

# Fusion Procedure for Cyclotomic Hecke Algebras<sup>\*</sup>

Oleg V. OGIEVETSKY<sup>†1†2†3</sup> and Loïc POULAIN D'ANDECY<sup>†4</sup>

<sup>†1</sup> *Center of Theoretical Physics, Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, UMR 7332, 13288 Marseille, France*  
E-mail: [oleg@cpt.univ-mrs.fr](mailto:oleg@cpt.univ-mrs.fr)

<sup>†2</sup> *Université de Toulon, CNRS, UMR 7332, 83957 La Garde, France*

<sup>†3</sup> *On leave of absence from P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky Pr. 53, 117924 Moscow, Russia*

<sup>†4</sup> *Mathematics Laboratory of Versailles, LMV, CNRS UMR 8100, Versailles Saint-Quentin University, 45 avenue des Etas-Unis, 78035 Versailles Cedex, France*  
E-mail: [L.B.PoulainDAndecy@uva.nl](mailto:L.B.PoulainDAndecy@uva.nl)

Received September 28, 2013, in final form March 29, 2014; Published online April 01, 2014  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2014.039>

**Abstract.** A complete system of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents for cyclotomic Hecke algebras is constructed by consecutive evaluations of a rational function in several variables on quantum contents of multi-tableaux. This function is a product of two terms, one of which depends only on the shape of the multi-tableau and is proportional to the inverse of the corresponding Schur element.

*Key words:* cyclotomic Hecke algebras; fusion formula; idempotents; Young tableaux; Jucys–Murphy elements; Schur element

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 20C08; 05E10

## 1 Introduction

This article is a continuation of the article [14] on the fusion procedure for the complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ . The cyclotomic Hecke algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$ , introduced in [2, 3, 4], is a natural flat deformation of the group ring of the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$ .

In [14], a fusion procedure, in the spirit of [12], for the complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  is suggested: a complete system of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents for the groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  is obtained by consecutive evaluations of a rational function in several variables with values in the group ring  $\mathbb{C}G(m, 1, n)$ . This approach to the fusion procedure relies on the existence of a maximal commutative set of elements of  $\mathbb{C}G(m, 1, n)$  formed by the Jucys–Murphy elements.

Jucys–Murphy elements for the cyclotomic Hecke algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$  were introduced in [2] and were used in [13] to develop an inductive approach to the representation theory of the chain of the algebras  $H(m, 1, n)$ . In the generic setting or under certain restrictions on the parameters of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$  (see Section 2 for precise definitions), the Jucys–Murphy elements form a maximal commutative set in the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$ .

A complete system of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$  is indexed by the set of standard  $m$ -tableaux of size  $n$ . We formulate here the main result of the article. Let  $\lambda$  be an  $m$ -partition of size  $n$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  be a standard  $m$ -tableau of shape  $\lambda$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue in honor of Anatol Kirillov and Tetsuji Miwa. The full collection is available at <http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/InfiniteAnalysis2013.html>

**Theorem.** *The idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $H(m, 1, n)$  corresponding to the standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\lambda$  can be obtained by the following consecutive evaluations*

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = F_{\lambda} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \cdots \Big|_{u_{n-1}=c_{n-1}} \Big|_{u_n=c_n} . \quad (1)$$

Here  $\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a rational function with values in the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$ ,  $F_{\lambda}$  is an element of the base ring and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are the quantum contents of the  $m$ -nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The classical limit of our fusion procedure for algebras  $H(m, 1, n)$  reproduces the fusion procedure of [14] for the complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ . For  $\mathbb{C}G(m, 1, n)$ , the variables of the rational function are split into two parts, one is related to the position of the  $m$ -node (its place in the  $m$ -tuple) and the other one – to the classical content of the  $m$ -node. The position variables can be evaluated simultaneously while the classical content variables have then to be evaluated consequently from 1 to  $n$ . For the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$ , the information about positions and classical contents is fully contained in the quantum contents, and now the function  $\Phi$  depends on only one set of variables.

Remarkably, the coefficient  $F_{\lambda}$  appearing in (1) depends only on the shape  $\lambda$  of the standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  (cf. with the more delicate fusion procedure for the Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebra [7]). In the classical limit, this coefficient depends only on the usual hook length, see [14]. However, in the deformed situation, the calculation of  $F_{\lambda}$  needs a non-trivial generalization of the hook length. It appears that the coefficient  $F_{\lambda}$  is proportional to the inverse of the *Schur element* (corresponding to the  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ ) associated to a specific symmetrizing form on the algebra  $H(m, 1, n)$  (see [6, 11] for a calculation of these Schur elements and [5] for an expression in terms of generalized hook lengths); for more precise statements, we refer to [15] where we calculate, using the fusion formula presented here, weights of certain central forms and in particular of these Schur elements.

For  $m = 1$ , the cyclotomic Hecke algebra  $H(1, 1, n)$  is the Hecke algebra of type A and our fusion procedure reduces to the fusion procedure for the Hecke algebra in [8]. The factors in the rational function are arranged in [8] in such a way that there is a product of “Baxterized” generators on one side and a product of non-Baxterized generators on the other side. For  $m > 1$  a rearrangement, as for the type A, of the rational function appearing in (1) is no more possible.

The additional, with respect to  $H(1, 1, n)$ , generator of  $H(m, 1, n)$  satisfies the reflection equation whose “Baxterization” is known [9]. But – and this is maybe surprising – the full Baxterized form is not used in the construction of the rational function in (1). The rational expression involving the additional generator satisfies only a certain limit of the reflection equation with spectral parameters.

The Hecke algebra of type A is the natural quotient of the Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebra. The fusion procedure, developed in [7], for the Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebra provides a one-parameter family of fusion procedures for the Hecke algebra of type A. We think that for  $m > 1$  the fusion procedure (1) can be included into a one-parameter family as well.

## 2 Definitions

### 2.1 Cyclotomic Hecke algebra and Baxterized elements

Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $q, v_1, \dots, v_m$  be complex numbers with  $q \neq 0$ . The cyclotomic Hecke algebra  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  is the unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  with the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j &= \sigma_j \sigma_i && \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n \text{ such that } |i-j| > 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau\sigma_1\tau\sigma_1 &= \sigma_1\tau\sigma_1\tau, \\
\tau\sigma_i &= \sigma_i\tau && \text{for } i > 1, \\
\sigma_i^2 &= (q - q^{-1})\sigma_i + 1 && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\
(\tau - v_1)\cdots(\tau - v_m) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We define  $H(m, 1, 0) := \mathbb{C}$ . The cyclotomic Hecke algebras  $H(m, 1, n)$  form a chain (with respect to  $n$ ) of algebras defined by inclusions  $H(m, 1, n) \ni \tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \mapsto \tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \in H(m, 1, n+1)$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . These inclusions allow to consider (as it will often be done in the article) elements of  $H(m, 1, n)$  as elements of  $H(m, 1, n+n')$  for any  $n' = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

In the sequel we assume the following restrictions on the parameters  $q, v_1, \dots, v_m$ :

$$1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{2N} \neq 0 \text{ for } N \text{ such that } N \leq n, \quad (2)$$

$$q^{2i}v_j - v_k \neq 0 \text{ for } i, j, k \text{ such that } j \neq k \text{ and } -n \leq i \leq n, \quad (3)$$

$$v_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (4)$$

The restrictions (2), (3) are necessary and sufficient for the semi-simplicity of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  [1, main theorem]. The restriction (4) is necessary for the maximality of the commutative set of the Jucys–Murphy elements (as defined in Section 3) [1, Proposition 3.2].

Define the following rational functions in variables  $a, b$  with values in  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ :

$$\bar{\sigma}_i(a, b) := \sigma_i + (q - q^{-1})\frac{b}{a - b}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5)$$

The functions  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  are called *Baxterized* elements and the variables  $a$  and  $b$  are called *spectral parameters*. These Baxterized elements satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation with spectral parameters

$$\bar{\sigma}_i(a, b)\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(a, c)\bar{\sigma}_i(b, c) = \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(b, c)\bar{\sigma}_i(a, c)\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}(a, b).$$

The following formula will be used later

$$\bar{\sigma}_i(a, b)\bar{\sigma}_i(b, a) = \frac{(a - q^2b)(a - q^{-2}b)}{(a - b)^2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

Let  $\mathbf{p}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , be the eigen-idempotents of  $\tau$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_i := \prod_{j:j \neq i} (\tau - v_j)/(v_i - v_j)$ , so that  $\tau\mathbf{p}_i = v_i\mathbf{p}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_i\mathbf{p}_j = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{p}_i$ ,  $\sum_i \mathbf{p}_i = 1$  and  $\tau = \sum_i v_i\mathbf{p}_i$ . Let  $r$  be an indeterminate. The resolvent  $(r - \tau)^{-1} := \sum_i (r - v_i)^{-1}\mathbf{p}_i$  of  $\tau$  is an element of  $\mathbb{C}(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H(m, 1, n+1)$ . Define a rational function  $\bar{\tau}$  with values in  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ :

$$\bar{\tau}(r) := \frac{(r - v_1)(r - v_2)\cdots(r - v_m)}{r - \tau} = \sum_i \left( \prod_{j:j \neq i} (r - v_j) \right) \mathbf{p}_i \in \mathbb{C}[r] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H(m, 1, n+1). \quad (7)$$

**Remarks.** (i) The function  $\bar{\tau}(r)$  can be expressed in terms of the complex numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m$  defined by

$$(X - v_1)(X - v_2)\cdots(X - v_m) = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_mX^m,$$

where  $X$  is an indeterminate. Let  $\mathbf{a}_i(r)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , be the polynomials in  $r$  given by

$$\mathbf{a}_i(r) = a_i + ra_{i+1} + \cdots + r^{m-i}a_m \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m. \quad (8)$$

Using that  $r\mathbf{a}_{i+1}(r) = \mathbf{a}_i(r) - a_i$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , it is straightforward to verify that

$$(r - \tau) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{a}_{i+1}(r) \tau^i = \mathbf{a}_0(r) = (r - v_1)(r - v_2) \cdots (r - v_m). \quad (9)$$

It follows from (9) that

$$\bar{\tau}(r) = \mathbf{a}_1(r) + \mathbf{a}_2(r)\tau + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_m(r)\tau^{m-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{a}_{i+1}(r)\tau^i, \quad (10)$$

For example, for  $m = 1$ , we have  $\bar{\tau}(r) = 1$ ; for  $m = 2$ , we have  $\bar{\tau}(r) = \tau + r - v_1 - v_2$ ; for  $m = 3$ , we have  $\bar{\tau}(r) = \tau^2 + (r - v_1 - v_2 - v_3)\tau + r^2 - r(v_1 + v_2 + v_3) + v_1v_2 + v_1v_3 + v_2v_3$ .

(ii) The functions  $\bar{\tau}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_1$  satisfy the following equation

$$\bar{\sigma}_1(a, b)\bar{\tau}(a)\sigma_1^{-1}\bar{\tau}(b) = \bar{\tau}(b)\sigma_1^{-1}\bar{\tau}(a)\bar{\sigma}_1(a, b). \quad (11)$$

Indeed, due to (6) and (7), the equality (11) is equivalent to

$$(\tau - b)\sigma_1(\tau - a)\bar{\sigma}_1(b, a) = \bar{\sigma}_1(b, a)(\tau - a)\sigma_1(\tau - b),$$

which is proved by a straightforward calculation. The equation (11) is a certain (we leave the details to the reader) limit of the usual reflection equation with spectral parameters (see, for example, [10]).

## 2.2 $m$ -partitions, $m$ -tableaux and generalized hook length

Let  $\lambda \vdash n + 1$  be a partition of size  $n + 1$ , that is,  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ , where  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , are positive integers,  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l$  and  $n + 1 = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$ . We identify partitions with their Young diagrams: the Young diagram of  $\lambda$  is a left-justified array of rows of nodes containing  $\lambda_j$  nodes in the  $j$ -th row,  $j = 1, \dots, l$ ; the rows are numbered from top to bottom. For a node  $\alpha$  in line  $x$  and column  $y$  of a Young diagram, we denote  $\alpha = (x, y)$  and call  $x$  and  $y$  the coordinates of the node.

An  $m$ -partition, or a Young  $m$ -diagram, of size  $n + 1$  is an  $m$ -tuple of partitions such that the sum of their sizes equals  $n + 1$ ; e.g. the Young 3-diagram  $(\square\square, \square, \square)$  represents the 3-partition  $((2), (1), (1))$  of size 4.

We shall understand an  $m$ -partition as a set of  $m$ -nodes, where an  $m$ -node  $\alpha$  is a pair  $\{\alpha, k\}$  consisting of a node  $\alpha$  and an integer  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , indicating to which diagram in the  $m$ -tuple the node belongs. The integer  $k$  will be called *position* of the  $m$ -node, and we set  $\text{pos}(\alpha) := k$ .

For an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ , an  $m$ -node  $\alpha$  of  $\lambda$  is called *removable* if the set of  $m$ -nodes obtained from  $\lambda$  by removing  $\alpha$  is still an  $m$ -partition. An  $m$ -node  $\beta$  not in  $\lambda$  is called *addable* if the set of  $m$ -nodes obtained from  $\lambda$  by adding  $\beta$  is still an  $m$ -partition. For an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_-(\lambda)$  the set of removable  $m$ -nodes of  $\lambda$  and by  $\mathcal{E}_+(\lambda)$  the set of addable  $m$ -nodes of  $\lambda$ . For example, the removable/addable  $m$ -nodes (marked with  $-/+$ ) for the 3-partition  $(\square\square, \square, \square)$  are

$$\left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & - & + \\ \hline + & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline - & + \\ \hline + & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline - & + \\ \hline + & \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $m$ -diagram of size  $n + 1$ . A standard  $m$ -tableau of shape  $\lambda$  is obtained by placing the numbers  $1, \dots, n + 1$  in the  $m$ -nodes of the diagrams of  $\lambda$  in such a way that the numbers in the nodes ascend along rows and down columns in every diagram. The *size* of a standard  $m$ -tableau is the size of its shape.

Let  $q, v_1, \dots, v_m$  be the parameters of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra  $H(m, 1, n + 1)$  and let  $\alpha = \{\alpha, k\}$  be an  $m$ -node with  $\alpha = (x, y)$ . We denote by  $cc(\alpha)$  the classical content of the node  $\alpha$ ,  $cc(\alpha) := y - x$ , and by  $c(\alpha)$  the *quantum content* of the  $m$ -node  $\alpha$ ,  $c(\alpha) := v_k q^{2cc(\alpha)} = v_k q^{2(y-x)}$ .

For a standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\lambda$  let  $\alpha_i$  be the  $m$ -node of  $\mathcal{T}$  occupied by the number  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ ; we set  $c(\mathcal{T}|i) := c(\alpha_i)$ ,  $cc(\mathcal{T}|i) := cc(\alpha_i)$  and  $\text{pos}(\mathcal{T}|i) := \text{pos}(\alpha_i)$ . For example, for the standard 3-tableau  $\mathcal{T} = \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(\mathcal{T}|1) &= v_1, & c(\mathcal{T}|2) &= v_2, & c(\mathcal{T}|3) &= v_1 q^2 & \text{and} & c(\mathcal{T}|4) &= v_3, \\ cc(\mathcal{T}|1) &= 0, & cc(\mathcal{T}|2) &= 0, & cc(\mathcal{T}|3) &= 1 & \text{and} & cc(\mathcal{T}|4) &= 0, \\ \text{pos}(\mathcal{T}|1) &= 1, & \text{pos}(\mathcal{T}|2) &= 2, & \text{pos}(\mathcal{T}|3) &= 1 & \text{and} & \text{pos}(\mathcal{T}|4) &= 3, \end{aligned}$$

**Generalized hook length.** The hook of a node  $\alpha$  of a partition  $\lambda$  is the set of nodes of  $\lambda$  consisting of the node  $\alpha$  and the nodes which lie either under  $\alpha$  in the same column or to the right of  $\alpha$  in the same row; the hook length  $h_\lambda(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  is the cardinality of the hook of  $\alpha$ . We extend this definition to  $m$ -nodes. For an  $m$ -node  $\alpha = \{\alpha, k\}$  of an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ , the hook length of  $\alpha$  in  $\lambda$ , which we denote by  $h_\lambda(\alpha)$ , is the hook length of the node  $\alpha$  in the  $k$ -th partition of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $m$ -partition. For  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $l_{\lambda,x,j}$  be the number of nodes in the line  $x$  of the  $j$ -th diagram of  $\lambda$ , and  $c_{\lambda,y,j}$  be the number of nodes in the column  $y$  of the  $j$ -th diagram of  $\lambda$ . The hook length of an  $m$ -node  $\alpha = \{(x, y), k\}$  of  $\lambda$  can be rewritten as

$$h_\lambda(\alpha) = l_{\lambda,x,k} + c_{\lambda,y,k} - x - y + 1.$$

Define the generalized hook length of  $\alpha$  (see also [5]) by

$$h_\lambda^{(j)}(\alpha) := l_{\lambda,x,j} + c_{\lambda,y,k} - x - y + 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m;$$

in particular,  $h_\lambda^{(k)}(\alpha) = h_\lambda(\alpha)$  is the usual hook length.

For an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ , we define

$$F_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} \left( \frac{q^{cc(\alpha)}}{[h_\lambda(\alpha)]_q} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \dots, m \\ k \neq \text{pos}(\alpha)}} \frac{q^{-cc(\alpha)}}{v_{\text{pos}(\alpha)} q^{-h_\lambda^{(k)}(\alpha)} - v_k q^{h_\lambda^{(k)}(\alpha)}} \right), \quad (12)$$

where  $[j]_q := q^{j-1} + q^{j-3} + \dots + q^{-j+1}$  for a non-negative integer  $j$ . Under the restrictions (2)–(4), the number  $F_\lambda$  is well defined for any  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$  of size less or equal to  $n + 1$  since  $h_\lambda(\alpha) \leq n + 1$  and  $h_\lambda^{(k)}(\alpha) \leq n$  if  $k \neq \text{pos}(\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in \lambda$ .

### 3 Idempotents and Jucys–Murphy elements of $H(m, 1, n + 1)$

In this section we recall the definition and some properties, from [2], of the Jucys–Murphy elements of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n + 1)$ , together with some facts about an explicit realization of the irreducible representations of  $H(m, 1, n + 1)$ . We then derive, in the same spirit as in [12], an inductive formula, that we will use in the next section, for the primitive idempotents corresponding to this realization.

The Jucys–Murphy elements  $J_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n + 1)$  are defined by the following initial condition and recursion

$$J_1 = \tau \quad \text{and} \quad J_{i+1} = \sigma_i J_i \sigma_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We recall that, under the restrictions (2)–(4), the elements  $J_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , form a maximal commutative set (that is, generate a maximal commutative subalgebra) of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  [2, Proposition 3.17]. Recall also that

$$J_i \sigma_k = \sigma_k J_i \quad \text{for } k \neq i-1, i.$$

The isomorphism classes of irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  are in bijection with the set of  $m$ -partitions of size  $n+1$ . We use the labeling and the explicit realization of the irreducible representations of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  given in [2]. Namely, for any  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$  of size  $n+1$ , the irreducible representation  $V_\lambda$  of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  has a basis  $\{v_{\mathcal{T}}\}$  indexed by the set of standard  $m$ -tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , and is characterized (up to a diagonal change of basis) by the fact that the Jucys–Murphy elements act diagonally by

$$J_i(v_{\mathcal{T}}) = c(\mathcal{T}|i)v_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

We will not need the explicit formulas for the action of the generators of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  on basis elements  $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

The restriction of irreducible representations of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  to  $H(m, 1, n)$  is determined by inclusion of  $m$ -partitions, that is, for  $H(m, 1, n)$ -modules, we have

$$V_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \subset \lambda, \mu \text{ of size } n} V_\mu. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, in this decomposition,  $V_\mu$  is the space spanned by the basis vectors  $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ , with  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the standard  $m$ -tableau (of size  $n$ ) obtained by removing from  $\mathcal{T}$  the  $m$ -node containing  $n+1$  is of shape  $\mu$ .

For a standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  of size  $n+1$ , we denote by  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  the primitive idempotent of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  corresponding to  $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ , uniquely defined by  $E_{\mathcal{T}}v_{\mathcal{T}'} = \delta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}'}v_{\mathcal{T}}$ . The results recalled above imply that  $\{E_{\mathcal{T}}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  runs through the set of standard  $m$ -tableaux of size  $n+1$ , is a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ . Moreover, we have by construction

$$J_i E_{\mathcal{T}} = E_{\mathcal{T}} J_i = c(\mathcal{T}|i)E_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1. \quad (14)$$

Due to the maximality of the commutative set formed by the Jucys–Murphy elements, the idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  can be expressed in terms of the elements  $J_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the  $m$ -node of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing the number  $n+1$ . As the  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  is standard, the  $m$ -node  $\gamma$  of  $\lambda$  is removable. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the standard  $m$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by removing the  $m$ -node  $\gamma$ , and let  $\mu$  be the shape of  $\mathcal{U}$ . By (13) and (14), the inductive formula for  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  in terms of the Jucys–Murphy elements reads

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = E_{\mathcal{U}} \prod_{\substack{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \\ \beta \neq \gamma}} \frac{J_{n+1} - c(\beta)}{c(\gamma) - c(\beta)},$$

with the initial condition:  $E_{\mathcal{U}_0} = 1$  for the unique  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{U}_0$  of size 0. Here  $E_{\mathcal{U}}$  is considered as an element of the algebra  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ . Note that, due to the restrictions (2)–(4), we have  $c(\beta) \neq c(\gamma)$  for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu)$  such that  $\beta \neq \gamma$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_a\}$  be the set of pairwise different standard  $m$ -tableaux which can be obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by adding an  $m$ -node with number  $n+1$ . As a consequence of (13), we have the formula

$$E_{\mathcal{U}} = \sum_{i=1}^a E_{\mathcal{T}_i}. \quad (15)$$

The element  $J_{n+1}$  satisfies a polynomial equation of finite order so its resolvent is well defined and

$$E_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{u - c(\mathcal{T}|n+1)}{u - J_{n+1}}$$

is a rational function in an indeterminate  $u$  with values in  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ . Replacing  $E_{\mathcal{U}}$  by the right-hand side of (15) and using (14), we obtain that this function is non-singular at  $u = c(\mathcal{T}|n+1)$  and moreover, due to the restrictions (2)–(4),

$$E_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{u - c(\mathcal{T}|n+1)}{u - J_{n+1}} \Big|_{u=c(\mathcal{T}|n+1)} = E_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (16)$$

## 4 Fusion formula for the algebra $H(m, 1, n+1)$

In this section, we prove, in Theorem 1 below, the fusion formula for the primitive idempotents  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ . We use the inductive formula (16) for  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

Let  $\phi_k$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , be the rational functions in variables  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  with values in the algebra  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  defined by  $\phi_1(u_1) := \bar{\tau}(u_1)$  and, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}(u_1, \dots, u_k, u_{k+1}) &:= \bar{\sigma}_k(u_{k+1}, u_k) \phi_k(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}) \sigma_k^{-1} \\ &= \bar{\sigma}_k(u_{k+1}, u_k) \bar{\sigma}_{k-1}(u_{k+1}, u_{k-1}) \dots \bar{\sigma}_1(u_{k+1}, u_1) \bar{\tau}(u_{k+1}) \sigma_1^{-1} \dots \sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \sigma_k^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the following rational function  $\Phi$  in variables  $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}$  with values in  $H(m, 1, n+1)$ :

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) := \phi_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) \phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n) \dots \phi_1(u_1).$$

Let  $\lambda$  be an  $m$ -partition of size  $n+1$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  a standard  $m$ -tableau of shape  $\lambda$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , we set  $c_i := c(\mathcal{T}|i)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  corresponding to the standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\lambda$  can be obtained by the following consecutive evaluations*

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = F_{\lambda} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \dots \Big|_{u_n=c_n} \Big|_{u_{n+1}=c_{n+1}},$$

with  $F_{\lambda}$  defined in (12).

We will prove the theorem in this section in several steps.

Until the end of the text,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  denote the  $m$ -nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing the numbers  $n+1$  and  $n$  respectively;  $\mathcal{U}$  is the standard  $m$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by removing  $\gamma$ , and  $\mu$  is the shape of  $\mathcal{U}$ ; also,  $\mathcal{W}$  is the standard  $m$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by removing the  $m$ -node  $\delta$  and  $\nu$  is the shape of  $\mathcal{W}$ .

For a standard  $m$ -tableau  $\mathcal{V}$  of size  $N$ , we define the following rational function in a variable  $u$  with complex values

$$F_{\mathcal{V}}(u) := \frac{u - c(\mathcal{V}|N)}{(u - v_1) \dots (u - v_m)} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{(u - c(\mathcal{V}|i))^2}{(u - q^2 c(\mathcal{V}|i))(u - q^{-2} c(\mathcal{V}|i))}; \quad (17)$$

by convention,  $F_{\mathcal{V}}(u) := \frac{u - c(\mathcal{V}|1)}{(u - v_1) \dots (u - v_m)}$  for  $N = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.** *We have*

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \phi_{n+1}(c_1, \dots, c_n, u) E_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{u - c_{n+1}}{u - J_{n+1}} E_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (18)$$

**Proof.** We prove (18) by induction on  $n$ . As  $J_1 = \tau$ , we have by (7)

$$\frac{u - c_1}{u - J_1} = \frac{u - c_1}{(u - v_1) \cdots (u - v_m)} \bar{\tau}(u),$$

which verifies the basis of induction ( $n = 0$ ).

We have:  $E_{\mathcal{W}}E_{\mathcal{U}} = E_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $E_{\mathcal{W}}$  commutes with  $\sigma_n$ . Rewrite the left-hand side of (18) as

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)\bar{\sigma}_n(u, c_n) \cdot \phi_n(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, u)E_{\mathcal{W}} \cdot \sigma_n^{-1}E_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

By the induction hypothesis we have for the left-hand side of (18)

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)(F_{\mathcal{U}}(u))^{-1}\bar{\sigma}_n(u, c_n)\frac{u - c_n}{u - J_n}\sigma_n^{-1}E_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Since  $J_{n+1}$  commutes with  $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ , the equality (18) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)(F_{\mathcal{U}}(u))^{-1}(u - c_n)\sigma_n^{-1}(u - J_{n+1})E_{\mathcal{U}} \\ = \frac{(u - c_{n+1})(u - c_n)^2}{(u - q^2c_n)(u - q^{-2}c_n)}(u - J_n)\bar{\sigma}_n(c_n, u)E_{\mathcal{U}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

(the inverse of  $\bar{\sigma}_n(u, c_n)$  is calculated with the help of (6)). By (17),

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)(F_{\mathcal{U}}(u))^{-1}(u - c_n) = (u - c_{n+1})\frac{(u - c_n)^2}{(u - q^2c_n)(u - q^{-2}c_n)}.$$

Therefore, to prove (19), it remains to show that

$$\sigma_n^{-1}(u - J_{n+1})E_{\mathcal{U}} = (u - J_n)\bar{\sigma}_n(c_n, u)E_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (20)$$

Replacing  $J_{n+1}$  by  $\sigma_n J_n \sigma_n$ , we write the left-hand side of (20) in the form

$$(u\sigma_n^{-1} - J_n\sigma_n)E_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (21)$$

As  $J_n E_{\mathcal{U}} = c_n E_{\mathcal{U}}$ , the right-hand side of (20) is

$$\left( u\sigma_n - J_n\sigma_n + (q - q^{-1})(u - c_n)\frac{u}{c_n - u} \right) E_{\mathcal{U}}$$

and thus coincides with (21). ■

To prove Theorem 1, we need the following information about the behavior of the rational function  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)$  at  $u = c_{n+1}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The rational function  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)$  is non-singular at  $u = c_{n+1}$ , and moreover*

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(c_{n+1}) = F_{\lambda} F_{\mu}^{-1},$$

We will prove this proposition with the help of Lemmas 4 and 5 below, which involve the combinatorics of multi-partitions.

**Lemma 4.** *We have*

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = (u - c_{n+1}) \prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\mu)} (u - c(\beta)) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu)} (u - c(\alpha))^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , we have

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = \frac{u - c_1}{(u - v_1) \cdots (u - v_m)},$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (22).

Now, for  $n > 0$ , we rewrite (17) for  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{T}$  as

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = \frac{u - c_{n+1}}{(u - v_1) \cdots (u - v_m)} \frac{(u - c_n)^2}{(u - q^2 c_n)(u - q^{-2} c_n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(u - c_i)^2}{(u - q^2 c_i)(u - q^{-2} c_i)}.$$

Using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = \frac{(u - c_{n+1})(u - c_n)^2}{(u - q^2 c_n)(u - q^{-2} c_n)} \prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)} (u - c(\beta)) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\nu)} (u - c(\alpha))^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

Denote by  $\delta_t$  and  $\delta_b$  the  $m$ -nodes which are, respectively, just above and just below  $\delta$ ,  $\delta_l$  and  $\delta_r$  the  $m$ -nodes which are, respectively, just on the left and just on the right of  $\delta$ ; it might happen that one of the coordinates of  $\delta_t$  (or  $\delta_l$ ) is not positive, and in this situation, by definition,  $\delta_t \notin \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  (or  $\delta_l \notin \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$ ). It is straightforward to see that:

- If  $\delta_t, \delta_l \notin \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  then

$$\mathcal{E}_-(\mu) = \mathcal{E}_-(\nu) \cup \{\delta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_+(\nu) \cup \{\delta_b, \delta_r\}) \setminus \{\delta\}.$$

- If  $\delta_t \in \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  and  $\delta_l \notin \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  then

$$\mathcal{E}_-(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_-(\nu) \cup \{\delta\}) \setminus \{\delta_t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_+(\nu) \cup \{\delta_b\}) \setminus \{\delta\}.$$

- If  $\delta_t \notin \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  and  $\delta_l \in \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  then

$$\mathcal{E}_-(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_-(\nu) \cup \{\delta\}) \setminus \{\delta_l\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_+(\nu) \cup \{\delta_r\}) \setminus \{\delta\}.$$

- If  $\delta_t, \delta_l \in \mathcal{E}_-(\nu)$  then

$$\mathcal{E}_-(\mu) = (\mathcal{E}_-(\nu) \cup \{\delta\}) \setminus \{\delta_t, \delta_l\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) = \mathcal{E}_+(\nu) \setminus \{\delta\}.$$

In each case, using that  $c(\delta_t) = c(\delta_r) = q^2 c_n$  and  $c(\delta_b) = c(\delta_l) = q^{-2} c_n$ , it follows that the right-hand side of (23) is equal to

$$(u - c_{n+1}) \prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\mu)} (u - c(\beta)) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu)} (u - c(\alpha))^{-1},$$

which establishes the formula (22). ■

**Lemma 5.** *We have*

$$\prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\mu)} (c_{n+1} - c(\beta)) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \setminus \{\gamma\}} (c_{n+1} - c(\alpha))^{-1} = F_{\lambda} F_{\mu}^{-1}.$$

**Proof. 1.** The definition (12), for a partition  $\lambda$ , reduces to

$$F_\lambda := \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} \frac{q^{cc(\alpha)}}{[h_\lambda(\alpha)]_q}.$$

The Lemma 5 for a partition  $\lambda$  is established in [8, Lemma 3.2].

**2.** Set  $k = \text{pos}(\gamma)$ . Define, for an  $m$ -partition  $\theta$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_\theta := \prod_{\alpha \in \theta} \frac{q^{cc(\alpha)}}{[h_\theta(\alpha)]_q},$$

and, for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $j \neq k$ ,

$$F_\theta^{(j)} := \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \theta \\ \text{pos}(\alpha) = k}} \frac{q^{-cc(\alpha)}}{v_k q^{-h_\theta^{(j)}(\alpha)} - v_j q^{h_\theta^{(j)}(\alpha)}} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \theta \\ \text{pos}(\alpha) = j}} \frac{q^{-cc(\alpha)}}{v_j q^{-h_\theta^{(k)}(\alpha)} - v_k q^{h_\theta^{(k)}(\alpha)}}. \quad (24)$$

By (12), we have

$$F_\theta = \tilde{F}_\theta \prod_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ j \neq k}} F_\theta^{(j)}. \quad (25)$$

Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $j \neq k$ . We shall show that

$$\prod_{\substack{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\mu) \\ \text{pos}(\beta) = j}} (c_{n+1} - c(\beta)) \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \setminus \{\gamma\} \\ \text{pos}(\alpha) = j}} (c_{n+1} - c(\alpha))^{-1} = F_\lambda^{(j)} (F_\mu^{(j)})^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_s$  be positive integers such that the  $j$ -th partition of  $\mu$  is  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p_s})$  with

$$\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{p_1} > \mu_{p_1+1} = \dots = \mu_{p_2} > \dots > \mu_{p_{s-1}+1} = \dots = \mu_{p_s} > 0.$$

We set  $p_0 := 0$ ,  $p_{s+1} := +\infty$  and  $\mu_{p_{s+1}} := 0$ . Assume that the  $m$ -node  $\gamma$  lies in the line  $x$  and column  $y$ . The left-hand side of (26) is equal to

$$\prod_{b=1}^s (v_k q^{2(y-x)} - v_j q^{2(\mu_{p_b} - p_b)}) \prod_{b=1}^{s+1} (v_k q^{2(y-x)} - v_j q^{2(\mu_{p_b} - p_{b-1})})^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

The factors in the product (24) correspond to  $m$ -nodes of an  $m$ -partition. The  $m$ -nodes lying neither in the column  $y$  of the  $k$ -th diagrams (of  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$ ) nor in the line  $x$  of the  $j$ -th diagrams do not contribute to the right-hand side of (26). Let  $t \in \{0, \dots, s\}$  be such that  $p_t < x \leq p_{t+1}$ . The contribution from the  $m$ -nodes in the column  $y$  and lines  $1, \dots, p_t$  of the  $k$ -th diagrams is

$$\prod_{b=1}^t \left( \prod_{a=p_{b-1}+1}^{p_b} \frac{v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_b} - y + x - a)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_b} - y + x - a)}}{v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_b} - y + x - a + 1)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_b} - y + x - a + 1)}} \right);$$

the contribution from the  $m$ -nodes in the column  $y$  and lines  $p_t + 1, \dots, x$  of the  $k$ -th diagrams is

$$\prod_{a=p_t+1}^{x-1} \left( \frac{v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + x - a)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + x - a)}}{v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + x - a + 1)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + x - a + 1)}} \right) \frac{q^{-cc(\gamma)}}{v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + 1)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_{t+1}} - y + 1)}}.$$

The contribution from the  $m$ -nodes lying in the line  $x$  of the  $j$ -th diagrams is

$$\prod_{b=t+1}^s \prod_{a=\mu_{p_{b+1}}+1}^{\mu_{p_b}} \frac{v_j q^{-(y-a+p_b-x)} - v_k q^{(y-a+p_b-x)}}{v_j q^{-(y-a+p_b-x+1)} - v_k q^{(y-a+p_b-x+1)}}.$$

After straightforward simplifications, we obtain for the right-hand side of (26)

$$\begin{aligned} q^{x-y} \prod_{b=1}^s (v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_b}-y+x-p_b)} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_b}-y+x-p_b)}) \\ \times \prod_{b=1}^{s+1} (v_k q^{-(\mu_{p_b}-y+x-p_{b-1})} - v_j q^{(\mu_{p_b}-y+x-p_{b-1})})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The comparison of (27) and (28) concludes the proof of the formula (26).

**3.** The assertion of the lemma is a consequence of the formulas (25), (26) together with the part 1 of the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of the Proposition 3.** The formula (22) shows that the rational function  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)$  is non-singular at  $u = c_{n+1}$ , and moreover

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(c_{n+1}) = \prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{E}_-(\mu)} (c_{n+1} - c(\beta)) \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \setminus \{\gamma\}} (c_{n+1} - c(\alpha))^{-1}.$$

We use the Lemma 5 to conclude the proof of the proposition.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The theorem follows, by induction on  $n$ , from the formula (16) together with Propositions 2 and 3.  $\blacksquare$

**Example.** Consider, for  $m = 2$ , the standard 2-tableau  $(\boxed{1} \boxed{3}, \boxed{2})$ . The idempotent of the algebra  $H(2, 1, 3)$  corresponding to this standard 2-tableau reads, by the Theorem 1,

$$\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2(v_1 q^2, v_2) \bar{\sigma}_1(v_1 q^2, v_1) \bar{\tau}(v_1 q^2) \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_1(v_2, v_1) \bar{\tau}(v_2) \sigma_1^{-1} \bar{\tau}(v_1)}{(q + q^{-1})(v_1 q^{-1} - v_2 q)(v_1 - v_2)(v_2 q^{-2} - v_1 q^2)}.$$

## 5 Remarks on the classical limit

Recall that the group ring  $\mathbb{C}G(m, 1, n+1)$  of the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n+1)$  is obtained by taking the classical limit:  $q \mapsto \pm 1$  and  $v_i \mapsto \xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$  is the set of distinct  $m$ -th roots of unity. The ‘‘classical limit’’ of the generators  $\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  of  $H(m, 1, n+1)$  we denote by  $t, s_1, \dots, s_n$ .

**1.** Consider the Baxterized elements (5) with spectral parameters of the form  $v_p q^{2a}$  and  $v_{p'} q^{2a'}$  with  $p, p' \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . One directly finds that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \lim_{v_i \rightarrow \xi_i} \bar{\sigma}_i(v_p q^{2a}, v_{p'} q^{2a'}) = s_i + \frac{\delta_{p,p'}}{a - a'}. \quad (29)$$

For the Artin generators  $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_n$  of the symmetric group  $S_{n+1}$ , the standard Baxterized elements are given by the rational functions

$$\tilde{s}_i + \frac{1}{a - a'} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In view of (29), we define generalized Baxterized elements for the group  $G(m, 1, n + 1)$  as the following functions

$$\bar{s}_i(p, p', a, a') := s_i + \frac{\delta_{p,p'}}{a - a'} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (30)$$

These elements satisfy the following Yang–Baxter equation with spectral parameters

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{s}_i(p, p', a, a') \bar{s}_{i+1}(p, p'', a, a'') \bar{s}_i(p', p'', a', a'') \\ &= \bar{s}_{i+1}(p', p'', a', a'') \bar{s}_i(p, p'', a, a'') \bar{s}_{i+1}(p, p', a, a'). \end{aligned}$$

The Baxterized elements (30) have been used in [14] for a fusion procedure for the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n + 1)$ .

**2.** It is immediate that

$$\lim_{v_i \rightarrow \xi_i} \mathbf{a}_0(r) = r^m - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{v_i \rightarrow \xi_i} \mathbf{a}_i(r) = r^{m-i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_i(r)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , are defined in (8). It follows from (10) that

$$\lim_{v_i \rightarrow \xi_i} \bar{\tau}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^{m-1-i} t^i. \quad (31)$$

The rational function  $\bar{t}$  defined by  $\bar{t}(r) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^{m-i} t^i$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}G(m, 1, n + 1)$  was used in [14] for a fusion procedure for the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n + 1)$ .

**3.** Define, for an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ ,

$$f_\lambda := \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} h_\lambda(\alpha) \right)^{-1}.$$

The classical limit of  $F_\lambda$  is proportional to  $f_\lambda$ . More precisely, we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \lim_{v_i \rightarrow \xi_i} F_\lambda = \mathfrak{r}_\lambda f_\lambda, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{r}_\lambda = \frac{1}{m^n} \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} \xi_{\text{pos}(\alpha)}. \quad (32)$$

The formula (32) is obtained directly from (12) since

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^m (\xi_k - \xi_i) = m / \xi_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

**4.** Using formulas (29), (31) and (32), it is straightforward to check that the classical limit of the fusion procedure for  $H(m, 1, n + 1)$  given by the Theorem 1 leads to the fusion procedure [14] for the group  $G(m, 1, n + 1)$ . Also, for  $m = 1$ , Theorem 1 coincides with the fusion procedure [8] for the Hecke algebra and, in the classical limit, with the fusion procedure [12] for the symmetric group.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] Ariki S., On the semi-simplicity of the Hecke algebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ , *J. Algebra* **169** (1994), 216–225.
- [2] Ariki S., Koike K., A Hecke algebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  and construction of its irreducible representations, *Adv. Math.* **106** (1994), 216–243.
- [3] Broué M., Malle G., Zyklotomische Heckealgebren. Représentations unipotentes génériques et blocs des groupes réductifs finis, *Astérisque* **212** (1993), 119–189.
- [4] Cherednik I.V., A new interpretation of Gel’fand–Tzetlin bases, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), 563–577.
- [5] Chlouveraki M., Jacon N., Schur elements for the Ariki–Koike algebra and applications, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **35** (2012), 291–311, [arXiv:1105.5910](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5910).
- [6] Geck M., Iancu L., Malle G., Weights of Markov traces and generic degrees, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **11** (2000), 379–397.
- [7] Isaev A.P., Molev A.I., Ogievetsky O.V., Idempotents for Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebras and reflection equation, [arXiv:1111.2502](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2502).
- [8] Isaev A.P., Molev A.I., Os’kin A.F., On the idempotents of Hecke algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **85** (2008), 79–90, [arXiv:0804.4214](https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.4214).
- [9] Isaev A.P., Ogievetsky O.V., On Baxterized solutions of reflection equation and integrable chain models, *Nuclear Phys. B* **760** (2007), 167–183, [math-ph/0510078](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0510078).
- [10] Kulish P.P., Yang–Baxter equation and reflection equations in integrable models, in Low-Dimensional Models in Statistical Physics and Quantum Field Theory (Schladming, 1995), *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 469, Springer, Berlin, 1996, 125–144.
- [11] Mathas A., Matrix units and generic degrees for the Ariki–Koike algebras, *J. Algebra* **281** (2004), 695–730, [math.RT/0108164](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RT/0108164).
- [12] Molev A.I., On the fusion procedure for the symmetric group, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **61** (2008), 181–188, [math.RT/0612207](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RT/0612207).
- [13] Ogievetsky O.V., Poulain d’Andecy L., On representations of cyclotomic Hecke algebras, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **26** (2011), 795–803, [arXiv:1012.5844](https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.5844).
- [14] Ogievetsky O.V., Poulain d’Andecy L., Fusion formula for Coxeter groups of type B and complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ , [arXiv:1111.6293](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.6293).
- [15] Ogievetsky O.V., Poulain d’Andecy L., Induced representations and traces for chains of affine and cyclotomic Hecke algebras, [arXiv:1312.6980](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6980).