# A SURJECTIVITY PROBLEM FOR MATRICES AND NULL CONTROLLABILITY FOR DIFFERENCE AND DIFFERENTIAL MATRIX EQUATIONS 

Constantin Buşe and Donal O'Regan


#### Abstract

Let $P$ be a complex polynomial. We prove that the associated polynomial matrixvalued function $\tilde{P}$ is surjective if for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the polynomial $P-\lambda$ has at least a simple zero. The null controllability for difference and differential matrix equations is also presented.


## 1 Introduction

In the general theory of control we meet a state space $X$ and a control space $U$. Generally speaking these spaces are real or complex Banach spaces and could be different but could be the same. In this note we are referring to the case when $X=U=\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The inputs (controls) are functions from a real interval $[0, T]$ to $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The problem arising in this note consists in finding conditions on the matrix $A$ and on the polynomial $P$ so that the system

$$
X^{\prime}(t)=A X(t)+\tilde{P}(U)
$$

is null controllable.
We provide the following two conditions:

1. The matrix $A$ (that drives the system) is hyperbolic.
2. The polynomial $P$ has the simple zero property.

More sophisticated conditions are also provided in the discrete case.

## 2 A surjective matrix-valued function

The following result is taken directly from [7].

[^0]Theorem 1. ([[7], Theorem III]) If $\psi(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$ in $\lambda$ and the distinct roots of $\psi(\lambda)=0$ are $\alpha_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots, s$, if $Q(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $p \geq 1$ in $\lambda$, whose leading coefficient is unity and $Q(0)=0$, if the equation $Q(\lambda)-\alpha_{j}=0, j=1,2, \cdots, s$, has at least one simple root for every $\alpha_{j}$ which is a multiple root of $\psi(\lambda)=0$ and if

$$
\psi(A)=0
$$

where $A$ is a square matrix of order $n$, then there exists at least one matrix $X$ also of order $n$, such that

$$
Q(X)=A
$$

and such $X$ is expressible as a polynomial in $A$ with scalar coefficients.
Let $A \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$. A monic polynomial of least degree (denoted by $m_{A}$ ) having the property that $m_{A}(A)=0_{n}$ is called the minimal polynomial of $A$. The characteristic polynomial and the minimal polynomial of a matrix A above must have the same zeros but the multiplicity could be different.

For matrices and operators we refer the reader to [3], [4], [5] and [6].
We say that a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ has the simple zero property (SZP for short) if for every $m \in \mathbb{C}$ the polynomial $Q:=P-m$ has at least a simple zero. For example, the polynomial $P_{1}(z)=(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)$ has the $\mathbf{S Z P}$ while $P_{2}(z)=z^{3}$ does not. Clearly every polynomial of degree 1 has the SZP but polynomials of degree 2 might not have the property. The symbol $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the Banach algebra of all matrices of order $n$ with complex entries endowed with the usual operator norm.

Whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\lambda):=a_{n} \lambda^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} \lambda+a_{0}, \quad a_{n} \neq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial of degree $n$, with scalar coefficients, the symbol $\tilde{P}$ denotes the matrix-valued function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \mapsto \tilde{P}(X):=a_{n} X^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} X+a_{0} I_{n}, \quad X \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C}), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{n}$ being the unity matrix of order $n$. Elementary proofs of the next theorem, in the particular cases $n=2$ and $n=3$, can be found in [2] and in [1], respectively.

Theorem 2. Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ be a polynomial satisfying the SZP. Then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \mapsto \tilde{P}(X): \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is surjective.
Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be randomly chosen. Clearly the matrix equation $\tilde{P}(X)=$ $Y$ can be written equivalently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[a_{n} X^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} X\right]=\frac{1}{a_{n}} Y-\frac{a_{0}}{a_{n}} I_{n} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.1 above in the particular case

$$
A=\frac{1}{a_{n}} Y-\frac{a_{0}}{a_{n}} I_{n}
$$

and

$$
\psi(\lambda)=m_{A}(\lambda)
$$

and

$$
Q(\lambda)=\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[a_{n} \lambda^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} \lambda\right]
$$

in order to get a solution for the equation $\tilde{P}(X)=Y$.

## 3 Null controllability for a difference matrix equation

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{X}$ be two given matrices and let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a given function, where $\mathcal{X}:=\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The solution $j \mapsto X(j, 0, U, B)$ of the initial value matrix difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j+1}=A X_{j}+f(U), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, X_{j} \in \mathcal{X}, U \in \mathcal{X}, \quad X_{0}=B \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(j, 0, U, B)=A^{j} B+\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} A^{j-k-1} f(U), \quad j \in\{1,2, \cdots\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3. The system

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j+1}=A X_{j}+f(U), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called null controllable if there exists a positive integer $N$ such that for every matrix $B \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a $U=U_{B} \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(N, 0, U, B)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, in addition, assume that the matrix $A$ is invertible then (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=-\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A^{-k-1}\right) f(U) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for any $A \in \mathcal{X}, \sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$ i.e. the set consisting of all its eigenvalues.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all complex numbers $\omega_{j}:=\cos \frac{2 \pi}{j}+i \sin \frac{2 \pi}{j}$ with $j$ being any positive integer. Assume that the matrix $A$ is invertible and that its spectrum does not intersect the set $\mathcal{A}$. The system (3.3) is null controllable if and only if the map $U \mapsto f(U)$, acting on $\mathcal{X}$, is surjective.

Proof. Based on the assumptions is easy to see that for every positive integer $N$ the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{N}:=-\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A^{-k-1}\right)=-A^{-1}\left(I_{n}-A^{-N}\right)\left(I_{n}-A^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined and invertible and

$$
C_{N}^{-1}=-\left(I_{n}-A^{-1}\right)\left(I_{n}-A^{-N}\right)^{-1} A .
$$

From this the proof consists of two steps.

1. Assume that the system (3.3) is null controllable and let $Y$ be randomly chosen in $\mathcal{X}$. Thus for some positive integer $N$ and $B:=-C_{N} Y \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a $U=U_{B} \in \mathcal{X}$ verifying (3.5), that is $Y=f\left(U_{B}\right)$.
2. Assume that $f$ is surjective and let $N$ be randomly chosen. For every $B \in \mathcal{X}$ let $U=U_{B}$ such that $f\left(U_{B}\right)=-C_{N}^{-1} B$. Thus the system (3.3) is null controllable.

Corollary 5. Let $P(z)$ be a scalar polynomial and $A \in \mathcal{X}$ be an invertible matrix such that $\sigma(A) \cap \mathcal{A}$ is empty. The system

$$
X_{j+1}=A X_{j}+\tilde{P}(U), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, X_{j} \in \mathcal{X}, U \in \mathcal{X}
$$

is null controllable if $P$ has the $\boldsymbol{S Z P}$.
Proof. Follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 4.

## 4 Null controllability for a differential matrix equation

We use the same notation as in the previous section.
The solution $t \mapsto X(t, 0, U, B)$ of the initial value differential matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}(t)=A X(t)+f(U), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, X(t) \in \mathcal{X}, U \in \mathcal{X}, \quad X(0)=B \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t, 0, U, B)=e^{t A} B+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} f(U) d s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 6. The system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}(t)=A X(t)+f(U), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called null controllable if there exists a positive real number $T$ such that for every matrix $B \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a matrix $U=U_{B} \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(T, 0, U, B)=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly (4.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=-\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{-s A} d s\right) f(U) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7. Let $i \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all complex numbers $z=i m$ with $m$ a real number. Assume that the matrix $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is hyperbolic, that is its spectrum does not intersect the set $i \mathbb{R}$. The system (4.3) is null controllable if and only if the map $U \mapsto f(U)$, acting on $\mathcal{X}$, is surjective.

Proof. Taking into account that $A$ is hyperbolic is easy to see that the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{T}:=-\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{-s A} d s\right)=-A^{-1}\left(e^{-T A}-I_{3}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined and invertible.

1. Assume that the system (3.3) is null controllable and let $Y$ be randomly chosen in $\mathcal{X}$. Thus for some positive real number $T$ and $B:=-D_{T} Y \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a $U=U_{B} \in \mathcal{X}$ verifying (4.5), that is, $Y=f\left(U_{B}\right)$.
2. Assume that $f$ is surjective and let $T>0$ be randomly chosen. For every $B \in \mathcal{X}$ let $U=U_{B}$ such that $f\left(U_{B}\right)=-D_{T}^{-1} B$. Thus $D_{T} f\left(U_{B}\right)=-B$, i.e. the system (4.3) is null controllable.

Corollary 8. Let $P(z)$ be a scalar polynomial and $A \in \mathcal{X}$ be a hyperbolic matrix. The system

$$
\dot{X}(t)=A X(t)+\tilde{P}(U), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, X(t) \in \mathcal{X}, U \in \mathcal{X}
$$

is null controllable if $P$ has the $\boldsymbol{S Z P}$.
Proof. Follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 7.
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