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#### Abstract

We assume in the plane that $\Omega$ is a strictly convex domain, with its boundary $\partial \Omega$ sufficiently regular. We consider the Monge-Ampére equations in its general form $\operatorname{det} u_{i j}=g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) h(u)$, where $u_{i j}$ denotes the Hessian of $u$, and $g, h$ are some given functions. This equation is subject to the non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition $u=f$. A sharp necessary condition of solvability for this equation is given using the maximum principle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We note that this maximum principle is extended to the N -dimensional case and two different applications have been given to illustrate this principle.


## 1 - Introduction

Let $u$ be a classical solution of the following Monge-Ampére equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=F\left(x, u,|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is assumed to be a bounded domain, strictly convex. In this note, we derive a new maximum principle for the general Monge-Ampére equations (1) with $F\left(x, u,|\nabla u|^{2}\right)=g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) h(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, which generalizes a recent result of $\mathrm{Ma}[11]$ (the particular case when $g . h=$ const. in $\Omega$ ).

In order to prove this maximum principle, we assume in the sequel that the functions $g$ and $h$ are subject to some appropriate conditions. These conditions lead to some differential inequality, which will be investigated in Section 2.

[^0]Then employing the second maximum principle of E. Hopf [10], we conclude that the corresponding maximum value is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$.

For the first application, we shall treat the following non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial \Omega$ denotes the boundary of $\Omega$ sufficiently regular and $f$ is a positive function of class $C^{1}$. Monge-Ampére equations in conjunction with Dirichlet and Neumann conditions were investigated in $[1,2,4,5,6,7,8]$. For the second application, we consider the particular Dirichlet case $f=0$. Ma [11] showed that the combination $P=|\nabla u|^{2}-2 \sqrt{c} u$ is a constant, $u$ is radial and $\Omega$ is a ball. We extend this result for more general combination and prove for some particular values of $g$, that $\Omega$ is an N -ball and $u$ is radial.

Some applications are given involving different situations, where various bounds for $u$ and its gradient $|\nabla u|$ are obtained. The maximum principle for MongeAmpére equations was already used by $\mathrm{Ma}[11,12]$ and Safoui [13].

In the case of the Neumann boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\cos (\theta(x, u))\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal vector and the wetting angle $\theta$ is an element of $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, Ma in [11], proved the following result, by assuming that the bounded domain $\Omega$ is strictly convex, the constant $c$ is positive and, the angle $\theta$ is an element of $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

Theorem 1. Under the above hypotheses on $\Omega, c, \theta_{0}$, if $u$ is a strictly convex solution of (1), (3) then the following relation is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} \leq \max \left\{c^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{0}\right), c^{\frac{1}{2}} \tan \left(\theta_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}:=\min _{x \in \partial \Omega} k(x)$ and $k(x)$ is the curvature of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$ at x .

In the case when $F:=$ const. and $f(x)=0$, he showed the following theorem (see [11])

Theorem 2. Under the above hypotheses on the domain $\Omega$ and constant $c$, if $u$ is a strictly convex solution for the boundary value problems (1)-(2) then we have the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{c}{k_{0}^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2 k_{0}} \leq u \leq 0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}:=\min _{x \in \partial \Omega} k(x), k(x)$ is the curvature of $\partial \Omega$ at $x$.
For the proof of Theorem 2 , he used the maximum principle $[9,10]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ for the following combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi:=|\nabla u|^{2}-2 c^{\frac{1}{2}} u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the expression of the Monge-Ampére equations (1) in normal coordinates (see Section 3, (40)).

The purpose of this paper is, firstly, to generalize this maximum principle in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for a general combination of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi:=g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+h(u), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ and $h$ are supposed to be positive. Secondly, to consider a more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) h(u) \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with non-homogeneous boundary condition (2). This generalization gives us an upper bound for $u$ and its gradient $|\nabla u|$ in function of the geometry of $\Omega$ and the first and second derivatives of $f$.

Throughout the paper, we shall be concerned with a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, strictly convex. A comma will be used to denote differentiation. We make use the summation convention with repeated Latin indices running from 1 to $N$.

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{, i} & :=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}  \tag{10}\\
u_{, i j} u_{, i j} & :=\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \sum_{j=1}^{j=N}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right]^{2}, \\
u_{n} & :=\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \\
u_{s} & :=\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \\
\left(u_{s}\right)_{n} & :=\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}\right) \\
\left(u_{s}\right)_{n} & :=\left(u_{n}\right)_{s}-K u_{s} \\
u_{n n} & :=\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right) \\
u_{s s} & :=\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 - On a maximum principle

Hereafter, we shall assume that the solution $u$ of the Monge-Ampère equations defined by (8) is at least of class $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{3}(\Omega)$ in a bounded domain $\Omega$ described in Section 1. In this Section, we will show, that the maximum principle of the combination $\Phi$ defined by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi:=g\left(u_{, i} u_{, i}\right)+h(u) \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

attains its maximum value on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, where the functions $h$ and $g$ are subject to some conditions. For the differential equation of the form

$$
\Delta u+f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}
$$

the corresponding function constructed for this type of equation depends essentially on the dimension $N$ and the imposed boundary conditions, for which in general the treatment in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ differs from that of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $N \geq 3$, since some differential equalities are valid in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and unfortunately not valid in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, as

$$
|\nabla u|^{2} u_{, i j} u_{, i j}=|\nabla u|^{2}(\Delta u)^{2}+u_{, i} u_{, i k} u_{, j} u_{, j k}-2(\Delta u) u_{, i} u_{, j} u_{, i j} .
$$

It is already known that, the combination $\Phi$ attains its maximum principle at three different places for an arbitrary $g$ and $f$ (see R. Sperb [14]). Assuming that $\Phi$ is nonconstant, the corresponding maximum is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ as first possibility, at a critical point as second possibility and finally at an interior point of the domain $\Omega$. In our context, we choose $g$ and $h$ such that, the elliptic differential inequality formed is strictly positive.

Theorem 3. Let $u$ be a strictly convex solution of (9) and $\Phi$ the combination defined by (8), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} u^{i j} \Phi_{, i j}+\cdots=g^{\prime}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{h^{\prime}}{g}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{h^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}}{h^{\prime}}\right)+2 g^{\prime} \Delta u+N h^{\prime} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots stand for terms of the form $V_{, k} \Phi_{, k}$ with specific vector fields $V_{, k}$ which are bounded except at critical points of $u$.

To start the proof of Theorem 3, we construct an appropriate differential inequality for $\Phi$, except at a critical value of the solution $u$. Let $\Phi$ defined by (8) then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{, i} & =2 u_{, i k} u_{, k} g^{\prime}+u_{, i} h^{\prime}  \tag{13}\\
\Phi_{, i j} & =2 g^{\prime}\left(u_{, i j k} u_{, k}+u_{, j k} u_{, i k}\right)+4\left(u_{, j l} u_{, l} u_{, i k} u_{, k}\right) g^{\prime \prime}+u_{, i j} h^{\prime}+u_{, i} u_{, j} h^{\prime \prime} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $u_{i j}$ be the inverse of the Hessian matrix $H:=u^{i j}$. As $u$ is strictly convex solution of (9), the matrix $u^{i j}$ is positive definite and consequently by computing

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{i j} \Phi_{, i j}= & 2 g^{\prime}\left(u^{i j} u_{, i j k} u_{, k}+u^{i j} u_{, j k} u_{, i k}\right)+4\left(u^{i j} u_{, j l} u_{, l} u_{, i k} u_{, k}\right) g^{\prime \prime}  \tag{15}\\
& +u^{i j} u_{, i j} h^{\prime}+u^{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j} h^{\prime \prime},
\end{align*}
$$

we claim that $u^{i j} \Phi_{, i j}$ is strictly positive in $\bar{\Omega}$. Knowing that the following identities $\quad u^{i j} u_{, i j} u_{, j l}=\Delta u, \quad u^{i j} u_{, i j}=N, \quad u^{i j} u_{, i l} u_{, l} u_{, j k} u_{, k}=u_{, k l} u_{, k} u_{, l} \quad$ and $(g h)\left[u^{i j} u_{, i j k} u_{, k}\right]=(g h)_{, j} u_{, j}$ are valid in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then we are able to prove that $\Phi$ satisfies an appropriate differential inequality. For this, we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{i j} \Phi_{, i} u_{, j} & =u^{i j}\left\{2 u_{, j} u_{, i k} u_{, k} g^{\prime}+u_{, i} u_{, j} h^{\prime}\right\},  \tag{16}\\
u_{i} \Phi_{, i} & =2 g^{\prime} u_{, i} u_{, i k} u_{, k}+u_{, i} u_{, i} h^{\prime} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (16) and (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j} h^{\prime}+u^{i j} \Phi_{, i} u_{, j}=2 g^{\prime} u^{i j} u_{, j} u_{, i k} u_{, k}=2 u_{, i} u_{, i} g^{\prime},  \tag{18}\\
2 u_{, i j} u_{, j} u_{, i} g^{\prime}-u_{, i} \Phi_{, i}=-u_{, i} u_{, i} h^{\prime} . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence by (18) and (19), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i j} \Phi_{, i j}+\cdots=2 g^{\prime}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{h^{\prime}}{g}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{h^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}}{h^{\prime}}\right)+2 g^{\prime} \Delta u+N h^{\prime} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following arithmetic-geometric inequality

$$
\Delta u \geq N(g h)^{\frac{1}{N}}
$$

(or simply $\Delta u>0$ since $g^{\prime}$ is positive), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i j} \Phi_{, i j}+\cdots \geq 2 g^{\prime}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{h^{\prime}}{g}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{h^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}}{h^{\prime}}\right)+2 g^{\prime} N(g h)^{\frac{1}{N}}+N h^{\prime}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g, h, g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ satisfy the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}>0, \quad h^{\prime}>0, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{h^{\prime}}{g}+\frac{h^{\prime}}{h}-\frac{h^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}}{h^{\prime}}>0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the maximum of $\Phi$ is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$ at some point $P$. If inequalities (22) and (23) are reversed, then we conclude that the minimum value of $\Phi$ occurs on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, or at a critical point of $u$.

We have then established the following theorem which extend the result of Ma $[11,12]$ to the $N$ dimensional case.

Theorem 4. Let $\Phi$ be defined by (8) where $g, h, g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ satisfy (22), (23) and $u$ supposed to be strictly convex. Then the maximum principle of the combination $\Phi$ is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$ at some point $P$.

## 3 - Estimates of the solution $u$ and its gradient $|\nabla u|$ for the Dirichlet boundary condition

In this Section, we investigate in dimension 2 the following result which illustrates Theorem 4. The bounds obtained for $u$ and its gradient $|\nabla u|$ seems appear for the first time in the non-homogeneous Dirichlet case.

Theorem 5. We assume that $u$ is a classical solution of the non-homogeneous Dirichlet problem (2), (9), strictly convex, at least of class $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{3}(\Omega)$. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain, convex in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|\nabla u|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{K}\left\{\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}}+M+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{s}{ }^{2}+\left|f_{s s}\right|\right\}  \tag{24}\\
-h\left(u_{\min }\right)+h(f) & \leq g\left(\frac{1}{K}\left\{\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}}+M+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{s}{ }^{2}+\left|f_{s s}\right|\right\}\right) \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

For the proof of Theorem 5, we need to use in dimension 2 some differential equality valid in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This fact consists on the computation of the normal derivative of the combination $\Phi$ in function of the mean curvature $K$, the first $u_{s}$ and second $u_{s s}$ tangential derivatives of $u$ in the plane. This result will be established as follows.

We begin by computing the normal derivative of $\Phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n} & =2 g^{\prime}\left\{u_{, 1}\left(u_{, 11} n_{1}+u_{, 12} n_{2}\right)+u_{, 2}\left(u_{, 21} n_{1}+u_{, 22} n_{2}\right)\right\}+h^{\prime} u_{n} \\
& =2 g^{\prime} u_{n}\left\{\Delta u+u_{, 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{, 1}-u_{, 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{, 2}\right\}+h^{\prime} u_{n} \\
& =2 g^{\prime} u_{n}\left\{\Delta u+u_{s} u_{n s}-u_{s s}-K|\nabla u|^{2}\right\}+h^{\prime} u_{n} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s$ denotes differentiation in the tangential direction on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $K$ stands for curvature of $\partial \Omega$ at some point $\hat{P}$.

In the terms $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{, 1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{, 2}$ we have broken $u_{, 1}$ and $u_{, 2}$ into normal and tangential derivative components and used the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{, 1}}{\partial s}=-K n_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial u_{, 2}}{\partial s}=K n_{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the maximum of the combination $\Phi$ defined by (11) is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ at $\hat{P}$, we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}(\hat{P}) & =g^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+h^{\prime} u_{s} \\
& =2 g^{\prime}\left(u_{n} u_{n s}+u_{s} u_{s s}\right)+h^{\prime} u_{s}=0 . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we need to use the differential equality (28) in order to eliminate the product $u_{n} u_{n s}$ in (26). In fact, involving (28) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} u_{n s}=\frac{h^{\prime} u_{s}}{2 g^{\prime}}-u_{s} u_{s s} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Monge-Ampére equations (9) can be rewritten in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n n}\left(K u_{n}+u_{s s}\right)=g h+\left[u_{s n}\right]^{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, by using (26), (28), (29), and making use of the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n s}=u_{s n}-K u_{s}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{K}\left\{\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}}+M+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{s}^{2}+\left|f_{s s}\right|\right\}, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ and $\tilde{M}$ are two positive bounds of Laplace $u$ and the mixed derivative $u_{\text {sn }}$ since $u$ is assumed to be of class $C^{2}$.

For this last differential inequality (32), we have only considered the case when the normal derivative of the solution $u$ is non-equal to zero. Since for the nullity case, we are conducted to the triviality of the solution $u$. We are concerned now with the estimation of the solution $u$, which will be illustrated by applying the statement of Theorem 4. We know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h(u) \leq g(A)-h(u)+g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\frac{1}{K}\left\{\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}}+M+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{s}{ }^{2}+\left|f_{s s}\right|\right\}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a critical point of $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<-h\left(u_{\min }\right) \leq g(A)-h(f), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h\left(u_{\min }\right)+h(f) \leq g(A) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we have explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h\left(u_{\min }\right)+h(f) \leq g\left(\frac{1}{K}\left\{\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}}+M+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{M}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} f_{s}^{2}+\left|f_{s s}\right|\right\}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 - On an over-determined Monge-Ampére problem

Ma in [11] proved in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ the following result

Theorem 6. Under the same hypothesis of $c, \Omega$ and $u(x)$ as in Theorem 2, if $P(x):=|\nabla u|^{2}-2 \sqrt{c} u$ attains its maximum in $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega=B_{R}(0)  \tag{38}\\
u=\frac{\sqrt{c}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{c} R^{2}}{2}  \tag{39}\\
P=c R^{2} \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R$ is a positive constant.

Our goal is to extend this result to the $N$-dimensional space for more general Monge-Ampére equations (9). In the next theorem, we establish our result.

Theorem 7. We assume that $u$ is a classical solution of (2), (9) with $f=0$. If $\Phi=g\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+h(u)$, where $g g^{\prime}=1$ and $h(0)>0$, attains its maximum on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(0)}{2 h(0)}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(|x|^{2}-h(0)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(0)}{2 h(0)}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(r^{2}-h(0)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}  \tag{42}\\
\Phi=\left(\frac{2 h(0)}{h^{\prime}(0) K}\right)^{\frac{2}{N-1}}+h(0)=\text { const. } \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $r$ is a positive constant.

In order to establish this statement, we compute the normal and tangential derivatives of $\Phi$ and we use the fact that its maximum is attained on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n}=2 g^{\prime}\left(u_{n} u_{n n}+\left(\nabla_{s} u\right)\left(\nabla_{s} u\right)_{n}\right)+h^{\prime} u_{n}=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{s} u$ denotes the tangential gradient of $u$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$.
From (44), we deduce that the second normal derivative of $u$ can be evaluated explicitly on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n n}=-\frac{h^{\prime}}{2 g^{\prime}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is non-positive by the hypothesis on $h^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ (see (22)).
The general Monge Ampère equations (9) with $f=0$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(P)|\nabla u|^{N-1} u_{n n}(P)=g\left(u_{n}{ }^{2}\right) h(0) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, this is due to the following Lemma investigated by Safoui in [13]
Lemma 1 (Lemma $1.5 \mathrm{p}: 16$ [13]). Let $u$ be a function of class $C^{2}$ strictly convex in $\bar{\Omega}$ and constant on $\partial \Omega$, and let $P_{0}$ be an element of $\partial \Omega$ where $|\nabla u|^{2}$ realizes its maximum.

We have then at this point the relation

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=\Gamma\left(P_{0}\right) u_{n}^{N-1} u_{n n},
$$

where $\Gamma\left(P_{0}\right)$ denotes the curvature of Gauss of $\partial \Omega$ at the point $P_{0}$.
This last differential equality (46) becomes in view of Lemma 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n n}=\frac{g\left(u_{n}{ }^{2}\right) h(0)}{K|\nabla u|^{N-1}} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (45) and (47), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\left(\frac{2 h(0)}{h^{\prime}(0) K}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\left(\frac{2 h(0)}{h^{\prime}(0) K}\right)^{\frac{2}{N-1}}+h(0)=\text { const. } \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (49), we obtain the value of the mean curvature $K$ of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(0)}{2 h(0)}\right)\left(r^{2}-h(0)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is a positive constant.
To this end, the solution $u$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(0)}{2 h(0)}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(|x|^{2}-h(0)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime}(0)}{2 h(0)}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\left(r^{2}-h(0)\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which achieves the proof of our theorem.
We remark that the statement of Theorem 7 is also valid if we have $g g^{\prime}=$ $A .|\nabla u|^{2 N}$, where $A$ is a positive constant. In the special case when $A=N=1$, we obtain the result of $\mathrm{Ma}[11]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
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