily a primal, such an ideal is always a prime ideal. If $I$ is a primal ideal of $R$, then $(P=$ the set of elements of $R$ that are not prime to $I)$ is a prime ideal of $R$, called the adjoint prime ideal $P$ of $I$. In this case we also say that $I$ is a $P$-primal ideal (see [4]). Also, a proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is called a weakly primal if the set $P=W(I) \cup\{0\}$ forms an ideal; this ideal is called the weakly adjoint ideal $P$ of $I$ and is always a weakly prime ideal [5, Proposition 4]. Weakly primal ideals in a commutative semiring were introduced and studied by S. Ebrahimi Atani in [4]. Bhatwadekar and Sharma (2005) defined a proper ideal $I$ of an integral domain $R$ to be almost prime if for $a, b \in R$ with $a b \in I-I^{2}$, either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ holds. This definition can obviously be made for any commutative ring $R$. Prime ideals play a central role in the commutative ring theory. Let $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $\vartheta(R)$ denotes the set of all ideals of $R$. D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh (2008) defined a proper ideal $I$ of a commutative ring $R$ to be a $\phi$-prime

[^0]ideal if for $a, b \in R$ with $a b \in I-\phi(I)$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. M. Henriksen (1958) called an ideal $I$ of a semiring $R$ a $k$-ideal, whenever $x, x+y \in I$ implies $y \in I$.

Throughout the paper, $R$ will be a commutative semiring with identity; however, in most places the existence of an identity plays no role. By a proper ideal $I$ of $R$, we mean an ideal $I \in \vartheta(R)$ with $I \neq R$. Let us generalize the definition of a primal ideal; a proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is an almost primal (resp., $P_{\phi}$-primal) if the set $P=p(I) \cup I^{2}$ (resp., $\left.P=p(I) \cup \phi(I)\right)$ forms an ideal of $R$, where $p(I)$ is the set of all elements in $R$ that are not almost prime (resp., $\phi$-prime) to $I$. This ideal is called the almost adjoint ideal $P$ of $I$. Given two functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$, we define $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2}$ if $\psi_{1}(I) \subseteq \psi_{2}(I)$ for each $I \in \vartheta(R)$.

Example 1.1. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring. Define the following functions $\phi_{\alpha}: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ and the corresponding $\phi_{\alpha}$-primal ideals, by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{\varnothing} & \phi(J)=\emptyset & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is a primal. } \\
\phi_{0} & \phi(J)=0 & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is a weakly primal. } \\
\phi_{2} & \phi(J)=J^{2} & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is an almost primal. } \\
\phi_{n}(n \geq 2) & \phi(J)=J^{n} & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is a } n \text {-almost primal. } \\
\phi_{\omega} & \phi(J)=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J^{n} & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is a } \omega \text {-primal. } \\
\phi_{1} & \phi(J)=J & \text { a } \phi \text {-primal ideal is any ideal. }
\end{array}
$$

Observe that $\phi_{\varnothing} \leq \phi_{0} \leq \phi_{\omega} \leq \cdots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_{n} \leq \cdots \leq \phi_{2}$.
REmark 1.2. Let $I$ be a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal in a commutative semiring $R$ and $a \in I-\phi(I)$. As $a \cdot 1_{R} \in I-\phi(I)$ with $1_{R} \notin I, a$ is not a $\phi$-prime to $I$; and therefore, $I \subseteq P$.

## 2. Results

In this section, we give several characterizations of $\phi$-primal ideals.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $I$ be a proper $k$-ideal of a commutative semiring $R$, $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function and $P$ be a proper ideal. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) I is a $P_{\phi}$-primal.
(ii) For every $a \notin P-\phi(I),\left(I:_{R} a\right)=I \cup\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right)$, and for $a \in P-\phi(I)$, $I \cup\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right) \subsetneq\left(I:_{R} a\right)$.
(iii) If $a \notin P-\phi(I)$, then $\left(I:_{R} a\right)=A$ or $\left(I:_{R} a\right)=\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right)$, and if $a \in P-\{\phi(I)\}$, then $I \subsetneq\left(I:_{R} a\right)$ and $\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right) \subsetneq\left(I:_{R} a\right)$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow\left(\right.$ ii) Let $I$ be a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal of $R$. Then $P-\phi(I)$ is exactly the set of all elements in $R$ that are not $\phi$-prime to $I$. Suppose $a \notin P-\phi(I)$, and $b \in\left(I:_{R} a\right)$. If $a b \notin \phi(I)$ as $a$ is $\phi$ - prime to $I$, then $b \in I$. If $a b \in \phi(I)$, then $b \in\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right)$. As the reverse containment holds for any ideal $I$, we get the
equality. Now suppose that $a \in P-\phi(I)$. Then, there exists $s \in R-I$ such that $a s \in I-\phi(I)$; hence $s \in\left(I:_{R} a\right)-\left(I \cup\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right)\right)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $a \notin P-\phi(I)$. By [2, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2], if an ideal of $R$ is a union of two $k$-ideals then it is equal to one of them. Moreover, if $a \in P-\phi(I)$, then by $(i i)$, we have $I \subsetneq\left(I:_{R} a\right)$ and $\left(\phi(I):_{R} a\right) \subsetneq\left(I:_{R} a\right)$.
$($ iii $) \Rightarrow(i)$ Since $P-\phi(I)$ is the set of all elements in $R$ that are not $\phi$-prime to $I$, we conclude that $I$ is $P$-almost primal.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $R$ be a semiring. Then every $k-\phi$-prime ideal of $R$ is a $\phi$-primal.

Proof. Let $A$ be a $k$ - $\phi$-prime ideal of $R$. Assume that $A \neq \phi(A)$. It is enough to show that $A-\phi(A)$ is exactly the set of all elements in $R$ that are not $\phi$-prime to $A$. Let $a \in A-\phi(A)$. Then $a \cdot 1_{R} \in A-\phi(A)$ with $1_{R} \notin A$, gives that $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $A$. On the other hand, if $a \notin A-\phi(A)$ and $a \in \phi(A)$, then $a$ is a $\phi$-prime to $A$. If $a \notin A$, let $b \in R$ be such that $a b \in A-\phi(A)$, which implies $b \in A$. Thus $a \notin A-\phi(A)$ is $\phi$-prime to $A$. This shows that $A$ is a $\phi$-primal ideal of $R$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $I$ be a $k$-ideal of a semiring $R$. If $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal of $R$, then $P$ is a $\phi$-prime ideal of $R$.

Proof. Suppose that $a, b \notin P$; we show that either $a b \in \phi(P)$ or $a b \notin P$. Assume that $a b \notin \phi(P)$. Let $r a b \in I-\phi(I)$ for some $r \in R$. Then, Theorem 2.1 gives that $r a \in\left(I:_{R} b\right)=I \cup(\phi(I): b)$ where $r a \notin\left(\phi(I):_{R} b\right)$; hence $r a \in I-\phi(I)$ . Thus, $r \in\left(I:_{R} a\right)=I \cup(\phi(I): a)$, and so $r \in I$. Therefore, $a b$ is $\phi$-prime to $I$ and $a b \notin P$ as required.

Proposition 2.4. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring and $J$ a proper ideal of $R$.
(i) Let $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be functions with $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2}$. Then if $J$ $\psi_{1}$-primal, then $J$ is $\psi_{2}$-primal.
(ii) J primal $\Rightarrow J$ weakly primal $\Rightarrow J \omega$-primal $\Rightarrow J(n+1)$-almost primal $\Rightarrow J$ n-almost primal $(n \geq 2) \Rightarrow J$ almost primal.

Proof. (i) Assume that $J$ is $P-\psi_{1}$ primal ideal; we will show that $J$ is a $P-\psi_{2}$ primal ideal in $R$. By Theorem $2.3, P$ is $\psi_{1}$-prime and hence $\psi_{2}$-prime. It is enough to show that $P-\psi_{2}(J)$ is exactly the set of all elements in $R$ that are not $\psi_{2}$-prime to $J$. Let $x \in P-\psi_{2}(J)$ and $y \in R$ be such that $x y \in J-\psi_{2}(J)$. Since $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2}$, we get $P-\psi_{2}(J) \subseteq P-\psi_{1}(J)$. So, $x$ is not $\psi_{1}$-prime to $J$, and thus $y \notin J$. Hence, $x$ is not $\psi_{2}$-prime to $J$. Now let $x \in R$ be not $\psi_{2}$-prime to $J$, then there exists $y_{0} \in R$ with $x y_{0} \in J-\psi_{2}(J)$ and $y_{0} \notin J$. Now, we claim that $x$ is not $\psi_{1}$-prime to $J$. Suppose not, that is for all $y \in R$ with $x y \in J-\psi_{1}(J)$ implies $y \in J$; which is a contradiction, since $x y_{0} \in J-\psi_{2}(J)$ with $y_{0} \notin J$ so $x \in P$.
(ii) follows by (i).

We next give a further general conditions for $\phi$-primal ideals to be primal ideals.

THEOREM 2.5. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring and $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ a function. Let $P$ and $I$ be $k$-ideals of $R$. If $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal of $R$ that is not primal, then $I^{2} \subseteq \phi(I)$. Moreover, if $P$ is a prime ideal of $R$ with $I^{2} \nsubseteq \phi(I)$, then $I$ is a $P$-primal ideal in $R$.

Proof. Suppose that $I^{2} \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ and $P$ is a prime ideal of $R$. It suffices to show that $P$ is exactly the set of elements that are not prime to $I$. If $a \in P$, then $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$, so $a$ is not prime to $I$. Now, assume that $a$ is not prime to $I$. Then, there exists $r \in R-I$ such that $r a \in I$. If $r a \in I-\phi(I)$, then $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$; hence $a \in P$. So, assume that $r a \in \phi(I)$. If $a I \nsubseteq \phi(I)$, then there exists $r_{0} \in I$ such that $a r_{0} \notin \phi(I) ; a\left(r+r_{0}\right) \in I-\phi(I)$ with $r+r_{0} \notin I$. Since $I$ is a $k$-ideal, hence $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$, and we have $a \in P$. So, we can assume that $a I \subseteq \phi(I)$. If $r I \nsubseteq \phi(I)$, then there exists $c \in I$ such that $r c \notin \phi(I)$ and so $(a+c) r \in I-\phi(I)$ with $r \notin I$, which gives that $a+c \in P, a \in P$. So we can assume $r I \subseteq \phi(I)$. As $I^{2} \nsubseteq \phi(I)$, there exists $a_{0}, b_{0} \in I$ such that $a_{0} b_{0} \in I$ with $a_{0} b_{0} \notin \phi(I)$. Hence $\left(a+a_{0}\right)\left(r+b_{0}\right) \in I-\phi(I)$ with $r+b_{0} \notin I$, which implies that $a+a_{0} \in P$.

An ideal $I$ of a semiring $R$ is called a partitioning ideal ( $=Q$-ideal) (see [4]) if there exists a subset $Q$ of $R$ such that
(1) $R=\bigcup\{q+I: q \in Q\}$, and
(2) $\left(q_{1}+I\right) \cap\left(q_{2}+I\right) \neq \emptyset$, for any $q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q$ if and only if $q_{1}=q_{2}$.

Let $I$ be a $Q$-ideal of a semiring $R$, and $R / I=\{q+I: q \in Q\}$. Then $R / I$ forms a semiring under the binary operations $\oplus$ and $\odot$, which are defined as follows: $\left(q_{1}+I\right) \oplus\left(q_{2}+I\right)=q_{3}+I$ for a unique element $q_{3} \in Q$ satisfying that $q_{1}+q_{2}+I \subseteq q_{3}+I$
$\left(q_{1}+I\right) \odot\left(q_{2}+I\right)=q_{4}+I$, for a unique element $q_{4} \in Q$ satisfying that $q_{1} q_{2}+I \subseteq q_{4}+I$. This semiring $R / I$ is called the quotient semiring of $R$ by $I$.

Let $J$ be an ideal of $R$ and $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. As in [1], we define $\phi_{J}: \vartheta(R / J) \rightarrow \vartheta(R / J) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi_{J}(I / J)=(\phi(I)+J) / J$ for every ideal $I \in \vartheta(R)$ with $J \subseteq I\left(\right.$ and $\phi_{J}(I / J)=\emptyset$ if $\left.\phi(I)=\emptyset\right)$.

TheOrem 2.6. Let $J$ be a $Q$-ideal of a semiring $R, I$ a proper $k$-ideal of $R$ and $J$ a $\phi$-prime ideal of $R$ with $J \subseteq \phi(I)$. Then $I$ is a $\phi$-primal if and only if $I / J$ is a $\phi_{J}$-primal of $R / J$.

Proof. Assume that $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal. It is easy to show $P / J$ is $\phi_{J}$-prime. Let $a+J \in P / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)=P / J-(\phi(I)+J) / J$, where $a \in P \cap Q[3$, Proposition 2.2]. Then $a \notin \phi(I)$, and hence $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$, and so there exists $r \in R-I$ such that $r a \in I-\phi(I)$. If $r a \in J-\phi(J)$, then $J \phi$-prime gives that $r \in J$, which is a contradiction since $r \notin I$. Thus ra $\notin J-\phi(J)$. There is an element $q_{1} \in Q$ such that $r \in q_{1}+J$, so $r=q_{1}+c$ for some $c \in J$; hence, $a q_{1} \notin J-\phi(I)$. It follows that $\left(q_{1}+J\right) \odot(a+J) \in I / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$ with $q_{1}+J \notin I / J$, which implies that $a+J$ is not $\phi_{J}$-prime to $I / J$. Now assume that $b+J$ is not $\phi_{J}$-prime to $I / J$, where $b \in Q$. Then there exists $c+J \in R / J-I / J$ such that $(c+J) \odot(b+J)=q_{2}+$
$J \in I / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$ where $q_{2} \in Q \cap I$ and $q_{2} \notin \phi(I)$ is a unique element such that $b c+J \subseteq q_{2}+J$; hence $c b \in I-\phi(I)$ with $c \notin I$. So, $b \notin \phi(I)$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$. Therefore, $b+J \in P / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$.

On the other hand, let $I / J$ be a $P / J-\phi_{J}$-primal ideal of $R / J$; we show that $I$ is a $P$ - $\phi$-primal. Let $a \in P-\phi(I)$; we can assume that $a \notin J$, so there is an element $q_{3} \in Q$ such that $a \in q_{3}+J$ which can be written as $a=q_{3}+d$, for some $d \in J$. As $J$ is a $\phi$-prime ideal and $q_{3}+J \in P / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$, there exists $r+J \in R / J-I / J$ such that $\left(q_{3}+J\right) \oplus\left(r+J=q_{4}+J \in I / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)\right.$, where $q_{4}$ is a unique element $\in I \cap Q$ such that $q_{3} r+J \subseteq q_{4}+I, r a \in I-\phi(I)$ with $r \notin I$. Thus, $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$. Now assume that $a$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$ (so $a \notin \phi(I)$ ). Without loss of generality, we assume that $a \notin I$, and then there is an element $r \in R-I$ such that $r a \in I-\phi(I)$. So there are elements $q_{5}, q_{6} \in Q$ such that $a \in q_{5}+J$ and $r \in q_{6}+J$; so $a=q_{5}+e$ and $r=q_{6}+f$ for some $e, f \in J$, which leads to $e f \in I-\phi(I)$. Therefore, $J$ is a $\phi$-prime ideal which gives $q_{7}+J=\left(q_{5}+J\right) \odot\left(q_{6}+J\right) \in I / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$, where $q_{7}$ is a unique element $\in Q \cap I$ such that $q_{5} q_{6}+J \subseteq q_{7}+J$ with $\left(q_{6}+J\right) \notin I / J$. Consequently, $a+J=q_{5}+e+J \in P / J-\phi_{J}(I / J)$, since $I / J$ is a $P / J$ - $\phi_{J}$-primal ideal of $R / J$ and then $a \in P$.

Note that if $P$ is a $\phi$-primal but not primal, then by Theorem 2.5, $P^{2} \subseteq \phi(P)$. Moreover, if $\phi \leq \phi_{2}$, then $P^{2} \subseteq \phi(P) \subseteq P^{2}$; so $\phi(P)=P^{2}$. In particular, If $P$ is a weakly primal but not a primal, then $P^{2}=0$. Now if $\phi \leq \phi_{3}$, then $P^{2}=\phi(P) \subseteq P^{3}$; so $P^{2}=P^{3}$; and hence $P$ is idempotent. We next move to construct a $\phi$-primal ideal $J$ where $\phi_{\omega} \leq \phi$.

THEOREM 2.7. Let $T$ and $S$ be commutative semirings and $I$ a $P$-weakly primal ideal of $T$. Then $J=I \times S$ is a $\phi$-primal ideal of $R=T \times S$ for each $\phi$ with $\phi_{\omega} \leq \phi$.

Proof. Assume that $I$ is a primal; then it is clear that $J$ is also a primal. Suppose that $I$ is a $P$-weakly primal but not a primal. Then $I^{2}=0, J^{2}=0 \times S$; and hence $\phi_{\omega}(J)=0 \times S$. Let us show that $J$ is $P \times S_{\phi_{\omega}}$-primal of $T \times S$. It is enough to show that $P \times S-\phi_{\omega}(J)$ is exactly the set of all elements that are not a $\phi_{\omega}$-prime to $J$. Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be not $\phi_{\omega}$-prime to $J$; then there exists $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in T \times S$ such that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in J-\phi_{\omega}(J)$ with $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \notin J$. Now, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in I \times S-\{0\} \times S ;\left(x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}\right) \in I-\{0\} \times S$. So, $x_{1} y_{1} \in I-\{0\}$ with $y_{1} \notin I$. As $I$ is a $P$-weakly primal, $x_{1} \in P-\{0\}$, and then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in P \times S-\phi_{\omega}(J)$ is not $\phi_{\omega}$-prime to $J$. On the other hand, assume that $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in P \times S-\phi_{w}(J)$, where $x_{1} \in P-\{0\}$ is not $\phi_{\omega}$-prime to $J$. As $x_{1} \in P-\{0\}, x_{1}$ is not weakly prime to $I$ then there exists $r \in T-I$ such that $x_{1} r \in I-\{0\}$. Thus, $(r, 1) \in T \times S-I \times S$; and then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)(r, 1) \in I-\{0\} \times S=I \times S-\{0\} \times S=J-\phi_{\omega}(J)$. Therefore, $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ is not $\phi_{\omega}$-prime to J.

The semiring of fractions is defined in [4] as follows: let $R$ be a semiring, and $S$ be the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements of $R(1 \in S)$. Define a relation $\sim$ on $R \times S$ as follows: for $(a, s),(b, t) \in R \times S,(a, s) \sim(b, t)$ if and only if $a t=b s$. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $R \times S$. For $(a, s) \in R \times S$, let us denote the equivalence classes of $\sim$ by $\frac{a}{s}$, and denote the set of all equivalence classes of
$\sim$ by $R_{S}$. Then $R_{S}$ is a semiring under the operations for which $\frac{a}{s}+\frac{b}{t}=\frac{a t+s b}{s t}$ and $\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)\left(\frac{b}{t}\right)=\frac{a b}{s t}$ for all $a, b \in R$ and $s, t \in S$. This new semiring $R_{S}$ is called the semiring of fractions of $R$ with respect to $S$; and its zero element is $\frac{0}{1}$. Its multiplicative identity element is $\frac{1}{1}$ and each element of $S$ has a multiplicative inverse in $R_{S}$.

Throughout the paper, $S$ will be the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements of a semiring $R$. Now suppose that $I$ is an ideal of a semiring $R$. The ideal generated by $I$ in $R_{S}$, that is, the set of all finite sums $s_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+s_{n} a_{n}$ where $a_{i} \in R_{S}$ and $s_{i} \in I$, is called the extension of $I$ to $R_{S}$, and is denoted by $I R_{S}$. Again, if $J$ is an ideal of $R_{S}$, then the contraction of $J$ in $R, J \cap R=\{r \in R: r / 1 \in J\}$ is clearly an ideal of $R$.

Let $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Define $\phi_{S}: \vartheta\left(R_{S}\right) \rightarrow \vartheta\left(R_{S}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi_{S}(J)=\phi(J \cap R) R_{S}\left(\right.$ and $\phi_{S}(J)=\emptyset$ if $\left.\phi(J \cap R)=\emptyset\right)$.

Proposition 2.8. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring, and let $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow$ $\vartheta(R) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Assume $P$ is an ideal of $R$ and $S$ is the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements in $R$ such that $P \cap S=\emptyset$. If $P$ is a $\phi$-prime ideal of $R$ and $\phi(P) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right)$, then $P R_{S}$ is a $\phi_{S}$-prime of $R_{S}$. Moreover, if $P R_{S} \neq \phi(P) R_{S}$, then $P R_{S} \cap R=P$.

Proof. Let $\frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{t} \in P R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right)$, and let $x y u \in P$ for some $u \in S$, and for any $w \in S, x y w \notin \phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right) \cap R$. If $x y w \in \phi(P)$, then $\frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{t} \in \phi(P) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So $x(y u) \in P-\phi(P)$. As $P$ is $\phi$-prime, we have $x \in P$ or $y u \in P$. Hence, $\frac{x}{s} \in P R_{S}$ or $\frac{y}{t} \in P R_{S}$. Assume $x \in P R_{S} \cap R$; so there exists $s \in S$ with $x s \in P$. If $x s \notin \phi(P)$, then $x s \in P-\phi(P)$, which implies that $x \in P$, and if $x s \in \phi(P)$, then $x \in \phi(P) R_{S} \cap R$, and so $P R_{S} \cap R \subseteq P \cup\left(\phi(P) R_{S} \cap R\right)$. Thus, $P R_{S} \cap R \subseteq P$ or $P R_{S} \cap R \subseteq \phi(P) R_{S} \cap R$. By this and the second case, we conclude that $P R_{S}=\phi(P) R_{S}$.

Note that $A$ is a $\phi$-primal if $P=A$. Since $\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)=\phi\left(I R_{S} \cap R\right) R_{S}$, then we have $I \subseteq I R_{S} \cap R$.

Lemma 2.9. Let Iand $A$ be $k$-deals of a commutative semiring $R$. Then,
(i) If $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal of $R$ with $P \cap S=\emptyset$, and $\phi(P) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right)$ such that $\frac{a}{s} \in I R_{S}$ and $\frac{a}{s} \notin \phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$, then $a \in I-\phi(I)$.
(ii) If $A$ is a $\phi$-primal ideal of $R$ with $A \cap S=\emptyset$, and $\phi(A) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(A R_{S}\right)$ such that $\frac{a}{s} \in A R_{S}$ and $\frac{a}{s} \notin \phi_{S}\left(A R_{S}\right)$, then $a \in A-\phi(A)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal ideal of $R$ and $\frac{a}{s} \in I R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$. Let $a \notin I-\phi(I)$. If $a \in \phi(I)$, then $\frac{a}{s} \in \phi(I) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So $a \notin \phi(I)$. If $a \notin I$, then there exists $t \in S$ such that $a t \in I$ and for any $w \in S$, $a w \notin \phi(I)$. Thus $a t \in I-\phi(I)$ with $a \notin I$, which contradicts with $S \cap P=\emptyset$.
(ii) Follows from (i) and Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.10. Let $I$ be a $k$-ideal of a semiring $R$. If $I$ is a $P_{\phi}$-primal, $S$ is the set of multiplicatively cancellable elements in $R, P \cap S=\emptyset$, and $\phi(P) R_{S} \subseteq$ $\phi_{S}\left(P R_{S}\right)$. Then $I R_{S}$ is a $P R_{S}-\phi_{S}$-primal ideal of $R_{S}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.8, $P R_{S}$ is a $\phi_{S}$-prime ideal of $R_{S}$. So it is enough to show that $P R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$ is exactly the set of all elements in $R_{S}$ that are not $\phi_{S}$-prime to $I R_{S}$. Assume that $\frac{r}{s}$ is not $\phi_{S}$-prime to $I R_{S}$; then there exists $\frac{x}{t} \in R_{S}-I R_{S}$ such that $\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{x}{t} \in I R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$. By Lemma 2.9, $r x \in I-\phi(I)$ with $x \notin I$, and so $r$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$; that is $r \in P$. Hence, $\frac{r}{s} \in P R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$. On the other hand, let $\frac{x}{s} \in P R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right), x u \in P$ for some $u \in S$ and $x w \notin \phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right) \cap R$ for all $w \in S$; then $x w \notin \phi(I)$; otherwise, $x w \in \phi(I)$ so $\frac{x}{s} \in \phi(I) R_{S} \subseteq \phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$ since $I \subseteq P$; and hence $x u \in P-\phi(I)$, $x u$ is not $\phi$-prime to $I$. So there exists $y \in R-I$ such that $x u y \in I-\phi(I) ; \frac{x y}{s}$ $=\frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{1} \in I R_{S}-\phi_{S}\left(I R_{S}\right)$ with $\frac{y}{1} \notin I R_{S}$.
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