## GENERALIZATIONS OF PRIMAL IDEALS OVER COMMUTATIVE SEMIRINGS

## Malik Bataineh and Ruba Malas

**Abstract.** In this article we generalize some definitions and results from ideals in rings to ideals in semirings. Let R be a commutative semiring with identity. Let  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function, where  $\vartheta(R)$  denotes the set of all ideals of R. A proper ideal  $I \in \vartheta(R)$  is called  $\phi$ -prime ideal if  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$  implies  $r \in I$  or  $a \in I$ . An element  $a \in R$  is called  $\phi$ -prime to I if  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$  (with  $r \in R$ ) implies that  $r \in I$ . We denote by p(I) the set of all elements of R that are not  $\phi$ -prime to I. I is called a  $\phi$ -primal ideal of R if the set  $P = p(I) \cup \phi(I)$  forms an ideal of R. Throughout this work, we define almost primal and  $\phi$ -primal ideals, and we also show that they enjoy many of the properties of primal ideals.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of semiring was introduced by H. S. Vandiver in 1935. The concept of primal ideals in a commutative ring R was introduced and studied in [6] (see also [7]). The set of elements of R that are not prime to I is denoted by S(I); while the set of elements of R that are not weakly prime to I is denoted by W(I). A proper ideal I of R is said to be a primal if S(I) forms an ideal; therefore, 0 is not necessarily a primal, such an ideal is always a prime ideal. If I is a primal ideal of R, then (P = the set of elements of R that are not prime to I) is a prime ideal of R, called the adjoint prime ideal P of I. In this case we also say that I is a *P*-primal ideal (see [4]). Also, a proper ideal I of R is called a weakly primal if the set  $P = W(I) \cup \{0\}$  forms an ideal; this ideal is called the weakly adjoint ideal P of I and is always a weakly prime ideal [5, Proposition 4]. Weakly primal ideals in a commutative semiring were introduced and studied by S. Ebrahimi Atani in [4]. Bhatwadekar and Sharma (2005) defined a proper ideal I of an integral domain Rto be almost prime if for  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I - I^2$ , either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  holds. This definition can obviously be made for any commutative ring R. Prime ideals play a central role in the commutative ring theory. Let  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function, where  $\vartheta(R)$  denotes the set of all ideals of R. D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh (2008) defined a proper ideal I of a commutative ring R to be a  $\phi$ -prime

Keywords and phrases: Primal ideal;  $\phi$ -prime ideal; weakly primal ideal;  $\phi$ -primal ideal.

133

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 16Y60

M. Bataineh, R. Malas

ideal if for  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I - \phi(I)$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . M. Henriksen (1958) called an ideal I of a semiring R a k-ideal, whenever  $x, x + y \in I$  implies  $y \in I$ .

Throughout the paper, R will be a commutative semiring with identity; however, in most places the existence of an identity plays no role. By a proper ideal Iof R, we mean an ideal  $I \in \vartheta(R)$  with  $I \neq R$ . Let us generalize the definition of a primal ideal; a proper ideal I of R is an almost primal (resp.,  $P_{\phi}$ -primal) if the set  $P = p(I) \cup I^2$  (resp.,  $P = p(I) \cup \phi(I)$ ) forms an ideal of R, where p(I) is the set of all elements in R that are not almost prime (resp.,  $\phi$ -prime) to I. This ideal is called the almost adjoint ideal P of I. Given two functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ , we define  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$  if  $\psi_1(I) \subseteq \psi_2(I)$  for each  $I \in \vartheta(R)$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let R be a commutative semiring. Define the following functions  $\phi_{\alpha} : \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  and the corresponding  $\phi_{\alpha}$ -primal ideals, by

$\phi_{arnothing}$	$\phi(J) = \emptyset$	a $\phi$ -primal ideal is a primal.
$\phi_0$	$\phi(J) = 0$	a $\phi\text{-}\mathrm{primal}$ ideal is a weakly primal.
$\phi_2$	$\phi(J) = J^2$	a $\phi\text{-}\mathrm{primal}$ ideal is an almost primal.
$\phi_n \ (n \ge 2)$	$\phi(J) = J^n$	a $\phi$ -primal ideal is a <i>n</i> -almost primal.
$\phi_{\omega}$	$\phi\left(J\right) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J^{n}$	a $\phi\text{-}\mathrm{primal}$ ideal is a $\omega\text{-}\mathrm{primal}.$
$\phi_1$	$\phi(J) = J$	a $\phi$ -primal ideal is any ideal.

Observe that  $\phi_{\varnothing} \leq \phi_0 \leq \phi_{\omega} \leq \cdots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n \leq \cdots \leq \phi_2$ .

REMARK 1.2. Let I be a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal in a commutative semiring R and  $a \in I - \phi(I)$ . As  $a \cdot 1_R \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $1_R \notin I$ , a is not a  $\phi$ -prime to I; and therefore,  $I \subseteq P$ .

## 2. Results

In this section, we give several characterizations of  $\phi$ -primal ideals.

THEOREM 2.1. Let I be a proper k-ideal of a commutative semiring R,  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function and P be a proper ideal. Then the following are equivalent.

(i) I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal.

(ii) For every  $a \notin P - \phi(I)$ ,  $(I :_R a) = I \cup (\phi(I) :_R a)$ , and for  $a \in P - \phi(I)$ ,  $I \cup (\phi(I) :_R a) \subsetneq (I :_R a)$ .

(iii) If  $a \notin P - \phi(I)$ , then  $(I :_R a) = A$  or  $(I :_R a) = (\phi(I) :_R a)$ , and if  $a \in P - \{\phi(I)\}$ , then  $I \subsetneq (I :_R a)$  and  $(\phi(I) :_R a) \subsetneq (I :_R a)$ .

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Let I be a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal of R. Then  $P - \phi(I)$  is exactly the set of all elements in R that are not  $\phi$ -prime to I. Suppose  $a \notin P - \phi(I)$ , and  $b \in (I :_R a)$ . If  $ab \notin \phi(I)$  as a is  $\phi$ - prime to I, then  $b \in I$ . If  $ab \in \phi(I)$ , then  $b \in (\phi(I) :_R a)$ . As the reverse containment holds for any ideal I, we get the equality. Now suppose that  $a \in P - \phi(I)$ . Then, there exists  $s \in R - I$  such that  $as \in I - \phi(I)$ ; hence  $s \in (I :_R a) - (I \cup (\phi(I) :_R a))$ .

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  Let  $a \notin P - \phi(I)$ . By [2, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2], if an ideal of R is a union of two k-ideals then it is equal to one of them. Moreover, if  $a \in P - \phi(I)$ , then by (ii), we have  $I \subsetneq (I :_R a)$  and  $(\phi(I) :_R a) \subsetneq (I :_R a)$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  Since  $P - \phi(I)$  is the set of all elements in R that are not  $\phi$ -prime to I, we conclude that I is P-almost primal.

THEOREM 2.2. Let R be a semiring. Then every k- $\phi$ -prime ideal of R is a  $\phi$ -primal.

*Proof.* Let A be a k- $\phi$ -prime ideal of R. Assume that  $A \neq \phi(A)$ . It is enough to show that  $A - \phi(A)$  is exactly the set of all elements in R that are not  $\phi$ -prime to A. Let  $a \in A - \phi(A)$ . Then  $a \cdot 1_R \in A - \phi(A)$  with  $1_R \notin A$ , gives that a is not  $\phi$ -prime to A. On the other hand, if  $a \notin A - \phi(A)$  and  $a \in \phi(A)$ , then a is a  $\phi$ -prime to A. If  $a \notin A$ , let  $b \in R$  be such that  $ab \in A - \phi(A)$ , which implies  $b \in A$ . Thus  $a \notin A - \phi(A)$  is  $\phi$ -prime to A. This shows that A is a  $\phi$ -primal ideal of R.

THEOREM 2.3. Let I be a k-ideal of a semiring R. If I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal of R, then P is a  $\phi$ -prime ideal of R.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a, b \notin P$ ; we show that either  $ab \in \phi(P)$  or  $ab \notin P$ . Assume that  $ab \notin \phi(P)$ . Let  $rab \in I - \phi(I)$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then, Theorem 2.1 gives that  $ra \in (I :_R b) = I \cup (\phi(I) : b)$  where  $ra \notin (\phi(I) :_R b)$ ; hence  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$ . Thus,  $r \in (I :_R a) = I \cup (\phi(I) : a)$ , and so  $r \in I$ . Therefore, ab is  $\phi$ -prime to I and  $ab \notin P$  as required.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let R be a commutative semiring and J a proper ideal of R.

(i) Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be functions with  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ . Then if  $J \psi_1$ -primal, then J is  $\psi_2$ -primal.

(ii) J primal  $\Rightarrow$  J weakly primal  $\Rightarrow$  J  $\omega$ -primal  $\Rightarrow$  J (n + 1)-almost primal  $\Rightarrow$  J n-almost primal  $(n \ge 2) \Rightarrow$  J almost primal.

*Proof.* (i) Assume that J is  $P-\psi_1$  primal ideal; we will show that J is a  $P-\psi_2$  primal ideal in R. By Theorem 2.3, P is  $\psi_1$ -prime and hence  $\psi_2$ -prime. It is enough to show that  $P-\psi_2(J)$  is exactly the set of all elements in R that are not  $\psi_2$ -prime to J. Let  $x \in P - \psi_2(J)$  and  $y \in R$  be such that  $xy \in J - \psi_2(J)$ . Since  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ , we get  $P-\psi_2(J) \subseteq P - \psi_1(J)$ . So, x is not  $\psi_1$ -prime to J, and thus  $y \notin J$ . Hence, x is not  $\psi_2$ -prime to J. Now let  $x \in R$  be not  $\psi_2$ -prime to J, then there exists  $y_0 \in R$  with  $xy_0 \in J - \psi_2(J)$  and  $y_0 \notin J$ . Now, we claim that x is not  $\psi_1$ -prime to J. Suppose not, that is for all  $y \in R$  with  $xy \in J - \psi_1(J)$  implies  $y \in J$ ; which is a contradiction, since  $xy_0 \in J - \psi_2(J)$  with  $y_0 \notin J$  so  $x \in P$ .

(*ii*) follows by (*i*).

We next give a further general conditions for  $\phi$ -primal ideals to be primal ideals.

M. Bataineh, R. Malas

THEOREM 2.5. Let R be a commutative semiring and  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ a function. Let P and I be k-ideals of R. If I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal of R that is not primal, then  $I^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Moreover, if P is a prime ideal of R with  $I^2 \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , then I is a P-primal ideal in R.

Proof. Suppose that  $I^2 \not\subseteq \phi(I)$  and P is a prime ideal of R. It suffices to show that P is exactly the set of elements that are not prime to I. If  $a \in P$ , then a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I, so a is not prime to I. Now, assume that a is not prime to I. Then, there exists  $r \in R - I$  such that  $ra \in I$ . If  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$ , then a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I; hence  $a \in P$ . So, assume that  $ra \in \phi(I)$ . If  $aI \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , then there exists  $r_0 \in I$  such that  $ar_0 \notin \phi(I)$ ;  $a(r+r_0) \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $r + r_0 \notin I$ . Since Iis a k-ideal, hence a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I, and we have  $a \in P$ . So, we can assume that  $aI \subseteq \phi(I)$ . If  $rI \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , then there exists  $c \in I$  such that  $rc \notin \phi(I)$  and so  $(a + c)r \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $r \notin I$ , which gives that  $a + c \in P$ ,  $a \in P$ . So we can assume  $rI \subseteq \phi(I)$ . As  $I^2 \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ , there exists  $a_0, b_0 \in I$  such that  $a_0b_0 \in I$  with  $a_0b_0 \notin \phi(I)$ . Hence  $(a + a_0)(r + b_0) \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $r + b_0 \notin I$ , which implies that  $a + a_0 \in P$ .

An ideal I of a semiring R is called a partitioning ideal (= Q-ideal) (see [4]) if there exists a subset Q of R such that

(1)  $R = \bigcup \{q + I : q \in Q\}$ , and

(2)  $(q_1 + I) \cap (q_2 + I) \neq \emptyset$ , for any  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$  if and only if  $q_1 = q_2$ .

Let I be a Q-ideal of a semiring R, and  $R/I = \{q + I : q \in Q\}$ . Then R/I forms a semiring under the binary operations  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$ , which are defined as follows:  $(q_1 + I) \oplus (q_2 + I) = q_3 + I$  for a unique element  $q_3 \in Q$  satisfying that  $q_1 + q_2 + I \subseteq q_3 + I$ 

 $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) = q_4 + I$ , for a unique element  $q_4 \in Q$  satisfying that  $q_1q_2 + I \subseteq q_4 + I$ . This semiring R/I is called the quotient semiring of R by I.

Let J be an ideal of R and  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. As in [1], we define  $\phi_J: \vartheta(R/J) \to \vartheta(R/J) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  by  $\phi_J(I/J) = (\phi(I) + J)/J$  for every ideal  $I \in \vartheta(R)$  with  $J \subseteq I$  (and  $\phi_J(I/J) = \emptyset$  if  $\phi(I) = \emptyset$ ).

THEOREM 2.6. Let J be a Q-ideal of a semiring R, I a proper k-ideal of R and J a  $\phi$ -prime ideal of R with  $J \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Then I is a  $\phi$ -primal if and only if I/Jis a  $\phi_J$ -primal of R/J.

Proof. Assume that I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal. It is easy to show P/J is  $\phi_J$ -prime. Let  $a + J \in P/J - \phi_J(I/J) = P/J - (\phi(I) + J)/J$ , where  $a \in P \cap Q$  [3, Proposition 2.2]. Then  $a \notin \phi(I)$ , and hence a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I, and so there exists  $r \in R - I$  such that  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$ . If  $ra \in J - \phi(J)$ , then  $J \phi$ -prime gives that  $r \in J$ , which is a contradiction since  $r \notin I$ . Thus  $ra \notin J - \phi(J)$ . There is an element  $q_1 \in Q$  such that  $r \in q_1 + J$ , so  $r = q_1 + c$  for some  $c \in J$ ; hence,  $aq_1 \notin J - \phi(I)$ . It follows that  $(q_1 + J) \odot (a + J) \in I/J - \phi_J(I/J)$  with  $q_1 + J \notin I/J$ , which implies that a + J is not  $\phi_J$ -prime to I/J. Now assume that b + J is not  $\phi_J$ -prime to I/J, where  $b \in Q$ . Then there exists  $c + J \in R/J - I/J$  such that  $(c + J) \odot (b + J) = q_2 + J$ .

 $J \in I/J - \phi_J(I/J)$  where  $q_2 \in Q \cap I$  and  $q_2 \notin \phi(I)$  is a unique element such that  $bc + J \subseteq q_2 + J$ ; hence  $cb \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $c \notin I$ . So,  $b \notin \phi(I)$  is not  $\phi$ -prime to I. Therefore,  $b + J \in P/J - \phi_J(I/J)$ .

On the other hand, let I/J be a P/J- $\phi_J$ -primal ideal of R/J; we show that I is a P- $\phi$ -primal. Let  $a \in P - \phi(I)$ ; we can assume that  $a \notin J$ , so there is an element  $q_3 \in Q$  such that  $a \in q_3 + J$  which can be written as  $a = q_3 + d$ , for some  $d \in J$ . As J is a  $\phi$ -prime ideal and  $q_3 + J \in P/J - \phi_J(I/J)$ , there exists  $r + J \in R/J - I/J$  such that  $(q_3+J) \oplus (r+J = q_4+J \in I/J - \phi_J(I/J))$ , where  $q_4$  is a unique element  $\in I \cap Q$  such that  $q_3r + J \subseteq q_4 + I$ ,  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $r \notin I$ . Thus, a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I. Now assume that a is not  $\phi$ -prime to I (so  $a \notin \phi(I)$ ). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $a \notin I$ , and then there is an element  $r \in R - I$  such that  $ra \in I - \phi(I)$ . So there are elements  $q_5, q_6 \in Q$  such that  $a \in q_5 + J$  and  $r \in q_6 + J$ ; so  $a = q_5 + e$  and  $r = q_6 + f$  for some  $e, f \in J$ , which leads to  $ef \in I - \phi(I)$ . Therefore, J is a  $\phi$ -prime ideal which gives  $q_7 + J = (q_5 + J) \odot (q_6 + J) \in I/J - \phi_J(I/J)$ , where  $q_7$  is a unique element  $\in Q \cap I$  such that  $q_5q_6 + J \subseteq q_7 + J$  with  $(q_6 + J) \notin I/J$ . Consequently,  $a + J = q_5 + e + J \in P/J - \phi_J(I/J)$ , since I/J is a P/J- $\phi_J$ -primal ideal of R/J and then  $a \in P$ .

Note that if P is a  $\phi$ -primal but not primal, then by Theorem 2.5,  $P^2 \subseteq \phi(P)$ . Moreover, if  $\phi \leq \phi_2$ , then  $P^2 \subseteq \phi(P) \subseteq P^2$ ; so  $\phi(P) = P^2$ . In particular, If P is a weakly primal but not a primal, then  $P^2 = 0$ . Now if  $\phi \leq \phi_3$ , then  $P^2 = \phi(P) \subseteq P^3$ ; so  $P^2 = P^3$ ; and hence P is idempotent. We next move to construct a  $\phi$ -primal ideal J where  $\phi_{\omega} \leq \phi$ .

THEOREM 2.7. Let T and S be commutative semirings and I a P-weakly primal ideal of T. Then  $J = I \times S$  is a  $\phi$ -primal ideal of  $R = T \times S$  for each  $\phi$  with  $\phi_{\omega} \leq \phi$ .

*Proof.* Assume that *I* is a primal; then it is clear that *J* is also a primal. Suppose that *I* is a *P*-weakly primal but not a primal. Then  $I^2 = 0$ ,  $J^2 = 0 \times S$ ; and hence  $\phi_{\omega}(J) = 0 \times S$ . Let us show that *J* is  $P \times S_{\phi_{\omega}}$ -primal of  $T \times S$ . It is enough to show that  $P \times S - \phi_{\omega}(J)$  is exactly the set of all elements that are not a  $\phi_{\omega}$ -prime to *J*. Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be not  $\phi_{\omega}$ -prime to *J*; then there exists  $(y_1, y_2) \in T \times S$  such that  $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in J - \phi_{\omega}(J)$  with  $(y_1, y_2) \notin J$ . Now,  $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in I \times S - \{0\} \times S$ ;  $(x_1y_1, x_2y_2) \in I - \{0\} \times S$ . So,  $x_1y_1 \in I - \{0\}$  with  $y_1 \notin I$ . As *I* is a *P*-weakly primal,  $x_1 \in P - \{0\}$ , and then  $(x_1, x_2) \in P \times S - \phi_{\omega}(J)$  is not  $\phi_{\omega}$ -prime to *J*. On the other hand, assume that  $(x_1, y_1) \in P \times S - \phi_{\omega}(J)$ , where  $x_1 \in P - \{0\}$  is not  $\phi_{\omega}$ -prime to *J*. As  $x_1 \in P - \{0\}$ . Thus,  $(r, 1) \in T \times S - I \times S$ ; and then  $(x_1, x_2)(r, 1) \in I - \{0\} \times S = I \times S - \{0\} \times S = J - \phi_{\omega}(J)$ . Therefore,  $(x_1, y_1)$  is not  $\phi_{\omega}$ -prime to *J*. ■

The semiring of fractions is defined in [4] as follows: let R be a semiring, and S be the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements of R  $(1 \in S)$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $R \times S$  as follows: for  $(a, s), (b, t) \in R \times S, (a, s) \sim (b, t)$  if and only if at = bs. Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $R \times S$ . For  $(a, s) \in R \times S$ , let us denote the equivalence classes of  $\sim$  by  $\frac{a}{s}$ , and denote the set of all equivalence classes of

~ by  $R_S$ . Then  $R_S$  is a semiring under the operations for which  $\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{t} = \frac{at+sb}{st}$ and  $(\frac{a}{s})(\frac{b}{t}) = \frac{ab}{st}$  for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $s, t \in S$ . This new semiring  $R_S$  is called the semiring of fractions of R with respect to S; and its zero element is  $\frac{0}{1}$ . Its multiplicative identity element is  $\frac{1}{1}$  and each element of S has a multiplicative inverse in  $R_S$ .

Throughout the paper, S will be the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements of a semiring R. Now suppose that I is an ideal of a semiring R. The ideal generated by I in  $R_S$ , that is, the set of all finite sums  $s_1a_1 + \cdots + s_na_n$  where  $a_i \in R_S$  and  $s_i \in I$ , is called the extension of I to  $R_S$ , and is denoted by  $IR_S$ . Again, if J is an ideal of  $R_S$ , then the contraction of J in R,  $J \cap R = \{r \in R : r/1 \in J\}$  is clearly an ideal of R.

Let  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \to \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Define  $\phi_S: \vartheta(R_S) \to \vartheta(R_S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  by  $\phi_S(J) = \phi(J \cap R)R_S$  (and  $\phi_S(J) = \emptyset$  if  $\phi(J \cap R) = \emptyset$ ).

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let R be a commutative semiring, and let  $\phi: \vartheta(R) \rightarrow \vartheta(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Assume P is an ideal of R and S is the set of all multiplicatively cancellable elements in R such that  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ . If P is a  $\phi$ -prime ideal of R and  $\phi(P)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(PR_S)$ , then  $PR_S$  is a  $\phi_S$ -prime of  $R_S$ . Moreover, if  $PR_S \neq \phi(P)R_S$ , then  $PR_S \cap R = P$ .

Proof. Let  $\frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{t} \in PR_S - \phi_S(PR_S)$ , and let  $xyu \in P$  for some  $u \in S$ , and for any  $w \in S$ ,  $xyw \notin \phi_S(PR_S) \cap R$ . If  $xyw \in \phi(P)$ , then  $\frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{t} \in \phi(P)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(PR_S)$ , which is a contradiction. So  $x(yu) \in P - \phi(P)$ . As P is  $\phi$ -prime, we have  $x \in P$ or  $yu \in P$ . Hence,  $\frac{x}{s} \in PR_S$  or  $\frac{y}{t} \in PR_S$ . Assume  $x \in PR_S \cap R$ ; so there exists  $s \in S$  with  $xs \in P$ . If  $xs \notin \phi(P)$ , then  $xs \in P - \phi(P)$ , which implies that  $x \in P$ , and if  $xs \in \phi(P)$ , then  $x \in \phi(P)R_S \cap R$ , and so  $PR_S \cap R \subseteq P \cup (\phi(P)R_S \cap R)$ . Thus,  $PR_S \cap R \subseteq P$  or  $PR_S \cap R \subseteq \phi(P)R_S \cap R$ . By this and the second case, we conclude that  $PR_S = \phi(P)R_S$ .

Note that A is a  $\phi$ -primal if P = A. Since  $\phi_S(IR_S) = \phi(IR_S \cap R)R_S$ , then we have  $I \subseteq IR_S \cap R$ .

LEMMA 2.9. Let I and A be k-deals of a commutative semiring R. Then,

(i) If I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal of R with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ , and  $\phi(P)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(PR_S)$ such that  $\frac{a}{s} \in IR_S$  and  $\frac{a}{s} \notin \phi_S(IR_S)$ , then  $a \in I - \phi(I)$ .

(ii) If A is a  $\phi$ -primal ideal of R with  $A \cap S = \emptyset$ , and  $\phi(A)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(AR_S)$ such that  $\frac{a}{s} \in AR_S$  and  $\frac{a}{s} \notin \phi_S(AR_S)$ , then  $a \in A - \phi(A)$ .

Proof. (i) Assume that I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal ideal of R and  $\frac{a}{s} \in IR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$ . Let  $a \notin I - \phi(I)$ . If  $a \in \phi(I)$ , then  $\frac{a}{s} \in \phi(I)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(IR_S)$ , which is a contradiction. So  $a \notin \phi(I)$ . If  $a \notin I$ , then there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $at \in I$  and for any  $w \in S$ ,  $aw \notin \phi(I)$ . Thus  $at \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $a \notin I$ , which contradicts with  $S \cap P = \emptyset$ .

(*ii*) Follows from (*i*) and Theorem 2.2.  $\blacksquare$ 

THEOREM 2.10. Let I be a k-ideal of a semiring R. If I is a  $P_{\phi}$ -primal, S is the set of multiplicatively cancellable elements in R,  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ , and  $\phi(P)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(PR_S)$ . Then  $IR_S$  is a  $PR_S - \phi_S$ -primal ideal of  $R_S$ .

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.8,  $PR_S$  is a  $\phi_S$ -prime ideal of  $R_S$ . So it is enough to show that  $PR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$  is exactly the set of all elements in  $R_S$  that are not  $\phi_S$ -prime to  $IR_S$ . Assume that  $\frac{r}{s}$  is not  $\phi_S$ -prime to  $IR_S$ ; then there exists  $\frac{x}{t} \in R_S - IR_S$  such that  $\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{x}{t} \in IR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $rx \in I - \phi(I)$  with  $x \notin I$ , and so r is not  $\phi$ -prime to I; that is  $r \in P$ . Hence,  $\frac{r}{s} \in PR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$ . On the other hand, let  $\frac{x}{s} \in PR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$ ,  $xu \in P$  for some  $u \in S$  and  $xw \notin \phi_S(IR_S) \cap R$  for all  $w \in S$ ; then  $xw \notin \phi(I)$ ; otherwise,  $xw \in \phi(I)$  so  $\frac{x}{s} \in \phi(I)R_S \subseteq \phi_S(IR_S)$  since  $I \subseteq P$ ; and hence  $xu \in P - \phi(I)$ , xu is not  $\phi$ -prime to I. So there exists  $y \in R - I$  such that  $xuy \in I - \phi(I)$ ;  $\frac{xy}{s} = \frac{x}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{1} \in IR_S - \phi_S(IR_S)$  with  $\frac{y}{1} \notin IR_S$ .

REFERENCES

- D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, Generalizations of prime ideals, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008), 686–696.
- S. Ebrahimi Atani, On k-weakly primary ideals over semirings, Sarajevo J. Math. 3 (2007), 9–13.
- [3] S. Ebrahimi Atani, The ideal theory in quotients of commutative semirings, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 42 (2007), 301–308.
- [4] S. Ebrahimi Atani, On primal and weakly primal ideals over commutative semirings, Glas. Mat. 43 (2008), 13–23.
- [5] S. Ebrahimi Atani and A. Y. Darani, On weakly primal ideals (I), Demonstratio Math. 40 (2007), 23–32.
- [6] L. Fuchs, On primal ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 1-6.
- [7] L. Fuchs and E. Mosteig, Ideal theory in Prufer domains, J. Algebra 252 (2002), 411–430.

(received 29.06.2012; in revised form 14.08.2013; available online 15.12.2013)

Mathematics Department, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, Jordan *E-mail*: msbataineh@just.edu.jo