

## ON THE STABILITY OF SOME QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

M. ADAM<sup>1</sup>

*This paper is dedicated to the 60th Anniversary of Professor Themistocles M. Rassias*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the general solution of the functional equation which is closely associated with the quadratic functional equation and we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of this equation in Banach spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The quadratic functional equation

$$Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \quad (1.1)$$

and its generalizations has been studied by many authors in various classes of functions (see, e.g., [4, 6, 8]). For more general information on the stability of functional equations, refer to [3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15]. The quadratic functional equation was also used to characterize inner product spaces (see [1, 2, 14]). It is well known that a square norm on an inner product space  $X$  satisfies the important parallelogram equality

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$

It is easily to check that a square norm also satisfies the equality

$$\|x - z\|^2 + \|y - z\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|^2 + 2\left\|\frac{x + y}{2} - z\right\|^2, \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

---

*Date:* Received: October 5, 2010; Revised: November 22, 2010.

© 2010 N.A.G.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82; Secondary 39B52.

*Key words and phrases.* Quadratic functional equation; Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

Motivated by this result we consider the following functional equation

$$f(x - z) + f(y - z) = \frac{1}{2}f(x - y) + 2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2} - z\right) \quad (1.2)$$

and its pexiderized version

$$f(x - z) + g(y - z) = h(x - y) + k\left(\frac{x + y}{2} - z\right). \quad (1.3)$$

Clearly, the mapping  $\mathbb{R} \ni x \rightarrow ax^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , satisfies (1.2). Our purpose is to determine all solutions of equations (1.2), (1.3) and investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of equation (1.2).

## 2. GENERAL SOLUTIONS OF EQUATIONS (1.2) AND (1.3)

Throughout this section we assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are uniquely 2-divisible abelian groups.

**Theorem 2.1.** *In the class of functions  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  equations (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a solution of equation (1.1). Then  $Q$  is even and  $Q(0) = 0$ . Setting  $y = x$  in (1.1) we get  $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ , hence

$$Q(x) = 4Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.1)$$

Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $x - z$  and  $y - z$  in (1.1), respectively, we obtain

$$Q(x + y - 2z) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x - z) + 2Q(y - z), \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

Therefore on account of (2.1) one can easily check that  $Q$  is a solution of (1.2).

Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a solution of equation (1.2). Putting  $x = y = z = 0$  in (1.2) we obtain  $f(0) = 0$ . Setting  $y = z = 0$  in (1.2) we get

$$\frac{1}{2}f(x) = 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in the above equality we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}f(x + y) = 2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in X. \quad (2.2)$$

Setting  $z = 0$  in (1.2) we have

$$f(x) + f(y) = \frac{1}{2}f(x - y) + 2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in X,$$

which means by virtue of (2.2) that  $f$  satisfies (1.1). □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let functions  $f, g, h, k: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfy (1.3). Then there exist a quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ , two additive functions  $E, F: X \rightarrow Y$  and*

constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$  such that  $C_1 + C_2 = C_3 + C_4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= Q(x) + E(x) + C_1, \\ g(x) &= Q(x) + F(x) + C_2, \\ h(x) &= \frac{1}{2}Q(x) + \frac{1}{2}E(x) - \frac{1}{2}F(x) + C_3, \\ k(x) &= 2Q(x) + E(x) + F(x) + C_4 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Since the group  $Y$  is uniquely divisible by 2 (i.e. the map  $X \ni x \rightarrow x+x \in Y$  is bijective), then we may split  $f$  into its even and odd parts  $f_e, f_o: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$f_e(x) := \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}, \quad f_o(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}, \quad x \in X.$$

Clearly,  $f_e$  is even,  $f_o$  is odd and  $f = f_e + f_o$ . Similarly we define  $g_e, g_o, h_e, h_o, k_e, k_o$ . Obviously  $f_o(0) = g_o(0) = h_o(0) = k_o(0) = 0$ . Since functions  $f, g, h, k$  satisfy (1.3), then

$$f_e(x-z) + g_e(y-z) = h_e(x-y) + k_e\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right), \quad x, y, z \in X, \quad (2.3)$$

$$f_o(x-z) + g_o(y-z) = h_o(x-y) + k_o\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right), \quad x, y, z \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $C_1 := f_e(0)$ ,  $C_2 := g_e(0)$ ,  $C_3 := h_e(0)$ ,  $C_4 := k_e(0)$ . Setting  $x = y = z = 0$  in (2.3) we get  $C_1 + C_2 = C_3 + C_4$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &:= f_e(x) - C_1, \\ g_1(x) &:= g_e(x) - C_2, \\ h_1(x) &:= h_e(x) - C_3, \\ k_1(x) &:= k_e(x) - C_4 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $f_1, g_1, h_1, k_1$  are also even and  $f_1(0) = g_1(0) = h_1(0) = k_1(0) = 0$ . Moreover

$$f_1(x-z) + g_1(y-z) = h_1(x-y) + k_1\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right), \quad x, y, z \in X. \quad (2.5)$$

Setting, successively,  $y = x$ ,  $z = 0$  and  $x = z = 0$  and  $y = z = 0$  in (2.5), we get

$$f_1(x) + g_1(x) = k_1(x), \quad (2.6)$$

$$g_1(x) = h_1(x) + k_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$f_1(x) = h_1(x) + k_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Comparing (2.7) and (2.8) we arrive at

$$f_1(x) = g_1(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.9)$$

Applying (2.9) to (2.6) one gets

$$k_1(x) = 2f_1(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.10)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (2.10) we obtain

$$k_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 2f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.8) and (2.11) we have

$$f_1(x) = h_1(x) + 2f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.12)$$

Setting  $y = 0$  and  $z = \frac{x}{2}$  in (2.5) we get

$$f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = h_1(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.13)$$

Applying (2.9) to (2.13) one gets

$$h_1(x) = 2f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.14)$$

Comparing (2.12) and (2.14) we arrive at

$$h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}f_1(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Therefore

$$f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 2h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}k_1(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Hence  $f_1$  satisfies (1.2) and on account of Theorem 2.1 we define  $f_1(x) := Q(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quadratic function. Thus

$$f_e(x) = Q(x) + C_1,$$

$$g_e(x) = Q(x) + C_2,$$

$$h_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}Q(x) + C_3,$$

$$k_e(x) = 2Q(x) + C_4$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Setting, successively,  $y = x$ ,  $z = 0$  and  $x = z = 0$  and  $y = z = 0$  in (2.4), we get

$$f_o(x) + g_o(x) = k_o(x), \quad (2.15)$$

$$g_o(x) = -h_o(x) + k_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad (2.16)$$

$$f_o(x) = h_o(x) + k_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Comparing (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) we arrive at

$$k_o(x) = 2k_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.18)$$

Putting  $y = 0$  and  $z = \frac{x}{2}$  in (2.4) we have

$$f_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - g_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = h_o(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.16) and (2.17) we obtain

$$f_o(x) - g_o(x) = 2h_o(x), \quad x \in X, \quad (2.20)$$

hence

$$f_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - g_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = 2h_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.21)$$

Comparing (2.19) and (2.21) we see that

$$h_o(x) = 2h_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (2.22)$$

Setting  $z = 0$  in (2.4) we get

$$f_o(x) + g_o(y) = h_o(x - y) + k_o\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in X. \quad (2.23)$$

Interchanging the roles of variables in (2.23) we obtain

$$f_o(y) + g_o(x) = -h_o(x - y) + k_o\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in X. \quad (2.24)$$

Adding (2.23) and (2.24), and applying (2.15) and (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_o(x) + k_o(y) &= f_o(x) + g_o(x) + f_o(y) + g_o(y) \\ &= 2k_o\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) \\ &= k_o(x + y), \quad x, y \in X, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $k_o$  is an additive function. Subtracting (2.24) from (2.23) and applying (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2h_o(x) - 2h_o(y) &= f_o(x) - g_o(x) - f_o(y) + g_o(y) \\ &= 2h_o(x - y), \quad x, y \in X, \end{aligned}$$

hence replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in the above equation we see that  $h_o$  is also an additive function. Since the functions  $h_o$  and  $k_o$  are additive, then (2.17) and (2.16) immediately imply that the functions  $f_o$  and  $g_o$  are also additive. Let

$$f_o(x) := E(x), \quad g_o(x) := F(x), \quad x \in X,$$

where  $E, F: X \rightarrow Y$  are additive functions. Therefore from (2.20) and (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_o(x) &= \frac{1}{2}E(x) - \frac{1}{2}F(x), \quad x \in X, \\ k_o(x) &= E(x) + F(x), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $f = f_e + f_o$ , then

$$f(x) = Q(x) + E(x) + C_1, \quad x \in X.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= Q(x) + F(x) + C_2, \\ h(x) &= \frac{1}{2}Q(x) + \frac{1}{2}E(x) - \frac{1}{2}F(x) + C_3, \\ k(x) &= 2Q(x) + E(x) + F(x) + C_4 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 3. STABILITY OF EQUATION (1.2)

Throughout this section we assume that  $X$  is a uniquely 2-divisible abelian group and  $Y$  is a Banach space. By  $\mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of positive integers. Theorem 2.2 allows us to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of equation (1.3). However, in this paper we will only prove the stability of equation (1.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying the inequality*

$$\left\| f(x-z) + f(y-z) - \frac{1}{2}f(x-y) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\varphi: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function fulfilling the following conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{4^n} = 0, \quad x, y, z \in X,$$

$$\psi(x) := 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^k x, 2^k x)}{4^k} < \infty, \quad x \in X.$$

Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \psi(x) + 2\psi(0), \quad x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Putting  $x = y = z = 0$  in (3.1) we obtain

$$\|f(0)\| \leq 2\varphi(0, 0, 0). \quad (3.2)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $4x$  and setting  $y = z = 2x$  in (3.1) we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}f(2x) + f(0) - 2f(x) \right\| \leq \varphi(4x, 2x, 2x), \quad x \in X.$$

Defining a new function  $f_1: X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f_1(x) := f(x) - \frac{2}{3}f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$  and dividing the above inequality by 2 we have

$$\left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{4}f_1(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(4x, 2x, 2x), \quad x \in X. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we show by induction that

$$\left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{4^n}f_1(2^n x) \right\| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^k x, 2^k x)}{4^k}, \quad x \in X. \quad (3.4)$$

For  $n = 1$  we have (3.3). Assume the validity of the inequality (3.4) for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in X$ . We will prove it for  $n + 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} f_1(2^{n+1}x) \right\| &\leq \left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{4} f_1(2x) \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{4} f_1(2x) - \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} f_1(2^n \cdot 2x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(4x, 2x, 2x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varphi(2^{k+2}x, 2^{k+1}x, 2^{k+1}x)}{4^k} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^kx, 2^kx)}{4^k}, \quad x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.4) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence by (3.4) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^m x)}{4^m} \right\| &= \frac{1}{4^m} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^{n-m}} - f_1(2^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4^m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+m+1}x, 2^{k+m}x, 2^{k+m}x)}{4^k} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^kx, 2^kx)}{4^k} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > m$ . Since the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\left\{ \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$  and thus converges by the completeness of  $Y$ . Therefore we can define a function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n}, \quad x \in X.$$

Note that  $Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q$  is even. Replacing  $x, y, z$  by  $2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z$  in (3.1) and dividing both sides by  $4^n$ , and after then taking the limit in the resulting inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$Q(x - z) + Q(y - z) - \frac{1}{2}Q(x - y) - 2Q\left(\frac{x + y}{2} - z\right) = 0, \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

Therefore on account of Theorem 2.1 a function  $Q$  is quadratic.

Taking the limit in (3.4) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\|f_1(x) - Q(x)\| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^kx, 2^kx)}{4^k}, \quad x \in X,$$

i.e. from (3.2) and the definition of  $f_1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q(x)\| &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^kx, 2^kx)}{4^k} + \frac{2}{3} \|f(0)\| \\ &\leq \psi(x) + \frac{4}{3} \varphi(0, 0, 0) \\ &= \psi(x) + 2\psi(0), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

To prove the uniqueness, let  $Q_1$  be another quadratic function satisfying (3.5). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - Q_1(x)\| &\leq \left\| \frac{Q(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{Q_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4^n} \left[ \|Q(2^n x) - f_1(2^n x)\| + \|Q_1(2^n x) - f_1(2^n x)\| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4^n} [\psi(2^n x) + 4\psi(0)], \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $Q(x) = Q_1(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying the inequality*

$$\left\| f(x-z) + f(y-z) - \frac{1}{2}f(x-y) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) \right\| \leq \varphi^*(x, y, z) \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\varphi^*: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function fulfilling the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi^*\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) &= 0, \quad x, y, z \in X, \\ \psi^*(x) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k \varphi^*\left(\frac{x}{2^{k-2}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}\right) < \infty, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \psi^*(x), \quad x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Setting  $x = 0$  in (3.7) we get  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k \varphi^*(0, 0, 0) < \infty$ , hence  $\varphi^*(0, 0, 0) = 0$ .

Putting  $x = y = z = 0$  in (3.6) we obtain  $\|f(0)\| \leq 2\varphi^*(0, 0, 0) = 0$ , i.e.  $f(0) = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $2x$  and setting  $y = z = x$  in (3.6), and multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality by 2 we get

$$\left\| f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varphi^*(2x, x, x), \quad x \in X. \quad (3.8)$$

An induction argument implies easily that

$$\left\| f(x) - 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n 4^k \varphi^*\left(\frac{x}{2^{k-2}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}\right), \quad x \in X. \quad (3.9)$$

Proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we easily have that  $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$  and we can define a function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Note that  $Q(0) = 0$  and  $Q$  is even. Taking the limit in (3.9) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k \varphi^*\left(\frac{x}{2^{k-2}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}\right) = \psi^*(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (3.10)$$

As we did in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can similarly show that  $Q$  is a unique quadratic function satisfying (3.10). The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $p \neq 2$  be fixed real numbers. Assume that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\left\| f(x-z) + f(y-z) - \frac{1}{2}f(x-y) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) \right\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  ( $x, y, z \in X \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p < 0$ ). Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2^{p+1}(2^p + 2)\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{|4 - 2^p|}, \quad x \in X.$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 with  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \varphi^*(x, y, z) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  ( $x, y, z \in X \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p < 0$ ). It is not hard to check that these Theorems can be applied to the above function with  $p < 2$  and  $p > 2$ , respectively. If  $p < 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 2^kx, 2^kx)}{4^k} \\ &= 2(2^p + 2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(p-2)} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \\ &= \frac{2^{p+1}(2^p + 2)\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{4 - 2^p} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  ( $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p < 0$ ). If  $p > 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k \varphi^*\left(\frac{x}{2^{k-2}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k-1}}\right) \\ &= 2^{p-1}(2^p + 2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(2-p)} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \\ &= \frac{2^{p+1}(2^p + 2)\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{2^p - 4} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus applying Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to the two cases  $p < 2$  and  $p > 2$ , respectively, we obtain easily the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  be fixed real number. Assume that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\left\| f(x-z) + f(y-z) - \frac{1}{2}f(x-y) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad x \in X. \quad (3.13)$$

*Proof.* Putting  $\varphi(x, y, z) := \varepsilon$  in Theorem 3.1, we get immediately the result.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* Observe that the estimation (3.13) in Corollary 3.4 cannot be sharpened. To see that, fix a vector  $e \in Y$  from the unit ball, and define a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  by the formula  $f(x) = 2\varepsilon e$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then inequality (3.12) is satisfied, so there exists a quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that the condition (3.13) holds. Since the function  $f$  is bounded, then  $Q = 0$ . Thus  $\|f(x) - Q(x)\| = \|2\varepsilon e\| = 2\varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] D. Amir, *Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [3] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2 (1950), 64–66.
- [4] P. W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aeq. Math.* 27 (1984), 76–86.
- [5] S. Czerwik, *Functional equations and inequalities in several variables*, World Scientific, New Jersey - London - Singapore - Hong Kong, 2002.
- [6] S. Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 62 (1992), 59–64.
- [7] S. Czerwik, *Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [8] S. Czerwik, *The stability of the quadratic functional equation*, In: *Stability of mappings of Hyers-Ulam type*, (ed. Th. M. Rassias, J. Tabor), Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 1994, 81–91.
- [9] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* 14 (1991), no. 3, 431–434.
- [10] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [11] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [12] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1998.
- [13] D. H. Hyers, Th. M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aeq. Math.* 44 (1992), 125–153.
- [14] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, On inner products in linear metric spaces, *Ann. of Math.* 36 (1935), 719–723.
- [15] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978), no. 2, 297–300.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, SCHOOL OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY OF KATOWICE, BANKOWA 8, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

*E-mail address:* [madam@wszop.edu.pl](mailto:madam@wszop.edu.pl)