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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. In this paper we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the positivity of a block matrix on the Hilbert $C^{*}$-module $\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}$. If $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ are two Krein $C^{*}$-modules, we study the $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positivity of $2 \times 2$ block matrix


$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & X \\
X^{\sharp} & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

on the Krein $C^{*}$-module $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}=J_{1} \oplus J_{2}\right)$, where $X^{\sharp}=J_{2} X^{*} J_{1}$ is the $\left(J_{2}, J_{1}\right)$-adjoint of the operator $X$. We prove that if $A$ is $J_{1}$-selfadjoint and $B$ is $J_{2}$-selfadjoint and $A$ is invertible, then the operator $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive if and only if $A \geq^{J_{1}} 0, B \geq^{J_{2}} 0$ and $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X \leq^{J_{2}} B$. We also present more equivalent conditions for the $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positivity of this operator.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces by allowing the inner product to take values in a $C^{*}$-algebra rather than in the field of complex numbers. The theory of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules has applications in the study of locally compact quantum groups, non-commutative geometry and KK-theory. Actually Hilbert $C^{*}$ modules can be considered as a 'quantization' of the Hilbert space theory; see e.g. [10].
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. A complex linear space $\mathscr{H}$ is said to be an inner product $\mathcal{A}$-module if $\mathscr{H}$ is a right $\mathcal{A}$-module together with a $C^{*}$-valued map $(x, y) \mapsto\langle x, y\rangle$ : $\mathscr{H} \times \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that
(i) $\langle x, \alpha y+\beta z\rangle=\alpha\langle x, y\rangle+\beta\langle x, z\rangle(x, y, z \in \mathscr{H}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C})$;
(ii) $\langle x, y a\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle a(x, y \in \mathscr{H}, a \in \mathcal{A})$;
(iii) $\langle y, x\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle^{*}(x, y \in \mathscr{H})$;
(iv) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ and if $\langle x, x\rangle=0$, then $x=0(x \in \mathscr{H})$.

An inner product $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathscr{H}$ which is complete with respect to the induced norm $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}(x \in \mathscr{H})$ is called a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module or a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over
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$\mathcal{A}$. Every Hilbert space is a Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$-module.
Suppose that $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ are two Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules. We denote by $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ the set of all bounded linear operators $T: \mathscr{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{2}$ which are adjointable in the sense that there is a map $T^{*}: \mathscr{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{1}$ such that

$$
\langle T x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle \quad\left(x \in \mathscr{H}_{1}, y \in \mathscr{H}_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $(\mathscr{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module. Then $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H}):=\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H})$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra with the identity operator $I_{\mathscr{H}}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ is called selfadjoint if $T^{*}=T$ and is positive if $\langle T x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$. We denote by $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the unique positive square root of $T$. If $T$ is a positive invertible operator we write $T>0$. For selfadjoint operators $T$ and $S$ on $\mathscr{H}$, we say $T \leq S$ if $S-T \geq 0$. A selfadjoint idempotent operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ is called a projection.
Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules. The operator $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is called a contraction if $T^{*} T \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}}$ and is called an isometry if $T^{*} T=I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}}$. We write $\mathscr{R}(T)$ and $\mathscr{N}(T)$ for the range and null space of the operator $T$, respectively.
Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $\mathcal{A}$. Every operator $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is uniquely determined by operators $A_{i j} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{j}, \mathscr{H}_{i}\right)(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$ defined by $A_{i j}=\pi_{i} \mathbf{A} \tau_{j}$, where $\tau_{j}$ is the canonical embedding of $\mathscr{H}_{j}$ in $\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}$ and $\pi_{i}$ is the natural projection from $\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}$ onto $\mathscr{H}_{i}$. Note that $\pi_{i}^{*}=\tau_{i}$. Let us represent $\mathbf{A}$ by the block matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{1.1}\\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly the operator $\mathbf{A}$ is selfadjoint if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ is of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^{*} & A_{22}\end{array}\right)$, where $A_{11}$ and $A_{22}$ are selfadjoint operators on $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$, respectively. The diagonal block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}\end{array}\right)$ is denoted by $A_{11} \oplus A_{22}$.

Proposition 1. [7, Lemma 2.1] Suppose that $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ are Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right), C \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, \mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ C^{*} & B\end{array}\right) \geq 0$ if and only if $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(\langle C y, x\rangle)|^{2} \leq \varphi(\langle A x, x\rangle) \varphi(\langle B y, y\rangle) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathscr{H}_{1}, y \in \mathscr{H}_{2}$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is the state space of $\mathcal{A}$.
Linear spaces with indefinite inner products were used for the first time in the quantum field theory in physics by Dirac [6]. Krein spaces as an indefinite generalization of Hilbert spaces were formally defined by Ginzburg [8]. The notion of a Krein $C^{*}$-modules is a natural generalization of a Krein space. In sequel we
present the standard terminology and some basic results on Krein spaces and Krein $C^{*}$-modules. For a complete exposition on the subject see $[1,2,9,11]$.

Let $(\mathscr{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that a nontrivial selfadjoint involution $J$ on $\mathscr{H}$, i.e. $J=J^{*}=J^{-1}$, is given to produce an $\mathcal{A}$-valued indefinite inner product

$$
[x, y]_{J}:=\langle J x, y\rangle \quad(x, y \in \mathscr{H})
$$

Then $(\mathscr{H}, J)$ is called a Krein $C^{*}$-module. Trivially a Krein space is a Krein $C^{*}$ module over $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}$. The Minkowski space is a well-known Krein space.

Example 2. Let $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of all complex $n \times n$ matrices and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ be the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For selfadjoint involution

$$
J_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{n-1}$ denotes the identity of $M_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, let us consider the indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]_{J_{0}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by

$$
[x, y]_{J_{0}}=\left\langle J_{0} x, y\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_{k} \bar{y}_{k}-x_{n} \bar{y}_{n}
$$

for all $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The Krein space $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, J_{0}\right)$ is called a Minkowski space.

Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ be Krein $C^{*}$-modules. The $\left(J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$-adjoint operator of $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
[A x, y]_{J_{2}}=\left[x, A^{\sharp} y\right]_{J_{1}} \quad\left(x \in \mathscr{H}_{1}, y \in \mathscr{H}_{2}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to say that $A^{\sharp}=J_{1} A^{*} J_{2}$. Trivially $\left(A^{\sharp}\right)^{\sharp}=A$. Let $(\mathscr{H}, J)$ be a Krein $C^{*}$-module. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ is said to be $J$-selfadjoint if $A^{\sharp}=A$, or equivalently, $A=J A^{*} J$. For $J$-selfadjoint operators $A$ and $B$, the $J$-order, denoted as $A \leq^{J} B$, is defined by

$$
[A x, x]_{J} \leq[B x, x]_{J} \quad(x \in \mathscr{H})
$$

It is easy to see that $A \leq^{J} B$ if and only if $J A \leq J B$. The $J$-selfadjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ is said to be $J$-positive if $A \geq^{J} 0$. Note that neither $A \geq 0$ implies $A \geq^{J} 0$ nor $A \geq^{J} 0$ implies $A \geq 0$; for instance, let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1 \\ 1 & -3\end{array}\right)$ in 2-dimensional Minkowski space $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, J_{0}\right)$. Then $A$ is $J_{0}$-positive, but $A$ is not positive.

Positivity of $2 \times 2$ block matrices of operators on Hilbert spaces have been studied by many authors; see e.g. $[4,5,12,13]$ and references therein. In section 2 , we study the positivity of $2 \times 2$ block matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the contractibility of an adjointable operator on a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module via positivity of a certain block matrix. Then we characterize the positive block matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$ modules (Theorem 6).

In section 3 , we assume that $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right),\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ are Krein $C^{*}$-modules and consider the Krein $C^{*}$-module $\left(\tilde{\mathscr{H}}=\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}=J_{1} \oplus J_{2}\right)$. We investigate the positivity of $2 \times 2$ block matrices on $\operatorname{Krein} C^{*}$-module ( $\left.\tilde{\mathscr{H}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}\right)$. We give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positivity of $2 \times 2$ block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ on the Krein $C^{*}$-module ( $\left.\tilde{\mathscr{H}}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}\right)$. We also give the relation between contractions and $2 \times 2$ block matrices in the setting of Krein $C^{*}$-modules.

## 2 Positivity of block matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules

The following lemma characterize the relation between contractions and the positivity of a block matrix of operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.
Lemma 3. Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules. An operator $C \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, \mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ is a contraction if and only if the block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}} & C \\ C^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is positive. Proof. Suppose that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}} & C \\ C^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. By the definition, we have

$$
\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}} & C \\
C^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y},\binom{x}{y}\right\rangle=\langle x, x\rangle+2 \operatorname{Re}\langle C y, x\rangle+\langle y, y\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $x \in \mathscr{H}_{1}, y \in \mathscr{H}_{2}$. Now put $x=-C y$. Then

$$
\langle C y, C y\rangle-2 \operatorname{Re}\langle C y, C y\rangle+\langle y, y\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

It follows that $\langle C y, C y\rangle \leq\langle y, y\rangle$ for all $y \in \mathscr{H}_{2}$. Therefore $C^{*} C \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$. Conversely, suppose that $C^{*} C \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$. The map $(\cdot, \cdot) \mapsto \varphi(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a positive sesquilinear form. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we conclude that

$$
|\varphi(\langle C y, x\rangle)|^{2} \leq \varphi(\langle C y, C y\rangle) \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \leq \varphi(\langle y, y\rangle) \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) .
$$

Let $A=I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}}$ and $B=I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$ in (1.2). Then Proposition 1 implies that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & C \\
C^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

A closed submodule $\mathscr{F}$ of a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module $\mathscr{H}$ is called orthogonally complemented if $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{F} \oplus \mathscr{F}^{\perp}$, where $\mathscr{F}^{\perp}=\{x \in \mathscr{H}:\langle x, y\rangle=0$ for all $y \in \mathscr{F}\}$. It is well-known that the closed submodules of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules are not orthogonally complemented, in general. However, the null space of an element of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ with closed range is orthogonally complemented, which can be stated as follows:

Proposition 4. [10, Theorem 3.2] Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be two Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules and let $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$. If $\mathscr{R}(A)$ is closed, then $\mathscr{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is closed and the following orthogonal decompositions holds:

$$
\mathscr{H}_{1}=\mathscr{N}(A) \oplus \mathscr{R}\left(A^{*}\right), \quad \mathscr{H}_{2}=\mathscr{R}(A) \oplus \mathscr{N}\left(A^{*}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, The closeness of any one of the following sets implies the closeness of the remaining three sets:

$$
\mathscr{R}(A), \mathscr{R}\left(A^{*}\right), \mathscr{R}\left(A A^{*}\right), \mathscr{R}\left(A^{*} A\right) .
$$

Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, \mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ is called a partial isometry if $\mathscr{R}(U)$ is orthogonally complemented and there exists an orthogonally complemented submodule $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ such that $U$ is isometric on $\mathscr{F}$ and $\left.U\right|_{\mathscr{F} \perp}=0$. It is well-known that $U$ is a partial isometry if and only if $U^{*} U$ (or $U U^{*}$ ) is a projection; cf [10, Chapter 3]. To get our next result we need the following lemma, which is a generalization of a known result [3, Lemma 2.4.2].

Lemma 5. Let $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-modules. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ such that $\overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}$ are orthogonally complemented. If $T^{*} T=S^{*} S$, then $T=U S$ for some partial isometry $U: \mathscr{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{1}$.

Proof. Assume that $T^{*} T=S^{*} S$. Let $y \in \mathscr{R}(S)$. Then $y=S x$ for some $x \in \mathscr{H}$. Define $U y:=T x$. Suppose that $x^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}$ and $S x=S x^{\prime}$. Hence $S\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T\left(x-x^{\prime}\right), T\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle T^{*} T\left(x-x^{\prime}\right), x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle S^{*} S\left(x-x^{\prime}\right), x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle S\left(x-x^{\prime}\right), S\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $T x=T x^{\prime}$. Therefore $U$ is well-defined on $\mathscr{R}(S)$. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|U y\|^{2} & =\|T x\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left\langle T^{*} T x, x\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left\langle S^{*} S x, x\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\|S x\|^{2}=\|y\|^{2} \quad(y \in \mathscr{R}(S)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $U$ is an isometry on $\mathscr{R}(S)$.
Next, let $y \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}$ and $x_{n} \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $y_{n}=S x_{n}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=y$. Then $U y_{n}=T x_{n}$ for all $n$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U y_{n}-U y_{m}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left\langle T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right), T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left\langle S\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right), S\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left\langle y_{n}-y_{m}, y_{n}-y_{m}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so is $\left\{U y_{n}\right\}$. Therefore we can define

$$
U y:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U y_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n} \quad(y \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(S)})
$$

We define $U$ to be zero from the orthogonal complement of $\overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}$ into the orthogonal complement of $\mathscr{\mathscr { R }}(T)$. Note that $U$ can be regarded as a diagonal matrix.
Clearly $T=U S$. The operator $U$ is a partial isometry, indeed, let $z \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}$. Then $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}^{\prime}$ for some $x_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}$. Analogue to the above construction, we can define

$$
U^{*} z:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*} z_{n}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}^{\prime} \quad(z \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(T)})
$$

and $U^{*}$ to be zero from the orthogonal complement of $\overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}$ onto $\overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}$ and conclude that $S=U^{*} T$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle U y, z\rangle & =\left\langle\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}, z\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{n}, T^{*} z\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{n}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{*} T x_{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{n}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S^{*} S x_{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle S x_{n}, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S x_{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle y, U^{*} z\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}, z \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}$ and so $U^{*}$ is actually the adjoint of $U$. Moreover

$$
U^{*} U y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*} T x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=y \quad(y \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(S)})
$$

and

$$
U U^{*} z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U S x_{n}^{\prime}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}^{\prime}=z \quad(z \in \overline{\mathscr{R}(T)})
$$

Hence $U^{*} U=\mathcal{P}_{\overline{\mathscr{R}}(S)}$ and $U U^{*}=\mathcal{P}_{\overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}}$ are projections onto $\overline{\mathscr{R}(S)}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{R}(T)}$, respectively. It follows that $U$ is a partial isometry.

A characterization of positive $2 \times 2$ block matrices can be obtained by using Lemma 3 as follows:

Theorem 6. Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules and let $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ and $B \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ such that $\mathscr{R}(A)$ and $\mathscr{R}(B)$ be closed submodules of $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$, respectively. Then the block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ C^{*} & B\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is positive if and only if $A \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$ and there exists a contraction $G$ such that $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} G B^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. Let $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ and let $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} G B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some contraction $G$. Then Lemma 3 forces that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & G \\ G^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. It follows from

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & C \\
C^{*} & B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & B^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}}^{1} & G \\
G^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & B^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ C^{*} & B\end{array}\right)$ is positive.
Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ C^{*} & B\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. Then $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathbf{N}$ for some $\mathbf{N} \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$. We can write $\mathbf{N}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}P & Q\end{array}\right)$, where $P \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, \mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, \mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ are defined by $P=\mathbf{N} \tau_{1}$ and $Q=\mathbf{N} \tau_{2}$, respectively. To see this, let $x_{1} \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \mathscr{H}_{2}$. Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & Q
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=P x_{1}+Q x_{2}=\mathbf{N}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)+\mathbf{N}\left(0, x_{2}\right)=\mathbf{N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & C \\
C^{*} & B
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathbf{N}=\binom{P^{*}}{Q^{*}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P^{*} P & P^{*} Q \\
Q^{*} P & Q^{*} Q
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that $A=P^{*} P \geq 0, B=Q^{*} Q \geq 0$ and $C=P^{*} Q$.
Due to $\mathscr{R}(A)$ is closed, $\mathscr{R}\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=\mathscr{R}(A)$ is closed. Moreover, it follows from $\mathscr{R}(A)=$ $\mathscr{R}\left(P^{*} P\right)$ and Proposition 4 that $\mathscr{R}(P)$ is closed. Similarly, $\mathscr{R}\left(B^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and $\mathscr{R}(Q)$ are closed. Since $A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}=A=P^{*} P$ and $B^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}=B=Q^{*} Q$ Lemma 5 implies that there exist partial isometries $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ such that $P=U_{1} A^{\frac{1}{2}}, Q=U_{2} B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $U_{1} U_{1}^{*}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{R}(P)}, U_{2}^{*} U_{2}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{R}(B)}$ are projections onto $\mathscr{R}(P)$ and $\mathscr{R}(B)$, respectively. Therefore $C=P^{*} Q=A^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{1}^{*} U_{2} B^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $G:=U_{1}^{*} U_{2}$. Then

$$
G^{*} G=U_{2}^{*} U_{1} U_{1}^{*} U_{2}=U_{2}^{*} \mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{R}(P)} U_{2} \leq U_{2}^{*} I_{\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}} U_{2}=U_{2}^{*} U_{2}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{R}(B)} \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
$$

and $C=A^{\frac{1}{2}} G B^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

## 3 Positivity of block matrices of operators on Krein $C^{*}$ modules

In this section we study the positivity of a block matrix of operators acting on Krein $C^{*}$-modules.
Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ be Krein $C^{*}$-modules. Note that a selfadjoint involution on $\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}$ may be defined in some different ways. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}=J_{1} \oplus J_{2}$ is a selfadjoint involution on $\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}$. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the block matrix introduced in (1.1). Then we have
$\mathbf{A}^{\sharp}=\tilde{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{A}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{J}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{11}^{*} & A_{21}^{*} \\ A_{12}^{*} & A_{22}^{*}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} A_{11}^{*} J_{1} & J_{1} A_{21}^{*} J_{2} \\ J_{2} A_{12}^{*} J_{1} & J_{2} A_{22}^{*} J_{2}\end{array}\right)$.
Therefore $\mathbf{A}$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-selfadjoint if and only if $\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^{\sharp} & A_{22}\end{array}\right)$ in which $A_{11}$ is $J_{1^{-}}$ selfadjoint and $A_{22}$ is $J_{2}$-selfadjoint.
To get our next result we need the following lemma.
Lemma 7. Suppose that $R$ and $S$ are $J$-selfadjoint operators on a Krein $C^{*}$-module $(\mathscr{H}, J)$. Then $R \geq^{J} S$ if and only if $W^{\sharp} R W \geq^{J} W^{\sharp} S W$ for all $W \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$. Specially $R \geq^{J} 0$ if and only if $W^{\sharp} R W \geq^{J} 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. Clear.
Theorem 8. Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ be Krein $C^{*}$ modules. Suppose that $A$ is $J_{1}$ selfadjoint and $B$ is $J_{2}$-selfadjoint. If $A$ is invertible, then the operator $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive if and only if $A \geq \geq^{J_{1}} 0, B \geq^{J_{2}} 0$ and $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X \leq^{J_{2}} B$.

Proof. By the assumptions, $A$ is an invertible $J_{1}$-selfadjoint operator. It follows that $A J_{1}$ is invertible and selfadjoint. Then $A J_{1}=\left(A J_{1}\right)^{*}=J_{1} A^{*}$. It follows that $J_{1} A^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{*} J_{1}$. Therefore $A^{-1}$ is $J_{1}$-selfadjoint. Hence $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X$ is $J_{2^{-}}$ selfadjoint. By the definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & -A^{-1} X \\
0 & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)^{\sharp} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{1} & 0 \\
0 & J_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & 0 \\
-\left(A^{-1} X\right)^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{1} & 0 \\
0 & J_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & 0 \\
-X^{\sharp} A^{-1} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & -A^{-1} X \\
0 & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)^{\sharp}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & X \\
X^{\sharp} & B
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & -A^{-1} X \\
0 & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B-X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From this relation, Lemma 7 and taking into account that the operator

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & -A^{-1} X \\
0 & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is invertible, we deduce that the operator $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive if and only if the operator $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B-X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive. Therefore $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive if and only if $A \geq{ }^{J_{1}} 0, B \geq^{J_{2}} 0$ and $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X \leq^{J_{2}} B$.

The following corollary is well-known for operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules which we present it as a result of Theorem 8.

Corollary 9. Let $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules and let $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right), B \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ such that $A>0$ and $B \geq 0$. The block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & C \\ C^{*} & B\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is positive if and only if $C^{*} A^{-1} C \leq B$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right)$ in Theorem 8.
Theorem 10. Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ be Krein $C^{*}$-modules. Suppose that $A \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ is $J_{1}$-positive and $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ is $J_{2}$-positive. If $A$ and $B$ are invertible and $\mathscr{R}\left(J_{1} A\right)$ and $\mathscr{R}\left(J_{2} B\right)$ are closed submodules, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & X \\ X^{\sharp} & B\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive.
(ii) $\left(J_{1} A\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_{1} X\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a contraction.
(iii) $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X \leq^{J_{2}} B$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$.
By the definition, $\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} A & J_{1} X \\ \left(J_{1} X\right)^{*} & J_{2} B\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. Then Theorem 6 implies that $J_{1} X=$ $\left(J_{1} A\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} G\left(J_{2} B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some contraction $G$. Since $A$ and $B$ are invertible we conclude that $G=\left(J_{1} A\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_{1} X\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a contraction.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

The condition (ii) is equivalent to

$$
\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^{*} J_{1} A^{-1} X\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{1} X\right)^{*}\left(J_{1} A\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{1} A\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{1} X\right)\left(J_{2} B\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq I_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}
$$

It follows that $X^{*} J_{1} A^{-1} X \leq J_{2} B$. Therefore $X^{\sharp} A^{-1} X \leq^{J_{2}} B$. (iii) $\Rightarrow(i)$.

It follows from Theorem 8.

An operator $X \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, \mathscr{H}_{1}\right)$ is called a $\left(J_{2}, J_{1}\right)$-contraction if $X^{\sharp} X \leq^{J_{2}} I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$, or equivalently, $X^{*} J_{1} X \leq J_{2}$.

Remark 11. The $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positivity of block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & X \\ X^{\sharp} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ implies that $J_{1} \geq 0$ and $J_{2} \geq 0$ which is impossible. Therefore in contrast to operators on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules Lemma 3 is not valid in the setting of Krein $C^{*}$-modules. Moreover the following example show that the $\left(J_{2}, J_{1}\right)$-contractibility of $X$, i.e. $X^{\sharp} X \leq^{J_{2}}$ $I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$ does not imply the $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positivity of block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & X \\ X^{\sharp} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}\end{array}\right)$.

Example 12. Consider the Minkowski space $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, J_{0}\right)$ with $J_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Let $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}i & i \\ i & 2 i\end{array}\right)$. Then

$$
X^{\sharp}=J_{0} X^{*} J_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i & -i \\
-i & -2 i
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i & i \\
i & -2 i
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
J_{0}-X^{*} J_{0} X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \geq 0
$$

Therefore $X^{\sharp} X \leq \leq^{J_{0}} I$, where $I=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. It means that $X$ is a $J_{0}$-contraction. Now let $\tilde{\mathbf{J}_{0}}=J_{0} \oplus J_{0}$ and $\mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & X \\ X^{\sharp} & I\end{array}\right)$. Then
$\tilde{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{0}}} \mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{0}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & X \\ X^{\sharp} & I\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{0} & J_{0} X \\ J_{0} X^{\sharp} & J_{0}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & i & i \\ 0 & -1 & -i & -2 i \\ -i & i & 1 & 0 \\ -i & 2 i & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
The matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{T}}$ is not positive, because it has negative eigenvalues. It follows that $\mathbf{T}$ is not $\tilde{\mathbf{J}_{0}}$-positive, while $X$ is a $J_{0}$-contraction.

In the following theorem we introduce a good candidate for description of contractions by means of $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive $2 \times 2$ block matrices.

Theorem 13. Let $\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ be Krein $C^{*}$-modules.Then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} & X \\ X^{\sharp} & J_{2}\end{array}\right)$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$-positive if and only if $X$ is a contraction.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{1} & X \\ X^{\sharp} & J_{2}\end{array}\right)$. By the definition, $\mathbf{T} \geq \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{0}$ if and only if $\tilde{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{T} \geq 0$. It means that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{1} & 0  \tag{3.1}\\
0 & J_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{1} & X \\
X^{\sharp} & J_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathscr{H}_{1}} & J_{1} X \\
\left(J_{1} X\right)^{*} & I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Lemma 3 forces that (3.1) is equivalent to $\left(J_{1} X\right)^{*}\left(J_{1} X\right) \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$. Also we have $X^{*} X=X^{*} J_{1}^{2} X=\left(J_{1} X\right)^{*}\left(J_{1} X\right) \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_{2}}$.
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