Drinfeld J Presentation of Twisted Yangians

Samuel BELLIARD [†] *and Vidas REGELSKIS* [‡§]

[†] *Institut de Physique Théorique, Orme des Merisiers batiment 774, CEA/DSM/IPhT,*
  CEA/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
  E-mail: *samuel.belliard@cea.fr*

[‡] *Department of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK*
  E-mail: *vidas.regelskis@york.ac.uk*

[§] *Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK*

Abstract. We present a quantization of a Lie coideal structure for twisted half-loop algebras of finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras. We obtain algebra closure relations of twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation for all symmetric pairs of simple Lie algebras and for simple twisted even half-loop Lie algebras. We provide the explicit form of the closure relations for twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation for the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra.

Key words: coideal; coisotropic subalgebra; deformation; Manin triple; twisted Yangians

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 81R10; 81R50; 17B37

1 Introduction

The Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a flat quantization of the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]$ of a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ [17]. The name Yangian is due to V.G. Drinfeld to honour C.N. Yang who found the simplest solution of the Yang–Baxter equation, the rational R matrix [47] (see also [8, 9]). This R matrix and the Yang–Baxter equation were discovered in the studies of exactly solvable two-dimensional statistical models and quantum integrable systems. One of the most important results was the quantization of the inverse scattering method by Leningrad's school [46] that lead to the formulation of quantum groups in the so-called RTT formalism [44]. These quantum groups are deformations of semisimple Lie algebras and are closely associated to quantum integrable systems. In particular, the representation theory of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, which is one of the simplest examples of the infinite-dimensional quantum groups, is used to solve the rational 6-vertex statistical model [9], the Heisenberg (XXX) spin chain [23], the principal chiral field model with the SU(2) symmetry group [22, 33].

The mathematical description of quantum groups and of quantization of Lie bi-algebras was presented by Drinfeld in his seminal work [17] (see also [18]). Drinfeld gave a quantization procedure for the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ for any semisimple Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.[1] The quantization is based on the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by a skew symmetric map $\delta\colon \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the cocommutator. A quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ is a (topological) Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$, such that $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})/\hbar\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a Hopf algebra and

$$\delta(x) \sim \big(\Delta_\hbar(X) - \sigma \circ \Delta_\hbar(X)\big)/\hbar \pmod{\hbar},$$

---

[1]Throughout this manuscript we use $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to denote any Lie algebra, while the undecorated $\mathfrak{g}$ is reserved for finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras.

where $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$ and X is any lifting of $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. The Lie bi-algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be constructed from the Manin triple $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are the isotropic subalgebras of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a vector space and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+^*$, the dual of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$. Then the commutation relations of the quantum group can be obtained by requiring $\Delta_\hbar$ to be a homomorphism of algebras, $\Delta_\hbar \colon \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. The question of the existence of such a quantization for any Lie bi-algebra was raised by Drinfeld in [19] and was answered by P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan in [20]. They proved that any finite- or infinite-dimensional Lie bi-algebra admits a quantization. Here we will consider only the Yangian case, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{L}^+$. We will use the so-called *Drinfeld J* presentation which is very convenient to approach the quantization problem.

In physics, quantum groups are related to quantum integrable models without boundaries and their extensions to models with boundaries. The underlying symmetry of models with boundaries is given by coideal subalgebras of quantum groups that were introduced in the context of (1+1)-dimensional quantum field theories on a half-line by Cherednik [15] and in the context of one-dimensional spin chains with boundaries by Sklyanin [45] in the so-called *reflection equation algebra* formalism. Mathematical aspects of reflection algebras in the RTT presentation, called twisted Yangians, were first considered by G. Olshanskii in [42] and were further explored in [24, 25, 26, 36, 37, 38, 39] (also see references therein). A similar approach for the q-deformed universal enveloping and quantum loop Lie algebras, $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, was considered in [6, 14, 40].

A slightly different approach to coideal subalgebras, using *Serre–Chevalley* presentation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ was surveyed by G. Letzter in [30]. Here coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ were constructed using quantum symmetric pairs, see, e.g., [29], and have been classified for all finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras. Examples of coideal subalgebras of quantum affine Lie algebras of type A in the Serre–Chevalley presentation were the q-Onsager algebra [3, 4] and the generalized q-Onsager algebras [5]. A generalization of quantum symmetric pairs for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of type A was proposed in [43] and the general theory of quantum symmetric pairs for Kac–Moody Lie algebras was developed in [28]. A remarkably simple approach to coideal subalgebras based on the Drinfeld's original construction of Yangians, usually referred to as Drinfeld first or simply as Drinfeld J presentation, was introduced in [16] (see also [32]) and is now conveniently called the MacKay twisted Yangians [14].

Twisted Yangians, which we denote by $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}}$, are in exact correspondence with the symmetric pair decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by a proper involution θ [1, 27]. The decomposition is given by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with $\theta(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\theta(y) = -y$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{m}$, the positive and negative eigenspaces of θ. For the half-loop Lie algebra this decomposition is given by $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{M}^+$, where the positive eigenspace of θ is the twisted current Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+ \cong (\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m})[u^2]$. The reflection equation algebra formalism provides evidence of the existence of a quantization of such a symmetric pair. More generally, P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan have shown in [21] that any homogeneous space G/H admits a local quantization. In [10], the notion of left and right Lie coideal structures[2] θ-invariant Lie subalgebras, denoted τ and τ', respectively, was introduced by one of the authors. The Lie coideal structure is in one-to-one correspondence with a twisted version of the Manin triple $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$ and gives a quantization scheme for the θ-invariant Lie subalgebra leading to a quantum coideal subalgebra. The Lie coideal structure corresponds to the splitting $\delta = \tau + \tau'$ of the so-called coisotropic cocommutator δ of a Lie bi-algebra satisfying $\delta(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \mathcal{M}^+ \wedge \mathcal{H}^+$ [41, 48]. Here we consider the left Lie coideal structure, which allows us to construct a quantization of twisted half-loop Lie algebras leading to quantum coideal subalgebras called twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation.

A quantization of the twisted half-loop Lie algebras for $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case was shown in [10]. In this paper we consider twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ in the Drinfeld J presentation for any $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. In particular, we present a quantization procedure which holds for all symmetric

---

[2]The term "Lie bi-ideal structure" is used in [10] instead.

pairs of the half-loop Lie algebras of finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras of rank $\mathfrak{g} \geq 2$. These symmetric pairs follow from the ones of the simple complex Lie algebras that have been classified by Araki [1] (see also [27]). Such symmetric pairs can be grouped into four classes: $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are simple Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{k}$ is a one-dimensional Lie algebra. We will further refer to all of these cases as the $\theta \neq id$ case. We also consider the trivial involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Being trivial at the Lie algebra level, this involution can be extended non-trivially to the half-loop Lie algebra. In this case the θ-fixed subalgebra is the even half-loop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[u^2]$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ as a Lie algebra. We will refer to this setup as the $\theta = id$ case. The main results of this paper are Theorems 5.5 and 5.6, which are analogues of the Theorem 2 in [17] for the twisted Yangians for $\theta \neq id$ and $\theta = id$ cases, respectively. We call the defining relations of the twisted Yangians the *horrific* relations due to their complex form and similarity to the Drinfeld *terrific* relations. A proof the horrific relations of Theorem 5.5 is given in Section 7.5. A proof of the horrific relations of Theorem 5.6 is outlined in Section 7.6.

The results of this paper provide a uniform way of constructing twisted Yangians for all symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple complex Lie algebra. Twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation have important applications in quantum integrable models, where it is important to know the minimal set of the defining relations of the underlying symmetry algebra. For example, twisted Yangians emerge as the non-abelian symmetries of the principal chiral models defined on a half-line and can be used to find the scalar boundary S-matrices and thus solve the spectral problem [16, 32, 33]. The closure relations are necessary in constructing representation theory of these algebras and allow to classify algebraically all scalar and dynamical boundary conditions and to construct the corresponding dynamical boundary S-matrices; i.e., for the affine Toda models with open boundaries this was done in [5, 11]. Twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation may also be used to solve the spectral problem of a semi-infinite XXX spin chain for an arbitrary simple Lie algebra using the "Onsager method" [7]. We also remark, that twisted Yangians of this type were shown to play an important role in quantum integrable systems for which the RTT presentation of the underlying symmetries is not well understood, for example in the AdS/CFT correspondence [34, 35].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic facts about simple complex Lie algebras and define the symmetric pair decomposition with respect to involution θ. Then, in Section 3, we recall the description of the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and twisted half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ with respect to the symmetric pair decomposition of $\mathcal{L}^+$. In Section 4 we construct the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}^+$ and Lie coideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$ that provide the necessary setup for the quantization procedure presented in Section 5. A low rank example is presented Section 6. We give the explicit form of twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. Section 7 contains the proofs which were omitted in the main part of the paper due to their length and for convenience of the reader.

2   Definitions and preliminaries

2.1   Lie algebra

Consider a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$, with a basis $\{x_a\}$ such that

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c x_c, \qquad \alpha_{ab}{}^c + \alpha_{ba}{}^c = 0, \qquad \alpha_{ab}{}^c \alpha_{dc}{}^e + \alpha_{da}{}^c \alpha_{bc}{}^e + \alpha_{bd}{}^c \alpha_{ac}{}^e = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\alpha_{ab}{}^c$ are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the Einstein summation rule of the repeated indices is assumed. Let η_{ab} denote the non-degenerate invariant bilinear (Killing) form on $\mathfrak{g}$ in

the $\{x_a\}$ basis

$$(x_a, x_b)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \eta_{ab},$$

that can be used to lower indices $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ of the structure constants

$$\alpha_{ab}{}^d \eta_{dc} = \alpha_{abc} \qquad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{abc} + \alpha_{acb} = 0.$$

The inverse of η_{ab} is given by η^{ab} and satisfies $\eta_{ab} \eta^{bc} = \delta_a{}^c$. Set $\{x, y\} = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$. Let $C_{\mathfrak{g}} = \eta^{ab}\{x_a, x_b\}$ denote the second order Casimir operator associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be its eigenvalue in the adjoint representation. For a simple Lie algebra it is non-zero and is given by

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \delta_c{}^d = \eta^{ab} \alpha_{ac}{}^e \alpha_{be}{}^d = \alpha_c{}^{eb} \alpha_{be}{}^d. \tag{2.2}$$

Here $\alpha_a{}^{bc}$ are the structure constants in the dual basis, namely if $\{x^a\}$ denote the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by $(x_a, x^b)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta_a{}^b$, then $[x^b, x^c] = \alpha_a{}^{bc} x^a$. Constants $\alpha_a{}^{bc}$ satisfy dual co-Jacobi identity, which is obtained by raising one of the lower indices of the Jacobi identity in (2.1). Moreover, contracting $\alpha_a{}^{bc}$ with the Lie commutator in (2.1) gives

$$\alpha_a{}^{bc}[x_c, x_b] = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} x_a. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2   Symmetric pair decomposition

Let $\theta \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be an involution, $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed into positive and negative eigenspaces of θ, i.e., $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with $\theta(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\theta(y) = -y$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{m}$, here $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = h$, $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) = m$ satisfying $h + m = n$. Numbers h and m correspond to the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of θ. This decomposition leads to the symmetric pair relations

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}, \qquad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{h}.$$

From the classification of symmetric pairs for simple complex Lie algebras it follows that the invariant subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is a (semi)simple or reductive Lie algebra which can be decomposed into a direct sum of two simple complex Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$, and a one-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, at most (see, e.g., [27, Section 5]). We write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. Set $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = a$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{b}) = b$. Let the elements

$$\begin{aligned} &X_i \in \mathfrak{a}, \qquad X_{i'} \in \mathfrak{b}, \qquad X_z \in \mathfrak{k}, \qquad Y_p \in \mathfrak{m}, \\ &\text{with} \quad i = 1, \ldots, a, \quad i' = 1, \ldots, b \quad \text{and} \quad p = 1, \ldots, m, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \{i, i', z\}$, and $\theta(Y_p) = -Y_p$. Here we use indices $i(j, k, \ldots)$ for elements $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, primed indices $i'(j', k', \ldots)$ for elements $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}$, index $\alpha = z$ for the element $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$, and indices $p(q, r, \ldots)$ for $Y_p \in \mathfrak{m}$, when needed. Note that X_z is central in $\mathfrak{h}$. We will denote the commutators in this basis as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma X_\gamma \qquad \text{with} \quad f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha \neq \gamma \quad \text{or} \quad \beta \neq \gamma, \\ &[X_\alpha, Y_p] = g_{\alpha p}{}^q Y_q, \qquad [Y_p, X_\alpha] = g_{p\alpha}{}^q Y_q, \qquad [Y_p, Y_q] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^\alpha X_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The structure constants above are obtained from the ones of $\mathfrak{g}$ by restricting to the appropriate elements. Here and further we will use the sum symbol $\sum_\alpha$ to denote the summation over all simple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$, e.g., $\sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^\alpha X_\alpha = w_{pq}{}^i X_i + w_{pq}{}^{i'} X_{i'} + w_{pq}{}^z X_z$ for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. The Einstein summation rule for the Greek indices will be used in cases when the sum is over a single

simple subalgabera of $\mathfrak{h}$. The notation $\alpha \neq \gamma$ means that indices α and γ correspond to different subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$. The structure constants given above satisfy the (anti-)symmetry relations

$$f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} + f_{\beta\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = 0, \qquad g_{\mu p}{}^{q} + g_{p\mu}{}^{q} = 0, \qquad w_{pq}{}^{\mu} + w_{qp}{}^{\mu} = 0,$$

which follow from the properties of the Lie bracket, and the homogeneous and mixed Jacobi identities

$$f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\nu} f_{\gamma\nu}{}^{\mu} + f_{\gamma\alpha}{}^{\nu} f_{\beta\nu}{}^{\mu} + f_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\nu} f_{\alpha\nu}{}^{\mu} = 0, \qquad f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu} g_{p\mu}{}^{s} + g_{\beta p}{}^{q} g_{\alpha q}{}^{s} - g_{\alpha p}{}^{q} g_{\beta q}{}^{s} = 0,$$
$$w_{pq}{}^{\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu} + g_{\alpha p}{}^{r} w_{qr}{}^{\mu} - g_{\alpha q}{}^{r} w_{pr}{}^{\mu} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

with $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, \dots) = i(j, k, \dots) \in \mathfrak{a}$ or $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, \dots) = i'(j', k', \dots) \in \mathfrak{b}$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha}(w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{r\alpha}{}^{s} + w_{qr}{}^{\alpha} g_{p\alpha}{}^{s} + w_{rp}{}^{\alpha} g_{q\alpha}{}^{s}) = 0, \qquad g_{p\alpha}{}^{r} w_{qr}{}^{\beta} - g_{q\alpha}{}^{r} w_{pr}{}^{\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta. \tag{2.7}$$

We will further refer to the set $\{X_\alpha, Y_p\} = \{X_i, X_{i'}, X_z, Y_p\}$ given by (2.4) and satisfying relations (2.5)–(2.7) as the *symmetric space basis* for a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and involution θ.

Let κ denote the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ in the symmetric space basis. It has a block-diagonal form, namely

$$(X_i, X_j)_{\mathfrak{g}} = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})_{ij}, \qquad (X_{i'}, X_{j'})_{\mathfrak{g}} = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{b}})_{i'j'},$$
$$(X_z, X_z)_{\mathfrak{g}} = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{k}})_{zz}, \qquad (Y_p, Y_q)_{\mathfrak{g}} = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})_{pq},$$

with the remaining entries being trivial. The Casimir element $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in this basis decomposes as

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}} = C_X + C_Y = \sum_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{h}})^{\alpha\beta}\{X_\alpha, X_\beta\} + (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{pq}\{Y_p, Y_q\},$$
$$C_X = C + C' + C_z = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})^{ij}\{X_i, X_j\} + (\kappa_{\mathfrak{b}})^{i'j'}\{X_{i'}, X_{j'}\} + (\kappa_{\mathfrak{k}})^{zz}\{X_z, X_z\}.$$

Here $\kappa^{\alpha\beta} \in \{(\kappa_{\mathfrak{a}})^{ij}, (\kappa_{\mathfrak{b}})^{i'j'}, (\kappa_{\mathfrak{k}})^{zz}\}$. The block diagonal decomposition of the inverse Killing form can be used to raise the indices of the structure constants. We set

$$f_\alpha{}^{\beta\gamma} = \kappa^{\beta\mu} f_{\alpha\mu}{}^{\gamma}, \qquad g_p{}^{q\nu} = \kappa^{\nu\rho} g_{\rho p}{}^{q}, \qquad w_\nu{}^{pq} = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{pr} g_{\nu r}{}^{q}$$

with $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, \mu, \dots) = i(j, k, \dots)$ or $i'(j', k', \dots)$ and $\nu(\rho) = i(j)$ or $i'(j')$ or $z(z)$. Let us now consider the commutation relations. For generators Y_p we have

$$[Y_p, C_X] = 2\sum_{\alpha} g_p{}^{\alpha q}\{Y_q, X_\alpha\}, \qquad [Y_p, C_Y] = 2\sum_{\alpha} g_p{}^{q\alpha}\{Y_q, X_\alpha\}.$$

The remaining commutation relations are trivial. Let $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, $\mathfrak{c}_z$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the eigenvalues of C, C', C_z and C_Y in the adjoint representation, respectively. We have $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}} + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{b}} + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}} + \mathfrak{c}_z$. Using (2.3) we find

$$f_\alpha{}^{\beta\nu}[X_\nu, X_\beta] = \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)} X_\alpha, \qquad w_\gamma{}^{qp}[Y_p, Y_q] = \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\gamma)} X_\gamma, \tag{2.8}$$

with $\alpha = i(i')$, $\gamma = i(i', z)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{(i)} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{(i')} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{(z)} = 0$. Using (2.2), (2.5) and the equality above we obtain

$$f_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu} f_{\nu\mu}{}^{\beta} = \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)} \delta_\alpha^{\beta}, \qquad w_\alpha{}^{qp} w_{pq}{}^{\beta} = \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)} \delta_\alpha^{\beta}, \qquad w_\alpha{}^{qp} w_{pq}{}^{\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \gamma, \tag{2.9}$$

and $\alpha = i(i', z)$, $\beta = j(j', z)$. Finally, for Y_q we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} g_q{}^{p\alpha}[X_\alpha, Y_p] = \tfrac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} Y_q, \qquad \sum_{\alpha} g_p{}^{r\alpha} g_{\alpha r}{}^{q} = \tfrac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \delta_p^{q}. \tag{2.10}$$

3   Symmetric spaces and simple half-loop Lie algebras

3.1   Half-loop Lie algebra

Consider the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by elements $x_a^{(k)}$ with $k \geq 0$ and $a = 1, \ldots,$ $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$. It is an infinite-dimensional graded Lie algebra with the grading given by $\deg\big(x_a^{(k)}\big) = k$ and the defining relations

$$[x_a^{(k)}, x_b^{(\ell)}] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c x_c^{(k+\ell)}. \tag{3.1}$$

This algebra can be identified with the set of polynomial maps $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{g}$ using the Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[u]$ with $x_a^{(k)} \cong x_a \otimes u^k$.

The algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ has another presentation, conveniently called Drinfeld J presentation.

Proposition 3.1. *The half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ is isomorphic to the algebra generated by elements x_a, $J(x_b)$ satisfying*

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c x_c, \qquad J(\mu x_a + \nu x_b) = \mu J(x_a) + \nu J(x_b), \qquad [x_a, J(x_b)] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c J(x_c), \tag{3.2}$$
$$[J(x_a), J([x_b, x_c])] + [J(x_b), J([x_c, x_a])] + [J(x_c), J([x_a, x_b])] = 0, \tag{3.3}$$
$$[[J(x_a), J(x_b)], J([x_c, x_d])] + [[J(x_c), J(x_d)], J([x_a, x_b])] = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. The grading is given by $\deg(x_a) = 0$ and $\deg(J(x_a)) = 1$. The isomorphism is given by the map $x_a \mapsto x_a^{(0)}$ and $J(x_a) \mapsto x_a^{(1)}$.

Relations (3.3) and (3.4) are homogeneous relations of degree 2 and 3. In the $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case the relation (3.3) is trivial and for the $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ cases the relation (3.4) is implied by (3.3). Since we were unable to locate a complete proof of this proposition in the literature, we have given one in Section 7.1. (An outline of a proof is given in [13, Section 12.1].)

3.2   Twisted half-loop Lie algebra

Let $\theta(x_a) = \theta_a{}^b x_b$. We cab extend the involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ to the whole of $\mathcal{L}^+$ as follows:

$$\theta\big(x_a^{(k)}\big) = (-1)^k \theta_a{}^b x_b^{(k)} \cong (-1)^k \theta(x_a) \otimes u^k \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 0,$$

or in the polynomial map point of view, $\theta(x(u)) = \theta(x)(-u)$.

The twisted half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta$ is a fixed-point subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by the elements stable under the action of the (extended) involution θ, namely $\mathcal{H}^+ = \operatorname{span}\{x \in \mathcal{L}^+ \,|\, \theta(x) = x\}$. In the physics literature it is often referred to as the *twisted current algebra*.

Let $\theta \neq \mathrm{id}$. Consider the symmetric space basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. We write the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ in terms of the elements $X_\alpha^{(k)}$ and $Y_q^{(k)}$ satisfying

$$\big[X_\alpha^{(k)}, X_\beta^{(\ell)}\big] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma X_\gamma^{(k+\ell)}, \qquad \big[X_\alpha^{(k)}, Y_p^{(\ell)}\big] = g_{\alpha p}{}^q Y_q^{(k+\ell)},$$
$$\big[Y_p^{(k)}, Y_q^{(\ell)}\big] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^\alpha X_\alpha^{(k+\ell)}, \tag{3.5}$$

for all $k, \ell \geq 0$. Involution θ acts on these elements by $\theta\big(X_\alpha^{(k)}\big) = (-1)^k X_\alpha^{(k)}$ and $\theta\big(Y_p^{(k)}\big) = (-1)^{k+1} Y_p^{(k)}$. Thus the half-loop Lie algebra decomposes as $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{M}^+$, where $\mathcal{H}^+ = \operatorname{span}\big\{X_\alpha^{(2k)}, Y_q^{(2k+1)}\big\}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by θ-invariant elements, and $\mathcal{M}^+ = \operatorname{span}\big\{X_\alpha^{(2k+1)}, Y_q^{(2k)}\big\}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{L}^+$ of θ-anti-invariant elements. In the case when $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ we have $\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta \cong \mathfrak{g}[u^2]$, that is $\mathcal{H}^+ = \operatorname{span}\big\{x_a^{(2k)}\big\}$ and $\mathcal{M}^+ = \operatorname{span}\big\{x_a^{(2k+1)}\big\}$.

We now give another presentation of $\mathcal{H}^+$, which an analogue of the Drinfeld J presentation of $\mathcal{L}^+$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* rank$(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ *and* $\theta \neq$ id. *Then the twisted half-loop Lie algebra* $\mathcal{H}^+$ *is isomorphic to the algebra generated by elements* X_α, $B(Y_p)$ *satisfying*

$$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma X_\gamma, \qquad [X_\alpha, B(Y_p)] = g_{\alpha p}{}^q B(Y_q),$$

$$B(aY_p + bY_q) = aB(Y_p) + bB(Y_q), \tag{3.6}$$

$$[B(Y_p), B(Y_q)] + \sum_\alpha (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha{}^{rs} [B(Y_r), B(Y_s)] = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$[[B(Y_p), B(Y_q)], B(Y_r)] + 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \sum_\alpha (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{tu} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s [[B(Y_s), B(Y_t)], B(Y_u)] = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. *The isomorphism is given by the map* $X_\alpha \mapsto X_\alpha^{(0)}$ *and* $B(Y_p) \mapsto Y_p^{(1)}$.

The proof is given in Section 7.2. Note that in the contrast to $\mathcal{L}^+$, the twisted algebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ for rank$(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ has degree-2 and degree-3 defining relations. The rank$(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case is exceptional. The Drinfeld J presentation in this case has a degree-4 relation instead; see [10, Section 4.2].

Proposition 3.3. *Let* rank$(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ *and* $\theta =$ id. *Then the even half-loop Lie algebra is isomorphic to the algebra generated by elements* x_i, $G(x_j)$ *satisfying*

$$[x_i, x_j] = \alpha_{ij}{}^k x_k, \qquad G(\lambda x_a + \mu x_b) = \lambda G(x_a) + \mu G(x_b), \qquad [x_i, G(x_j)] = \alpha_{ij}{}^k G(x_k), \tag{3.9}$$

$$[G(x_i), G([x_j, x_k])] + [G(x_j), G([x_k, x_i])] + [G(x_k), G([x_i, x_j])] = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. *The isomorphism is given by the map* $x_i \mapsto x_i^{(0)}$ *and* $G(x_i) \mapsto x_i^{(2)}$.

The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 3.1, since $\mathfrak{g}[u^2] \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]$ as a Lie algebra.

4   Lie bi-algebras and coideals

4.1   Lie bi-algebra structure of a half-loop Lie algebra

A Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}^+$ is a skew-symmetric linear map $\delta \colon \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathcal{L}^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}^+$, the cocommutator, such that δ^* is a Lie bracket and δ is a 1-cocycle, $\delta([x, y]) = x.\delta(y) - y.\delta(x)$, where dot denotes the adjoint action on $\mathcal{L}^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}^+$. The cocommutator is given for the elements in the Drinfeld J presentation of $\mathcal{L}^+$ by

$$\delta(x_a) = 0, \qquad \delta(J(x_a)) = [x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \qquad \text{where} \quad \Omega_\mathfrak{g} = \eta^{ab} x_a \otimes x_b. \tag{4.1}$$

This cocommutator can be constructed from the Manin triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$, with $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))$ the loop algebra generated by elements $x^{(n)}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and defining relations (3.1) (but with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathfrak{g}[u]$ the positive half-loop algebra (3.1), and $\mathcal{L}^- = \mathfrak{g}[[u^{-1}]]$ the negative half-loop algebra (i.e., $n, m < 0$; see, e.g., [13, Example 1.3.9]). The triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ satisfies axioms of the Manin triple.

Definition 4.1 ([18])**.** A Manin triple is a triple of Lie algebras $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-)$ together with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ invariant under the adjoint action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that:

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$ are Lie subalgebras of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$;
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$ as a vector space;
- $(\ ,\ )_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is isotropic for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm$ (i.e., $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$);
- $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+)^* \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$.

The invariant bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{L}$ satisfying the requirements above is given by

$$\left(x^{(k)}, y^{(l)}\right)_{\mathcal{L}} = -(x, y)_{\mathfrak{g}} \delta_{k+l+1,0},$$

or in the polynomial map point of view $(x(u), y(u))_{\mathcal{L}} = -\mathrm{res}_0(x(u), y(u))_{\mathfrak{g}}$ where 'res$_0$' means taking the coefficient of u^{-1} in the Laurent series expansion. This data together with the definition of the Manin triple uniquely fixes the cocommutator δ on $\mathcal{L}^+$.

Remark 4.2. If $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-)$ is a Manin triple for $\dim(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+) = \infty$, then in general one must take $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+)^* \cong \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^-$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^-$ is a suitable completion of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$. However in our case $(\mathcal{L}^+)^* \cong \mathcal{L}^-$, as it is easy to see:

$$(\mathcal{L}^+)^* \cong \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g} \otimes u^k\right)^* = \prod_{k \geq 0}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes u^k)^* = \prod_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes u^{-k} \cong \mathcal{L}^-.$$

Here in the second equality we have used the identity $(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i)^* = \prod_{i \geq 0} V_i^*$, where V_i denotes a finite-dimensional vector space; an equivalent identity is used in the last equality.

The cocomutator is obtained using the duality between $\mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L}^-$. Recall that $\delta^* \colon \mathcal{L}^- \otimes \mathcal{L}^- \to \mathcal{L}^-$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{L}^-$. We can deduce the cocommutator δ of $\mathcal{L}^+$ from the duality relation

$$(\delta(x), y \otimes z)_{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}} = (x, [y, z])_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{4.2}$$

The cocommutator of the degree zero generators $x_a^{(0)} = x_a$ is trivial since the degree of $[y, z] \in \mathcal{L}^-$ is strictly less than -1, thus $(x_a, [y, z])_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for all $[y, z] \in \mathcal{L}^-$. This implies that $(\delta(x_a), y \otimes z)_{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}} = 0$ for all $y, z \in \mathcal{L}^-$ and thus

$$\delta(x_a) = 0.$$

The case of degree one generators $x_a^{(1)} = J(x_a)$ is considered in a similar way. For this case we have the non-trivial pairing $\left(J(x_a), x_b^{(-2)}\right)_{\mathcal{L}} = -\eta_{ab}$. Using $x_b^{(-2)} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \alpha_b^{ji}\left[x_i^{(-1)}, x_j^{(-1)}\right]$ and the duality relation (4.2) we obtain the constraint

$$\left(\delta(J(x_a)), \alpha_b^{ji} x_i^{(-1)} \otimes x_j^{(-1)}\right)_{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}} = -\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_{ab}.$$

Writing $\delta(J(x_a)) = v_a^{\ell k} x_k \otimes x_\ell$ for some $v_a^{\ell k} \in \mathbb{C}$ we deduce that $v_a^{\ell k} \alpha_{b\ell k} = -\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_{ab}$. By (2.2) we have that $\alpha_a^{k\ell} \alpha_{b\ell k} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_{ab}$ and thus $v_a^{\ell k} = -\alpha_a^{k\ell}$ giving

$$\delta(J(x_a)) = \alpha_a^{\ell k} x_k \otimes x_\ell = [x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}].$$

4.2   Lie coideal structure of a twisted half-loop Lie algebra

The Lie coideal structure of a twisted half-loop Lie algebra is constructed by employing the anti-invariant Manin triple twist. Here we will consider the left Lie coideal structure. The right Lie coideal is obtained in a similar way.

Definition 4.3 ([10]). The anti-invariant Manin triple twist ϕ of $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying:

- ϕ is an involution;
- $\phi(\mathcal{L}^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^\pm$;
- $(\phi(x), y)_{\mathcal{L}} = -(x, \phi(y))_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}^-$.

Given an involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ one may uniquely associate the anti-invariant Manin triple twist ϕ, that is the natural extension of θ to the whole $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\phi(x_a^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \theta_a^{\ b} x_b^{(k)} \cong (-1)^k \theta(x_a) \otimes u^k \qquad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

satisfying the definition above and leading to the symmetric pair decomposition of the Manin triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$:

$$\mathcal{L}^\pm = \mathcal{H}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{M}^\pm \quad \text{such that} \quad \phi(x) = x, \quad \forall\, x \in \mathcal{H}^\pm \qquad \text{and}$$
$$\phi(y) = -y, \quad \forall\, y \in \mathcal{M}^\pm. \tag{4.3}$$

From the anti-invariance of ϕ for $(\ ,\ )_\mathcal{L}$ it follows that

$$(\mathcal{H}^-, \mathcal{H}^+)_\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{M}^-, \mathcal{M}^+)_\mathcal{L} = 0.$$

Thus we must have $(\mathcal{H}^\pm)^* \cong \mathcal{M}^\mp$. This is easy to check:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{H}^+)^* &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k} \right)^* \\
&= \prod_{k \geq 0} \left((\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k} \right)^* = \prod_{k \geq 1} \left((u\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k} \right) \cong \mathcal{M}^-, \\
(\mathcal{H}^-)^* &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} (\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k} \right)^* \\
&= \prod_{k \geq 1} \left((\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k} \right)^* = \prod_{k \geq 0} \left((u\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k} \right) \cong \mathcal{M}^+.
\end{aligned}$$

This decomposition of the Manin triple allows us to construct a Lie coideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$.

Definition 4.4 ([10])**.** Let ϕ be an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ which leads to the symmetric space decomposition (4.3). Then the linear map $\tau\colon \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes \mathcal{H}^+$ is a left Lie coideal structure for $(\mathcal{H}^+, \mathcal{M}^+)$ if it is the dual of the following action of $\mathcal{H}^-$ on $\mathcal{M}^-$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^*\colon\ &\mathcal{H}^- \otimes \mathcal{M}^- \to \mathcal{M}_-, \\
&x \otimes y \mapsto [x, y]_{\mathcal{L}_-},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}^-$.

Given as Manin triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ and the twist ϕ the requirement (4.4) uniquely fixes the Left coideal structure τ of $(\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$.

Proposition 4.5. *The left Lie coideal structure of $(\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$, $\tau\colon \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes \mathcal{H}^+$, is given by*

$$\theta \neq \mathrm{id}\colon\ \tau(X_\alpha) = 0, \quad \tau(B(Y_p)) = [Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X], \qquad \Omega_X = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\kappa_\mathfrak{h})^{\alpha\beta} X_\alpha \otimes X_\beta, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\theta = \mathrm{id}\colon\ \tau(x_a) = 0, \qquad \tau(G(x_a)) = [J(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. The construction of the left Lie coideal structure τ from the anti-invariant Manin triple twist is similar to the one of the Lie bi-algebra structure from the Manin triple. We have to consider the duality relation $(\tau(x), y \otimes z)_\mathcal{L} = (x, [y, z])_\mathcal{L}$ with $x \in \mathcal{H}^+$, $y \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}^-$.

Consider the case $\theta \neq id$. For the degree zero generators $X_\alpha^{(0)} = X_\alpha$, we have $(X_\alpha, [y, z])_\mathcal{L} = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}^-$. This follows by similar arguments as for the degree zero generators of the half-loop Lie algebra. Hence we have

$$\tau(X_\alpha) = 0.$$

For elements $Y_p^{(1)} = B(Y_p)$ we have a non trivial paring $\big(B(Y_p), Y_q^{(-2)}\big)_{\mathcal{L}} = -(\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})_{pq}$. Then, using relation $Y_q^{(-2)} = \sum_\alpha 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} g_q^{\alpha p}\big[Y_p^{(-1)}, X_\alpha^{(-1)}\big]$ and the duality relation we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \big(\tau(B(Y_p)), g_q^{\alpha r} Y_r^{(-1)} \otimes X_\alpha^{(-1)}\big)_{\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{L}} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})_{pq}.$$

It is clear from properties of the pairing that $\tau(B(Y_p)) = \sum_\beta v_p^{\beta s} Y_s \otimes X_\beta$ for some $v_p^{\beta s} \in \mathbb{C}$. We must have $\sum_\alpha v_p^{\alpha r} g_{q\alpha r} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})_{pq}$. Writing the second identity in (2.10) as $\sum_\alpha g_p^{r\alpha} g_{q\alpha r} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\eta_{pq}$ we find $v_p^{\alpha r} = -g_p^{r\alpha}$. Hence

$$\tau(B(Y_p)) = -\sum_\alpha g_p^{r\alpha} Y_r \otimes X_\alpha = [Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X].$$

The Lie coideal structure for the $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ case follows from the pairing $\big(G(x_a), x_b^{(-3)}\big)_{\mathcal{L}} = -(\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}})_{ab}$ by similar arguments. ∎

For completeness we recall the remark which was stated by one of the authors in [10].

Remark 4.6. The notion of left (right) Lie coideal is related to the notion of co-isotropic subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of a Lie bi-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$. It is a Lie subalgebra which is also a Lie coideal, meaning that $\delta(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$. We have $\delta(x) = \tau(x) + \tau'(x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ with $\tau' = -\sigma \circ \tau$ the right ideal structure.

5 Twisted Yangians as quantized Lie coideals

5.1 Quantization of Lie bi-algebras and Lie coideals

To obtain a quantization of the Lie coideal we need to introduce some additional notation. Recall the definition of a bi-algebra and of a Hopf algebra. A bi-algebra is a quintuple $(A, \mu, \imath, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ such that $(A, \mu, \imath)$ is an algebra and (A, Δ, ε) is a coalgebra; here A is a $\mathbb{C}$-module, $\mu\colon A \otimes A \to A$ is the multiplication, $\Delta\colon A \to A \otimes A$ is the comultiplication (coproduct), $\imath\colon \mathbb{C} \to A$ is the unit and $\varepsilon\colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ is the counit. A Hopf algebra is a bi-algebra with an antipode $S\colon A \to A$, an antiautomorphism of algebra. These maps are required to satisfy a set of compatibility relations (see, e.g., [13, Section 4]). Since we are interested in left coideal subalgebras, we also need to recall the notion of coideal.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra. Then $\mathcal{B} = (B, \varDelta, \epsilon)$ is a left coideal of $\mathcal{A}$ if:

1) B is a submodule of A, i.e., there exists an injective homomorphism $\varphi\colon B \to A$;

2) co-action $\varDelta$ is a left coideal map $\varDelta\colon B \to A \otimes B$ and is a homomorphism of modules;

3) coalgebra and coideal structures are compatible with each other, i.e., the following identities hold:

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \varDelta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varDelta) \circ \varDelta, \tag{5.1}$$
$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \varDelta = \Delta \circ \varphi; \tag{5.2}$$

4) $\epsilon\colon B \to \mathbb{C}$ is the counit.

The relation (5.1) is usually referred to as the coideal co-associativity of B. We will refer to (5.2) as the coideal coinvariance. We call the map φ the natural embedding $\varphi\colon B \hookrightarrow A$. The definition of a coideal can be naturally extended for a bi-algebra. Namely, let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a bi-algebra. Then $\mathcal{B} = (B, m, i, \varDelta, \epsilon)$ is a left coideal of $\mathcal{A}$ if: the triple (B, m, i), where m

is the multiplication and i is the unit, is an algebra; B is a subalgebra of A; the triple (B, Δ, ϵ) is a coideal of (A, Δ, ε). However note that ϵ is not a restriction of ε, that is $\varepsilon \circ \varphi \neq \epsilon$, since in general B may have a larger center than A.

We are now ready to discuss quantization of Lie bi-algebras and their coideals. The next definition, a quantization of a Lie bi-algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, is due to V.G. Drinfeld.

Definition 5.2 ([18]). Let $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ be a Lie bi-algebra. We say that a quantized universal enveloping algebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$, or that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ is the quasi-classical limit of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$, if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module and:

1) $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})/\hbar \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a Hopf algebra;

2) for any $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and any $X \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ equal to $x \pmod{\hbar}$ one has

$$\big(\Delta_\hbar(X) - \sigma \circ \Delta_\hbar(X)\big)/\hbar \sim \delta(x) \pmod{\hbar}$$

with σ the permutation map $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

Note that $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a topological Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module. Drinfeld also noted that for a given Lie-bialgebra $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$, there exists a unique extension of the map $\delta \colon \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\delta \colon \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ which turns $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ into a co-Poisson–Hopf algebra. The converse is also true. In such a way $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ can be viewed as a quantization of $(\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \delta)$.

Let θ be an involution of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We define a quantization of the Lie coideal $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ following [10].

Definition 5.3. Let $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ be a Lie bi-algebra and $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ be a left Lie coideal. We say that a left coideal subalgebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$, or that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ is the quasi-classical limit of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$, if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module and:

1) $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$;

2) $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)/\hbar \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ as a Lie algebra;

3) $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a left coideal of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$;

4) for any $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta$ and any $X \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ equal to $x \pmod{\hbar}$ one has

$$\big(\Delta_\hbar(X) - (\varphi(X) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X)\big)/\hbar \sim \tau(x) \pmod{\hbar}$$

with φ the natural embedding $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The Lie coideal structure τ can be extended to $\tau \colon \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) \to \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ such that $\tau(a_1 a_2) = \tau(a_1)\Delta(a_2) + \tau(a_2)\Delta(a_1)$ [10]. However this does not turn $(\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta, \tau)$ into a co-Poisson–Hopf structure. Rather it would be a "one sided coideal-Poisson" extension of the one-sided Lie coideal $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$. In the case of the two-sided coideal structures, the associated Poisson structures are called "Poisson homogeneous spaces". We refer to [12] and [21] for details on quantization of such structures.

5.2 Yangians and twisted Yangians in Drinfeld J presentation

For any set of primitive elements $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_m}$ of any associative bi-algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, set

$$\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_m}\} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\pi x_{\pi(i_1)} x_{\pi(i_2)} \cdots x_{\pi(i_m)},$$

where the sum is over all permutations π of the set of indices $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m$ and

$$\langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_m}\rangle_n = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\pi x_{\pi(i_1)} \cdots x_{\pi(i_n)} \otimes x_{\pi(i_{n+1})} \cdots x_{\pi(i_m)}. \tag{5.3}$$

such that

$$\Delta(\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_m}\}) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \binom{m}{n} \langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_m} \rangle_n, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\binom{m}{n}$ denotes the binomial coefficient. Moreover, for any set of indices $i_1, \ldots, i_m$, set

$$a_{(i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_m)} = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma(i_1)} a_{\sigma(i_2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i_m)},$$

where the sum is over the cyclic permutations, e.g., $\alpha_{(ab}{}^d \alpha_{c)d}{}^e = \alpha_{ab}{}^d \alpha_{cd}{}^e + \alpha_{bc}{}^d \alpha_{ad}{}^e + \alpha_{ca}{}^d \alpha_{bd}{}^e$.

Next, let us recall the definition of the Yangian as a quantization of the Lie bi-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$.

Theorem 5.4 ([17]). *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. Fix a (non-zero) invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and a basis $\{x_a\}$. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$, with δ given by (4.1). It is topologically generated by elements x_a, $\mathcal{J}(x_a)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c x_c, \qquad [x_a, \mathcal{J}(x_b)] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c \mathcal{J}(x_c), \qquad \mathcal{J}(\lambda x_a + \mu x_b) = \lambda \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \mu \mathcal{J}(x_b), \tag{5.5}$$

$$[\mathcal{J}(x_a), \mathcal{J}([x_b, x_c])] + [\mathcal{J}(x_b), \mathcal{J}([x_c, x_a])] + [\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}([x_a, x_b])] = \tfrac{1}{4} \hbar^2 \mathcal{A}_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, x_k\}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$[[\mathcal{J}(x_a), \mathcal{J}(x_b)], \mathcal{J}([x_c, x_d])] + [[\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}(x_d)], \mathcal{J}([x_a, x_b])] = \tfrac{1}{4} \hbar^2 \mathcal{B}_{abcd}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{J}(x_k)\}, \tag{5.7}$$

for all $x_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $\mathcal{A}_{abc}^{ijk} = \alpha_a{}^{il} \alpha_b{}^{jm} \alpha_c{}^{kn} \alpha_{lmn}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{abcd}^{ijk} = \alpha_{cd}{}^e \mathcal{A}_{abe}^{ijk} + \alpha_{ab}{}^e \mathcal{A}_{cde}^{ijk}$. The coproduct is

$$\Delta_\hbar(x_a) = x_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_a, \qquad \Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = \mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \tfrac{1}{2} \hbar [x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]. \tag{5.8}$$

The grading is $\deg(x_a) = 0$, $\deg(\hbar) = 1$, $\deg(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = 1$. The counit is given by $\varepsilon_\hbar(x_a) = \varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = 0$. The antipode is

$$S(x_a) = -x_a, \qquad S(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = -\mathcal{J}(x_a) + \tfrac{1}{4} \hbar \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} x_a. \tag{5.9}$$

An outline of the proof can be found in [13, Section 12.1]. Let us make a remark on the Drinfeld terrific relations (5.6) and (5.7), which are deformations of the relations (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. The coproduct (5.8) is a solution of the quantization condition satisfying the co-associativity property

$$(1 \otimes \Delta_\hbar) \circ \Delta_\hbar = (\Delta_\hbar \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_\hbar.$$

The right-hand sides (rhs) of the terrific relations are tailored so that $\Delta_\hbar \colon \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Indeed, consider the co-action on the left-hand side (lhs) of (5.6). The linear terms in $\hbar$ vanish due to the Jacobi identity. What remains are the quadratic terms in $\hbar$, that are cubic and totally symmetric in x_a. Hence the rhs of (5.6) must be of the form $\hbar^2 A_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, x_k\}$ for some set of coefficients $A_{abc}^{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}$. By comparing the terms on the both sides and using the Jacobi identity one finds $A_{abc}^{ijk} = \mathcal{A}_{abc}^{ijk}$. Relation (5.7) is obtained in a similar way.[3]

We now define twisted Yangians as quantizations of Lie coideals of twisted half-loop algebras for both $\theta \neq \mathrm{id}$ and $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ cases.

---

[3]Showing (5.7) is more complicated since it requires a heavy usage of (5.6) and Jacobi identity. We were unable to locate the explicit proof of the Drinfeld terrific relations in the mathematical literature available to us.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)$ be a symmetric pair decomposition of a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ with respect to the involution θ, such that $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is the positive eigenspace of θ. Let $\{X_\alpha, Y_p\}$ be a symmetric space basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to θ. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}} := \mathcal{U}_\hbar\big(\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta\big)$ of $\big(\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta, \tau\big)$, where τ is given by (4.5). It is topologically generated by elements X_α, $\mathcal{B}(Y_p)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma X_\gamma, \qquad [X_\alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_p)] = g_{\alpha p}{}^q \mathcal{B}(Y_q),$$
$$\mathcal{B}(aY_p + bY_q) = a\mathcal{B}(Y_p) + b\mathcal{B}(Y_q), \tag{5.10}$$

$$[\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)] + \sum_\alpha (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha{}^{rs} [\mathcal{B}(Y_r), \mathcal{B}(Y_s)] = \hbar^2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\}, \tag{5.11}$$

$$[[\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)], \mathcal{B}(Y_r)] + 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \sum_\alpha (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{tu} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s [[\mathcal{B}(Y_s), \mathcal{B}(Y_t)], \mathcal{B}(Y_u)]$$

$$= \hbar^2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu,u} \Upsilon_{pqr}^{\lambda\mu u} \{X_\lambda, X_\mu, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}, \tag{5.12}$$

for all $X_\alpha, Y_p \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Here

$$\Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \tfrac{1}{3}\Big(g^{\mu t}{}_p g^{\lambda u}{}_q + \sum_\alpha (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha{}^{rs} g^{\mu t}{}_r g^{\lambda u}{}_s\Big) w_{tu}{}^\nu, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\lambda\mu u} = \tfrac{1}{4} \sum_\alpha \Big(w_{st}{}^\alpha g_p{}^{\lambda s} g_q{}^{\mu t} g_{\alpha r}{}^u + \sum_\beta w_{pq}{}^\alpha f_\alpha{}^{\lambda\beta} g_r{}^{\mu s} g_{\beta s}{}^u\Big)$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha,\gamma} (\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{vx} w_{pq}{}^\gamma g_{r\gamma}{}^y \Big(w_{st}{}^\alpha g_y{}^{\lambda s} g_v{}^{\mu t} g_{\alpha x}{}^u + \sum_\beta w_{yv}{}^\alpha f_\alpha{}^{\lambda\beta} g_x{}^{\mu s} g_{\beta s}{}^u\Big). \tag{5.14}$$

The coideal structure is given by the co-action $\Delta_\hbar \colon \mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ such that

$$\Delta_\hbar(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_\alpha,$$
$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p) + \hbar[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X], \tag{5.15}$$

where the embedding $\varphi \colon \mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is

$$\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[Y_p, C_X]. \tag{5.16}$$

The grading is $\deg(X_\alpha) = 0$, $\deg(\hbar) = 1$, $\deg(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = 1$. The counit is $\epsilon_\hbar(X_\alpha) = \epsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = 0$ for all non-central X_α. In the case when $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is reductive with its centre $\mathfrak{k}$ generated by X_z, the counit $\epsilon_\hbar(X_z) = c$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the case when $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is reductive, the one-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is parametrized by the free parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$. This parameter corresponds to the free boundary parameter of a quantum integrable model with a twisted Yangian as the underlying symmetry algebra. For Lie algebras of type A, this parameter also appears in the solutions of the boundary intertwining equation leading to a one-parameter family of the boundary S-matrices satisfying the reflection equation [2]. Similar results can also be deduced for types B, C and D.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra of $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. Fix a (non-zero) invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and a basis $\{x_i\}$. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} := \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u^2])$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u^2], \tau)$, where τ is given by (4.6). It is topologically generated by elements x_i, $\mathcal{G}(x_i)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c x_c, \qquad [x_a, \mathcal{G}(x_b)] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c \mathcal{G}(x_c), \qquad \mathcal{G}(\lambda x_a + \mu x_b) = \lambda\mathcal{G}(x_a) + \mu\mathcal{G}(x_b), \tag{5.17}$$
$$[\mathcal{G}(x_a), \mathcal{G}([x_b, x_c])] + [\mathcal{G}(x_b), \mathcal{G}([x_c, x_a])] + [\mathcal{G}(x_c), \mathcal{G}([x_a, x_b])]$$

$$= \hbar^2 \Psi_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{G}(x_k)\} + \hbar^4 \big(\Phi_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, x_k\} + \overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm} \{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\}\big), \tag{5.18}$$

for all $x_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Here

$$\Psi_{abc}^{ijk} = \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \alpha_{c)r}{}^k \overline{h}_d^{rij} - \alpha_{dr}{}^k \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \overline{h}_{c)}^{rij}, \tag{5.19}$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm} = \tfrac{1}{5}\big(\alpha_{rs}{}^i \alpha_{(ab}{}^d h_{c)}^{rjk} h_d^{slm} - \Psi_{abc}^{jkr} h_r^{ilm}\big), \tag{5.20}$$

$$\Phi_{abc}^{ijk} = \tfrac{1}{9}\Big(\alpha_{(ab}{}^d W_{c)d}^{ijk} + \tfrac{1}{6}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ix(yzj))} \alpha_{xy}{}^r \alpha_{rz}{}^k - \big(\Psi_{abc}^{xjy}\overline{h}_y^{kzr}\alpha_{zx}{}^s \alpha_{rs}{}^i + \Psi_{abc}^{xyz} h_z^{rsk}\alpha_{rx}{}^i\alpha_{ys}{}^j\big)\Big), \tag{5.21}$$

with

$$W_{cd}^{ijk} = \alpha_{rs}{}^i h_c^{rxy}\big(h_d^{szk}\alpha_{xt}{}^j\alpha_{yz}{}^t + h_d^{szt}\alpha_{xt}{}^k\alpha_{yz}{}^j\big)$$
$$+ \Big(\big(\overline{h}_c^{xyz} h_d^{efk} - \overline{h}_d^{xyz} h_c^{efk}\big)\alpha_{ye}{}^t \alpha_{zt}{}^i \alpha_{xf}{}^j + \overline{h}_c^{jxy}\overline{h}_d^{kzr}\alpha_{xr}{}^s\big(\alpha_{zy}{}^t\alpha_{st}{}^i + \alpha_{sy}{}^t\alpha_{zt}{}^i\big)\Big), \tag{5.22}$$

and

$$h_a^{bcd} = \phi_a^{bcd} + 2\psi_a^{bcd}, \qquad \overline{h}_a^{bcd} = \phi_a^{bcd} - \psi_a^{bcd}, \qquad \psi_a^{bcd} = \tfrac{1}{12}\big(\alpha_a^{jd}\alpha_j^{bc} + \alpha_a^{jc}\alpha_j^{bd}\big),$$
$$\phi_a^{bcd} = \tfrac{1}{24}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\sum_\pi \big(\alpha_d^{jk}\alpha_j^{\pi(d)r}\alpha_k^{\pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}^{\pi(c)}\big).$$

The coideal structure is given by the co-action $\varDelta_\hbar \colon \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ defined by

$$\varDelta_\hbar(x_a) = x_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_a, \tag{5.23}$$

$$\varDelta_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_a) + \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2\big([[x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}] + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_a^{bc}[[x_c \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], [x_b \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]]\big), \tag{5.24}$$

where the embedding $\varphi \colon \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is

$$\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_a^{bc}[\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}(x_b)] + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_a), C_{\mathfrak{g}}]. \tag{5.25}$$

The grading on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ is $\deg(x_a) = 0$, $\deg(\hbar) = 1$, $\deg(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = 2$. The co-unit is $\epsilon_\hbar(x_i) = \epsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = 0$.

Remark 5.7. The co-action (5.24) can be equivalently written as

$$\varDelta_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_a)$$
$$+ \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}] + \hbar^2\big(h_a^{bcd}x_b \otimes \{x_c, x_d\} + \overline{h}_a^{bcd}\{x_c, x_d\} \otimes x_b\big). \tag{5.26}$$

Remark 5.8. The algebras $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ may be considered as flat deformations of the twisted current algebras $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[u^2])$, respectively. It is clear that $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}}/\hbar\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}} \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}/\hbar\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[u^2])$. The flatness of the deformations then follows from the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt (PBW) theorem which is due the fact that both twisted Yangians can be embedded to the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$; the PBW theorem for $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ was demonstrated in [31].

The proof of Theorems 5.5 and 5.6 follows using the same arguments outlined in [13, Section 21.1]. The uniqueness of co-actions (5.15) and (5.23), (5.24) is demonstrated in Sections 7.3 and 7.4; in particular, the co-action $\varDelta_\hbar$ is determined uniquely (up to an isomorphism discussed in the paragraph below) by the coideal compatibility identities (5.1), (5.2) and the property (4) of Definition 5.3. The map (5.16) is the MacKay twisted Yangian formula presented in [16]. The challenging task is to obtain the horrific relations (5.11), (5.12) and (5.18), which are quantizations of (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10), respectively. A proof of the first two relations is given in Section 7.5. Proving (5.18) is substantially more difficult. We have given an outline of a proof in Section 7.6.

Recall that the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a one-parameter group of automorphisms $\varkappa_c$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}$, given by $\varkappa_c(x_a) = x_a$ and $\varkappa_c(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \hbar c x_a$, which is compatible with both algebra and Hopf algebra structure. An analogue of this automorphism for the twisted Yangians is a one-parameter family of co-actions $(\varkappa_c \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_\hbar$, which for $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}$ is equivalent to one-parameter family of embeddings $\varkappa_c \circ \varphi$; the later does not apply to $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{tw}$ due to the $\hbar$-order term in (5.24).

Remark 5.9. We strongly believe that expressions in (5.19)–(5.21) could be further reduced to a more elegant and compact form. We have succeeded to find such a form when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$:

$$\Psi^{ijk}_{abc} = \tfrac{1}{3}\mathcal{A}^{ijk}_{(abc)} + \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \alpha_{c)l}{}^k \phi^{lij}_d - \alpha_{dl}{}^k \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \phi^{lij}_{c)},$$
$$\Phi^{ijk}_{abc} = -\tfrac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}^{ijk}_{abc}, \qquad \overline{\Phi}^{ijkln}_{abc} = \tfrac{1}{36}\alpha_{(a}{}^{ir}\alpha_b{}^{js}\mathcal{A}^{klm}_{c)rs}.$$

6   Coideal subalgebras of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

In this section we present three examples of twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{so}_3$ or $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{gl}_2$ (both $\theta \neq \mathrm{id}$ cases), or $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ ($\theta = \mathrm{id}$ case). For ease of notation we will denote generators of the former two algebras by symbols h, e, f, k and H, E, F. We start by recalling the Chevalley–Serre presentation of the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra and its Yangian.

6.1   The $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra and the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ in the Chevalley–Serre presentation is generated by elements e_i, f_i, h_i with $i = 1, 2$ subject to the defining relations

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \qquad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \qquad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j,$$
$$[e_i, [e_i, e_{i\pm 1}]] = 0, \qquad [f_i, [f_i, f_{i\pm 1}]] = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where $a_{ii} = 2$ and $a_{12} = -1 = a_{21}$ are the matrix elements of the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. The last two relations are called the Serre relations.

Vector space basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ contains eight elements. In addition to the elements given above there are two more root vectors that correspond to the non-simple roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$, namely $e_3 = [e_1, e_2]$ and $f_3 = [f_2, f_1]$. The defining relations for the linear basis are obtained by dropping the Serre relations in (6.1) and adding

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \qquad [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0, \qquad [f_1, f_2] = -f_3, \qquad [f_1, f_3] = [f_2, f_3] = 0,$$
$$[e_1, f_3] = -f_2, \qquad [e_2, f_3] = f_1, \qquad [f_1, e_3] = e_2, \quad [f_2, e_3] = -e_1,$$
$$[h_i, f_3] = -f_3, \qquad [h_i, e_3] = e_3, \qquad [e_3, f_3] = h_1 + h_2. \tag{6.2}$$

The quadratic Casimir operator is $C_\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3}(e_i f_i + f_i e_i) + \tfrac{2}{3}\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2} h_i h_j$ and $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} = 6$.

Example 6.1. The Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is the unital associative algebra with sixteen generators e_i, f_i, h_j, $\mathcal{J}(e_i)$, $\mathcal{J}(f_i)$, $\mathcal{J}(h_j)$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2$. The defining relations (5.5), (5.6) are given by (6.1), (6.2) and

$$[\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(h_2)] = \tfrac{3}{4}\hbar^2(\{e_3, f_1, f_2\} - \{e_1, e_2, f_3\}). \tag{6.3}$$

The remaining relations defined by (5.6) are obtained by the adjoint action of degree-0 generators on (6.3). (The same applies to all horrific relations in the examples given below.) The Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is given by (5.8), (5.9) with $\Omega_\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3}(e_i \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes e_i) + \tfrac{1}{3}\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2}(h_i \otimes h_j + h_j \otimes h_i)$.

6.2   Twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{\mathbf{tw}}$

Let involution θ be defined by

$$\theta\colon\ e_1 \mapsto -e_2, \qquad f_1 \mapsto -f_2, \qquad h_1 \mapsto h_2.$$

The action of θ on the remaining elements of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ is deduced from the constraint $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$. The symmetric space basis is given by $\mathfrak{g}^\theta(=\mathfrak{h}) = \{\mathsf{h} = h_1 + h_2, \mathsf{e} = e_1 - e_2, \mathsf{f} = f_1 - f_2\}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \{h_1 - h_2, e_1 + e_2, f_1 + f_2, e_3, f_3\}$. The positive eigenspace of θ forms the orthogonal subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}_3 \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3$. We denote the generators of this subalgebra by h, e, f. They generate the degree-0 subalgebra of the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{\mathrm{tw}}$. We denote the degree-1 generators by H, E, F, E_2, F_2.

Example 6.2. The twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ is the unital associative algebra with eight generators h, e, f, H, E, F, E_2, F_2. The defining relations are the degree-0 Lie relations (of the $\mathfrak{so}_3$ Lie algebra)

$$[\mathsf{e},\mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{h}, \qquad [\mathsf{h},\mathsf{e}] = \mathsf{e}, \qquad [\mathsf{h},\mathsf{f}] = -\mathsf{f},$$

degree-1 Lie relations

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
[\mathsf{h},\mathsf{E}] = \mathsf{E}, & [\mathsf{h},\mathsf{E}_2] = 2\mathsf{E}_2, & [\mathsf{H},\mathsf{e}] = 3\mathsf{E}, & [\mathsf{e},\mathsf{E}] = 2\mathsf{E}_2, & [\mathsf{e},\mathsf{E}_2] = 0, \\
[\mathsf{h},\mathsf{F}] = -\mathsf{F}, & [\mathsf{h},\mathsf{F}_2] = -2\mathsf{F}_2, & [\mathsf{H},\mathsf{f}] = -3\mathsf{F}, & [\mathsf{f},\mathsf{F}] = -2\mathsf{F}_2, & [\mathsf{f},\mathsf{F}_2] = 0, \\
[\mathsf{f},\mathsf{E}_2] = \mathsf{E}, & [\mathsf{e},\mathsf{F}_2] = -\mathsf{F}, & [\mathsf{e},\mathsf{F}] = \mathsf{H}, & [\mathsf{E},\mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{H}, & [\mathsf{H},\mathsf{h}] = 0,
\end{array}
$$

degree-2 horrific relation

$$[\mathsf{E},\mathsf{F}] - [\mathsf{E}_2,\mathsf{F}_2] = \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{h},\mathsf{h},\mathsf{h}\} - 3\{\mathsf{e},\mathsf{f},\mathsf{h}\}\big),$$

degree-3 horrific relation

$$[[\mathsf{E},\mathsf{F}],\mathsf{H}] = \tfrac{3}{2}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{E}_2,\mathsf{f},\mathsf{f}\} - \{\mathsf{F}_2,\mathsf{e},\mathsf{e}\}\big) + \tfrac{15}{4}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{E},\mathsf{f},\mathsf{h}\} - \{\mathsf{F},\mathsf{e},\mathsf{h}\}\big).$$

The co-action is given by

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{x}) = \varphi(\mathsf{x}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{x}, \qquad \Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{Y}) = \varphi(\mathsf{Y}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{Y} + \hbar[\varphi_0(\mathsf{Y}) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}],$$

for all $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}\}$ and $\mathsf{Y} \in \{\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}, \mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\varphi(\mathsf{e}) = e_1 - e_2, & \varphi(\mathsf{f}) = f_1 - f_2, & \varphi(\mathsf{h}) = h_1 + h_2, \\
\varphi_0(\mathsf{E}) = e_1 + e_2, & \varphi_0(\mathsf{F}) = f_1 + f_2, & \varphi_0(\mathsf{H}) = h_1 - h_2, \\
\varphi_0(\mathsf{E}_2) = e_3, & \varphi_0(\mathsf{F}_2) = f_3, &
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi(\mathsf{E}) = \mathcal{J}(e_1) + \mathcal{J}(e_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[e_1 + e_2, C_X], & \varphi(\mathsf{E}_2) = \mathcal{J}(e_3) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[e_3, C_X], \\
\varphi(\mathsf{F}) = \mathcal{J}(f_1) + \mathcal{J}(f_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[f_1 + f_2, C_X], & \varphi(\mathsf{F}_2) = \mathcal{J}(f_3) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[f_3, C_X], \\
\varphi(\mathsf{H}) = \mathcal{J}(h_1) - \mathcal{J}(h_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[h_1 - h_2, C_X]. &
\end{array}
$$

Here

$$C_X = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\mathsf{e}\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{f}\mathsf{e} + \mathsf{h}^2\big) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}), \qquad \Omega_\mathfrak{h} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \big(\mathsf{e} \otimes \mathsf{f} + \mathsf{f} \otimes \mathsf{e} + \mathsf{h} \otimes \mathsf{h}\big) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$$

The co-unit is $\epsilon(\mathsf{x}) = \epsilon(\mathsf{Y}) = 0$.

6.3   Twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{gl}_2)^{\mathrm{tw}}$

Let involution θ be defined by

$$\theta:\ e_1 \mapsto e_1, \qquad f_1 \mapsto f_1, \qquad e_2 \mapsto -e_2, \qquad f_2 \mapsto -f_2, \qquad h_i \mapsto h_i,$$

In this case $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \{\mathsf{h} = h_1, \mathsf{k} = 2h_2 + h_1, \mathsf{e} = e_1, \mathsf{f} = f_1\} \sim \mathfrak{gl}_2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \{e_2, f_2, e_3, f_3\}$. We denote the corresponding degree-1 generators by $\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_3$.

Example 6.3. The twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{gl}_2)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ is the unital associative algebra with eight generators $\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}, \mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_3$. The defining relations are the degree-0 Lie relations (of the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ Lie algebra)[4]

$$[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{h}, \qquad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}] = 2\mathsf{e}, \qquad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{f}] = -2\mathsf{f}, \qquad [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{k}] = [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}] = [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{k}] = 0,$$

degree-1 Lie relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}_2] &= -\mathsf{E}_2, & [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}_3] &= \mathsf{E}_3, & [\mathsf{k}, \mathsf{E}_i] &= 3\mathsf{E}_i, \\
[\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}_2] &= \mathsf{F}_2, & [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}_3] &= -\mathsf{F}_3, & [\mathsf{k}, \mathsf{F}_i] &= -3\mathsf{F}_i, \\
[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}_2] &= \mathsf{E}_3, & [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}_3] &= -\mathsf{F}_2, & [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}_2] &= [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}_3] = 0, \\
[\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}_2] &= \mathsf{F}_3, & [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{E}_3] &= \mathsf{E}_2, & [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{E}_2] &= [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}_3] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

degree-2 horrific relations

$$[\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{E}_3] = 0, \qquad [\mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{F}_3] = 0,$$

degree-3 horrific relations

$$[\mathsf{E}_2, [\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_3]] = 2\hbar^2\{\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}, \qquad [\mathsf{F}_2, [\mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_2]] = -2\hbar^2\{\mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}.$$

The co-action given by, for $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varDelta_\hbar(\mathsf{x}) &= \varphi(\mathsf{x}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{x}, & \varphi(\mathsf{h}) &= h_1, \\
\varphi(\mathsf{k}) &= 2h_2 + h_1, & \varphi(\mathsf{e}) = e_1, & \quad \varphi(\mathsf{f}) = f_1
\end{aligned}$$

and, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varDelta_\hbar(\mathsf{E}_i) &= \varphi(\mathsf{E}_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{E}_i + \hbar[e_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}], & \varphi(\mathsf{E}_i) &= \mathcal{J}(e_i) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[e_i, C_X], \\
\varDelta_\hbar(\mathsf{F}_i) &= \varphi(\mathsf{F}_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{F}_i + \hbar[f_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}], & \varphi(\mathsf{F}_i) &= \mathcal{J}(f_i) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[f_i, C_X].
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
C_X &= \mathsf{ef} + \mathsf{fe} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathsf{h}^2 + \tfrac{1}{6}\mathsf{k}^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}), \\
\Omega_\mathfrak{h} &= (\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \left(\mathsf{e} \otimes \mathsf{f} + \mathsf{f} \otimes \mathsf{e} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathsf{h} \otimes \mathsf{h} + \tfrac{1}{6}\mathsf{k} \otimes \mathsf{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}.
\end{aligned}$$

The co-unit is $\epsilon(\mathsf{k}) = c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon(\mathsf{x}) = \epsilon(\mathsf{Y}) = 0$ for all $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{h}\}$ and $\mathsf{Y} \in \{\mathsf{E}_i, \mathsf{F}_i\}$ with $i = 1, 2$.

---

[4]The standard $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ basis $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2}$ with the defining relations $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}e_{il} - \delta_{il}e_{kj}$ is obtained by setting $e_{11} = -(\mathsf{h} + \mathsf{k})/2$, $e_{22} = (\mathsf{h} - \mathsf{k})/2$, $e_{12} = \mathsf{f}$ and $e_{21} = \mathsf{e}$.

6.4   Twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)^{\mathrm{tw}}$

In this case the involution is trivial, $\theta = \mathrm{id}$, hence $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \varnothing$.

Example 6.4. The twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ is the unital associative algebra with sixteen generators e_i, f_i, h_j, $\mathcal{G}(e_i)$, $\mathcal{G}(f_i)$, $\mathcal{G}(h_j)$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2$, obeying the standard $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra relations of the Cartan–Chevalley presentation and the standard degree-2 Lie relations (5.17) and the following degree-4 horrific relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{G}(h_1), \mathcal{G}(h_2)] = {} & \hbar^2 \big(\{f_1, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_3)\} - \{e_1, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_3)\} \big) - \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^4 \big(\{f_1, f_2, e_3\} - \{e_1, e_2, f_3\} \big) \\
& + \hbar^2 \big(\{e_3, f_2, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\} + \{e_3, f_1, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\} - \{f_3, e_2, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} - \{f_3, e_1, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\} \big) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \big(\{h_1, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\} - \{h_1, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\} - \{h_2, f_1, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} + \{h_2, e_1, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\} \big) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2 \big(\{h_1, e_1, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\} - \{h_1, f_1, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} - \{h_2, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\} + \{h_2, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\} \\
& + \{h_1 - h_2, f_3, \mathcal{G}(e_3)\} - \{h_1 - h_2, e_3, \mathcal{G}(f_3)\} \big) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^4 \big(\{e_1, e_1, e_2, f_1, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, e_2, f_2, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_3, f_3\} \\
& - \{f_1, f_1, f_2, e_1, e_3\} - \{f_1, f_2, f_2, e_2, e_3\} - \{f_1, f_2, f_3, e_3, e_3\} \big) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{12}\hbar^4 \big(\{e_1, e_2, h_1, h_1, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, h_1, h_2, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, h_2, h_2, f_3\} \\
& - \{f_1, f_2, h_1, h_1, e_3\} - \{f_1, f_2, h_1, h_2, e_3\} - \{f_1, f_2, h_2, h_2, e_3\} \big).
\end{aligned}
$$

The co-action given by (5.23), (5.24) with $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = \mathcal{K}(x_i) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i), C_\mathfrak{g}]$ and with $\mathcal{K}(x_i) = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \alpha_i^{kj} [\mathcal{J}(x_j), \mathcal{J}(x_k)]$, where[5]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(e_1) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(e_3), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(e_1)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(f_1) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(f_3), \mathcal{J}(e_2)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(f_1)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(e_2) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(f_1), \mathcal{J}(e_3)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_2), \mathcal{J}(e_2)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(f_2) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(e_1), \mathcal{J}(f_3)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_2), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(e_3) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(e_1), \mathcal{J}(e_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_1 + h_2), \mathcal{J}(e_3)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(f_3) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\big([\mathcal{J}(f_1), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_1 + h_2), \mathcal{J}(f_3)] \big), \\
\mathcal{K}(h_1) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\Big([\mathcal{J}(e_1), \mathcal{J}(f_1)] + \sum_{1 \le i \le 3} [\mathcal{J}(f_i), \mathcal{J}(e_i)] \Big), \\
\mathcal{K}(h_2) &= \tfrac{1}{3}\Big([\mathcal{J}(e_2), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] + \sum_{1 \le i \le 3} [\mathcal{J}(f_i), \mathcal{J}(e_i)] \Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

The co-unit is $\epsilon(x_i) = \epsilon(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = 0$.

7   Proofs

In the remaining part of the paper we provide proofs omitted in the previous sections.

7.1   A proof of Proposition 3.1

We follow the arguments outlined in [13, Section 12.1] and fill in the gaps. Recall that given a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ is generated by the elements $x_a^{(k)}$ with $k \ge 0$ and $a = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathfrak{g})$, and satisfying (3.1). Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+$ denote the algebra generated by the elements x_a and $J(x_a)$ satisfying (3.2)–(3.4). The map $\varphi \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+ \to \mathcal{L}^+$ given by $x_a \mapsto x_a^{(0)}$, $J(x_a) \mapsto x_a^{(1)}$ is an algebra homomorphism. It is a direct computation to check that the image of (3.2)–(3.4) holds in $\mathcal{L}^+$.

---

[5]The non-zero structure constants α_i^{kj} for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ in the Cartan–Chevalley basis can be read from here.

To prove that φ is surjective we need to show that elements $x_a^{(0)}$ and $x_a^{(1)}$ generate the whole $\mathcal{L}^+$. Indeed, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, we have $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$, or equivalently $\big[\mathcal{L}^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1)}\big] = \mathcal{L}^{(2)}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{(k)} = \operatorname{span}\big\{x_a^{(k)}\big\}$. By the same arguments we must have, for all $k > 0$, that $\big[\mathcal{L}^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(k)}\big] = \mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}$. This shows that $\mathcal{L}^+$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$. Hence it only remains to show that φ is injective.

We know that $\mathcal{L}^+ = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$, with $\dim\big(\mathcal{L}^{(k)}\big) = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$, as a vector space. We need to show that an analogous statement holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+$. Denote $J^{(0)}(x_a) = x_a$, $J^{(1)}(x_a) = J(x_a)$ and define recursively

$$J^{(k)}(x_a) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \alpha_a^{bc} \big[J^{(1)}(x_c), J^{(k-1)}(x_b)\big] \qquad \text{for all} \quad k \geq 1. \tag{7.1}$$

We need to show that

$$\big[J^{(\ell)}(x_a), J^{(k-\ell)}(x_b)\big] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c J^{(k)}(x_c) \qquad \text{for all} \quad \ell \leq k, \tag{7.2}$$

so that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+ = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)} = \operatorname{span}\{J^{(k)}(x_a)\}$ and $\dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. We first demonstrate that

$$\big[J^{(k-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big] = \big[J^{(k-\ell')}(x_a), J^{(\ell')}(x_b)\big] \qquad \text{for all} \quad \ell < \ell' \leq k. \tag{7.3}$$

We proceed by induction on k, the result being clear when $k = 0, 1$, by (3.2). For $k = 2$ there are two inequivalent identities that need to be shown: with $\ell = 0$, $\ell' = 2$ and with $\ell = 0$, $\ell' = 1$. The first identity follows by (7.1) and co-Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[J^{(2)}(x_a), J^{(0)}(x_b)\big] &= \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \alpha_a^{dc} \big[\big[J^{(1)}(x_c), J^{(1)}(x_d)\big], J^{(0)}(x_b)\big] \\
&= \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \alpha_a^{dc} \big(\alpha_{cb}{}^e \big[J^{(1)}(x_e), J^{(1)}(x_d)\big] + \alpha_{db}{}^e \big[J^{(1)}(x_c), J^{(1)}(x_e)\big]\big) \\
&= \alpha_{ab}{}^c J^{(2)}(x_c).
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we obtain that $\big[J^{(0)}(x_a), J^{(2)}(x_b)\big] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c J^{(2)}(x_c)$ thus yielding the required identity. To obtain the second identity we need to contract (3.3) with α_d^{cb} giving

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \big[J^{(1)}(x_a), J^{(1)}(x_d)\big] + \alpha_d^{cb} \alpha_{ca}{}^e \big[J^{(1)}(x_b), J^{(1)}(x_e)\big] + \alpha_d^{cb} \alpha_{ab}{}^e \big[J^{(1)}(x_c), J^{(1)}(x_e)\big] = 0.$$

Now rename the indices $b \to c$, $c \to e$, $e \to b$ in the third term and use co-Jacobi identity together with (7.1). This gives $\big[J^{(1)}(x_a), J^{(1)}(x_d)\big] = \alpha_{ad}{}^c J^{(2)}(x_c) = \big[J^{(0)}(x_a), J^{(2)}(x_d)\big]$. Next, assuming inductively (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[J^{(s)}(x_c), &\big[J^{(r-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell)}(x_a)\big]\big] \\
&= \alpha_{ca}{}^b \big(\big[J^{(r-\ell+s)}(x_b), J^{(\ell)}(x_a)\big] + \big[J^{(r-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell+s)}(x_b)\big]\big) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\ell \leq r \leq k$, $r - \ell + s \leq k$ and $\ell + s \leq k$ giving

$$\big[J^{(r-\ell+s)}(x_b), J^{(\ell)}(x_a)\big] = \big[J^{(\ell+s)}(x_b), J^{(r-\ell)}(x_a)\big].$$

Taking all the allowed ℓ, r, s such that $r + s \leq k + 1$ we obtain all the necessary identities thus completing the induction.

We are now ready to prove (7.2). We use induction on k. The cases with $k \leq 2$ are discussed above. Thus, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\big[J^{(1)}(x_d), \big[J^{(k-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big]\big] = \alpha_{ab}{}^c \big[J^{(1)}(x_d), J^{(k)}(x_c)\big].$$

Now contract the lhs with $\alpha_e^{\ ad}$, use (7.1), (7.3) and co-Jacobi identity:

$$\alpha_e^{\ ad}\big[J^{(1)}(x_d), \big[J^{(k-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big]\big]$$
$$= \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\big[J^{(k-\ell+1)}(x_e), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big] + \alpha_e^{\ ad}\alpha_{db}^{\ \ f}\big[J^{(k-\ell)}(x_a), J^{(\ell+1)}(x_f)\big]$$
$$= \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\big[J^{(k-\ell+1)}(x_e), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big] - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_{eb}^{\ \ d}J^{(k+1)}(x_d).$$

By doing the same for the rhs we get

$$\alpha_e^{\ ad}\alpha_{ab}^{\ \ c}\big[J^{(1)}(x_d), J^{(k)}(x_c)\big] = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_{eb}^{\ \ a}J^{(k+1)}(x_a)$$

yielding $\big[J^{(k-\ell+1)}(x_e), J^{(\ell)}(x_b)\big] = \alpha_{eb}^{\ \ d}J^{(k+1)}(x_d)$, which completes the induction. Therefore $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+ = \bigoplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}$. By setting $\varphi\colon J^{(k)}(x_a) \mapsto x_a^{(k)}$ we obtain a bijection of vector spaces, hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^+ \cong \mathcal{L}^+$.

7.2 A proof of Proposition 3.2

The idea behind the proof is very similar to that of the prove above. Recall that $\mathcal{H}^+$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by elements $X_\alpha^{(2k)}$ and $Y_p^{(2k+1)}$ with $k \geq 0$ and satisfying (3.5). Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+$ denote the algebra generated by the elements X_α and $B(Y_p)$ satisfying (3.6)–(3.8). The map $\psi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ \to \mathcal{H}^+$ given by $X_\alpha \mapsto X_\alpha^{(0)}$, $B(Y_p) \mapsto Y_p^{(1)}$ is an algebra homomorphism. It is easy to see that the image of (3.6) holds in $\mathcal{H}^+$. To see that the same is true for (3.7) and (3.8) we additionally need to use (2.9) and (2.10).

To prove that ψ is surjective we need to show that elements $X_\alpha^{(0)}$ and $Y_p^{(1)}$, where X_α runs over a basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ and Y_p runs over a basis for $\mathfrak{m}$, generate the whole $\mathcal{H}^+$. Indeed, since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is simple, we must have $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{h}$ and $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{m}$, or equivalently $\big[\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(1)}\big] = \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and $\big[\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)}\big] = \mathcal{H}^{(3)}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(2k)} = \text{span}\big\{X_\alpha^{(2k)}\big\}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2k+1)} = \text{span}\big\{Y_p^{(2k+1)}\big\}$. By the same arguments we must have, for all $k > 0$, that $\big[\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(k)}\big] = \mathcal{H}^{(k+1)}$. This shows that $\mathcal{H}^+$ is generated by $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. It remains to show that ψ is injective.

We know that $\mathcal{H}^+ = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$, with $\dim\big(\mathcal{H}^{(2k)}\big) = \dim(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\dim\big(\mathcal{H}^{(2k+1)}\big) = \dim(\mathfrak{m})$, as a vector space. We need to show that an analogous statement holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+$. Let $B^{(0)}(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha$, $B^{(1)}(Y_p) = B(Y_p)$ and define recursively, for $k \geq 0$,

$$B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) = (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_\alpha^{\ qp}\big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k+1)}(Y_q)\big], \tag{7.4}$$

$$B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p) = 2\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\sum_\alpha g_p^{\ \alpha q}\big[B^{(1)}(Y_q), B^{(2k)}(X_\alpha)\big]. \tag{7.5}$$

We need to show that, for all $\ell \leq k$,

$$\big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell)}(X_\beta)\big] = f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \gamma}B^{(2k)}(X_\gamma), \tag{7.6}$$

$$\big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p)\big] = g_{\alpha p}^{\ \ q}B^{(2k+1)}(Y_q), \tag{7.7}$$

$$\big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q)\big] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}^{\ \ \alpha}B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha), \tag{7.8}$$

so that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$, with $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2k)} = \text{span}\big\{B^{(2k)}(X_\alpha)\big\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2k+1)} = \text{span}\big\{B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p)\big\}$, as a vector space.

We start by showing (7.6)–(7.8) for small k that follow from (3.6)–(3.8). First, notice that (7.4) implies that (3.7) is equivalent to $\big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_q)\big] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}^{\ \ \alpha}B^{(2)}(X_\alpha)$. Also, notice that, by (2.8), (2.9) and (7.4),

$$\sum_\alpha w_{pq}^{\ \ \alpha}\big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(1)}(Y_r)\big] = \sum_\alpha(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_{pq}^{\ \ \alpha}w_\alpha^{\ st}\big[\big[B^{(1)}(Y_t), B^{(1)}(Y_s)\big], B^{(1)}(Y_r)\big]$$
$$= \big[\big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_q)\big], B^{(1)}(Y_r)\big].$$

Then, by (2.8), (2.10) and (7.4), (7.5),

$$\sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s B^{(3)}(Y_s) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} 2(\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s g_s{}^{u\beta} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), B^{(1)}(Y_u) \big]$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} 2(\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{tu} (\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s w_{st}{}^\beta w_\beta{}^{vx} \big[\big[B^{(1)}(Y_x), B^{(1)}(Y_v) \big], B^{(1)}(Y_u) \big]$$

$$= 2 \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{tu} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s \big[\big[B^{(1)}(Y_s), B^{(1)}(Y_t) \big], B^{(1)}(Y_u) \big].$$

By combining the expressions above with (3.8) we obtain $\big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(1)}(Y_r) \big] = g_{\alpha r}{}^s B^{(3)}(Y_s)$. Consequently, we have that

$$w_\beta{}^{rp} \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), \big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(1)}(Y_r) \big] \big] = w_\beta{}^{rp} g_{\alpha r}{}^s \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(3)}(Y_s) \big]$$

giving

$$w_\beta{}^{rp} g_{p\alpha}{}^q \big[B^{(3)}(Y_q), B^{(1)}(Y_r) \big] + \sum_\gamma w_\beta{}^{rp} w_{pr}{}^\gamma \big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\gamma) \big]$$

$$= w_\beta{}^{pr} g_{\alpha p}{}^q \big[B^{(1)}(Y_r), B^{(3)}(Y_q) \big].$$

Using (2.6), (2.9), (7.4), (7.5) and antisymmetry in α and β we obtain

$$\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\beta) \big] = \big(w_\beta{}^{pr} g_{\alpha p}{}^q - w_\alpha{}^{pr} g_{\beta p}{}^q \big) \big[B^{(1)}(Y_r), B^{(3)}(Y_q) \big] = \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma B^{(4)}(X_\gamma),$$

which gives $\big[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\beta) \big] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma B^{(4)}(X_\gamma)$. Then, a direct computation using (7.4), (7.5) yields $\big[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\alpha) \big] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma B^{(2)}(X_\gamma)$ and $\big[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(3)}(Y_r) \big] = g_{\alpha r}{}^s B^{(3)}(Y_s)$ and finally $\big[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(4)}(X_\beta) \big] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma B^{(4)}(X_\gamma)$.

Next we show the following identities that will be necessary in proving (7.7) and (7.8):

$$\big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] = \big[B^{(2k-2\ell')}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell'+1)}(Y_p) \big], \tag{7.9}$$

$$\big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] = \big[B^{(2k-2\ell'+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell'+1)}(Y_q) \big]. \tag{7.10}$$

We proceed by induction on k, the result being clear when $k = 0$ and when $k = 1$ for (7.9). Assuming induction we have

$$\big[B^{(2s)}(X_\alpha), \big[B^{(2r-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] \big]$$

$$= g_{\alpha p}{}^q \big(\big[B^{(2r-2\ell+2s+1)}(Y_q), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] + \big[B^{(2r-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+2s+1)}(Y_q) \big] \big) = 0$$

for all $\ell \leq r \leq k$, $r - \ell + s \leq k$ and $\ell + s \leq k$, therefore we must have

$$\big[B^{(2r+2s-2\ell+1)}(Y_q), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] = \big[B^{(2s+2\ell+1)}(Y_q), B^{(2r-2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big].$$

Taking all the allowed ℓ, r, s such that $r + s \leq k + 1$ we obtain all the necessary identities thus completing the induction. Then, by (7.4), it follows that, for all $\ell \leq k$,

$$B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) = (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_\alpha{}^{qp} \big[B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big]. \tag{7.11}$$

Relation (7.9) is proved similarly, using

$$\big[B^{(2s+1)}(Y_q), \big[B^{(2r-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] \big]$$

$$= \sum_\alpha w_{qp}{}^\alpha \big(\big[B^{(2r-2\ell+2s+2)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p) \big] + \big[B^{(2r-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+2s+2)}(X_\alpha) \big] \big) = 0$$

and implies that, for all $\ell \leq k$,

$$B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p) = 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \sum_\alpha g_p^{\alpha q} \big[B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q), B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha) \big]. \tag{7.12}$$

We also need to show that, for all $\ell < \ell' \leq k$,

$$B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) = (\mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} f_\alpha^{\gamma\beta} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\beta), B^{(2\ell+2)}(X_\gamma) \big]. \tag{7.13}$$

Again, we proceed by induction on k. The base of induction was already shown above. Hence, assuming inductively (7.13), we obtain

$$f_\alpha^{\gamma\beta} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\beta), B^{(2\ell+2)}(X_\gamma) \big]$$

$$= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\gamma)})^{-1} f_\alpha^{\gamma\beta} w_\gamma^{qp} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\beta), \big[B^{(2\ell'+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell-2\ell'+1)}(Y_q) \big] \big]$$

$$= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\gamma)})^{-1} f_\alpha^{\gamma\beta} w_\gamma^{qp} \big(g_{\beta p}^{\ r} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+2\ell'+1)}(Y_r), B^{(2\ell-2\ell'+1)}(Y_q) \big]$$

$$\quad + g_{\beta q}^{\ r} \big[B^{(2\ell'+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_r) \big] \big)$$

$$= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)} w_\alpha^{rp} \big[B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_r) \big].$$

Here we used (2.6), (2.9) and (7.12). By combining the expression above with (7.11) we complete the induction.

We are now in position to prove (7.6)–(7.8) using induction on k. Notice that the base of induction for each of (7.6)–(7.8) was already shown above. The induction step for (7.6) is analogous to the one in Section 7.1, thus we do not repeat the proof. For (7.8), assuming induction, we have

$$\big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] \big] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}^{\ \alpha} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) \big].$$

By (2.6), (2.10) and (7.12) we obtain

$$\sum_\beta g_r^{\ p\beta} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] \big]$$

$$= \sum_\beta g_r^{\ p\beta} g_{\beta p}^{\ s} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+3)}(Y_s), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] + \sum_\beta g_r^{\ p\beta} g_{\beta q}^{\ s} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell+3)}(Y_s) \big]$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+3)}(Y_r), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] - \tfrac{1}{4} \sum_\beta \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)} w_{rq}^{\ \beta} B^{(2k+4)}(X_\beta).$$

By (2.6) and (7.11) we get

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_r^{\ p\beta} w_{pq}^{\ \alpha} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) \big] = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{rq}^{\ \gamma} f_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \big[B^{(2)}(X_\beta), B^{(2k+2)}(X_\alpha) \big]$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{4} \sum_\gamma \mathfrak{c}_{(\gamma)} w_{rq}^{\ \gamma} B^{(2k+4)}(X_\gamma)$$

giving $\big[B^{(2k-2\ell+3)}(Y_r), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] = \sum_\beta w_{rq}^{\ \beta} B^{(2k+4)}(X_\beta)$, which combined with (7.10) completes the induction.

Finally, for (7.7), assuming induction, we have

$$\sum_\beta g_q^{\ \beta p} \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell)}(X_\beta) \big] \big] = \sum_\beta g_q^{\ \beta p} f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \gamma} \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k)}(X_\gamma) \big]. \tag{7.14}$$

Using (2.10) and (7.12) we compute

$$\sum_\beta g_q^{\ \beta p} \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell)}(X_\beta) \big] \big]$$

$$= \sum_{\beta} g_q^{\beta p} g_{p\alpha}{}^r \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_r), B^{(2\ell)}(X_\beta) \big] + \sum_{\beta} g_q^{\beta p} g_{p\beta}{}^r \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_r) \big]$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma} g_q^{\gamma r} g_{r\alpha}{}^p \big[B^{(2k-2\ell+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2\ell)}(X_\gamma) \big] + \tfrac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} \big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big].$$

Subtracting the first term in the last equality above from the rhs of (7.14) and using (2.6), (7.12) we get

$$\sum_{\gamma} \Big(\sum_{\beta} g_q^{\beta p} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma - g_q^{\gamma r} g_{r\alpha}{}^p \Big) \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k)}(X_\gamma) \big]$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma} g_{\alpha q}{}^r g_r{}^{\gamma p} \big[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(2k)}(X_\gamma) \big] = \tfrac{1}{2} \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} g_{\alpha q}{}^r B^{(2k+1)}(Y_r)$$

yielding $\big[B^{(2k-2\ell)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2\ell+1)}(Y_q) \big] = g_{\alpha q}{}^r B^{(2k+1)}(Y_r)$, which completes the induction.

Thus we have proved that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ = \bigoplus \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}$. By setting $\varphi \colon B^{(2k)}(X_\alpha) \mapsto X_\alpha^{(2k)}$, $B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p) \mapsto Y_p^{(2k+1)}$ we obtain a bijection of vector spaces, hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^+ \cong \mathcal{H}^+$.

7.3   A proof of the uniqueness of the co-action of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}}$

We need to show that the map $\varDelta_\hbar$ given by (5.15) together with (5.16) is the unique map satisfying properties given by Definition 5.1 and property (4) of Definition 5.3. The latter property defines the co-action up to the first order in $\hbar$,

$$\varDelta_\hbar(x) = \varphi(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \hbar \tau(x) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2),$$

with $x \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ and φ the natural embedding $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For the degree-0 generators of $\mathcal{H}^+$ the Lie coideal structure is trivial and the minimal form of the co-action is given by

$$\varDelta_\hbar(X_\alpha) = \varphi(X_\alpha) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_\alpha. \tag{7.15}$$

The coideal compatibility identities (5.1) and (5.2) and the requirement $\varphi(X_\alpha)|_{\hbar \to 0} = X_\alpha$ implies that the natural inclusion for X_α is $\varphi \colon X_\alpha \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}} \mapsto X_\alpha \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ and that (7.15) is indeed the unique co-action satisfying the required properties.

For the degree-1 generators of $\mathcal{H}^+$ the Lie coideal structure is non-trivial. The minimal co-action is

$$\varDelta_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p) + \hbar[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}]. \tag{7.16}$$

As previously, the co-action must satisfy (5.1) and (5.2) and the requirement $\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))|_{\hbar \to 0} = B(Y_p)$. By (5.2) we have

$$\Delta_\hbar(\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))) = \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) + \hbar[Y_q \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}].$$

Consider the ansatz $\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}$ with some degree-0 element $F_p^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that $\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]$. We rewrite the equality above as

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}\big) - \big(\big(\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}\big) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \big(\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}\big)\big) = \hbar[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}],$$

which equates to

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(F_p^{(0)}\big) - \big(F_p^{(0)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_p^{(0)}\big) = \tfrac{1}{2}[Y_p \otimes 1, 2\Omega_\mathfrak{h} - \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \big(g_p^{\alpha q} Y_q \otimes X_\alpha - g_p^{q\alpha} X_\alpha \otimes Y_q \big) = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} g_p^{\alpha q}(Y_q \otimes X_\alpha + X_\alpha \otimes Y_q).$$

Thus we find that $F_p^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_\alpha g_p^{\alpha q}(Y_q X_\alpha + X_\alpha Y_q) + cY_p = \frac{1}{4}[Y_q, C_X] - cY_p$ for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, which we can set to $c = 0$ without loss of generality (this is equivalent to the composition $\varkappa_{-c} \circ \varphi$ with the shift-automorphism $\varkappa_c \colon \mathcal{J}(x) \mapsto \mathcal{J}(x) + \hbar cx$ of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$) giving

$$\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[Y_p, C_X],$$

or in other words is there is a one-parameter family of embeddings that are equivalent to each other via the shift-automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.

It remains to verify that the coideal compatibility identities (5.1) and (5.2) hold, which imply that (7.16) is the unique co-action, and to show that φ is an algebra homomorphism, namely $\varphi([b_i, b_j]) = [\varphi(b_i), \varphi(b_j)]$ and $\Delta_\hbar([b_i, b_j]) = [\Delta_\hbar(b_i), \Delta_\hbar(b_j)]$ for all $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{\mathrm{tw}}$, which follow by a direct computation.

7.4 A proof of the uniqueness of the co-action of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$

Similarly as before, we need to show that the map $\Delta_\hbar$ given by (5.23) and (5.24) together with (5.25) is the unique map satisfying properties given by Definition 5.1 and property (4) of Definition 5.3. We first demonstrate an additional lemma that provides us with necessary identities.

Lemma 7.1. *The following identities hold in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$:*

$$[[x_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] = \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac})(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} - \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a), \tag{7.17}$$

$$\alpha_i^{jk}[[x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], [x_j \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]] = \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^a(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a), \tag{7.18}$$

$$6\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^a = \alpha_i^{jk}\sum_\pi \alpha_j^{\pi(c)r}\alpha_k^{\pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}{}^{\pi(a)} + \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}(\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}). \tag{7.19}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$[a \otimes b, c \otimes d] = [a, c] \otimes \{b, d\} + \{a, c\} \otimes [b, d].$$

For the first identity we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[[x_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] &= \alpha_i^{bj}\eta^{kc}[x_j \otimes x_b, x_k \otimes x_c] \\
&= \alpha_i^{bj}\eta^{kc}(\alpha_{jk}{}^a x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \alpha_{bc}{}^a\{x_k, x_j\} \otimes x_a) \\
&= \alpha_i^{bj}\eta^{kc}(\alpha_{jk}{}^a x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} - \alpha_{jk}{}^a\{x_c, x_b\} \otimes x_a) \qquad \text{by ren. } k, j \leftrightarrow c, b \\
&= \alpha_i^{bj}\alpha_j^{ca}(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} - \{x_c, x_b\} \otimes x_a).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second identity we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^{jk}[[x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], [x_j \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]] &= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_k^{br}\alpha_j^{cs}[x_r \otimes x_b, x_s \otimes x_c] \\
&= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cs}\alpha_k^{br}(\alpha_{rs}{}^a x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \alpha_{bc}{}^a\{x_s, x_r\} \otimes x_a) \\
&= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cs}\alpha_k^{br}\alpha_{rs}{}^a(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \{x_c, x_b\} \otimes x_a)
\end{aligned}$$

by renaming $b, c \leftrightarrow r, s$. The third identity is obtained using the following auxiliary identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^a &= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_k^{as}\alpha_{sr}{}^b + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab}, \\
\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^a &= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_j^{ar}\alpha_{sr}{}^c + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first auxiliary identity follows by multiple application of the Jacobi identity. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}(\alpha_k^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^a - \alpha_k^{as}\alpha_{sr}{}^b) &= \alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr}\alpha_{rk}{}^s\alpha_s^{ab} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_j^{cr} + \alpha_i^{jr}\alpha_j^{kc})\alpha_{rk}{}^s\alpha_s^{ab} \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{kr}\alpha_{rk}{}^s\alpha_s^{ab} = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second auxiliary identity follows by the $b \leftrightarrow c$ symmetry and renaming $j, s \leftrightarrow k, r$ in the first term on the right hand side. We also have that

$$\alpha_i^{jk} \sum_\pi \alpha_j^{\pi(c)r} \alpha_k^{\pi(b)s} \alpha_{sr}^{\pi(a)} = 2\alpha_i^{jk} \left(\alpha_j^{cr} \alpha_k^{bs} \alpha_{sr}^{\ a} + \alpha_j^{cr} \alpha_k^{as} \alpha_{sr}^{\ b} + \alpha_j^{ar} \alpha_k^{bs} \alpha_{sr}^{\ c} \right).$$

Hence taking a double sum of the auxiliary identities and adding $2\alpha_j^{cr} \alpha_k^{bs} \alpha_{sr}^{\ a}$ to both sides of the resulting equality reproduces (7.19) as required. $\blacksquare$

The degree-0 generators have a trivial Lie coideal structure, hence, by the same arguments as before,

$$\varDelta_\hbar(x_i) = \varphi(x_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i,$$

and $\varphi \colon x_i \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} \mapsto x_i \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the natural inclusion. For the degree-2 generators we have

$$\varDelta_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_i) + \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \hbar^2 W_i^{(0)},$$

with $W_i^{(0)}$ being a degree-0 element in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$, which can also be viewed as an element in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ via the natural inclusion. Coinvariance (5.2) implies

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))\big) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) + \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \hbar^2 W_i^{(0)}. \tag{7.20}$$

We also must have $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))|_{\hbar \to 0} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \alpha_i^{jk} [J(x_k), J(x_j)]$. Let $K_i^{(2)} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \alpha_i^{jk} [\mathcal{J}(x_k), \mathcal{J}(x_j)]$ and choose the ansatz $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = K_i^{(2)} + \hbar F_i^{(1)}$ with some degree-1 element $F_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$. (We do not need to consider degree-0 elements in the ansatz since all $x_i \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$.) We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\hbar\big(K_i^{(2)}\big) = {} & K_i^{(2)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes K_i^{(2)} \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_i), \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\hbar^2 \alpha_i^{jk}[[x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], [x_j \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]], \quad (7.21)
\end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by making use of the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^{jk}[\mathcal{J}(x_k) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_k), [x_j, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]] &= \alpha_i^{jk} \alpha_j^{ab} \alpha_{kb}^{\ \ c}(\mathcal{J}(x_c) \otimes x_a - x_a \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_c)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{jk}(\alpha_j^{ab}\alpha_{kb}^{\ \ c} - \alpha_k^{ab}\alpha_{jb}^{\ \ c})(\mathcal{J}(x_c) \otimes x_a - x_a \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_c)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{jk}\alpha_{kj}^{\ \ b}\alpha_b^{\ ac}(\mathcal{J}(x_c) \otimes x_a - x_a \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_c)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i^{\ ac}(\mathcal{J}(x_c) \otimes x_a - x_a \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_c)) = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_i), \Omega_\mathfrak{g}].
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the terms linear in $\hbar$ in (7.20) and (7.21) we find $F_i^{(1)} = \tfrac{1}{4}[\mathcal{J}(x_i), C_\mathfrak{g}]$. Note that

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(F_i^{(1)}\big) = F_i^{(1)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_i^{(1)} + \tfrac{1}{2}[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_i), \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[[x_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \Omega_\mathfrak{g}].$$

Lastly, by comparing the terms quadratic in $\hbar$ in (7.20) and using the expressions for $K_i^{(2)}$ and $F_i^{(1)}$ we find

$$4W_i^{(0)} = [[x_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{jk}[[x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], [x_j \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]].$$

as required.

The coideal co-associativity identity (5.1) in this case is not that straightforward, thus we demonstrate it explicitly. We need to show that the expression below equates to zero:

$$\big((\Delta_\hbar \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \varDelta_\hbar - (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varDelta_\hbar) \circ \varDelta_\hbar\big)(\mathcal{G}(x_i))$$

$$= \big(\Delta_\hbar(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)))\big) - \big(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) + \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]\big)\big) \otimes 1$$
$$+ \hbar^2\big((\Delta_\hbar \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(W_i^{(0)}\big) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_\hbar)\big(W_i^{(0)}\big) - 1 \otimes W_i^{(0)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{jk}([x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]) \otimes x_j\big).$$

Using the fact $\varphi(x_i) = x_i$ the relation above gives a constraint

$$(\Delta_\hbar \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(W_i^{(0)}\big) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_\hbar)\big(W_i^{(0)}\big) + W_i^{(0)} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes W_i^{(0)}$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{jk}([x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]) \otimes x_j = 0. \tag{7.22}$$

By Lemma (7.1) the explicit form of $W_i^{(0)}$ is equal to

$$W_i^{(0)} = \tfrac{1}{8}\big(\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}\big)(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} - \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{24}\Big(\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{jk}\sum_\pi \alpha_j^{\pi(c)r}\alpha_k^{\pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}^{\pi(a)} + \alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}\Big)$$
$$\times (x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a)$$
$$= \tfrac{1}{12}\big(\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}\big)(2x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} - \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{24}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{jk}\sum_\pi \alpha_j^{\pi(c)r}\alpha_k^{\pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}^{\pi(a)}(x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a). \tag{7.23}$$

Denote $W_i^{(0)} = h_i^{abc}x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\} + \overline{h}_i^{abc}\{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a$. Then (7.22) is equivalent to

$$4\overline{h}_i^{abc}x_c \otimes x_b \otimes x_a - 4h_i^{abc}x_a \otimes x_b \otimes x_c + \alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab}x_a \otimes x_b \otimes x_c = 0,$$

giving $4\overline{h}_i^{cba} - 4h_i^{abc} + \alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} = 0$. Using (7.23) we find

$$4\overline{h}_i^{cba} - 4h_i^{abc} + \alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} = -\tfrac{1}{3}\big(\alpha_i^{ja}\alpha_j^{cb} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ca}\big) - \tfrac{2}{3}\big(\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}\big) + \alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab}$$
$$= -\tfrac{1}{3}\big(\alpha_i^{ja}\alpha_j^{cb} + \alpha_i^{jb}\alpha_j^{ac}\big) + \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_i^{jc}\alpha_j^{ab} = 0$$

by the co-Jacobi identity. By reversing the computations above and using (5.2) one can deduce that co-action $\Delta_\hbar$ is the unique map satisfying the required properties up to the shift $(\varkappa_c \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_\hbar$, i.e., there exists a one-parameter family of co-actions that are equivalent to each other via the shift-automorphism $\varkappa_c$ of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.

It remains to verify that the map φ is an algebra homomorphism, $\varphi([b_i, b_j]) = [\varphi(b_i), \varphi(b_j)]$ and $\Delta_\hbar([b_i, b_j]) = [\Delta_\hbar(b_i), \Delta_\hbar(b_j)]$ for all $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$, which follow by a lengthy but direct computation.

7.5   A proof of relations (5.11) and (5.12)

Recall the horrific degree-2 and degree-3 relations of the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}\big(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta\big)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ from the Theorem 5.5:

$$[\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)] + \sum_\alpha (\overline{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_{pq}^\alpha w_\alpha^{rs}[\mathcal{B}(Y_r), \mathcal{B}(Y_s)] = \hbar^2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\},$$

$$[[\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)], \mathcal{B}(Y_r)] + 2\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\sum_\alpha (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{tu}w_{pq}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}^s[[\mathcal{B}(Y_s), \mathcal{B}(Y_t)], \mathcal{B}(Y_u)]$$
$$= \hbar^2 \sum_{\lambda,\mu,u} \Upsilon_{pqr}^{\lambda\mu u}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\},$$

where, by (5.13) and (5.14),

$$\Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \tfrac{1}{3}\Big(g^{\mu t}{}_p g^{\lambda u}{}_q + \sum_\alpha (\overline{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_{pq}^\alpha w_\alpha^{rs}g^{\mu t}{}_r g^{\lambda u}{}_s\Big)w_{tu}^\nu,$$

$$\Upsilon^{\lambda\mu u}_{pqr} = \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_\alpha \left(w_{st}{}^\alpha g_p{}^{\lambda s} g_q{}^{\mu t} g_{\alpha r}{}^u + \sum_\beta w_{pq}{}^\alpha f_\alpha{}^{\lambda\beta} g_r{}^{\mu s} g_{\beta s}{}^u \right)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha,\gamma} (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{vx} w_{pq}{}^\gamma g_{r\gamma}{}^y \left(w_{st}{}^\alpha g_y{}^{\lambda s} g_v{}^{\mu t} g_{\alpha x}{}^u + \sum_\beta w_{yv}{}^\alpha f_\alpha{}^{\lambda\beta} g_x{}^{\mu s} g_{\beta s}{}^u \right).$$

The coideal structure is given by the co-action $\varDelta_\hbar \colon \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}}$ defined in (5.15):

$$\varDelta_\hbar(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_\alpha, \qquad \varDelta_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p) + \hbar[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X],$$

where, by (5.16), $\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar[Y_p, C_X]$.

Denote the horrific degree-2 and degree-3 relations as

$$H^{(2)}_{pq} = \hbar^2 \Lambda^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{pq}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{(3)}_{pqr} = \hbar^2 \Upsilon^{\lambda\mu u}_{pqr}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\},$$

respectively. We need to show that co-action $\varDelta_\hbar$ extends to a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{\mathrm{tw}}$. This can be checked by a direct computation. We will demonstrate this in several steps.

Degree-2. Set $B_{pq} = [\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)]$. We have that

$$\varDelta_\hbar(B_{pq}) = \varphi(B_{pq}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_{pq} + \hbar[\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p), [Y_q \otimes 1, \Omega_X]]$$
$$+ \hbar[[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X], \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_q)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_q)] + \hbar^2[[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X], [Y_q \otimes 1, \Omega_X]].$$

The $\hbar$-order terms in the right hand side of the equality above equate to

$$\hbar\Big[\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar \sum_\alpha g_p{}^{\alpha r}(Y_r X_\alpha + X_\alpha Y_r) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p), \sum_\alpha g_q{}^{\alpha r} Y_r \otimes X_\alpha\Big] - (p \leftrightarrow q)$$
$$= \hbar \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_q{}^{\alpha r} w_{pr}{}^\beta \mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha + \hbar \sum_\mu g_q{}^{\mu t} g_{p\mu}{}^s Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_q{}^{\beta s}\Big(\sum_\gamma w_{rs}{}^\gamma\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} + g_{\alpha s}{}^t\{Y_r, Y_t\}\Big) \otimes X_\beta - (p \leftrightarrow q),$$

where $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ denote all the terms with indices p and q interchanged. In a similar way the $\hbar^2$-order term equates to

$$\hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_q{}^{\beta s}[Y_r \otimes X_\alpha, Y_s \otimes X_\beta]$$
$$= \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \big(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} - g_q{}^{\alpha s} g_p{}^{\beta t}\big)\big(w_{st}{}^\gamma X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\} + f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma \{Y_s, Y_t\} \otimes X_\gamma\big).$$

Using mixed Jacobi identities we write

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \big(g_q{}^{\alpha r} w_{pr}{}^\beta - g_p{}^{\alpha r} w_{qr}{}^\beta\big)\mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha,$$
$$\sum_\mu \big(g_q{}^{\mu t} g_{p\mu}{}^s - g_p{}^{\mu t} g_{q\mu}{}^s\big)Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s) = \sum_{\alpha,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu w_\mu{}^{st} Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s)$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \big(g_{\alpha s}{}^t\big(g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_q{}^{\beta s} - g_q{}^{\alpha r} g_p{}^{\beta s}\big)\{Y_r, Y_t\} \otimes X_\beta + \sum_\gamma f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma\big(g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_q{}^{\beta t} - g_q{}^{\alpha r} g_p{}^{\beta t}\big)\{Y_r, Y_t\} \otimes X_\gamma\big)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \big(g_p{}^{\alpha r}\big(g_{\alpha s}{}^t g_q{}^{\beta s} + \sum_\gamma f_{\alpha\gamma}{}^\beta g_q{}^{\gamma t}\big) - g_q{}^{\alpha r}\big(g_{\alpha s}{}^t g_p{}^{\beta s} + \sum_\gamma f_{\alpha\gamma}{}^\beta g_p{}^{\gamma t}\big)\big)\{Y_r, Y_t\} \otimes X_\beta$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \big(g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_s{}^{t\beta} g_{q\alpha}{}^s - g_q{}^{\alpha r} g_s{}^{t\beta} g_{p\alpha}{}^s\big)\{Y_r, Y_t\} \otimes X_\beta = \sum_{\alpha,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu w_\mu{}^{rs} g_s{}^{t\alpha}\{Y_r, Y_t\} \otimes X_\alpha.$$

Using the identity

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \left(w_{rs}{}^{\gamma} \left(g_p{}^{\alpha r} g_q{}^{\beta s} - g_q{}^{\alpha r} g_p{}^{\beta s} \right) \{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + w_{st}{}^{\gamma} \left(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} - g_q{}^{\alpha s} g_p{}^{\beta t} \right) X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}{}^{\gamma} \left(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + g_p{}^{\beta s} g_q{}^{\alpha t} \right) \left(\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\} \right)$$

and combing all the expressions above we arrive to the following result,

$$\Delta_\hbar(B_{pq}) = \varphi(B_{pq}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_{pq}$$
$$+ \hbar \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^{\mu} \left(w_\mu{}^{st} Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2} \hbar \sum_\alpha w_\mu{}^{su} g_u{}^{t\alpha} \{Y_s, Y_t\} \otimes X_\alpha \right)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}{}^{\gamma} \left(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + g_p{}^{\beta s} g_q{}^{\alpha t} \right) \left(\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\} \right) \qquad (7.24)$$

and, by similar computations,

$$\varphi(B_{pq}) = [\mathcal{J}(Y_p), \mathcal{J}(Y_q)] + \tfrac{1}{2} \hbar \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^{\mu} \left(w_\mu{}^{st} \{\mathcal{J}(Y_s), Y_t\} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \{\mathcal{J}(X_\beta), X_\alpha\} \right)$$
$$+ \tfrac{1}{4} \hbar^2 g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} [\{Y_s, X_\alpha\}, \{Y_t, X_\beta\}].$$

In a similar way as it was done in the proof of Lemma 7.1, the last line of (7.24) can be split as

$$\tfrac{1}{6} \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}{}^{\mu} f_\mu{}^{\lambda\gamma} f_\lambda{}^{\beta\alpha} \left(\{X_\gamma, X_\beta\} \otimes X_\alpha - 2 X_\alpha \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\gamma\} \right)$$
$$+ \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} w_{st}{}^{\gamma} \left(\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_2 \right). \qquad (7.25)$$

Here we have used the same notation as in (5.3). The terms in the second line of (7.24) and in the first line of (7.25) do not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pq}^{(2)}\big)$, since $w_{pq}{}^{\mu} + \sum_\alpha (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} w_\alpha{}^{rs} w_{rs}{}^{\mu} = 0$. What remains are the terms in the second line of (7.25) giving

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pq}^{(2)}\big) = \varphi\big(H_{pq}^{(2)}\big) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_{pq}^{(2)} + \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \left(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + \sum_\lambda (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\lambda)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^{\lambda} w_\lambda{}^{us} g_u{}^{\alpha s} g_s{}^{\beta t} \right)$$
$$\times w_{st}{}^{\gamma} \left(\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_2 \right). \qquad (7.26)$$

By (5.4) we have

$$\Delta_\hbar(\{X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\}) - \big(\{X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \{X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\}\big)$$
$$= 3 \big(\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle_2 \big). \qquad (7.27)$$

Comparing (7.26) with (7.27) gives (5.13), as required.

Degree-3. Set $B_{pqr} = [B_{pq}, \mathcal{B}(Y_r)]$. We write

$$\Delta_\hbar(B_{pqr}) = \varphi(B_{pqr}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_{pqr} + \hbar B_{pqr}^{(2)} + \hbar^2 B_{pqr}^{(1)} + \hbar^3 B_{pqr}^{(0)}, \qquad (7.28)$$

where $B_{pqr}^{(i)}$ denote elements of degree-i. By consistency, the elements of degree-2 in $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pqr}^{(3)}\big)$ must vanish altogether, since they are of a linear order in $\hbar$. This can be shown explicitly. We have

$$B_{pqr}^{(2)} = \sum_\alpha [[\mathcal{J}(Y_p), \mathcal{J}(Y_q)] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)], g_r{}^{\alpha t} Y_t \otimes X_\alpha]$$
$$+ \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^{\mu} \left[w_\mu{}^{st} Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha, \mathcal{J}(X_r) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_r) \right].$$

Denote this expression as $B_{pqr}^{(2)} = B_{pqr}^{(2,0)} + B_{pqr}^{(0,2)} + B_{pqr}^{(1,1)}$, where $B_{pqr}^{(i,j)}$ represents elements of degree-i in the left tensor factor and of degree-j in the right tensor factor. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{pqr}^{(2,0)} &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_r{}^{\alpha t}\big(w_{pt}{}^{\beta}[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(Y_q)] + w_{qt}{}^{\beta}[\mathcal{J}(Y_p),\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)]\big) \otimes X_\alpha \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\mu} w_{pq}{}^{\mu} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(Y_r)] \otimes X_\alpha \\
&= 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\Big(g_r{}^{\alpha t}(w_{pt}{}^{\beta} g_{\beta q}{}^{s} - w_{qt}{}^{\beta} g_{\beta p}{}^{s}) + \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^{\mu} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta r}{}^{s}\Big)\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} g_s{}^{\gamma u}[\mathcal{J}(Y_u),\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma)] \otimes X_\alpha \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\Big(g_r{}^{\alpha t}(w_{pt}{}^{\beta} g_q{}^{\gamma u} - w_{qt}{}^{\beta} g_p{}^{\gamma u}) + \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^{\mu} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} g_r{}^{\gamma u}\Big) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{ijk} \mathcal{A}_{\beta u\gamma}^{ijk}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\} \otimes X_\alpha \\
&= 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{pq}{}^{\beta} g_{\beta r}{}^{t} g_t{}^{\alpha s} g_s{}^{\gamma u} \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}[\mathcal{J}(Y_u),\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma)] \otimes X_\alpha + \mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.29}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2)$ is a short–hand notation for the terms in the third line, and we have used the mixed Jacobi identities and the Drinfeld terrific relation (5.6) in the form

$$
[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(X_q)] + 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} g_{\beta q}{}^{s} g_s{}^{\gamma u}[\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma),\mathcal{J}(Y_u)] = \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\sum_\gamma\sum_{ijk} g_q{}^{\gamma u}\beta_{\beta u\gamma}^{ijk}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\}.
$$

Here the sum $\sum_i x_i$ spans all of the symmetric space basis, $x_i = \{Y_p, X_\alpha\}$; the same applies to x_j and x_k. In a similar way we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{pqr}^{(0,2)} &= \sum_\alpha g_r{}^{\alpha t} Y_t \otimes \big(g_{p\alpha}{}^{u}[\mathcal{B}(Y_u),\mathcal{B}(Y_q)] + g_{q\alpha}{}^{u}[\mathcal{B}(Y_p),\mathcal{B}(Y_u)]\big) \\
&\quad + \sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} w_\alpha{}^{st} Y_t \otimes [\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(X_r)] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\Big(g_r{}^{\alpha t}(g_{p\alpha}{}^{u} w_{uq}{}^{\beta} - g_{q\alpha}{}^{u} w_{up}{}^{\beta}) + w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} w_\alpha{}^{st} w_{sr}{}^{\beta}\Big)(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1} w_\beta{}^{vs} Y_t \otimes [\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(Y_v)] \\
&\quad + \hbar^2\sum_{\alpha,\lambda,\mu,\nu}\Big(g_r{}^{\alpha t}(g_{p\alpha}{}^{u}\Lambda_{uq}^{\lambda\mu\nu} - g_{q\alpha}{}^{u}\Lambda_{up}^{\lambda\mu\nu}) + w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} w_\alpha{}^{st}\Lambda_{sr}^{\lambda\mu\nu}\Big) Y_t \otimes \{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha r}{}^{t} g_t{}^{\beta t} w_\beta{}^{vs}(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1} Y_t \otimes [\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(Y_v)] + \mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.30}
$$

where we have used the mixed Jacobi identities and (5.11). The notation for $\mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2)$ is the same as before. The remaining elements give

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{pqr}^{(1,1)} &= \sum_{\mu,\beta} w_{pq}{}^{\mu}\big(w_\mu{}^{st} w_{tr}{}^{\beta} + \sum_\alpha f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha r}{}^{s}\big)\mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha r}{}^{t} g_t{}^{s\beta}\mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s).
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.31}
$$

Now it is easy to check that leading terms in (7.29)–(7.31) do not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pqr}^{(3)}\big)$, since (by (2.10))

$$
\sum_\beta w_{pq}{}^{\beta} g_{\beta r}{}^{t} + 2\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}})^{uv} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{r\alpha}{}^{s} w_{su}{}^{\beta} g_{\beta v}{}^{t} = 0.
\tag{7.32}
$$

Next step is to consider the degree-1 term in (7.28). We write $B_{pqr}^{(1)} = B_{pqr}^{(1,0)} + B_{pqr}^{(0,1)}$. Using (7.24), (7.25) and (5.16) we find

$$
B_{pqr}^{(1,0)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\mu} w_{pq}{}^{\mu}\Big(\sum_{\beta,\gamma} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} g_r{}^{\gamma s}[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha, 2Y_s \otimes X_\gamma + \{Y_s, X_\gamma\} \otimes 1]
$$

$$+ w_\mu^{su} g_u^{t\alpha} [\{Y_s, Y_t\}, \mathcal{J}(Y_r)] \otimes X_\alpha\Big)$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma,\mu} w_{pq}^{\ \mu} g_r^{\gamma u} \Big(w_\mu^{st} [\{\mathcal{J}(Y_s), Y_t\}, Y_u] + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu^{\ \alpha\beta} [\{\mathcal{J}(X_\beta), X_\alpha\}, Y_u] \Big) \otimes X_\gamma$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}^{\ \gamma} \big(g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} \big) [\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\}, \mathcal{J}(Y_r) \otimes 1]$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}^{\ \mu} \Big(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_r^{\beta s} (2 f_\mu^{\ \alpha\lambda} f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \gamma} + f_\mu^{\ \gamma\alpha} f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \lambda}) + w_{tr}^{\ \lambda} \big(w_\mu^{tu} g_u^{s\gamma} + w_\mu^{su} g_u^{t\gamma} \big)$$

$$+ g_r^{\gamma u} \big(w_\mu^{ts} w_{tu}^{\ \lambda} + \sum_\alpha f_\mu^{\ \alpha\lambda} g_{\alpha u}^{\ \ s} \big) \Big) \{Y_s, J(X_\lambda)\} \otimes X_\gamma$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu} \big(w_{pq}^{\ \mu} f_\mu^{\ \alpha\beta} g_r^{\gamma s} g_{\beta s}^{\ \ u} + w_{st}^{\ \beta} g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\gamma t} g_{\beta r}^{\ \ u} \big)$$

$$\times (2\mathcal{J}(Y_u) \otimes \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} + \{X_\alpha, \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\} \otimes X_\gamma + \{X_\gamma, \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\} \otimes X_\alpha)$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{ts}^{\ \gamma} \big(w_{pq}^{\ \beta} w_\beta^{ut} g_r^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}^{\ \ u} \big(g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} + g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} \big) \big) \{X_\gamma, \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\} \otimes X_\alpha. \qquad (7.33)$$

The first sum in the last equality can be simplified using the mixed Jacobi identities, giving

$$\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}^{\ \mu} g_{\mu r}^{\ \ t} \big(g_t^{u\lambda} g_u^{\gamma s} + \sum_\alpha g_t^{\alpha s} f_\alpha^{\ \gamma\lambda} \big) \{Y_s, J(X_\lambda)\} \otimes X_\gamma.$$

This component does not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pqr}^{(3)}\big)$ due to (7.32). The second degree-1 element, $B_{pqr}^{(0,1)}$, is

$$B_{pqr}^{(0,1)} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}^{\ \beta} \Big(w_\beta^{st} g_r^{\alpha u} [Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s), 2 Y_u \otimes X_\alpha + \{Y_u, X_\alpha\} \otimes 1]$$

$$+ w_\beta^{su} g_u^{t\alpha} [\{Y_s, Y_t\} \otimes [X_\alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_r)]] \Big)$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}^{\ \gamma} \big(g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} \big) [\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\}, 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_r)]$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}^{\ \beta} \big(2 w_\beta^{st} g_r^{\alpha u} g_{s\alpha}^{\ \ v} + w_\beta^{vs} g_r^{\alpha u} g_{s\alpha}^{\ \ t} + w_\beta^{ts} g_s^{u\alpha} g_{\alpha r}^{\ \ v} \big) \{Y_t, Y_u\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_v)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{ts}^{\ \gamma} \big(w_{pq}^{\ \beta} w_\beta^{ut} g_r^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}^{\ \ u} \big(g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} \big) \big)$$

$$\times \Big(X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \tfrac{1}{2} \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_u) \Big). \qquad (7.34)$$

The first sum in the last equality above can be simplified to $\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}^{\ \beta} g_{r\beta}^{\ \ s} g_s^{\alpha t} w_\alpha^{uv} \{Y_t, Y_u\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_v)$, and does contribute to $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pqr}^{(3)}\big)$ due to (7.32). Set

$$A_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta,\mu} \big(w_{pq}^{\ \mu} f_\mu^{\ \alpha\beta} g_r^{\gamma s} g_{\beta s}^{\ \ u} + w_{st}^{\ \beta} g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\gamma t} g_{\beta r}^{\ \ u} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma) \big),$$

$$B_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_\beta w_{ts}^{\ \gamma} \big(w_{pq}^{\ \beta} w_\beta^{ut} g_r^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}^{\ \ u} \big(g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} \big) \big).$$

Using the mixed Jacobi identities (2.6) we find that

$$\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} = \Big(A_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} + 2\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \sum_\mu (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{tu} x w_{pq}^{\ \mu} g_{r\mu}^{\ \ s} A_{stu}^{\alpha\gamma u} = B_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} + 2\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \sum_\mu (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{tu} w_{pq}^{\ \mu} g_{r\mu}^{\ \ s} B_{stu}^{\alpha\gamma u} \Big)$$

satisfying $\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} = \Upsilon_{pqr}^{\gamma\alpha u}$. The contribution of the remaining sums in (7.33) and (7.34) to $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{pqr}^{(3)}\big)$ is

$$\sum_{\alpha,\gamma} \Upsilon_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} \big(\mathcal{J}(Y_u) \otimes \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} + 2\{X_\alpha, \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\} \otimes X_\gamma$$

$$+ 2 X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_u) \big). \qquad (7.35)$$

Using (5.4) and (5.3) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\hbar(\{X_\alpha, X_\gamma, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}) &- (\varphi(\{X_\alpha, X_\gamma, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \mathcal{O}_3(\hbar)) \\
&= \mathcal{J}(Y_u) \otimes \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} + \{X_\alpha, X_\gamma\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_u) \\
&\quad + (\{\mathcal{J}(Y_u), X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\gamma + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\alpha, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_3(\hbar)$ denotes linear in $\hbar$ terms that appear due to (5.16). Comparing the relation above with (7.35) and using symmetry $\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\alpha\gamma u} = \Upsilon_{pqr}^{\gamma\alpha u}$ gives (5.14), as required. The remaining elements in $\Delta_\hbar(H_{pqr}^{(3)})$, that we have denoted by $\hbar^3 B_{pqr}^{(0)}$, are cubic in $\hbar$ and, by summing with $\hbar\mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2)$ of (7.29) and $\hbar\mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2)$ of (7.30), and repeating similar steps as we did above, give precisely the element $\hbar^2\mathcal{O}_3(\hbar)$, thus the relations (5.11) and (5.12) are compatible with the co-action (5.15).

7.6 An outline of a proof of relations (5.18)–(5.22)

The following lemma, which follows by a direct computation, will be necessary in what follows below.

Lemma 7.2. *In any associative algebra generated by elements $x_{a(b,c,\dots)}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ the following identities hold:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{x_i, \{x_j, x_k\}\} = \{x_i, x_j, x_k\} + \tfrac{1}{12}[x_{(j}, [x_{k)}, x_i]], \\
&\{x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\} = \tfrac{1}{3}\{x_j, x_{(k}, \{x_l, x_{m)}\}\} - \tfrac{1}{36}[[x_j, x_{(k}], \{x_l, x_{m)}\}], \\
&\{1 \otimes x_i, 1 \otimes x_j, x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\}\} = x_a \otimes \{x_i, x_j, \{x_b, x_c\}\}, \\
&\{x_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_j, x_a \otimes \{x_b, x_c\}\} = \{x_i, x_a\} \otimes \{x_j, \{x_b, x_c\}\} - \tfrac{1}{12}[x_a, x_i] \otimes [x_j, \{x_b, x_c\}], \\
&\{x_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_j, \{x_b, x_c\} \otimes x_a\} = \{x_i, \{x_b, x_c\}\} \otimes \{x_j, x_a\} - \tfrac{1}{12}[\{x_b, x_c\}, x_i] \otimes [x_j, x_a].
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the horrific degree-4 relation (5.18) of the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$ in Theorem 5.6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{G}(x_a), \mathcal{G}([x_b, x_c])] &+ [\mathcal{G}(x_b), \mathcal{G}([x_c, x_a])] + [\mathcal{G}(x_c), \mathcal{G}([x_a, x_b])] = \hbar^2 \Psi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{G}(x_k)\} \\
&+ \hbar^4(\Phi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j, x_k\} + \overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm}\{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where, by (5.19)–(5.21),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{abc}^{ijk} = \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \alpha_{c)r}{}^k \overline{h}_d^{rij} - \alpha_{dr}{}^k \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \overline{h}_{c)}^{rij}, \qquad \overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm} = \tfrac{1}{5}\left(\alpha_{rs}{}^i \alpha_{(ab}{}^d h_{c)}^{rjk} h_d^{slm} - \Psi_{abc}^{jkr} h_r^{ilm}\right), \\
&\Phi_{abc}^{ijk} = \tfrac{1}{9}\left(\alpha_{(ab}{}^d W_{c)d}^{ijk} + \tfrac{1}{6}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ix(yzj))} \alpha_{xy}{}^r \alpha_{rz}{}^k - \left(\Psi_{abc}^{xjy} \overline{h}_y^{kzr} \alpha_{zx}{}^s \alpha_{rs}{}^i + \Psi_{abc}^{xyz} h_z^{rsk} \alpha_{rx}{}^i \alpha_{ys}{}^j\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{cd}^{ijk} &= \alpha_{rs}{}^i h_c^{rxy}\left(h_d^{szk} \alpha_{xt}{}^j \alpha_{yz}{}^t + h_d^{szt} \alpha_{xt}{}^k \alpha_{yz}{}^j\right) \\
&\quad + \left((\overline{h}_c^{xyz} h_d^{efk} - \overline{h}_d^{xyz} h_c^{efk}) \alpha_{ye}{}^t \alpha_{zt}{}^i \alpha_{xf}{}^j + \overline{h}_c^{jxy} \overline{h}_d^{kzr} \alpha_{xr}{}^s\left(\alpha_{zy}{}^t \alpha_{st}{}^i + \alpha_{sy}{}^t \alpha_{zt}{}^i\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&h_a^{bcd} = \phi_a^{bcd} + 2\psi_a^{bcd}, \qquad \overline{h}_a^{bcd} = \phi_a^{bcd} - \psi_a^{bcd}, \qquad \psi_a^{bcd} = \tfrac{1}{12}\left(\alpha_a^{jd} \alpha_j^{bc} + \alpha_a^{jc} \alpha_j^{bd}\right), \\
&\phi_a^{bcd} = \tfrac{1}{24}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \sum_\pi \left(\alpha_a^{jk} \alpha_j^{\pi(d)r} \alpha_k^{\pi(b)s} \alpha_{sr}^{\pi(c)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the degree-4 relation as

$$
H_{abc}^{(4)} = \hbar^2 \Psi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{G}(x_k)\} + \hbar^4\left(\Phi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j, x_k\} + \overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm}\{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\}\right). \tag{7.36}
$$

The coefficients Ψ_{abc}^{ijk}, Φ_{abc}^{ijk}, $\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm} \in \mathbb{C}$ must be designed to make $\Delta_\hbar$ extend to a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{tw}}$. We will find these coefficients by acting with $\Delta_\hbar$ on (7.36) and equating the numeric factors of some elements on both sides of the resulting expression. These elements are

$$\{x_i, x_j\} \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_k), \qquad x_i \otimes \{x_j, x_k\}, \qquad x_i \otimes \{x_j, x_k, \{x_l, x_m\}\}.$$

All the remaining elements will be referred as the unwanted terms (UWT). Denote $G_{ad} = [\mathcal{G}(x_a), \mathcal{G}(x_d)]$. Then $H_{abc}^{(4)} = \alpha_{(ab}{}^d G_{c)d}$. Using (5.24) we write

$$\Delta_\hbar(G_{cd}) = \varphi(G_{cd}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes G_{cd} + \hbar G_{cd}^{(3)} + \hbar^2 G_{cd}^{(2)} + \hbar^3 G_{cd}^{(1)} + \hbar^4 G_{cd}^{(0)},$$

where $G_{cd}^{(i)}$ denote elements of degree-i and can be computed explicitly using (5.25) and (5.26). For our purpose we need to consider certain elements of $G_{cd}^{(2)}$ and $G_{cd}^{(0)}$ only. For $G_{cd}^{(2)}$ we have

$$G_{cd}^{(2)} = (\alpha_{cr}{}^k \overline{h}_d^{rij} - \alpha_{dr}{}^k \overline{h}_c^{rij})\{x_i, x_j\} \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_k) + \mathrm{UWT}, \tag{7.37}$$

and for $G_{cd}^{(0)}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{cd}^{(0)} = {}& \alpha_{rs}{}^i h_c^{rjk} h_d^{slm} x_i \otimes \{\{x_j, x_k\}, \{x_l, x_m\}\} + \overline{h}_c^{jln} \overline{h}_d^{krs} [\{x_l, x_n\}, \{x_r, x_s\}] \otimes \{x_j, x_k\} \\
& + (\overline{h}_c^{trs} h_d^{lmn} - \overline{h}_d^{trs} h_c^{lmn})\{x_l, \{x_r, x_s\}\} \otimes [x_t, \{x_m, x_n\}] + \mathrm{UWT}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7.2 and symmetries $h_c^{rjk} = h_c^{rkj}$, $\overline{h}_c^{rjk} = \overline{h}_c^{rkj}$ we reduce the expression above to

$$G_{cd}^{(0)} = \alpha_{rs}{}^i h_c^{rjk} h_d^{slm} x_i \otimes \{x_j, x_k, \{x_l, x_m\}\} + \tfrac{1}{3} W_{cd}^{ijk} x_i \otimes \{x_j, x_k\} + \mathrm{UWT}, \tag{7.38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{cd}^{ijk} = {}& \alpha_{rs}{}^i h_c^{rxy}\big(h_d^{szk} \alpha_{xt}{}^j \alpha_{yz}{}^t + h_d^{szt} \alpha_{xt}{}^k \alpha_{yz}{}^j\big) \\
& + \Big((\overline{h}_c^{xyz} h_d^{efk} - \overline{h}_d^{xyz} h_c^{efk})\alpha_{ye}{}^t \alpha_{zt}{}^i \alpha_{xf}{}^j + \overline{h}_c^{jxy} \overline{h}_d^{kzr} \alpha_{xr}{}^s\big(\alpha_{zy}{}^t \alpha_{st}{}^i + \alpha_{sy}{}^t \alpha_{zt}{}^i\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Next step is to find the corresponding elements in the rhs of $\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{abc}^{(4)}\big)$. Using (5.26), (5.4) and Lemma 7.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{G}(x_k)\}) = {}& \{x_i, x_j\} \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_k) + \hbar^2 h_k^{abc} x_a \otimes \{x_i, x_j, \{x_b, x_c\}\} \\
& + \tfrac{1}{6}\hbar^2 \overline{h}_k^{abc}\big(\alpha_{bi}{}^r \alpha_{cr}{}^s x_s \otimes \{x_j, x_a\} + \alpha_{bj}{}^r \alpha_{cr}{}^s x_s \otimes \{x_i, x_a\}\big) \\
& - \tfrac{1}{6}\hbar^2 h_k^{abc}(\alpha_{ai}{}^r \alpha_{jb}{}^s + \alpha_{aj}{}^r \alpha_{ib}{}^s)x_r \otimes \{x_s, x_c\} + \mathrm{UWT}, \\
\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i, x_j, x_k\}) = {}& x_{(i} \otimes \{x_j, x_{k)}\} + \mathrm{UWT}, \\
\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\}) = {}& \tfrac{1}{3} x_{(i} \otimes \{x_j, x_{(k}, \{x_l, x_{m))}\}\} \\
& - \tfrac{1}{36} x_{(i} \otimes [[x_j, x_{(k}], \{x_l, x_{m))}\}] + \mathrm{UWT}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\hbar\big(H_{abc}^{(4)}\big) = {}& \hbar^2 \Psi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j\} \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_k) + \hbar^4 \Big(\tfrac{1}{3}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ij(klm))} + \Psi_{abc}^{jkr} h_r^{ilm}\Big) x_i \otimes \{x_j, x_k, \{x_l, x_m\}\} \\
& + \hbar^4 \Big(\Phi_{abc}^{(ijk)} - \tfrac{1}{36}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ix(y(zj)))}\Big)\alpha_{xy}{}^r \alpha_{rz}{}^k + \tfrac{1}{6}\big(\Psi_{abc}^{(xj)y} \overline{h}_y^{kzr} \alpha_{zx}{}^s \alpha_{rs}{}^i + \Psi_{abc}^{(xy)z} h_z^{rsk} \alpha_{rx}{}^i \alpha_{ys}{}^j\big)\Big) x_i \\
& \otimes \{x_j, x_k\} + \mathrm{UWT}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by substituting (7.37) and (7.38) into $\Delta_\hbar(\alpha_{(ab}{}^d G_{c)d}) = \Delta_\hbar\big(H_{abc}^{(4)}\big)$ and comparing the elements on the both sides of the resulting equality we find

$$\Psi_{abc}^{ijk} = \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \alpha_{c)r}{}^k \overline{h}_d^{rij} - \alpha_{dr}{}^k \alpha_{(ab}{}^d \overline{h}_{c)}^{rij},$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ij(klm))} = 3\big(\alpha_{rs}{}^i \alpha_{(ab}{}^d h_{c)}^{rjk} h_d^{slm} - \Psi_{abc}^{jkr} h_r^{ilm}\big),$$

$$\Phi_{abc}^{(ijk)} = \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_{(ab}{}^d W_{c)d}^{ijk} + \tfrac{1}{18}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ix(yzj))} \alpha_{xy}{}^r \alpha_{rz}{}^k - \tfrac{1}{3}\big(\Psi_{abc}^{xjy} \overline{h}_y^{kzr} \alpha_{zx}{}^s \alpha_{rs}{}^i + \Psi_{abc}^{xyz} h_z^{rsk} \alpha_{rx}{}^i \alpha_{ys}{}^j\big),$$

where in the last expression we used the symmetries $\Psi_{abc}^{ijk} = \Psi_{abc}^{jik}$ and $\Phi_{abc}^{(ij(klm))} = \Phi_{abc}^{(ij(kml))}$. Finally, using

$$\tfrac{1}{3}\Phi_{abc}^{(ijk)}\{x_i, x_j, x_k\} = \Phi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i, x_j, x_k\},$$

$$\tfrac{1}{15}\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ij(klm))}\{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\} = \Phi_{abc}^{ijklm}\{x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l, x_m\},$$

we obtain (5.19), (5.20) and (5.21). To complete the proof it would require to compute all elements in $\Delta_\hbar(\alpha_{(ab}{}^d G_{c)d}) = \Delta_\hbar\big(H_{abc}^{(4)}\big)$ as we did in Section 7.5 above. Here we will not attempt to reach this goal. An important question is whether the coefficients Ψ_{abc}^{ijk}, Φ_{abc}^{ijk} and $\overline{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm}$ can be written in an elegant and compact form. We leave these goals as open questions for a further study.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Araki S., On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces, *J. Math. Osaka City Univ.* **13** (1962), 1–34.

[2] Arnaudon D., Avan J., Crampé N., Doikou A., Frappat L., Ragoucy E., General boundary conditions for the $sl(N)$ and $sl(M|N)$ open spin chains, *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* **2004** (2004), P08005, 35 pages, math-ph/0406021.

[3] Baseilhac P., Deformed Dolan–Grady relations in quantum integrable models, *Nuclear Phys. B* **709** (2005), 491–521, hep-th/0404149.

[4] Baseilhac P., An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras, *Nuclear Phys. B* **705** (2005), 605–619, math-ph/0408025.

[5] Baseilhac P., Belliard S., Generalized q-Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **93** (2010), 213–228, arXiv:0906.1215.

[6] Baseilhac P., Belliard S., The central extension of the reflection equations and an analog of Miki's formula, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011), 415205, 13 pages, arXiv:1104.1591.

[7] Baseilhac P., Belliard S., The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager's approach, *Nuclear Phys. B* **873** (2013), 550–584, arXiv:1211.6304.

[8] Baxter R.J., Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, *Ann. Physics* **70** (1972), 193–228.

[9] Baxter R.J., Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, Academic Press, Inc., London, 1982.

[10] Belliard S., Crampé N., Coideal algebras from twisted Manin triples, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), 2009–2023, arXiv:1202.2312.

[11] Belliard S., Fomin V., Generalized q-Onsager algebras and dynamical K-matrices, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012), 025201, 17 pages, arXiv:1106.1317.

[12] Bonneau P., Flato M., Gerstenhaber M., Pinczon G., The hidden group structure of quantum groups: strong duality, rigidity and preferred deformations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), 125–156.

[13] Chari V., Pressley A., A guide to quantum groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[14] Chen H., Guay N., Ma X., Twisted Yangians, twisted quantum loop algebras and affine Hecke algebras of type BC, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), 2517–2574.

[15] Cherednik I.V., Factorizing particles on a half line, and root systems, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **61** (1984), 977–983.

[16] Delius G.W., MacKay N.J., Short B.J., Boundary remnant of Yangian symmetry and the structure of rational reflection matrices, *Phys. Lett. B* **522** (2001), 335–344, hep-th/0109115.

[17] Drinfeld V.G., Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **32** (1985), 254–258.

[18] Drinfeld V.G., Quantum groups, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vols. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 798–820.

[19] Drinfeld V.G., On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, in Quantum Groups (Leningrad, 1990), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin, 1992, 1–8.

[20] Etingof P., Kazhdan D., Quantization of Lie bialgebras. I, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **2** (1996), 1–41, q-alg/9506005.

[21] Etingof P., Kazhdan D., Quantization of Poisson algebraic groups and Poisson homogeneous spaces, in Symétries Quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, 935–946, q-alg/9510020.

[22] Faddeev L.D., Reshetikhin N.Yu., Integrability of the principal chiral field model in $1 + 1$ dimension, *Ann. Physics* **167** (1986), 227–256.

[23] Faddeev L.D., Takhtadzhyan L.A., Spectrum and scattering of excitations in the one-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model, *J. Sov. Math.* **24** (1984), 241–267.

[24] Guay N., Regelskis V., Twisted Yangians for symmetric pairs of types B, C, D, *Math. Z.* **284** (2016), 131–166, arXiv:1407.5247.

[25] Guay N., Regelskis V., Wendlandt C., Twisted Yangians of small rank, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), 041703, 28 pages, arXiv:1602.01418.

[26] Guay N., Regelskis V., Wendlandt C., Representations of twisted Yangians of types B, C, D: I, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, to appear, arXiv:1605.06733.

[27] Helgason S., Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 80, Academic Press, Inc., New York – London, 1978.

[28] Kolb S., Quantum symmetric Kac–Moody pairs, *Adv. Math.* **267** (2014), 395–469, arXiv:1207.6036.

[29] Koornwinder T.H., Askey–Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the SU(2) quantum group, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24** (1993), 795–813.

[30] Letzter G., Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs, in New Directions in Hopf Algebras, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, Vol. 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, 117–165, math.QA/0103228.

[31] Levendorskiĭ S.Z., On PBW bases for Yangians, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **27** (1993), 37–42.

[32] MacKay N.J., Rational K-matrices and representations of twisted Yangians, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** (2002), 7865–7876, math.QA/0205155.

[33] MacKay N.J., Introduction to Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **20** (2005), 7189–7217, hep-th/0409183.

[34] MacKay N., Regelskis V., Yangian symmetry of the $Y = 0$ maximal giant graviton, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2010** (2010), no. 12, 076, 17 pages, arXiv:1010.3761.

[35] MacKay N., Regelskis V., Achiral boundaries and the twisted Yangian of the D5-brane, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011** (2011), no. 8, 019, 22 pages, arXiv:1105.4128.

[36] Molev A.I., Representations of twisted Yangians, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **26** (1992), 211–218.

[37] Molev A.I., Finite-dimensional irreducible representations of twisted Yangians, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), 5559–5600, q-alg/9711022.

[38] Molev A.I., Nazarov M.L., Olshanskii G.I., Yangians and classical Lie algebras, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **51** (1996), 205–282, hep-th/9409025.

[39] Molev A.I., Ragoucy E., Representations of reflection algebras, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002), 317–342, math.QA/0107213.

[40] Molev A.I., Ragoucy E., Sorba P., Coideal subalgebras in quantum affine algebras, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **15** (2003), 789–822, math.QA/0208140.

[41] Ohayon J., Quantization of coisotropic subalgebras in complex semisimple Lie algebras, arXiv:1005.1371.

[42] Olshanskii G.I., Twisted Yangians and infinite-dimensional classical Lie algebras, in Quantum Groups (Leningrad, 1990), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin, 1992, 104–119.

[43] Regelskis V., Reflection algebras for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$, arXiv:1206.6498.

[44] Reshetikhin N.Yu., Takhtadzhyan L.A., Faddeev L.D., Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 193–225.

[45] Sklyanin E.K., Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** (1988), 2375–2389.

[46] Sklyanin E.K., Takhtadzhyan L.A., Faddeev L.D., Quantum inverse problem method. I, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **40** (1979), 688–706.

[47] Yang C.N., Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967), 1312–1315.

[48] Zambon M., A construction for coisotropic subalgebras of Lie bialgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011), 411–419, arXiv:0810.5160.