

## ON A CONVERGENT PROCESS OF BERNSTEIN

László Szili and Péter Vértesi

ABSTRACT. Bernstein in 1930 defined a convergent interpolation process based on the roots of the Chebyshev polynomials. We prove a similar statement for certain Jacobi roots.

### 1. Introduction. Preliminary results

**1.1.** In 1930, Bernstein [1] (cf. [2], too) defined the following convergent interpolatory process on the roots of

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x) = \cos n\vartheta, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(Chebyshev polynomials); the roots are

$$(1.1) \quad x_{kn} = \cos \vartheta_{kn} = \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n} \pi, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $l, q$  be natural numbers; for simplicity we suppose that  $n = 2lq$ . We divide the nodes into  $q$  rows as follows.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_{1n} & x_{2n} & \dots & x_{2l,n} \\ x_{2l+1,n} & x_{2l+2,n} & \dots & x_{4l,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{2l(q-1)+1,n} & x_{2l(q-1)+2,n} & \dots & x_{2lq,n} \end{array}$$

If  $f \in C$  (the set of continuous functions on  $[-1, 1]$ ) and

$$\ell_{kn}(T, x) = \frac{T_n(x)}{T'_n(x_{kn})(x - x_{kn})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

are the Lagrange fundamental polynomials based on (1.1) we define the following interpolatory polynomials  $Q_{nl}$  if  $l = 1, 2$  and  $3$ .

$$(1.2) \quad Q_{n1}(f, x) \equiv Q_{n1}(f) = \{f_1(\ell_1 + \ell_2)\} + \{f_3(\ell_3 + \ell_4)\} \\ + \{f_5(\ell_5 + \ell_6)\} + \dots + \{f_{n-1}(\ell_{n-1} + \ell_n)\},$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A05.

*Key words and phrases*: interpolation, Bernstein process, Jacobi roots.

Dedicated to Professor Giuseppe Mastroianni on the occasion of his retirement.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.3) \quad Q_{n2}(f, x) &\equiv Q_{n2}(f) \\
&= \{f_1(\ell_1 + \ell_4) + f_2(\ell_2 - \ell_4) + f_3(\ell_3 + \ell_4)\} \\
&\quad + \{f_5(\ell_5 + \ell_8) + f_6(\ell_6 - \ell_8) + f_7(\ell_7 + \ell_8)\} \\
&\quad + \{f_9(\ell_9 + \ell_{12}) + f_{10}(\ell_{10} - \ell_{12}) + f_{11}(\ell_{11} + \ell_{12})\} + \dots \\
&\quad + \{f_{n-3}(\ell_{n-3} + \ell_n) + f_{n-2}(\ell_{n-2} - \ell_n) + f_{n-1}(\ell_{n-1} + \ell_n)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.4) \quad Q_{n3}(f, x) &\equiv Q_{n3}(f) \\
&= \{f_1(\ell_1 + \ell_6) + f_2(\ell_2 - \ell_6) + f_3(\ell_3 + \ell_6) + f_4(\ell_4 - \ell_6) + f_5(\ell_5 + \ell_6)\} \\
&\quad + \{f_7(\ell_7 + \ell_{12}) + f_8(\ell_8 - \ell_{12}) + f_9(\ell_9 + \ell_{12}) \\
&\quad + f_{10}(\ell_{10} - \ell_{12}) + f_{11}(\ell_{11} + \ell_{12})\} + \dots \\
&\quad + \{f_{n-5}(\ell_{n-5} + \ell_n) + f_{n-4}(\ell_{n-4} - \ell_n) + f_{n-3}(\ell_{n-3} + \ell_n) \\
&\quad + f_{n-2}(\ell_{n-2} - \ell_n) + f_{n-1}(\ell_{n-1} + \ell_n)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The definitions for  $l \geq 4$  are analogous:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.5) \quad Q_{nl}(f, x) &\equiv Q_{nl}(f) \\
&= \{f_1(\ell_1 + \ell_{2l}) + f_2(\ell_2 - \ell_{2l}) + \dots + f_{2l-1}(\ell_{2l-1} + \ell_{2l})\} + \\
&\quad + \{f_{2l+1}(\ell_{2l+1} + \ell_{4l}) + f_{2l+2}(\ell_{2l+2} - \ell_{4l}) + \dots + f_{4l-1}(\ell_{4l-1} + \ell_{4l})\} + \dots \\
&\quad + \{f_{n-(2l-1)}(\ell_{n-(2l-1)} + \ell_n) + \dots + f_{n-1}(\ell_{n-1} + \ell_n)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

You may consult with [1] or [2] (above  $f_k = f(x_{kn})$  and  $\ell_k \equiv \ell_{kn}(T, x)$ ; moreover  $q$  is large enough).

If  $N = n + r$ ,  $n = 2lq$ ,  $0 < r < 2l$ , the definition of  $Q_{Nl}$  is as follows (cf. [1] or [2])

$$Q_{Nl}(f) := Q_{nl}(f) + \sum_{k=n+1}^N f_k \ell_k.$$

**1.2.** By the above definitions we have with  $e_0(x) \equiv 1$

$$(1.6) \quad Q_{nl}(e_0, x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{kn}(T, x) \equiv 1,$$

$$(1.7) \quad Q_{nl}(f, x_{kn}) = f(x_{kn}) \quad \text{if } k \neq 2l, 4l, \dots, 2lq,$$

i.e.  $Q_{nl}$  interpolates at  $n - q = 2lq - q$  nodes. This number is "very close" to  $n$  if the (fixed)  $l$  is large enough while  $q$  (and  $n$ , too) tends to infinity, i.e., for large  $l$  our  $Q_{nl}$  is "very close" to the Lagrange interpolation  $L_n$ . However,  $Q_{nl}$  converges for every  $f \in C$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 2.1), which generally does not hold for  $L_n$ .

Later we use that (1.6) and (1.7) hold true for *arbitrary* point system.

**1.3.** In [1] Bernstein proved

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let  $l$  be a fixed positive integer and  $f \in C$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(x) - Q_{nl}(f, x)\| = 0.$$

Above,  $\|g(x)\| = \max_{|x| \leq 1} |g(x)|$ ,  $g \in C$ . Actually, he proved for  $N = n + r$ , too; the case when  $N = n + r$  demands only small technical changes in the proof.

**1.4.** The Bernstein process and its generalizations were exhaustively investigated by Kis (sometimes with coauthors). For more details we suggest the papers [6, 7, 8] and references therein.

### 2. The Bernstein process for Jacobi abscissas

**2.1.** The aim of this note is to prove a statement similar to Proposition 1.1 for Jacobi roots. Let the Jacobi polynomials  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  be defined by

$$(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \{(1-x)^{\alpha+n}(1+x)^{\beta+n}\} \quad (\alpha, \beta > -1).$$

For the roots  $x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \cos \vartheta_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ ,  $0 < \vartheta_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)} < \pi$ , of  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  we have

$$-1 < x_{nn}^{(\alpha, \beta)} < x_{n-1, n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} < \dots < x_{1n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} < 1.$$

Let

$$\ell_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)'}(x_{kn})(x - x_{kn})}.$$

For a fixed positive integer  $l$ , we define  $Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$  according to (1.2)–(1.5); now  $\ell_k$  and  $f_k$  stand for  $\ell_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  and  $f(x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)})$ , respectively. As we noticed we have the properties analogous to (1.6) and (1.7) for  $Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ , too.

**2.2.** We prove (compare with Vértesi [3] dealing with Lagrange interpolation)

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $l$  be a fixed positive integer,  $n = 2lq$  ( $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $f \in C$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(x) - Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\| = 0$$

for any processes  $Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  supposing  $-1 < \alpha, \beta < 0.5$ .

Our statement follows from the next more informative pointwise estimations (compare with the result in Vértesi [4] on Lagrange interpolation).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $l$  be fixed natural number. Then for arbitrary fixed  $\alpha, \beta > -1$  and  $f \in C$*

$$(2.2) \quad |Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x) - f(x)| = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^n \omega \left( f; \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} i + \frac{i^2}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{i^\gamma}$$

uniformly in  $n$  and  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , where  $\gamma = \min(2; 1.5 - \alpha; 1.5 - \beta)$ . ( $\omega(f; t)$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f(x)$ .)

**2.3.** It is easy to get (2.1) using Theorem 2.2. Indeed, let

$$\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \log n & \text{if } -1 < \alpha, \beta \leq -0.5 \\ n^{\delta-0.5} & \text{if } \max(\alpha, \beta) =: \delta > -0.5. \end{cases}$$

We have by (2.2)

$$\|Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x) - f(x)\| = O(1)\omega(f; \varepsilon_n)$$

if  $f \in C$ , whence we obtain (2.1).

**2.4.** Another consequence of Theorem 2.2 is the following

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *If  $-1 < \alpha, \beta \leq -0.5$  and  $\omega(f; t) \sim t^\varrho$  ( $0 < \varrho < 0.5$ ) then for  $f \in C$*

$$|Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x) - f(x)| = O(1) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2} \right)^\varrho + \frac{1}{n^{2\varrho}} \right]$$

uniformly for  $n$  and  $|x| \leq 1$ .

This formula of Timan type can be obtained by simple calculation.

Other estimations showing the connections between the parameters  $\gamma \in (0, 2]$  and  $\varrho \in (0, 1]$  are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_{nl}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x) - f(x)| \\ &= O(1) \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2} \right)^\varrho & + \begin{cases} n^{-2\varrho} & \text{if } 0 < \varrho < \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1), \\ n^{-2\varrho} \log n & \text{if } \varrho = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1), \\ n^{-\gamma+1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1) < \varrho < \gamma-1; \end{cases} \\ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2} \right)^\varrho \log n & + \frac{1}{n^{\gamma-1}} & \text{if } \varrho = \gamma-1, \\ \frac{(\sqrt{1-x^2})^\varrho}{n^{\gamma-1}} & + \frac{1}{n^{\gamma-1}} & \text{if } \gamma-1 < \varrho \leq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for  $n$  and  $|x| \leq 1$ . These formulae can be obtained by simple calculation.

**2.5.** It is interesting to compare (2.2) to

$$|H_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f; x) - f(x)| = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2} i + \frac{i^2}{n^2}\right) i^{2\eta-1} \quad (x \in [-1, 1])$$

where  $H_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f; x)$  is the Hermite–Fejér interpolatory polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n-1$  defined by  $H_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f; x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = f(x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)})$ ,  $H_n^{(\alpha, \beta)'}(f; x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $f \in C$  and  $\eta = \max(-0.5, \alpha, \beta)$  (see [5, 2.1]).

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We apply the main idea from [4]. Let  $x = \cos \vartheta$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$  and define the index  $j = j(n)$  by  $\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} |x - x_{kn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}| = |x - x_{jn}^{(\alpha, \beta)}|$ .

**3.1.** First let  $l = 1$ . By (1.5) and (1.6) we can write

$$(3.1) \quad Q_{nl}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^q \left\{ \left( f(x_{2k-1}) - f(x) \right) \left( \ell_{2k-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + \ell_{2k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right) \right\} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{cq} \cdots + \sum_{k>cq} \cdots = \sum_I + \sum_{II}.$$

Now we use Lemma 4.1 of [3], which says the following: Let  $-1 < \alpha, \beta$  and  $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$  be fixed. If  $k \geq M$ ,  $\vartheta_{kn}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \leq \pi - \varepsilon$ , then for any  $x \in [-1 + \eta, 1]$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \ell_{kn}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + \ell_{k+1,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right| = O(1) \left| \ell_{kn}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right| \left[ \frac{1}{k} + \frac{k}{(k+j)(|k-j|+1)} \right]$$

uniformly in  $x$  and  $k$ .

We note that instead of  $\tilde{\ell}_{kn}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$  of [3] one can write  $\ell_{kn}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ . Moreover (3.2) obviously holds true if  $1 \leq k \leq M$  (maybe with another  $O(1)$ ).

From (3.1) with obvious short notations we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_I = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{cq} |f(x_{2k-1}) - f(x)| \\ \times \left( |\ell_{2k-1}(x)| \left[ \frac{1}{2k-1} + \frac{2k-1}{(2k-1+j)(|2k-1-j|+1)} \right] \right)$$

if  $\alpha, \beta > -1$  and  $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$  are fixed.

By (3.3) we get as in [3]: If  $\gamma = \min(2; 1.5 - \alpha; 1.5 - \beta)$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |f(x_{2k-1}) - f(x)| |\ell_{2k-1}(x) + \ell_{2k}(x)| = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^n \omega \left( f; \frac{\sin \vartheta}{n} i + \frac{i^2}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{i^\gamma}$$

uniformly in  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ; see [3, 4.10], where  $\sum |f - f_k| |\ell_k k^{-1}|$  (which by (3.2), is analogous to  $\sum |f - f_k| |\ell_k + \ell_{k+1}|$ ) is estimated.

Let us remark that getting (3.4) we have to define  $J = [\vartheta_{j-1,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}, \vartheta_{j+1,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)})$  and for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

$$I_r = [\vartheta_{j-2^r,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}, \vartheta_{j-2^{r-1},n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}), \quad K_r = [\vartheta_{j+2^{r-1},n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}, \vartheta_{j+2^r,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)})$$

instead of the definition (4.2) of [4].

From the above formulas we obtain our theorem for  $l = 1$ .

**3.2.** Now let  $l = 2$ . By (1.3) and (1.6) we get

$$(3.5) \quad Q_{n2}(f) - f = \left\{ (f_1 - f)(\ell_1 + \ell_4) + (f_2 - f)(\ell_2 - \ell_4) + (f_3 - f)(\ell_3 + \ell_4) \right\}_1 \\ + \left\{ (f_5 - f)(\ell_5 + \ell_8) + (f_6 - f)(\ell_6 - \ell_8) + (f_7 - f)(\ell_7 + \ell_8) \right\}_2 + \cdots.$$

In  $\{\cdots\}_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell_1 + \ell_4| &= |(\ell_1 + \ell_2) - (\ell_2 + \ell_3) + (\ell_3 + \ell_4)| \leq |\ell_1 + \ell_2| + |\ell_2 + \ell_3| + |\ell_3 + \ell_4| \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^3 |\ell_k(x)| \left[ \frac{1}{k} + \frac{k}{|k+j|(|k-j|+1)} \right] \\ &\leq c \left\{ |\ell_s(x)| \left[ \frac{1}{s} + \frac{s}{|s+j|(|s-j|+1)} \right] \right\}_{s=k=1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used (3.2) and that  $|\ell_k(x) \cdot \ell_{k\pm m}^{-1}| \sim 1$  for any  $k$  whenever  $0 \leq m \leq C$ .

Similar considerations are valid for the second term in  $\{\cdots\}_1$  by  $\ell_2 - \ell_4 = (\ell_2 + \ell_3) - (\ell_3 + \ell_4)$ .

Taking into account that  $|f_k - f| \leq c\omega\left(\frac{\sin \vartheta}{n}i + \frac{i^2}{n^2}\right)$  whenever  $i = |k - j| + m$  (see [4, (4.4)];  $0 \leq m \leq C$ ), we get that

$$|\{\cdots\}_1| \leq c\omega\left(\frac{\sin \vartheta}{n}i + \frac{i^2}{n^2}\right) \left( |\ell_s(x)| \left[ \frac{1}{s} + \frac{s}{|s+j|(|s-j|+1)} \right] \right)_{s=1}.$$

Using this last estimation and similar ones for  $\{\cdots\}_2, \{\cdots\}_3, \dots$ , we can get (3.4).

If  $l > 2$ , the argument is similar. We may omit the further details.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors thank the referee for the careful work. Some of the referee's advices are in the paper.

## References

1. S. Bernstein, *Sur une modification de la formule d'interpolation de Lagrange* (French), Communications Kharkow **5** (1932), 49–57. [Collected Works. Vol. II. The constructive theory of functions [1931–1953] (Russian), Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1954, 130–140.]
2. ———, *Sur une formule d'interpolation* (French), C. R. **191** (1930), 635–637. [Collected Works. Vol. I. The constructive theory of functions [1905–1930] (Russian), Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1952, 520–522.]
3. P. Vértesi, *One-sided convergence conditions for Lagrange interpolation based on the Jacobi-roots*, Acta Sci. Math. **45** (1983), 419–428.
4. ———, *Lagrange interpolation for continuous functions of bounded variation*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **35** (1980), 23–31.
5. ———, *Notes on the Hermite–Fejér interpolation based on the Jacobi abscissas*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **24** (1973), 233–239.
6. O. Kis, Ho Tho Kau, *Investigation of a certain interpolation process. III*, (Russian) Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **28** (1976), 157–176.
7. O. Kis, J. Szabados, *On some de la Vallé-Poussin type discrete linear operators*, Acta Math. Hung. **47** (1986), 239–260.
8. T. Hermann, O. Kis, P. Vértesi, *On certain rational interpolatory operators*, Acta Math. Hung. **49** (1987), 29–49.

Department of Numerical Analysis, Loránd Eötvös University  
Budapest, Hungary  
szili@caesar.elte.hu

Alfréd Rényi Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest, Hungary  
veter@renyi.hu