

**DISTORTION THEOREMS FOR FRACTIONAL CALCULUS
OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS**

Sang Keun Lee, Shigeyoshi Owa, Tadayuki Sekine and Milutin Obradović

Abstract. We give some distortion theorems for fractional calculus of analytic functions with negative coefficients belonging to a certain generalized class $T_k(j, \alpha)$ introduced by Owa and Lee [5].

Introduction. Let T_k be the class of functions of the form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0; k \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

For $f(z)$ in T_k , we define

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z), D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z f'(z), \\ D^j f(z) &= D(D^{j-1} f(z)) \quad (j \in N). \end{aligned}$$

The above differential operator D^j was introduced by Salagean [8].

With the differential operator D^j , a function $f(z)$ in T_k is said to be in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\{D^j f(z)/z\} > \alpha \quad (j \in N \cup \{0\})$$

for some $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, and for all $z \in U$.

In order to show our distortion theorems for fractional calculus of functions in $T_k(j, \alpha)$, we need the following lemma due to Owa and Lee [5].

LEMMA. *Let the function $f(z)$ be in the class T_k . Then $f(z)$ is in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^j a_n \leq 1 - \alpha$.*

2. Distortion theorems for fractional calculus. Many essentially equivalent definitions of the fractional calculus, that is the fractional derivatives and the fractional integrals, can be found in the literature ([1, 2, 6] and [7]). We find it convenient to recall here the following definitions which were used recently by Owa ([3, 4]).

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\lambda}} d\xi,$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi)$

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\lambda} d\xi,$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi) > 0$.

Definition 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $(n+\lambda)$ is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = d^n D_z^\lambda f(z) / dz^n \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1; n \in N \cup \{0\}).$$

Now, we prove

THEOREM 1. *Let the function $f(z)$, defined by (1), be in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$. Then*

$$(2) \quad |D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z))| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^k \right\},$$

$$(3) \quad |D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z))| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^k \right\}$$

For $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq i \leq j$, and $z \in U$. The equalities in (2) and (3) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(4) \quad f(z) = z - (1-\alpha)(k+1)^{-j} z^{k+1}.$$

Proof. We note that

$$(5) \quad \Gamma(2+\lambda) z^{-\lambda} D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z)) = z - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1+\lambda)} n^i a_n z^n$$

Defining the function $\varphi(n)$ by

$$\varphi(n) = \Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda)/\Gamma(n+1+\lambda) \quad (n \geq k+1),$$

we can see that $\varphi(n)$ is decreasing in n , that is, that

$$(6) \quad 0 < \varphi(n) \leq \varphi(k+1) = \Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)/\Gamma(k+2+\lambda).$$

On the other hand, our Lemma implies

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^i a_n \leq (1-\alpha)(k+1)^{-(j-1)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq j).$$

Therefore, by using (5), (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2+\lambda)z^{-\lambda}D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z))| &\geq |z|^{-\varphi(k+1)} |z|^{k+1} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^i a_n \\ &\geq -\frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2), and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2+\lambda)z^{-\lambda}D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z))| &\leq |z|^{+\varphi(k+1)} |z|^{k+1} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^i a_n \\ &\leq |z|^{+\varphi(k+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which shows (3)

Further, since the equalities in (2) and (3) are attained for the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$D_z^{-\lambda}(D^i f(z)) = \frac{z^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} z^k \right\},$$

that is, defined by (4), the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 1, we have:

COROLLARY 1. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$.*

Then

$$(8) \quad |D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^j} |z|^k \right\},$$

$$(9) \quad |D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^j} |z|^k \right\}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $z \in U$. The equalities in (8) and (9) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (4).

Remark. Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in Corollary, we have the former result by Owa and Lee [5].

Next, we prove

THEOREM 2. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$.*

Then

$$(10) \quad |D_z^\lambda(D^i f(z))| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^k \right\},$$

$$(11) \quad |D_z^\lambda(D^i f(z))| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2+\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2+\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^k \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $0 \leq i \leq j-1$, and $z \in U$. The equalities in (10) and (11) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (4).

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$(12) \quad \Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda(D^i(z)) = z - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} n^i a_n z^n.$$

Since the function

$$\psi(n) = \Gamma(n)\Gamma(2-\lambda)/\Gamma(n+1-\lambda) \quad (n \geq k+1)$$

is decreasing in n , we have

$$(13) \quad 0 < \psi(n) \leq \psi(k+1) = \Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)/\Gamma(k+2-\lambda).$$

Further, note that our Lemma gives

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^{i+1} a_n \leq (1-\alpha)(k+1)^{-(j-i-1)}$$

for $f(z) \in T_k(j, \alpha)$. It follows from (12), (13), and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda(D^i f(z))| &\geq |z| - \psi(k+1) |z|^{k+1} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^{i+1} a_n \\ &\geq |z| - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2-\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (10), and that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2-\lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda(D^i f(z))| &\leq |z| + \psi(k+1) |z|^{k+1} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n^{i+1} a_n \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2-\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} |z|^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (11).

Finally, we can see that the equalities in (10) and (11) are attained for the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$D_z^\lambda(D^i f(z)) = \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2-\lambda)(k+1)^{j-1}} z^k \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Making $i = 0$ in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 2. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $T_k(j, \alpha)$.*

Then

$$(15) \quad |D_z^\lambda f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2-\lambda)(k+1)^j} |z|^k \right\},$$

$$(16) \quad |D_z^\lambda f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(2-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+2-\lambda)(k+1)^j} |z|^k \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $z \in U$. The equalities in (15) and (16) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (4).

Remark 2. Letting $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ in Corollary 2, we have the former theorems due to Owa and Lee [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Nichimoto, *Fractional derivative and integral. Part I*, j. Coll. Engrg. Nihon Univ. **B-17** (1976), 11-19.
- [2] T. J. Osler, *Leibniz rule for fractional derivative generalized and an application to infinite series*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **18** (1970), 658-674.
- [3] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems, I*, Kyungpook Math. **18** (1978), 53-59.
- [4] S. Owad, *Some applications of the fractional calculus*, Research Notes in Math. 138, Pitman, Boston, London and Melbourne, 1985, 164-175.
- [5] S. Owa and S. K. Lee, *Certain generalized class of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, to appear.
- [6] B. Ross, *A Brief History and Exposition of the Fundamental Theory of Fractional Calculus*, Lecture Notes in Math. 457, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg and New York, 1975, 1-36.
- [7] M. Saigo, *A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions*, Math. Rep. Coll. General Ed. Kyushu Univ. **11** (1978), 135-143.
- [8] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of Univalent Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and new York, 1983, 362-372.

S. K. Lee
Department of Mathematics
Gyeongsang National University
Jinju 620
Korea

S. Owa
Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577
Japan

T. Sekine:
Department of Mathematics
College of Science
and Tehnology
Nihon University
Chiyoda, Tokyo 101
Japan

M. Obradović:
Katedra za matematiku
Tehnološko-metalurški Fakultet
Karnegijeva 4
11000 Beograd
Yugoslavia

(Received 12 11 1986)