

## UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION MODULO 1 AND THE UNIVERSALITY OF ZETA-FUNCTIONS OF CERTAIN CUSP FORMS

Antanas Laurinćikas

ABSTRACT. An universality theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by shifts  $\zeta(s+i\tau, F)$  of zeta-functions of normalized Hecke-eigen forms  $F$ , where  $\tau$  takes values from the set  $\{k^\alpha h : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with fixed  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $h > 0$ , is obtained.

### 1. Introduction

Denote by  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  the full modular group, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

The function  $F(z)$  is called a holomorphic cusp form of weight  $\kappa$  for  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  if  $F(z)$  is holomorphic in the half-plane  $\mathrm{Im}z > 0$ , for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  satisfies the functional equation

$$F\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^\kappa F(z),$$

and at infinity has the Fourier series expansion  $F(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m)e^{2\pi imz}$ . Assume additionally that  $F(z)$  is a normalized Hecke-eigen form, i.e., is an eigen form of all Hecke operators

$$T_m F(z) = m^{\kappa-1} \sum_{\substack{a,d>0 \\ ad=m}} \frac{1}{d^\kappa} \sum_{b(\bmod d)} F\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and  $c(1) = 1$ .

The associated zeta-function  $\zeta(s, F)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , is defined, for  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa+1}{2}$ , by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s, F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)}{m^s},$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11M41.

*Key words and phrases*: joint universality, linear independence, zeta-function of normalized Hecke-eigen form, weak convergence.

Communicated by Žarko Mijajlović.

and can be analytically continued to an entire function. Moreover, the function  $\zeta(s, F)$  can be written, for  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa+1}{2}$ , as a product over primes

$$\zeta(s, F) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where  $\alpha(p)$  and  $\beta(p)$  are conjugate complex numbers satisfying  $\alpha(p) + \beta(p) = c(p)$ .

The zeta-function  $\zeta(s, F)$ , as the Riemann zeta-function, Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, and some other zeta and  $L$ -functions, is universal in that sense that a wide class of analytic functions can be approximated by shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau, F)$  with some real  $\tau$ . This was obtained in [6] by using the probabilistic approach and positive density method. Let  $D = D_F = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{\kappa}{2} < \sigma < \frac{\kappa+1}{2}\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_F$  the class of compact subsets of the strip  $D$  with connected complements, and by  $H_0(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the class of continuous non-vanishing functions on  $K$  which are analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Let  $\text{meas}A$  stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then, in [7], the following statement was proved.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, F) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Investigations of universality of zeta-functions of cusp forms were continued in [8] and [6], where the analogues of Theorem 1.1 were obtained for zeta-functions attached to new forms and for zeta-functions of primitive normalized Hecke-eigen forms for the Hecke subgroup with character, respectively.

Theorem 1.1 and its generalizations in [8], [6] are of continuous type because the shifts  $\tau$  in  $\zeta(s + i\tau, F)$  can take arbitrary real values. Also, the discrete universality of zeta-functions is considered. In this case,  $\tau$  takes values from some discrete sets. The discrete analogue of Theorem 1.1 was begun to study in [9], and a general result was obtained in [11]. Denote by  $\#A$  the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$  and  $h > 0$  is an arbitrary fixed number. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \# \left\{ 0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ikh, F) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

In Theorem 1.2, the shift  $\tau$  in  $\zeta(s + i\tau, F)$  takes values from the arithmetical progression  $\{0, h, 2h, \dots\}$  with difference  $h$ . It is an interesting problem to prove Theorem 1.2 when  $\tau$  takes values from a more complicated discrete set, and the present paper is devoted to the case of the set  $\{k^\alpha h : k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ , where  $h > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  are arbitrary fixed numbers.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ , and  $h > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  are arbitrary fixed numbers. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \# \left\{ 0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Let  $H(G)$  be the space of analytic functions on the region  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. In [10], Theorem 1.1 was generalized to composite functions  $\Phi(\zeta(s, F))$  for some classes of operators  $\Phi : H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ . Similarly, discrete analogues of Theorem 1.2 for  $\Phi(\zeta(s, F))$  were obtained in [11]. Theorem 1.3 also can be rewritten for composite functions. We give only one example. For  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Phi : H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ , define

$$H_{\Phi; a_1, \dots, a_r}(D) = \{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq a_j, j = 1, \dots, r\} \cup \{\Phi(0)\},$$

$$S = \{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose that  $\Phi : H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$  is a continuous operator such that  $\Phi(S) \supset H_{\Phi; a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$ , and  $h > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  are arbitrary fixed numbers. If  $r = 1$ , let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f(s) \in H(K)$  and  $f(s) \neq a_1$  on  $K$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(1.1) \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\Phi(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\} > 0.$$

*Let  $K \subset D$  be an arbitrary compact subset, and  $f(s) \in H_{\Phi; a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$ . Then inequality (1.1) holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

For example, Theorem 1.4 implies the discrete universality for the functions  $e^{\zeta(s, F)}$ ,  $\sin(\zeta(s, F))$ ,  $\cos(\zeta(s, F))$ , etc.

## 2. Probabilistic limit theorems

For the proof of Theorem 1.3, we need the weak convergence for

$$P_N(A) = \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : \zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

with explicitly given limit measure. Here the sequel,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space  $X$ .

Let  $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  be the unit circle on the complex plane, and  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all prime numbers. Define  $\Omega = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \gamma_p$  where  $\gamma_p = \gamma$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . It is well known that the torus  $\Omega$ , with the product topology and pointwise multiplication, is a compact topological Abelian group. Thus, on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  can be defined, and we have the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Denote by  $\omega(p)$  the projection of an element  $\omega \in \Omega$  to the circle  $\gamma_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then we have that  $\{\omega(p) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is a sequence of independent random variables defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . On the latter space, define the  $H(D)$ -valued random element  $\zeta(s, \omega, F)$  by

$$\zeta(s, \omega, F) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)\omega(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

and denote by  $P_\zeta$  the distribution of  $\zeta(s, \omega, F)$ , i.e., for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ ,

$$P_\zeta(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s, \omega, F) \in A).$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The measure  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, the support of  $P_\zeta$  is the set  $S$ .*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on individual properties of the sequence  $\{k^\alpha : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . We recall that a sequence  $\{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if, for each interval  $I = [a, b) \subset [0, 1)$  of length  $|I|$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_I(\{x_k\}) = |I|,$$

where  $\{u\}$  denotes the fractional part of  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\chi_I$  is the indicator function of  $I$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *For an arbitrary fixed  $a \neq 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the sequence  $\{k^\alpha a\}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.*

The lemma is Exercise 3.10 of [4].

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that a sequence  $\{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is such that, for every  $a \neq 0$ , the sequence  $\{x_k a\}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Then the measure  $Q_N$ , defined, for  $h > 0$ , by*

$$Q_N(A) = \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : (p^{-ix_k h} : p \in \mathbb{P}) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega),$$

converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $g_N(\underline{k})$ ,  $\underline{k} = (k_p : p \in \mathbb{P})$  denote the Fourier transform of  $Q_N$ , i.e.,

$$g_N(\underline{k}) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_p \omega^{k_p}(p) dQ_N,$$

where only a finite number of integers  $k_p$  are distinct from zero. By the definition of  $Q_N$ , we find that

$$(2.1) \quad g_N(\underline{k}) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \prod_p p^{-ix_k h k_p} = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \exp \left\{ -ix_k h \sum_p k_p \log p \right\}.$$

It is well known that the set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, the equality  $\sum_p k_p \log p = 0$  holds if and only if  $\underline{k} = \underline{0}$ . Clearly,

$$(2.2) \quad g_N(\underline{0}) = 1.$$

In the case  $\underline{k} \neq \underline{0}$ , we have that  $h \sum_p k_p \log p \neq 0$ . Therefore, by the hypothesis on the sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{x_k h}{2\pi} \sum_p k_p \log p \right\}$$

is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Hence, an application of the Weyl criterion together with (2.1) shows that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} g_N(\underline{k}) = 0$  for  $\underline{k} \neq \underline{0}$ . This and (2.2) yield that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} g_N(\underline{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{k} = \underline{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{k} \neq \underline{0}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$g(\underline{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{k} = \underline{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{k} \neq \underline{0}, \end{cases}$$

is the Fourier transform of the Haar measure  $m_H$ , a continuity theorem for probability measures on compact groups, see, for example, [3], and (2.3) prove the lemma.  $\square$

Lemma 2.2 for the sequence  $\{k^\alpha\}$  with  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$  was proved in [2]. For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , extend the function  $\omega(p)$  from the set  $\mathbb{P}$  to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  by

$$\omega(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^l | m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega^l(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Further, we consider two functions

$$\zeta_n(s, F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)v_n(m)}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n(s, \omega, F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)\omega(m)v_n(m)}{m^s},$$

where, for a fixed number  $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_n(m) = \exp \{ - (m/n)^{\sigma_0} \}.$$

Then the series for  $\zeta_n(s, F)$  and  $\zeta_n(s, \omega, F)$  are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa}{2}$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ , define

$$P_{N,n}(A) = \frac{1}{N+1} \# \{ 0 \leq k \leq N : \zeta_n(s + ix_k h, F) \in A \}.$$

Moreover, let the function  $u_n : \Omega \rightarrow H(D)$  be given by  $u_n(\omega) = \zeta_n(s, \omega, F)$ , and let the probability measure  $\hat{P}_n$  be defined by  $\hat{P}_n = m_H u_n^{-1}$ , i.e., for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ ,

$$\hat{P}_n(A) = m_H u_n^{-1}(A) = m_H(u_n^{-1}A).$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Under hypotheses of Lemma 2.2,  $P_{N,n}$  converges weakly to  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF. Since the series for  $\zeta_n(s, \omega, F)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{\kappa}{2}$ , we have that the function  $u_n$  is a continuous one. Moreover,

$$u_n(p^{-ix_k h} : p \in \mathbb{P}) = \zeta_n(s + ix_k h, F).$$

Therefore,  $P_{N,n} = Q_N u_n^{-1}$ . This, Lemma 2.2, and Theorem 5.1 of [1] prove the lemma.  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, a limit theorem for

$$\hat{P}_T(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : \zeta(s + i\tau, F) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  was applied. For our propose, we need some facts from the proof of the above limit theorem.

LEMMA 2.4. *The measure  $\hat{Q}_T$  defined by*

$$\hat{Q}_T(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : (p^{-i\tau} : p \in \mathbb{P}) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega),$$

*converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF. We use the method of Fourier transform and the linear independence over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  for the set  $\{\log p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. *The measure  $\hat{P}_{T,n}$  defined by*

$$\hat{P}_{T,n}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : \zeta_n(s + i\tau, F) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

*converges weakly to  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\hat{P}_n$  is defined in Lemma 2.3.*

PROOF. We use Lemma 2.4 and repeat the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.6.  *$\hat{P}_T$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$ , and the support of  $P_\zeta$  is the set  $S$ . Moreover,  $P_\zeta$  coincides with the limit measure  $P$  of  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF. We apply Lemma 2.5, the approximation of  $\zeta(s, F)$  and  $\zeta(s, \omega, F)$  by  $\zeta_n(s, F)$  and  $\zeta_n(s, \omega, F)$ , respectively, and the classical Birkhoff-Khinchine ergodic theorem. For the investigation of the support, the positive density method is applied, see [7].  $\square$

Our next aim is to show that the measure  $P_N$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , also converges weakly to the limit measure  $P$  of  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., that  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$ .

First we need a discrete version of approximation  $\zeta(s, F)$  by  $\zeta_n(s, F)$ . Let  $\{K_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D$  be a sequence of compact subsets such that  $D = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l$ ,  $K_l \subset K_{l+1}$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and if  $K \subset D$  is a compact subset, then  $K \subset K_l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $g_1, g_2 \in H(D)$ , set

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}.$$

Then  $\rho$  is a metric on  $H(D)$  which induces its topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

We also recall the Gallagher lemma which relates continuous and discrete mean values of certain functions.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let  $T_0$  and  $T \geq \delta > 0$  be real numbers, and  $\mathcal{T}$  be a finite set in the interval  $[T_0 + \frac{\delta}{2}, T_0 + T - \frac{\delta}{2}]$ . Define*

$$N_\delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{T} \\ |t-x| < \delta}} 1,$$

and let  $S(x)$  be a complex-valued continuous function on  $[T_0, T + T_0]$  having a continuous derivative on  $(T_0, T + T_0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} N_\delta^{-1}(t) |S(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S(x)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S(x)|^2 dx \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S'(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the lemma can be found in [13], Lemma 1.4.

LEMMA 2.8. *Suppose that  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $h > 0$  are fixed numbers. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \rho(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F), \zeta_n(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) = 0.$$

PROOF. It is known that, for fixed  $\sigma \in (\frac{\kappa}{2}, \frac{\kappa+1}{2})$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it, F)|^2 dt = O(T).$$

This together with the Cauchy integral formula implies, for the same  $\sigma$ , the estimate

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta'(\sigma + it, F)|^2 dt = O(T).$$

Further, we will apply Lemma 2.7. For  $2 \leq k \leq N$  and sufficiently large  $N$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)^\alpha - k^\alpha &= k^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^\alpha - k^\alpha = k^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{k} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2k^2} + \dots\right) - k^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{k^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2k^{2-\alpha}} + \dots > \frac{\alpha}{2N^{1-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

We take  $\delta = \frac{\alpha h}{2N^{1-\alpha}}$  in Lemma 2.7. Then estimates (2.4), (2.5) and Lemma 2.7, for  $\sigma \in (\frac{\kappa}{2}, \frac{\kappa+1}{2})$ , yield

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^N |\zeta(\sigma + ik^\alpha h, F)|^2 &\ll N^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{N^\alpha h} |\zeta(\sigma + it, F)|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^{N^\alpha h} |\zeta(\sigma + it, F)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{N^\alpha h} |\zeta'(\sigma + it, F)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll N. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be a compact subset of the strip  $D$ . Then, using (2.6) and contour integration, we find similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.1 from [5] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \sup_{s \in K} (\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F) - \zeta_n(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) = 0.$$

This and the definition of the metric  $\rho$  prove the lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. In view of Lemma 2.6, it suffices to show that  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $P$  is the limit measure of  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\theta_N$  be a random variable defined on a certain probability space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , and having the distribution

$$\mu(\theta_N = k^\alpha h) = \frac{1}{N+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Define the  $H(D)$ -valued random element  $X_{N,n}$  by

$$X_{N,n} = X_{N,n}(s) = \zeta_n(s + i\theta_N, F).$$

Then, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have that  $X_{N,n}$  converges in distribution to  $\hat{X}_n$

$$(2.7) \quad X_{N,n} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \hat{X}_n,$$

where  $\hat{X}_n$  is the  $H(D)$ -valued random element with the distribution  $\hat{P}_n$ , and  $\hat{P}_n$  is the limit measure in Lemma 2.3. Since the series for  $\zeta_n(s, F)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{k}{2}$ , by a standard method it is easy to show that the family of probability measures  $\{\hat{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight, i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $K = K_F(\varepsilon) \subset D$  such that  $\hat{P}_n(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by the Prokhorov theorem, see Theorem 6.1 in [1], the family  $\{\hat{P}_n\}$  is relatively compact. Thus, there exists a sequence  $\{\hat{P}_{n_r}\} \subset \{\hat{P}_n\}$  such that  $\hat{P}_{n_r}$  converges weakly to a certain probability measure  $\hat{P}$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., using a mixed notation of [1],

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{X}_{n_r} \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \hat{P}.$$

On  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , define one more  $H(D)$ -valued random element

$$X_N = X_N(s) = \zeta(s + i\theta_N, F).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.8, we find that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\underline{\rho}(X_N, X_{N,n}) \geq \varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : \rho(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F), \zeta_n(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(N+1)\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^N \rho(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F), \zeta_n(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This and relations (2.7) and (2.8) show that all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 of [1] are satisfied. Therefore,

$$X_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \hat{P},$$

or equivalently,  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $\hat{P}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, the latter relation shows that the measure  $\hat{P}$  is independent of the sequence  $\{\hat{P}_{n_r}\}$ . Therefore,

$$\hat{X}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \hat{P},$$



i.e.,  $\hat{P}_n$  converges weakly to  $\hat{P}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , thus  $\hat{P} = P$ . Thus, we obtain that  $P_N$  converges weakly to the limit measure  $P$  of  $\hat{P}_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and by Lemma 2.6,  $P$  coincides with  $P_\zeta$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of universality theorems

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. By the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [12], there exists a polynomial  $p(s)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - e^{p(s)}| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Define the set

$$G = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - e^{p(s)}| < \varepsilon/2 \right\}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1,  $G$  is an open neighbourhood of the element  $e^{p(s)}$  of the support of the measure  $P_\zeta$ . Hence,  $P_\zeta(G) > 0$ . This, Theorem 2.1 and an equivalent of the weak convergence of probability measures in terms of open sets show that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : \zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F) \in G\} \geq P_\zeta(G) > 0,$$

or, by the definition of  $G$ , we have that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \#\left\{0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F) - e^{p(s)}| < \varepsilon/2\right\} > 0.$$

Combining this with (3.1) proves the theorem.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. It follows from Theorem 2.1, the continuity of the operator  $\Phi$  and Theorem 5.1 of [1] that the measure

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N : \Phi(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

converges weakly to  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, repeating the proof of Lemma 17 from [10], we obtain that the support of  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}$  includes the closure of the set  $H_{\Phi; a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$ .

First suppose that  $f(s) \in H_{\Phi; a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$ . Then, by the above remark,  $f(s)$  is an element of the support of  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}$ . Therefore, putting

$$G_1 = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\},$$

we have that  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}(G_1) > 0$ . This and the weak convergence of measure (3.2) prove the theorem in this case.

Now let  $r = 1$ . Then, by the Mergelyan theorem, there exists a polynomial  $p(s)$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - p(s)| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Since  $f(s) \neq a_1$  on  $K$ , by the Mergelyan theorem again, we can find a polynomial  $q(s)$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{s \in K} |p(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon/4,$$

where  $f_1(s) = a_1 + e^{q(s)}$ . By the above remark,  $f_1(s)$  is an element of the support of the measure  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}$ . Therefore, if

$$G_2 = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon/2 \right\},$$

then  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}(G_2) > 0$ . Therefore, by the weak convergence of (3.2) to  $P_\zeta \Phi^{-1}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \#\left\{ 0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\Psi(\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h, F)) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon/2 \right\} > 0.$$

This together with (3.3) and (3.4) prove the theorem in the case  $r = 1$ .  $\square$

### References

1. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
2. A. Dubickas, A. Laurinčikas, *Distribution modulo 1 and the discrete universality of the Riemann zeta-function*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **86** (2016), 79–87.
3. H. Heyer, *Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
4. L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, London, Sydney, 1974.
5. A. Laurinčikas, R. Macaitienė, *The discrete universality of the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **20**(9–10) (2009), 673–686.
6. ———, *On the universality of zeta-functions of certain cusp forms*, Anal. Prob. Methods Number Theory, TEV, Vilnius, 2012, 273–283.
7. A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, *The universality of zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms*, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), 345–359.
8. A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, J. Steuding, *The universality of L-functions associated with new forms*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. **67**(1) (2003), 83–98  $\equiv$  Izv. Math. **67**(1) (2003), 77–90.
9. ———, *Discrete universality of L-functions of new forms*, Math. Notes **78**(3–4) (2005), 551–558.
10. ———, *Universality of some functions related to zeta-functions of certain cusp forms*, Osaka J. Math. **50** (2013), 1021–1037.
11. ———, *Discrete universality of L-functions of new forms. II*, Lith. Math. J. **56**(2) (2016), 207–218.
12. S. N. Mergelyan, *Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable*, Usp. Mat. Nauk **7**(2) (1952), 31–122 (in Russian)  $\equiv$  Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 1, **3**, Series and Approximation, Amer. Math. Soc. 1969, 294–391.
13. H. L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Lect. Notes Math. **227**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

(Received 06 10 2015)

Institute of Informatics, Mathematics and E. Studies  
Šiauliai University, Šiauliai, Lithuania  
antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt