

# On the Moore Formula of Compact Nilmanifolds

Hatem HAMROUNI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences at Sfax,  
Route Soukra, B.P. 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia  
E-mail: [hatemhhamrouni@voila.fr](mailto:hatemhhamrouni@voila.fr)

Received December 17, 2008, in final form June 04, 2009; Published online June 15, 2009  
doi:[10.3842/SIGMA.2009.062](https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2009.062)

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a connected and simply connected two-step nilpotent Lie group and  $\Gamma$  a lattice subgroup of  $G$ . In this note, we give a new multiplicity formula, according to the sense of Moore, of irreducible unitary representations involved in the decomposition of the quasi-regular representation  $\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(1)$ . Extending then the Abelian case.

*Key words:* nilpotent Lie group; lattice subgroup; rational structure; unitary representation; Kirillov theory

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 22E27

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and suppose  $G$  contains a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$ . Let  $R_\Gamma = \text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(1)$  be the quasi-regular representation of  $G$  induced from  $\Gamma$ . Then  $R_\Gamma$  is direct sum of irreducible unitary representations each occurring with finite multiplicity [3]; we will write

$$R_\Gamma = \sum_{\pi \in (G:\Gamma)} \mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)\pi.$$

A basic problem in representation theory is to determine the spectrum  $(G : \Gamma)$  and the multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)$ . C.C. Moore first studied this problem in [7]. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\Gamma$  a lattice subgroup of  $G$  (i.e.,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup of  $G$  and  $\log(\Gamma)$  is an additive subgroup of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation with coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\pi^G$ . Then  $\pi$  belongs to  $(G : \Gamma)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_\pi^G$  meets  $\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* = \{l \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \langle l, \log(\Gamma) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  denotes the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

Later R. Howe [4] and L. Richardson [12] gave independently the decomposition of  $R_\Gamma$  for an arbitrary compact nilmanifold. In this paper, we pay attention to the question whether the multiplicity formula

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1) = \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*/\Gamma] \quad \forall \pi \in (G : \Gamma)$$

required in the Abelian context, still holds for non commutative nilpotent Lie groups (we write  $\#A$  to denote the cardinal number of a set  $A$ ). In [7], Moore showed the following inequality

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1) \leq \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*/\Gamma] \quad \forall \pi \in (G : \Gamma), \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a lattice subgroup of  $G$ , and produced an example for which the inequality (1) is strict. More precisely, he showed that

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)^2 = \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*/\Gamma] \quad \forall \pi \in (G : \Gamma) \quad (2)$$

in the case of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and  $\Gamma$  a lattice subgroup. The present paper aims to show that every connected, simply connected two-step nilpotent Lie group satisfies equation (2). We present therefore a counter example for 3-step nilpotent Lie groups.

## 2 Rational structures and uniform subgroups

In this section, we summarize facts concerning rational structures and uniform subgroups in a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie groups. We recommend [2] and [9] as a references.

### 2.1 Rational structures

Let  $G$  be a nilpotent, connected and simply connected real Lie group and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. We say that  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or  $G$ ) has a *rational structure* if there is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a rational structure if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  with rational structure constants.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  have a fixed rational structure given by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is *rational* if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ , and that a connected, closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is *rational* if its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is rational. The elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (or  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ ) are called *rational elements* (or *rational points*) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or  $G$ ).

### 2.2 Uniform subgroups

A discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  is called *uniform* in  $G$  if the quotient space  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. The homogeneous space  $G/\Gamma$  is called a *compact nilmanifold*. A proof of the next result can be found in Theorem 7 of [5] or in Theorem 2.12 of [11].

**Theorem 2 (the Malcev rationality criterion).** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. Then  $G$  admits a uniform subgroup  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  such that*

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} X_k, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

where the constants  $c_{ijk}$  are all rational. (The  $c_{ijk}$  are called the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to the basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ .)

More precisely, we have, if  $G$  has a uniform subgroup  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  (hence  $G$ ) has a rational structure such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}\text{-span}\{\log(\Gamma)\}$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a rational structure given by some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $G$  has a uniform subgroup  $\Gamma$  such that  $\log(\Gamma) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (see [2] and [5]). If we endow  $G$  with the rational structure induced by a uniform subgroup  $\Gamma$  and if  $H$  is a Lie subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is rational if and only if  $H \cap \Gamma$  is a uniform subgroup of  $H$ . Note that the notion of rational depends on  $\Gamma$ .

### 2.3 Weak and strong Malcev basis

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a weak (resp. strong) Malcev basis for  $\mathfrak{g}$  if  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_i\}$  is a subalgebras (resp. an ideal) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (see [2]).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform subgroup of  $G$ . A strong or weak Malcev basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be *strongly based on  $\Gamma$*  if

$$\Gamma = \exp(\mathbb{Z}X_1) \cdots \exp(\mathbb{Z}X_n).$$

Such a basis always exists (see [5, 2, 6]).

A proof of the next result can be found in Proposition 5.3.2 of [2].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be uniform subgroup in a nilpotent Lie group  $G$ , and let  $H_1 \subsetneq H_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq H_k = G$  be rational Lie subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{k-1}, \mathfrak{h}_k = \mathfrak{g}$  be the corresponding Lie algebras. Then there exists a weak Malcev basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  strongly based on  $\Gamma$  and passing through  $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{k-1}$ . If the  $H_j$  are all normal, the basis can be chosen to be a strong Malcev basis.*

## 2.4 Lattice subgroups

**Definition 1** ([7]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform subgroup of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice subgroup of  $G$  if  $\log(\Gamma)$  is an Abelian subgroup of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In [7], Moore shows that if a simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$  satisfies the Malcev rationality criterion, then  $G$  admits a lattice subgroup.

We close this section with the following proposition [1, Lemma 3.9].

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice subgroup of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a weak Malcev basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  strongly based on  $\Gamma$ , then  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for the additive lattice  $\log(\Gamma)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

## 3 Main result

We begin with the following definition.

**Definition 2.** Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group which satisfies the Malcev rationality criterion, and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra.

- (1) We say that  $G$  satisfies the Moore formula at a lattice subgroup  $\Gamma$  if we have

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)^2 = \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma], \quad \forall \pi \in (G : \Gamma).$$

- (2) We say that  $G$  satisfies the Moore formula if  $G$  satisfies the Moore formula at every lattice subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ .

### Examples.

- (1) Every Abelian Lie group satisfies the Moore formula.  
 (2) The 3-dimensional Heisenberg group satisfies the Moore formula (see [7, p. 155]).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Every connected, simply connected two-step nilpotent Lie group satisfies the Moore formula.*

Before proving Theorem 3, we must review more of the Corwin–Greenleaf multiplicity formula.

### 3.1 The Corwin–Greenleaf multiplicity formula

Using the Poisson summation and Selberg trace formulas, L. Corwin and F.P. Greenleaf [1] gave a formula for  $\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)$  that depended only on the coadjoint orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  corresponding to  $\pi$  via Kirillov theory. We state their formula for lattice subgroups. Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice subgroup of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* = \{l \in \mathfrak{g}^* : \langle l, \log(\Gamma) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let  $\pi_l$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  with coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \neq \{l\}$ . According to Theorem 1, we have  $\mathbf{m}(\pi_l, G, \Gamma, 1) > 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* \neq \emptyset$ , so we will suppose this intersection is nonempty. The set  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. For such  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$  one can associate a number  $c(\Omega)$  as follows: let  $f \in \Omega$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(f) = \ker(B_f)$ , where  $B_f$  is the skew-symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by

$$B_f(X, Y) = \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Since  $\langle f, \log(\Gamma) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\mathfrak{g}(f)$  is a rational subalgebra. There exists a weak Malcev basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  strongly based on  $\Gamma$  and passing through  $\mathfrak{g}(f)$  (see [2, Proposition 5.3.2]). We write  $\mathfrak{g}(f) = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$ . Let

$$A_f = \text{Mat}(\langle f, [X_i, X_j] \rangle : s < i, j \leq n). \quad (3)$$

Then  $\det(A_f)$  is independent of the basis satisfying the above conditions and depends only on the  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\Omega$ . Set

$$c(\Omega) = (\det(A_f))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then  $c(\Omega)$  is a positive rational number and the multiplicity formula of Corwin–Greenleaf is

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi_l, G, \Gamma, 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}(l) = \mathfrak{g}, \\ \sum_{\Omega \in [\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma]} c(\Omega), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

For details see [1].

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $l \in \mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$ . The result is obvious if  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \mathfrak{g}$ . Next, we suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}(l) \neq \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $G$  is two-step nilpotent Lie group then  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and hence we have  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \mathfrak{g}(f)$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\pi^G$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\pi^G = l + \mathfrak{g}(l)^\perp$  (see [2, Theorem 3.2.3]). On the other hand, as  $l$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$  then  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is rational. By Proposition 5.3.2 of [2] there exists a Jordan–Hölder basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  strongly based on  $\Gamma$  and passing through  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$ .

Then, for every  $\Omega \in [\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma]$  and for every  $f \in \Omega$ , we have

$$c(\Omega) = \det(A_f)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \det(A_l)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = c(\Gamma \cdot l),$$

since  $f|_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]} = l|_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]}$ . It follows from (4) that

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1) = \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma] c(\Gamma \cdot l). \quad (5)$$

Next, we calculate  $\#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma]$ . Let  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp(-t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(-t_n X_n)) \cdot f &= f + \sum_{i=s+1}^n \left( \sum_{j=s+1}^n t_j \langle f, [X_j, X_i] \rangle \right) X_i^* \\ &= f + \sum_{i=s+1}^n \left( \sum_{j=s+1}^n t_j \langle l, [X_j, X_i] \rangle \right) X_i^*, \end{aligned}$$

since  $f|_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]} = l|_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]}$ . It follows that

$$\Gamma \cdot f = f + \sum_{j=s+1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_j,$$

where

$$e_j = \sum_{i=s+1}^n \langle l, [X_j, X_i] \rangle X_i^*, \quad \forall s < j \leq n.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{L} = \mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* - f = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}X_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_0 = \sum_{j=s+1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_j.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}(l) \cap \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{X_{s+1}, \dots, X_n\} = \{0\}$ , then the vectors  $e_{s+1}, \dots, e_n$  are linearly independent. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{L}_0$  is a sublattice of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . It is well known that there exist  $\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  a linearly independent vectors of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $d_{s+1}, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_0 = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} d_i \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma] = d_{s+1} \cdots d_n.$$

Let  $[\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n]$  be the matrix with column vectors  $\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  expressed in the basis  $(X_{s+1}^*, \dots, X_n^*)$ . From

$$\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}X_i^* = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i,$$

we deduce that

$$[\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n] \in \text{GL}(n-s, \mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, let  $[e_{s+1}, \dots, e_n]$  (resp.  $[d_{s+1}\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, d_n\varepsilon_n]$ ) be the matrix with column vectors  $e_{s+1}, \dots, e_n$  (resp.  $d_{s+1}\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, d_n\varepsilon_n$ ) expressed in the basis  $(X_{s+1}^*, \dots, X_n^*)$ . Since

$$\mathfrak{L}_0 = \sum_{j=s+1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_j = \bigoplus_{s < i \leq n} d_i \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i,$$

then there exists  $T \in \text{GL}(n-s, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$[e_{s+1}, \dots, e_n] = [d_{s+1}\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, d_n\varepsilon_n]T.$$

The latter condition can be written

$${}^t A_l = [\varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n] \text{diag}[d_{s+1}, \dots, d_n]T.$$

Form this it follows that

$$\det(A_l) = d_{s+1} \cdots d_n.$$

Consequently

$$\#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma] = \det(A_l). \tag{6}$$

Substituting the last expression (6) into (5), we obtain

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi, G, \Gamma, 1)^2 = \#[\mathcal{O}_\pi^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma].$$

This completes the proof. ■

As a consequence of the above result, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected two-step nilpotent Lie group, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that the representation  $\pi_l$  appears in the decomposition of  $\mathbf{R}_\Gamma$ . Let  $A_l$  as in (3). The multiplicity of  $\pi_l$  is*

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi_l, G, \Gamma, 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}(l) = \mathfrak{g}, \\ (\det(A_l))^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 1.** Note that in [10], H. Pesce obtained the above result more generally when  $\Gamma$  is a uniform subgroup of  $G$ .

## 4 Three-step example

In this section, we give an example of three-step nilpotent Lie group that does not satisfy the Moore formula. Consider the 4-dimensional three-step nilpotent Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{X_1, \dots, X_4\}$$

with Lie brackets given by

$$[X_4, X_i] = X_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, 3,$$

and the non-defined brackets being equal to zero or obtained by antisymmetry. Let  $G$  be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The group  $G$  is called the generic filiform nilpotent Lie group of dimension four. Let  $\Gamma$  be the lattice subgroup of  $G$  defined by

$$\Gamma = \exp(\mathbb{Z}X_1)\exp(\mathbb{Z}X_2)\exp(\mathbb{Z}X_3)\exp(6\mathbb{Z}X_4) = \exp(\mathbb{Z}X_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}X_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}X_3 \oplus 6\mathbb{Z}X_4).$$

Let  $l = X_1^*$ . It is clear that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{X_1, \dots, X_3\}$  is a rational polarization at  $l$ . On the other hand, we have  $\langle l, \mathfrak{m} \cap \log(\Gamma) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, the representation  $\pi_l$  occurs in  $\mathbf{R}_\Gamma$  (see [12, 4]). Now, we have to calculate  $\#[\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* / \Gamma]$ .

Following [2] or [8], the coadjoint orbit of  $l$  has the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G = \left\{ X_1^* + tX_2^* + \frac{t^2}{2}X_3^* + sX_4^* : s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* = \mathbb{Z}\text{-span} \left\{ X_1^*, \dots, X_3^*, \frac{1}{6}X_4^* \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^* = \left\{ X_1^* + tX_2^* + \frac{t^2}{2}X_3^* + \frac{s}{6}X_4^* : s \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Let

$$f_{t_0, s_0} = X_1^* + t_0X_2^* + \frac{t_0^2}{2}X_3^* + \frac{s_0}{6}X_4^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*$$

and

$$\gamma = \exp(rX_2)\exp(sX_3)\exp(6tX_4) \in \Gamma.$$

We calculate

$$\mathrm{Ad}^*(\gamma)f_{t_0,s_0} = X_1^* + (t_0 - 6t)X_2^* + \frac{(t_0 - 6t)^2}{2}X_3^* + \left(\frac{s_0}{6} + st_0 + r - 6st\right)X_4^*.$$

Then (see [8])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}^*(\Gamma)f_{t_0,s_0} &= \left\{ X_1^* + (t_0 + 6t)X_2^* + \frac{(t_0 + 6t)^2}{2}X_3^* + \left(\frac{s_0}{6} + s\right)X_4^* : s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ &= \{f_{t_0+6t,s_0+6s} : s, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that  $\#[\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*/\Gamma] = 3 \cdot 6 = 18$ , and hence

$$\mathbf{m}(\pi_l, G, \Gamma, 1)^2 \neq \#[\mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}^G \cap \mathfrak{g}_\Gamma^*/\Gamma].$$

Therefore, the group  $G$  does not satisfy the Moore formula at  $\Gamma$ .

## Acknowledgements

It is great pleasure to thank the anonymous referees for their critical and valuable comments.

## References

- [1] Corwin L., Greenleaf F.P., Character formulas and spectra of compact nilmanifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.* **21** (1976), 123–154.
- [2] Corwin L.J., Greenleaf F.P., Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part I. Basic theory and examples, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Vol. 18, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [3] Gelfand I.M., Graev M.I., Piatetski-Shapiro I.I., Representation theory and automorphic functions, W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa.-London – Toronto, Ont. 1969.
- [4] Howe R., On Frobenius reciprocity for unipotent algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 163–172.
- [5] Malcev A.I., On a class of homogeneous spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **1951** (1951), no. 39, 33 pages.
- [6] Matsushima Y., On the discrete subgroups and homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups, *Nagoya Math. J.* **2** (1951), 95–110.
- [7] Moore C.C., Decomposition of unitary representations defined by discrete subgroups of nilpotent Lie groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **82** (1965), 146–182.
- [8] Nielsen O.A., Unitary representations and coadjoint orbits of low dimensional nilpotent Lie groups, *Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 63, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 1983.
- [9] Onishchik A.L., Vinberg E.B., Lie groups and Lie algebras. II. Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and cohomologies of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [10] Pesce H., Calcul du spectre d'une nilvariété de rang deux et applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339** (1993), 433–461.
- [11] Raghunathan M.S., Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 68, Springer-Verlag, New York – Heidelberg, 1972.
- [12] Richardson L.F., Decomposition of the  $L^2$  space of a general compact nilmanifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 173–190.