PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE
Nouvelle série, tome 85(99) (2009), 39–46 DOI:10.2298/PIM0999039R

DISTANCE SPECTRA AND DISTANCE ENERGIES OF ITERATED LINE GRAPHS OF REGULAR GRAPHS

H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, and H. B. Walikar

Communicated by Slobodan Simić

Abstract. The distance or D-eigenvalues of a graph G are the eigenvalues of its distance matrix. The distance or D-energy $E_D(G)$ of the graph G is the sum of the absolute values of its D-eigenvalues. Two graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be D-equienergetic if $E_D(G_1) = E_D(G_2)$. Let F_1 be the 5-vertex path, F_2 the graph obtained by identifying one vertex of a triangle with one end vertex of the 3-vertex path, F_3 the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of a triangle with a vertex of another triangle and F_4 be the graph obtained by identifying one end vertex of a 4-vertex star with a middle vertex of a 3-vertex path. In this paper we show that if G is r-regular, with $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$, and F_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are not induced subgraphs of G, then the k-th iterated line graph $L^k(G)$ has exactly one positive D-eigenvalue. Further, if G is r-regular, of order n, $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$, and G does not have F_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as an induced subgraph, then for $k \geqslant 1$, $E_D(L^k(G))$ depends solely on n and r. This result leads to the construction of non D-cospectral, D-equienergetic graphs having same number of vertices and same number of edges.

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple, undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. Let n be the number of vertices and m the number of edges of G. The vertices of G are labelled as $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$. The distance between the vertices v_i and v_j is the length of a shortest path between them, and is denoted by $d(v_i, v_j)$. The diameter of G, denoted by $\operatorname{diam}(G)$, is the maximum distance between any pair of vertices of G [**6, 15**].

The adjacency matrix of a graph G is the square matrix $A = A(G) = [a_{ij}]$, in which $a_{ij} = 1$ if v_i is adjacent to v_j and $a_{ij} = 0$, otherwise. The eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ are the (adjacency or ordinary) eigenvalues of G, forming the (adjacency or ordinary) spectrum of G [**8**]. These will be labelled as $\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \dots \geqslant \lambda_n$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05C12, 05C50.

The distance matrix of a graph G is the square matrix $D = D(G) = [d_{ij}]$, in which d_{ij} is the distance between the vertices v_i and v_j in G. The eigenvalues of the distance matrix $D(G)$, labelled as $\mu_1 \geqslant \mu_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \mu_n$, are said to be the distance or D-eigenvalues of G and to form the distance or D-spectrum of G [**6, 7**].

Two connected graphs G and H are said to be D-cospectral if they have same D-spectra. The characteristic polynomial and eigenvalues of the distance matrix of graphs have been considered in [**9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 34**].

The distance or D-energy of a connected graph G is defined as

$$E_D = E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i| \ . \tag{1}$$

The D-energy was first time introduced by Indulal et al. [**19**], and was conceived in full analogy with the ordinary graph energy $E(G)$, defined as [**12, 13, 14**]

$$E = E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i| \ . \tag{2}$$

Various bounds for the D-energy have been communicated in [**19, 27**].

Two graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be equienergetic if $E(G_1) = E(G_2)$. A large number of constructions of non-cospectral, equienergetic graphs was recently reported [**1, 2, 3, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33**].

Two graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be D-equienergetic if $E_D(G_1) = E_D(G_2)$. Of course D-cospectral graphs are D-equienergetic. We are interested in non D-cospectral, D-equienergetic graphs having same number of vertices. Recently Indulal et al. [**19**] constructed pairs of D-equienergetic graphs on n vertices for $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$. In this paper we give one more class of D-equienergetic graphs.

We need the following results.

THEOREM 1. [**8**] *If G is an r-regular graph, then its maximum adjacency eigenvalue is equal to r.*

THEOREM 2. [**7, 19**] *Let G be an r-regular graph of order n and $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$. If $r, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the adjacency eigenvalues of G, then its D-eigenvalues are $2n-r-2$ and $-(\lambda_i + 2)$, $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$.*

2. On the line graph of a regular graph

The line graph of G will be denoted by $L(G)$ [**15**]. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, the k-th iterated line graph of G is $L^k(G) = L(L^{k-1}(G))$, where $L^0(G) = G$ and $L^1(G) = L(G)$.

The line graph of a regular graph is a regular graph. In particular, the line graph of a regular graph G of order n_0 and of degree r_0 is a regular graph of order $n_1 = (r_0 n_0)/2$ and of degree $r_1 = 2r_0 - 2$. Consequently, the order and degree of $L^k(G)$ are [**4, 5**]:

$$n_k = \tfrac{1}{2}\, r_{k-1} n_{k-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_k = 2r_{k-1} - 2$$

where n_i and r_i stand for the order and degree of $L^i(G)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore,

$$r_k = 2^k r_0 - 2^{k+1} + 2 \tag{3}$$

and

$$n_k = \frac{n_0}{2^k} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i = n_0 \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (2^{i-1} r_0 - 2^i + 1) \ . \tag{4}$$

THEOREM 3. [**31**] *If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the adjacency eigenvalues of a regular graph G of order n and of degree r, then the adjacency eigenvalues of $L(G)$ are*

$$\lambda_i + r - 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \qquad \text{and}$$
$$-2, \quad n(r-2)/2 \quad \text{times.}$$

For any set S of vertices (edges) of G, the induced subgraph $< S >$ is the maximal subgraph of G with vertex set (edge set) S.

Let F_1 be the 5-vertex path, F_2 the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of a triangle with one end vertex of the 3-vertex path, F_3 the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of a triangle with a vertex of another triangle and F_4 be the graph obtained by identifying one end vertex of a 4-vertex star with a middle vertex of a 3-vertex path, see Fig. 1.



FIGURE 1. The forbidden subgraphs.

THEOREM 4. *If* $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ *and if none of the three graphs F_1, F_2 and F_3 of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of G, then* $\operatorname{diam}(L(G)) \leqslant 2$.

PROOF. The results can be easily verified for $n \leqslant 4$. We thus assume that $n > 4$.

Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$ be the edges of a graph G. These are the vertices of $L(G)$. If the edges e_i and e_j are adjacent in G, then the vertices e_i and e_j are adjacent in $L(G)$. Therefore the distance between e_i and e_j in $L(G)$ is 1.

If the edges e_i and e_j are not adjacent in G, then we consider two cases.

Case 1: Suppose $\mathrm{diam}(G) = 1$. Then $G \cong K_n$ a complete graph on n vertices. In K_n, if the edges e_i and e_j are not adjacent then, because every vertex of K_n is adjacent to the remaining vertices, there exists an edge e_k in K_n adjacent to both e_i and e_j. Therefore in $L(K_n)$, $d(e_i, e_j) = d(e_i, e_k) + d(e_k, e_j) = 1 + 1 = 2$. Hence $\mathrm{diam}(L(G)) = 2$, for all $n \geqslant 4$.

Case 2: Suppose $\mathrm{diam}(G) = 2$. Consider the conditions under which $L(G)$ will have diameter greater than 2. For this G must possess two independent edges say $e_i = (uv)$ (connecting the vertices u and v) and $e_j = (xy)$ (connecting the vertices x and y), such that neither u nor v are adjacent to either x or y. If so, then because G has diameter 2, there must exist a vertex w adjacent to u and x. If w is not adjacent to either v or y, then G has F_1 as induced subgraph (spanned by the vertices u, v, x, y and w). If w is adjacent to v, but not to y (or, what is the same, adjacent to y but not to v), then G has F_2 as induced subgraph. If w is adjacent to both v and y, then G has F_3 as induced subgraph. Hence, if none of F_i $i = 1, 2, 3$, is an induced subgraph of G, then $\mathrm{diam}(L(G)) \leqslant 2$. □

THEOREM 5. *If* $\mathrm{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ *and if none of the four graphs of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of* G*, then none of the four graphs of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of* $L(G)$.

PROOF. If $\mathrm{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ and none of the four graphs of Fig. 1 is an induced subgraph of G, then any 5-edge subset of the edges of G induces one of the graphs depicted in Fig. 2.

None of the line graphs of graphs depicted in Fig. 2 has F_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as an induced subgraph. Hence the proof. □

Combining Theorems 4 and 5 we have following Theorem.

THEOREM 6. *If* $\mathrm{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ *and if none of the four graphs of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of* G*, then for* $k \geqslant 1$*,*

(i) $\mathrm{diam}(L^k(G)) \leqslant 2$ *and*

(ii) *none of the four graphs of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of* $L^k(G)$.

THEOREM 7. *If* G *is an* r*-regular graph of order* n *with* $\mathrm{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ *and if none of the three graphs* F_1, F_2 *and* F_3 *of Fig.* 1 *is an induced subgraph of* G*, then* $L(G)$ *has exactly one positive* D*-eigenvalue, equal to* $nr - 2r$.

PROOF. Let $r, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the adjacency eigenvalues of a regular graph G. Then from Theorem 3, the adjacency eigenvalues of of $L(G)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_i + r - 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \qquad \text{and} \\ &-2, \quad n(r-2)/2 \quad \text{times} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The graph G is regular of degree r and has order n. Therefore $L(G)$ is a regular graph on $nr/2$ vertices and of degree $2r - 2$. As $\mathrm{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ and none of the three



FIGURE 2. 5-edge graphs.

graphs F_1, F_2 and F_3 of Fig. 1 is an induced subgraph of G, from Theorem 4, $\operatorname{diam}(L(G)) \leqslant 2$. Therefore from Theorem 2 and Eq. (5), the D-eigenvalues of $L(G)$ are

$$(6) \qquad \begin{cases} nr - 2r, & \text{and} \\ -(\lambda_i + r), & i = 2, 3, \ldots, n \qquad \text{and} \\ 0, & n(r-2)/2 \quad \text{times}. \end{cases}$$

All eigenvalues of a regular graph of degree r satisfy the condition $-r \leqslant \lambda_i \leqslant r$ [**8**]. Therefore $\lambda_i + r \geqslant 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The theorem follows from Eq. (6). □

COROLLARY 7.1. *Let G be an r-regular graph on n vertices with* $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ *and let none of the four graphs of Fig. 1 be an induced subgraph of G. Let n_k and r_k be the order and degree, respectively, of the k-th iterated line graph $L^k(G)$ of G,*

$k \geqslant 1$. Then $L^k(G)$ has exactly one positive D-eigenvalue equal to

$$n_{k-1}r_{k-1} - 2r_{k-1} = 2n_k - r_k - 2 = 2n \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}[2^{i-1}r - 2^i + 1] - [2^k r - 2^{k+1} + 4].$$

3. Distance energy

The D-energy $E_D(G)$ of a graph G is defined via Eq. (1).

THEOREM 8. *If G is an r-regular graph of order n with $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ and if none of the three graphs F_1, F_2 and F_3 of Fig. 1 is an induced subgraph of G, then $E_D(L(G)) = 2nr - 4r$.*

PROOF. Bearing in mind Theorem 7 and Eq. (6), the D-energy of $L(G)$ is computed as:

$$E_D(L(G)) = nr - 2r + \sum_{i=2}^{n}(\lambda_i + r) + |0| \times \frac{n(r-2)}{2} = 2nr - 4r$$

since $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_i = -r$. □

COROLLARY 8.1. *Let G be an r_0-regular graph of order n_0 with $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leqslant 2$ and let none of the four graphs of Fig. 1 be an induced subgraph of G. Let n_k and r_k be the order and degree, respectively, of the k-th iterated line graph $L^k(G)$ of G, $k \geqslant 1$. Then*

$$E_D(L^k(G)) = 2n_{k-1}r_{k-1} - 4r_{k-1} = 4n_k - 2r_k - 4.$$

COROLLARY 8.2. *Under the same conditions as in the previous corollary,*

$$E_D(L^k(G)) = 4n_0 \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(2^{i-1}r_0 - 2^i + 1) - 2(2^k r_0 - 2^{k+1} + 4).$$

From Corollary 8.2 we see that the D-energy of the k-th iterated line graph of a regular graph G of diameter less than or equal to 2, that does not contain F_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, as an induced subgraph is fully determined by the order n_0 and degree r_0 of G.

4. Distance-equienergetic graphs

LEMMA 9. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two regular graphs of the same order and of the same degree. Let $\operatorname{diam}(G_i) \leqslant 2$, and none of the four graphs of Fig. 1 be an induced subgraph of G_i, $i = 1, 2$. Then for any $k \geqslant 1$ the following holds:*

(i) $L^k(G_1)$ and $L^k(G_2)$ are of the same order, same degree and have the same number of edges.

(ii) $L^k(G_1)$ and $L^k(G_2)$ are D-cospectral if and only if G_1 and G_2 are cospectral.

PROOF. Statement (i) follows from Eqs. (3) and (4), and the fact that the number of edges of $L^k(G)$ is equal to the number of vertices of $L^{k+1}(G)$. Statement (ii) follows from Eqs. (5) and (6), and Theorem 6. □

THEOREM 10. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two non D-cospectral regular graphs of the same order and of the same degree. Let* $\operatorname{diam}(G_i) \leqslant 2$ *and let none of the four graphs of Fig. 1 be an induced subgraphs of G_i, $i = 1, 2$. Then for any $k \geqslant 1$, the iterated line graphs $L^k(G_1)$ and $L^k(G_2)$ form a pair of non D-cospectral, D-equienergetic graphs of equal order and of equal number of edges.*

PROOF. follows from Lemma 9 and Corollary 8.2. □

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to referee for suggestions, especially for improving the proof of Theorem 5. One author (H. S. R.) thanks the Visvesvaraya Technological University, India, for support through Research Grants Scheme (No. VTU/Aca–RGS/2008–2009/7198). Another author (I. G.) thanks the support by the Serbian Ministry of Science, through Grant no. 144015G, and Project "Graph Theory and Mathematical Programming with Applications to Chemistry and Engineering".

References

[1] R. Balakrishnan, *The energy of a graph*, Lin. Algebra Appl. **387** (2004), 287–295.
[2] A. S. Bonifácio, C. T. M. Vinagre, N. M. M. de Abreu, *Constructing pairs of equienergetic and non-cospectral graphs*, Appl. Math. Lett. **21** (2008), 338–341.
[3] V. Brankov, D. Stevanović, I. Gutman, *Equienergetic chemical trees*, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. **69** (2004), 549–553.
[4] F. Buckley, *Iterated line graphs*, Congr. Numer. **33** (1981), 390–394.
[5] F. Buckley, *The size of iterated line graphs*, Graph Theory Notes New York **25** (1993), 33–36.
[6] F. Buckley, F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison–Wesley, Redwood, 1990.
[7] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, I. Gutman, A. Torgašev, *Recent Results in the Theory of Graph Spectra*, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
[8] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application*, third ed., Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg, 1995.
[9] M. Edelberg, M. R. Garey, R. L. Graham, *On the distance matrix of a tree*, Discr. Math. **14** (1976), 23–39.
[10] R. L. Graham, L. Lovász, *Distance matrix polynomial of trees*, Adv. Math. **29** (1978), 60–88.
[11] R. L. Graham, H. O. Pollak, *On the addressing problem for loop switching*, Bell System Tech. J. **50** (1971), 2495–2519.
[12] I. Gutman, *The energy of a graph*, Ber. Math. Stat. Sekt. Forschungsz. Graz **103** (1978), 1–22.
[13] I. Gutman, *The energy of a graph: Old and new results*, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (Eds.), *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196–211.
[14] I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
[15] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison–Wesley, Reading, 1969.
[16] A. J. Hoffman, M. H. McAndrew, *The polynomial of a directed graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 303–309.
[17] H. Hosoya, M. Murakami, M. Gotoh, *Distance polynomial and characterization of a graph*, Nat. Sci. Rept. Ochanumizu Univ. **24** (1973), 27–34.
[18] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, *On the distance spectra of some graphs*, Math. Commun. **13** (2008), 123–131.
[19] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, A. Vijaykumar, *On the distance energy of a graph*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **60** (2008), 461–472.

[20] G. Indulal, A. Vijaykumar, *On a pair of equienergetic graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **55** (2006), 83–90.
[21] G. Indulal, A. Vijaykumar, *A note on the energy of some graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **59** (2008), 269–274.
[22] G. Indulal, A. Vijaykumar, *Equienergetic self-complementary graphs*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **58** (2008), 451–461.
[23] J. Liu, B. Liu, *Note on a pair of equienergetic graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **59** (2008), 275–278.
[24] W. López, J. Rada, *Equienergetic digraphs*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (2007), 361–372.
[25] O. Miljković, B. Furtula, S. Radenković, I. Gutman, *Equienergetic and almost–equienergetic trees*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **61** (2009), 451–461.
[26] H. S. Ramane, I. Gutman, H. B. Walikar, S. B. Halkarni, *Equienergetic complement graphs*, Kragujevac J. Sci. **27** (2005), 67–74.
[27] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, H. B. Walikar, *Bounds for the distance energy of a graph*, Kragujevac J. Math. **31** (2009), 59–68.
[28] H. S. Ramane, H. B. Walikar, *Construction of equienergetic graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **57** (2007), 203–210.
[29] H. S. Ramane, H. B. Walikar, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, P. R. Hampiholi, S. R. Jog, I. Gutman, *Equienergetic graphs*, Kragujevac J. Math. **26** (2004), 5–13.
[30] H. S. Ramane, H. B. Walikar, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, P. R. Hampiholi, S. R. Jog, I. Gutman, *Spectra and energies of iterated line graphs of regular graphs*, Appl. Math. Lett. **18** (2005), 679–682.
[31] H. Sachs, *Über Teiler, Faktoren und charakteristische Polynome von Graphen, Teil II*, Wiss. Z. TH Ilmenau **13** (1967), 405–412.
[32] D. Stevanović, *Energy and NEPS of graphs*, Lin. Multilin. Algebra **53** (2005), 67–74.
[33] H. B. Walikar, H. S. Ramane, I. Gutman, S. B. Halkarni, *On equienergetic graphs and molecular graphs*, Kragujevac J. Sci. **29** (2007), 73–84.
[34] B. Zhou, *On the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of a tree*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **58** (2007), 657–662.

(Received 20 12 2007)
(Revised 21 06 2008)

Harishchandra S. Ramane, Deepak S. Revankar
Department of Mathematics
Gogte Institute of Technology
Udyambag, Belgaum – 590008
India
hsramane@yahoo.com
revankards@rediffmail.com

Ivan Gutman
Faculty of Science
University of Kragujevac
P. O. Box 60
34000 Kragujevac
Serbia
gutman@kg.ac.rs

Hanumappa B. Walikar
Department of Computer Science
Karnatak University
Dharwad – 580003
India
walikarhb@yahoo.co.in