

## ON THE ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS $d_k(n)$ AND $d_k^*(n)$

J. SÁNDOR

### 1 – Introduction

1. Let  $\varphi(n)$ ,  $\sigma(n)$ ,  $d(n)$ ,  $\omega(n)$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  denote as usual the Euler totient, the sum of divisors of  $n$ , the number of divisors of  $n$ , the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ , and the total number of prime factors of  $n$ , respectively. We note that by convention  $\varphi(1) = \sigma(1) = d(1) = 1$ ,  $\omega(1) = \Omega(1) = 0$ . Let  $e(n) = 1$ ,  $I_k(n) = n^k$ ,  $I(n) = I_1(n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ), and  $\mu$  denote the Möbius function. In terms of Dirichlet convolution, denoted by  $\cdot$ , we have ([1], [6], [7])

$$(1) \quad \varphi(n) = (I \cdot \mu)(n), \quad \sigma(n) = (I \cdot e)(n), \quad d(n) = (e \cdot e)(n),$$

Similarly, for the Jordan's generalization  $\varphi_k(n)$ , of  $\varphi(n)$ ; and for the sum  $\sigma_k(n)$ , of  $k$ -th powers of divisors of  $n$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \varphi_k(n) = (I_k \cdot \mu)(n), \quad \sigma_k(n) = (I_k \cdot e)(n).$$

Clearly

$$\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi, \quad \sigma_1 \equiv \sigma, \quad \sigma_0 \equiv d.$$

Let  $d_k(n)$  denote the Piltz divisor function counting the number of distinct solutions of the equation  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = n$  (where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  run independently through the set of positive integers). Then  $d_2 \equiv d$  and  $d_1 \equiv e$ . It is easy to see that ([9], [11])

$$(3) \quad d_k(n) = (d_{k-1} \cdot e)(n), \quad k \geq 2.$$

The arithmetical functions  $\varphi$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $d$ ,  $\varphi_k$ ,  $\sigma_k$ ,  $d_k$  are all multiplicative, while  $\omega$  and  $\Omega$  are additive. For many properties of these classical functions, see e.g. [1], [2], [6], [9].

**2.** A divisor  $i$  of  $n$  is called unitary, if  $(i, \frac{n}{i}) = 1$ . The unitary convolution of the arithmetical functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by ([3])

$$(4) \quad (f \oplus g)(n) = \sum_{i \parallel n} f(i) g\left(\frac{n}{i}\right),$$

where  $i \parallel n$  means that  $i$  runs through the unitary divisors of  $n$ .

The unitary analogue  $\mu^*$ , of  $\mu$ , is given by ([3], [4])

$$(5) \quad \mu^*(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$$

and the unitary analogue of  $\varphi_k$  is given by

$$(6) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) = (I_k \oplus \mu^*)(n).$$

The unitary analogues of  $d$  and  $\sigma_k$  are  $d^*$  and  $\sigma_k^*$ , counting the number, and the sum of powers, of unitary divisors of  $n$ , respectively. We have ([4], [8]):

$$(7) \quad d^*(n) = (e \oplus e)(n) = 2^{\omega(n)},$$

$$(8) \quad \sigma_k^*(n) = (I_k \oplus e)(n).$$

For more properties of  $\sigma_k^*$ , see [8]. It is known that the unitary convolution of multiplicative functions is also multiplicative, so the functions  $\varphi_k^*$ ,  $d^*$ ,  $\sigma_k^*$  are all multiplicative, too.

Given a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $m \geq 1$ , the following formulae are valid:

$$(9) \quad \varphi_k(p^m) = p^{km} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \quad \sigma_k(p^m) = \frac{p^{k(m+1)} - 1}{p^k - 1} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad d(p^m) = m + 1,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \varphi_k^*(p^m) = p^{km} - 1, \quad \sigma_k^*(p^m) = p^{km} + 1 \quad (k \geq 1), \quad d^*(p^m) = 2.$$

The arithmetical function  $d_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) is also multiplicative, and

$$(11) \quad d_k(p^m) = \binom{k+m-1}{m},$$

where  $\binom{a}{b} = C_a^b$  denotes a binomial coefficient. For a review of properties of  $d_k$ , see e.g. [11]. For more theorems, see [6].

The aim of this note is to introduce and study certain properties of an unitary analogue of the function  $d_k$ , as well as to prove new relations for the above mentioned arithmetical functions.

**II – The function  $d_k^*$  and normal, maximal and average orders**

1. The unitary analogue  $d_k^*$ , of  $d_k$ , will be defined recurrently by

$$(12) \quad d_2^*(n) = d^*(n), \quad d_k^*(n) = (d_{k-1}^* \oplus e)(n), \quad k \geq 2 .$$

Then, by induction on  $k$ , it follows that  $d_k^*$  is multiplicative for all  $k \geq 2$  and, for a prime power, one has

$$(13) \quad d_k^*(p^m) = k .$$

This is a consequence of (10) and (12). Then a similar formula, as in (7), holds for  $d_k^*(n)$ :

$$(14) \quad d_k^*(n) = k^{\omega(n)} .$$

2. The formula above, attending to a well known theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan on the normal order of magnitude of the function  $\omega(n)$  (see [1], [6], [2], [7], [9]), immediately gives:

The normal order of magnitude of  $\log d_k^*(n)$  is

$$(15) \quad \log k \cdot \log \log n .$$

Indeed, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for almost all  $n$  one has  $(1 - \varepsilon) \log \log n < \omega(n) < (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log n$ , giving, by (14),  $(1 - \varepsilon) \log k \cdot \log \log n < \log d_k^*(n) < (1 + \varepsilon) \log k \cdot \log \log n$ , yielding (15).

3. For the maximal order of magnitude of  $\log d_k^*(n)$ , one can write:

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_k^*(n) \cdot \log \log n}{\log n} = \log k .$$

This is a simple consequence of (14) and the known result

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(n) \log \log n}{\log n} = 1 \quad (\text{see e.g. [6]}).$$

4. We note that  $d^*(n)$  counts also the number of squarefree divisors of  $n$ , so for the average order of  $d^*(n)$  the first result was obtained by Mertens in 1874 (see [7]):

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} d^*(n) = Ax \log x + Bx + O(x^{1/2} \log x) ,$$

where  $A, B$  are certain explicit constants. This has been rediscovered in [4]. The  $O$ -term in (17) can be much improved, for example to  $O(x^{1/2})$  (see [5]).

In order to obtain the average order of  $d_k^*(n)$ , we can apply a result of Selberg ([16]):

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} z^{\omega(n)} = zF(z) x(\log x)^{z-1} + O\left(x(\log x)^{\operatorname{Re}(z-2)}\right),$$

where  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ , and the  $O$ -constant is uniform for  $|z| \leq R$  ( $> 0$ , given), and

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z+1)} \cdot \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{z}{p-1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^z.$$

For  $z = k$ , a fixed positive integer, one obtains

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} d_k^*(n) = Ax(\log x)^{k-1} + O\left(x(\log x)^{k-2}\right),$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant (depending only on  $k$ , but the  $O$ -constant is not uniform for all  $k$ ).

### III – Inequalities

1. In the paper [11], the following inequalities are proved:

$$(20) \quad k^{\omega(n)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{a_i}\right)^{a_i} \leq d_k(n) \leq k^{\Omega(n)},$$

where  $k \geq 2$  and  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$  ( $p_i$  primes) is the canonical representation of  $n \geq 2$ . In view of (14), this means that

$$(21) \quad d_k^*(n) \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{a_i}\right)^{a_i} \leq d_k(n) \leq (d_k^*(n))^{\Omega(n)/\omega(n)},$$

with equality only for squarefree  $n$  (i.e.  $a_i = 1$  for all  $i$ ).

In a recent note [14], as an application of an inequality of Klamkin, the following has been proved:

$$(22) \quad \frac{\varphi_{k+1}^*(n)}{\varphi^*(n)} \leq \left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{\omega(n)} \cdot \sigma_k^*(n).$$

By using the function  $d_k^*$ , this can be rewritten as

$$(23) \quad \frac{\varphi_{k+1}^*(n)}{\varphi^*(n)} \leq \frac{d_{k+1}^*(n)}{d^*(n)} \cdot \sigma_k^*(n) .$$

**2.** Since it is known that

$$(24) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(n)}{\sigma_k^*(n)} \leq \frac{d(n)}{d^*(n)} = \frac{d_2(n)}{d_2^*(n)}$$

([12], [14]), and that

$$(25) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(n)}{\sigma_k^*(n)} > \frac{\sigma_{k+1}(n)}{\sigma_{k+1}^*(n)} \quad (k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 2) ,$$

it is natural (see [15]) the problem of monotony of the sequence  $(d_k/d_k^*)$ . One has:

$$(26) \quad \frac{d_k(n)}{d_k^*(n)} \leq \frac{d_{k+1}(n)}{d_{k+1}^*(n)} \quad (k \geq 2, \quad n \geq 2) ,$$

with equality only for squarefree  $n$ .

Since the involved functions are multiplicative, it is sufficient to prove (26) for prime powers  $n = p^m$ . Using (11) and (13), (26) becomes

$$(27) \quad \frac{\binom{k+m-1}{m}}{k} \leq \frac{\binom{k+m}{m}}{k+1} .$$

By the known relation  $\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n}{n-m} \cdot \binom{n-1}{m}$ , a simple calculus transforms (27) into  $k+m \geq k+1$ , which is true, with equality only for  $m=1$ .

As a corollary of (24) and (26), we note that

$$(28) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(n)}{\sigma_k^*(n)} \leq \frac{d_r(n)}{d_r^*(n)} \quad \text{for all } k, r \geq 2 .$$

**3.** Since for  $m|n$  ( $m$  divides  $n$ ) we have  $\omega(n) \leq \omega(n)$ , clearly

$$(29) \quad m|n \Rightarrow d_k^*(m) \leq d_k^*(n) .$$

On the other hand, by (12) and (29),

$$d_k^*(n) = \sum_{i||n} d_{k-1}^*(i) \leq d_{k-1}^*(n) \cdot \sum_{i||n} 1 ,$$

so

$$(30) \quad d_k^*(n) \leq d_{k-1}^*(n) d^*(n) \quad (k \geq 2) .$$

By successive applications of (30), one can deduce

$$(31) \quad d_k^*(n) \leq (d^*(n))^{k-1} .$$

For  $k = 2$ , one has equality for all  $n$ .

In fact, it is true that

$$(32) \quad (d_{k+1}^*(n))^{1/k} < (d_k^*(n))^{1/(k-1)} \quad (k \geq 2) .$$

This follows from (14) and from the inequality  $(k+1)^{k-1} < k^k$ , or written equivalently,  $(1 + \frac{1}{k})^k < k+1$ . Since  $(1 + \frac{1}{k})^k < e < k+1$  for  $k \geq 2$ , this holds true, proving (32).

In the same manner, by applying the inequality  $(1 + \frac{1}{k})^{k+2/5} < e < k+1$  for  $k \geq 2$  (see e.g. [10]), one obtains:

$$(33) \quad (d_{k+1}^*(n))^{k-3/5} < (d_k^*(n))^{k+2/5} \quad (k \geq 2) .$$

For example, for  $k = 2$  this means that

$$(34) \quad (d_3^*(n))^7 < (d_2^*(n))^{12} = (d^*(n))^{12} .$$

4. A connection among  $d_m^*$ ,  $\varphi_k^*$ ,  $\sigma_k^*$  is given by

$$(35) \quad (d_m^*(n))^2 \varphi_k^*(n) > \sigma_k^*(n) \quad (m, n \geq 2; \quad k \geq 1) .$$

Indeed, for prime powers  $n = p^a$ , we have  $m^2(p^{ka} - 1) \geq 4(p^{ka} - 1) > p^{ka} + 1$  since  $3p^{ka} \geq 6 > 5$ . Inequality (35) follows by the multiplicativity of the involved functions.

By  $(p^{ka} - 1)m \geq 2(p^{ka} - 1) \geq p^{ka}$ , with equality for  $p = 2$ ,  $k = a = 1$ , we get:

$$(36) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) d_m^*(n) \geq n^k \quad (m \geq 2, \quad k \geq 1) ,$$

with equality for  $k = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $n = 2$ .

Now we prove that

$$(37) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) (d_m^*(n))^\lambda \leq n^{2k} \quad (m \geq 2, \quad k \geq 1),$$

where  $0 < \lambda \leq \log_m 4$ .

Let  $n = p^a$ . Then (37) becomes

$$(38) \quad x^2 - m^\lambda \cdot x + m^\lambda \geq 0,$$

where  $x = p^{ka}$ . The discriminant of this trinomial is  $\Delta = m^\lambda \cdot (m^\lambda - 4) \leq 0$  for  $m^\lambda \leq 4$ , i.e.  $\lambda \leq \log_m 4$ .

Certain particular cases are of interest to be noted: For  $m = 4$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  we have

$$(39) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) d_4^*(n) \leq n^{2k}.$$

For  $m = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 2$ , we get

$$(40) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) (d^*(n))^2 \leq n^{2k},$$

which has been considered also in [13].

For  $m = 5$ ,  $\lambda = \log_5 4$  we have

$$(41) \quad \varphi_k^*(n) (d_5^*(n))^{\log_5 4} \leq n^{2k}.$$

Finally, we prove:

$$(42) \quad d_k(n) \varphi_m(n) \geq \frac{d_k^*(n)}{d^*(n)} \cdot n^m \quad (m \geq 1; \quad k, n \geq 2).$$

By (20), it is sufficient to show that

$$(43) \quad p^{ma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \geq \frac{p^{ma}}{2}$$

(where  $n = p^a$ ). For  $n \geq 2$ , one has  $1 - \frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , so relation (43) is trivial. This finishes the proof of (42), since the considered functions are multiplicative.

**5.** Finally, we study the submultiplicative property of  $d_k$  and  $d_k^*$ . By  $\omega(ab) \leq \omega(a) + \omega(b)$  for  $a, b \geq 1$ , we have

$$(44) \quad d_k^*(ab) \leq d_k^*(a) d_k^*(b) \quad (k \geq 2; \quad a, b \geq 1),$$

where equality occurs only for  $(a, b) = 1$ .

The submultiplicativity of  $d_k$  is more difficult to prove. Let  $a = \prod p^r \cdot \prod q^s$ ,  $b = \prod p^m \cdot \prod t^h$  be the prime factorizations of  $a$  and  $b$ , where  $(p, q) = (p, t) = (q, t) = 1$  (we do not use indices for simplicity). Using (11), the inequality

$$(45) \quad d_k(ab) \leq d_k(a) d_k(b)$$

becomes (after certain elementary computations)

$$(46) \quad r! m! (k-1)! (k+r+m-1)! \leq (r+m)! (k+r-1)! (k+m-1)!$$

Let  $k-1 = u$ . Then, using the definition of a factorial, (46) is transformed into

$$(47) \quad (1 \cdot 2 \cdots u) \cdot (r+m+1) \cdots (r+m+u) \leq (r+1) \cdots (r+u) \cdot (m+1) \cdots (m+u) .$$

remarking that  $k(r+m+k) \leq (r+k)(m+k)$  and writing  $k = 1, 2, \dots, u$ , after term-by-term multiplication we get (47). Equality occurs in (45), when all  $r = m = 0$ , i.e., when  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime.

## REFERENCES

- [1] APOSTOL, T.M. – *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] CHANDRASEKHARAN, K. – *Introduction to analytic number theory*, New York, Springer Verlag, 1968.
- [3] COHEN, E. – Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer, *Math. Z.*, 74 (1960), 66–80.
- [4] COHEN, E. – The number of unitary divisors of an integer, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 67 (1960), 879–880.
- [5] GIOIA, A.A. and VAIDYA, A.M. – The number of squarefree divisors of an integer, *Duke Math. J.*, 33 (1966), 797–800.
- [6] DE KONINCK, J.-M. and IVIC, A. – *Topics in arithmetical functions*, North Holland Math. Studies (72), 1980.
- [7] LANDAU, E. – *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, Leipzig, 1909 (Chelsea reprint 1953) (see p. 594).
- [8] MORGADO, J. – On the arithmetical function  $\sigma_k^*$ , *Portugaliae Math.*, 23 (1964), 35–40.
- [9] PRACHAR, K. – *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer Verlag, 1978.
- [10] SÁNDOR, J. – Some integral inequalities, *Elem. Math.*, 43 (1988), 177–180.
- [11] SÁNDOR, J. – On the arithmetical function  $d_k(n)$ , *L'Analyse Numér. Th. Approx.*, 18 (1989), 89–94.
- [12] SÁNDOR, J. – An application of the Jensen–Hadamard inequality, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde*, 8(4) (1990), 63–66.
- [13] SÁNDOR, J. and TÓTH, L. – On certain number-theoretic inequalities, *Fibonacci Quart.*, 28 (1990), 255–258.



- [14] SÁNDOR, J. – On an inequality of Klamkin with arithmetical applications, *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, 25 (1994), 157–158.
- [15] SÁNDOR, J. – On certain inequalities for arithmetic functions, *Notes on Numb. Theory and Discr. Math.*, 1 (1995), 27–32.
- [16] SELBERG, A. – Note on a paper by L.G. Sathe, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 18 (1954), 83–87.

J. Sándor,  
4160 Forteni Nr.79,  
R-Jud. Harghita – ROMANIA