Common fixed points of α -dominated multivalued mappings on closed balls in a dislocated quasi b-metric space

Abdulaziz Saleem Moslem Alofi^a, Abdullah Eqal Al-Mazrooei^{b,a}, Bahru Tsegaye Leyew^c, Mujahid Abbas^{c,a}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P. O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.
 ^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Jeddah, P.O.Box80327, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.
 ^cDepartment of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Pretoria, Lynnwood road, Pretoria 0002, South Africa.

E-mail addresses: aalofi1@kau.edu.sa, aealmazrooei@kau.edu.sa, aealmazrooei@uj.edu.sa tsegayebah@gmail.com, mujahid.abbas@up.ac.za.

Abstract:

In this paper, we introduce the concept of α -dominated multivalued mappings and establish the existence of common fixed points of such mappings on a closed ball contained in left/right K-sequentially complete dislocated quasi b-metric spaces. These results improve, generalize, extend, unify and complement various comparable results in the existing literature. Our results not only extend some primary results to left/right K-sequentially dislocated quasi b-metric spaces but also restrict the contractive conditions on a closed ball only. Some examples are presented in support of our new results. Finally as an application, we obtain some common fixed point results for single valued mappings by an application of the corresponding results for multivalued valued mappings satisfying the contractive conditions more general than Banach type and Kannan type contractive conditions on closed balls in a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation.

Keywords: left/right K-sequentially complete, dislocated quasi b-metric spaces, α -dominated multivalued mapping, closed ball, common fixed point

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H04, 47H07.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The letters, $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{N}_0 will denote the set of all rational numbers, the set of all real numbers, the set of all natural numbers and the set of all nonnegative integer numbers, respectively.

A point x in a nonempty set X is called a fixed point of the mapping $T: X \to X$ if Tx = x. In metric fixed point theory, imposition of a contractive condition on a mapping plays an important role for proving the existence of a fixed point of a mapping (see, [6, 7, 14, 20] and references therein).

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \to X$ is called:

(BC) Banach contraction mapping [7] if for any $x, y \in X$, there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y). \tag{1}$$

(KN) Kannan contraction mapping [14] if there exists $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le k \left(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) \right) \tag{2}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$.

If either of the conditions (BC) or (KN) is fulfilled, then T has a unique fixed point provided that X is a complete metric space. The mapping T satisfying the condition (BC) is continuous while the condition (KN) does not ensure the continuity of a mapping T. This makes Kannan contraction mappings more important than the mappings satisfying Banach contraction condition (BC).

On the other hand, the concept of a metric space has been generalized in several ways.

Definition 1.1 [32] Let X be a nonempty set and $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- (**d**₁) d(x, x) = 0;
- (d₂) d(x,y) = d(y,x) = 0 implies that x = y;
- (**d**₃) d(x, y) = d(y, x);
- (d₄) $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$.

If d satisfies conditions (d_2) to (d_4) , then d is called a dislocated metric on X. If d satisfies conditions (d_1) , (d_2) and (d_4) , then d is called a quasi metric on X. If d satisfies conditions (d_2) and (d_4) , then d is called a dislocated quasi metric (dq-metric) on X. If d satisfies conditions (d_1) to (d_4) , then d is called a metric on X.

Wilson [31] in 1931 introduced the notion of quasi-metric space as a generalization of metric space. In 2000, Hitzler et al. [12] introduced dislocated metric spaces (metric like spaces). The notion of dislocated topologies have useful applications in the context of logic programming semantics ([12, 13]). Combining the concepts of quasi and dislocated metric spaces, Zeyada et al. [32] coined the notion of a dislocated quasi-metric space (quasi-metric like space).

Definition 1.2 [2, 6, 15, 29] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \ge 1$ a real number. Suppose that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the mapping $d_{qb}: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\mathbf{dq}_1) \ d_{qb}(x,x) = 0;$$

- (dq₂) $d_{qb}(x, y) = d_{qb}(y, x) = 0$ implies x = y;
- (dq₃) $d_{qb}(x, y) = d_{qb}(y, x);$
- (dq₄) $d_{qb}(x, y) \le s (d_{qb}(x, z) + d_{qb}(z, y)).$

If d_{qb} satisfies conditions (dq₁), (dq₂) and (dq₄), then d_{qb} is called a quasi b-metric on X [29].

If d_{qb} satisfies conditions (dq₂) to (dq₄), then d_{qb} is called a dislocated b-metric on X [2].

- If d_{qb} satisfies conditions (dq₂) and (dq₄), then d_{qb} is called a dislocated quasi b-metric (or simply dq b-metric) on X [15].
- If d_{qb} satisfies conditions (dq₁) to (dq₄), then d_{qb} is called a b-metric on X [6].

Czerwik [10] proved Banach contraction theorem for single and multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces. Since then several fixed point results for various classes of single valued and multi-valued operators have been proved in the framework of b-metric spaces [10, 11, 26]. The concepts of quasi b-metric, dislocated b-metric and dq b-metric are more general than that of a b- metric.

Remark 1.3 In the definition 1.2, if s = 1, then

- 1. b-metric space is a metric space.
- 2. quasi b-metric space is a quasi metric space.
- 3. dislocated b-metric space (or b-metric-like space) is a dislocated metric space (or metric-like space).
- 4. dq b-metric space (or quasi b-metric-like space) is a dq metric space (or quasi metric-like space).

Note that a b-metric $d_{qb}: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ is not necessarily continuous in each variable. Also, if b-metric d_{qb} is continuous in one variable, then it is continuous in the other variable (see [3]).

It is obvious that b-metric spaces, quasi-b-metric spaces and dislocated b-metric spaces are dq b-metric spaces, but the converse does not hold in general.

Example 1.4 [15, Example 2.3] Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Define $d_{qb}: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + \frac{|x|}{n} + \frac{|y|}{m},$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ with $n \neq m$. Then (X, d_{qb}) is a dq b-metric space with s = 2. As $d_{qb}(1, 1) \neq 0$, (X, d_{qb}) is not a quasi b-metric space. Note that $d_{qb}(1, 2) \neq d_{qb}(2, 1)$. Thus (X, d_{qb}) is not a dislocated b-metric space. Also, (X, d_{qb}) is not a dislocated quasi metric space.

Example 1.5 [33, Example 2.1] Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define $d_{qb} : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2, & x = y = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x = 0, & y = 1, \\ 2, & x = 1, & y = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d_{qb}) is a dq b-metric space with s = 2. As, $d_{qb}(1,1) \neq 0$, (X, d_{qb}) is not a quasi b-metric space. Also $d_{qb}(0,1) \neq d_{qb}(1,0)$ implies that (X, d_{qb}) is not a dislocated b-metric space. It is obvious that (X, d_{qb}) is not a dislocated quasi metric space.

In view of the following proposition, some more examples of dq b-metric spaces can easily be constructed.

Proposition 1.6 [23] Let X be a nonempty set such that d_q is a dq-metric and d_b is a b-metric with s > 1 on X. Then the function $d_{qb}: X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = d_q(x,y) + d_b(x,y)$$

is dq b-metric on X.

Reily et al. [25] introduced the concept of left/right K-Cauchy sequence and left/right K-sequentially complete spaces (see [5]). We have the following definitions given in [30, 33].

Definition 1.7 Let (X, d_{ab}) be a dq b-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d_{ab}) is called:

(a) dq b-converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{qb}(x_n, x) = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_{qb}(x, x_n).$$

In this case x is called a dq b-limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

(b) left (right) K-Cauchy sequence if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > m \ge n_0$, $d_{qb}(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \ (d_{qb}(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon).$

The space (X, d_{qb}) is called left (right) K-sequentially complete if every left (right) K-Cauchy sequence in X dq b-converges to a point $x \in X$.

Each dq b-metric d_{qb} generates a topology on X whose base is the family of open balls $\{B_{qb}(x_0, r) : x_0 \in X, r > 0\}$, where $B_{qb}(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : \max\{d_{qb}(x_0, x), d_{qb}(x, x_0)\} < r\}$. The closure of $B_{qb}(x_0, r)$ is denoted by $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that a dq b-metric d_{qb} is continuous in one variable.

The development of metric fixed point theory for multivalued mappings was initiated by Nadler [20] in 1969. He introduced the concept of set-valued contraction mappings and extended the Banach contraction principle to set-valued mappings by using the Hausdorff metric. Since then various well known results for single valued contraction mappings have been extended for multivalued mappings (see, [9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 29] and references mentioned therein).

Arshad et al. [4] proved some results dealing with the fixed points of a mapping satisfying a contractive conditions on closed ball contained in a complete dislocated metric space. For more results in this direction, we refer to [4, 5] and references mentioned therein. These results are very useful in the sense that they require the contraction condition of the mapping only on the closed ball instead of the entire space.

Consistent with [2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 27, 29], the following definitions and results will be needed to derive the main results.

Unless stated otherwise from now onwards, X denotes dq b-metric space equipped with dq b-metric d_{qb} with constant $s \ge 1$. Suppose that

Let $S, T: X \to N(X)$. A point $x^* \in X$ is called:

(1) a fixed point of T if $x^* \in Tx^*$.

(2) a common fixed point of S and T if $x^* \in Sx^* \cap Tx^*$.

We denote by F(T) the set of fixed point of T. For $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $x \in X$, define

> $\delta_{qb}(A,B) = \sup\{d_{qb}(x,B) : x \in A\},\$ $\delta_{qb}(B,A) = \sup\{d_{qb}(y,A) : y \in B\}, \text{ and }\$ $H_{qb}(A,B) = \max\{\delta_{qb}(A,B), \delta_{qb}(B,A)\},\$

where

$$d_{qb}(x,B) = \inf\{d_{qb}(x,y) : y \in B\}$$

The function H_{qb} is called the Hausdorff dq b-metric on CB(X) induced by d_{qb} . Note that, $H_{qb}(A, B) \leq s(H_{qb}(A, C) + H_{qb}(C, B))$. Also, $H_{qb}(A, B) = 0$ implies that A = B. Furthermore, $(CB(X), H_{qb})$ is complete if (X, d_{qb}) is complete.

Definition 1.8 Let X be a nonempty set and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. A mapping $T : X \to N(X)$ is called α -dominated on X if for each $x \in X$, we have $\alpha(x, u) \ge 1$ for any $u \in Tx$.

T is α -dominated on $A \subseteq X$ if for each $x \in A$, we have $\alpha(x, u) \ge 1$ for any $u \in Tx$.

Example 1.9 Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define the mapping $T : X \to N(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x+2}{2} \right\} & \text{if } x \in \{0, 2\}, \\ \left\{ x - 1, x \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha: X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ as:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} e^{x+y} & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that, $T0 = \{0, 1\}$, $T1 = \{0, 1\}$, and $T2 = \{1, 2\}$. Note that for each $x \in X$, $\alpha(x, u) \ge 1$ for any $u \in Tx$ and hence T is α -dominated mapping on X.

Example 1.10 Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Define $T : X \to N(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{4}] & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ [\frac{x}{2}, x] & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ \{x\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha: X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ as:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} \cosh(x+y) \text{ if } x, y \in [0,1],\\ \tanh(x^2+y^2) \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $x \in X$, the set Tx is closed subset of X. Clearly, T is α -dominated mapping on [0,1]. Indeed, Case 1.11 (1) If $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then $Tx = [0, \frac{x}{4}] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{8}]$. Hence $\alpha(x, y) = \cosh(x + y) \ge 1$ for all $y \in Tx$. Case 1.12 (2) If $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then $Tx = [\frac{x}{2}, x] \subseteq (\frac{1}{4}, 1]$. Hence $\alpha(x, y) = \cosh(x + y) \ge 1$ for all $y \in Tx$. Case 1.13 (3) If $x \in (1, \infty)$, then $Tx = \{x\} \subseteq (1, \infty)$. Hence $\alpha(x, y) = \tanh(x^2 + y^2) < 1$ for all $y \in Tx$.

We need the following analogous lemmas [20] in the framework of dq b metric spaces. For sake of completeness, we give the proofs.

Lemma 1.14 Let $A, B \in CB(X)$. If $a \in A$, then $d_{qb}(a, B) \leq H_{qb}(A, B)$.

Proof. $d_{qb}(a, B) \leq \sup\{d_{qb}(x, B) : x \in A\} = \delta_{qb}(A, B) \leq H_{qb}(A, B)$.

Lemma 1.15 If $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then for $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $d_{qb}(a,b) \leq H_{qb}(A,B) + \varepsilon$.

Proof. Assume on contrary that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $b \in B$, we have

$$d_{qb}(a,b) > H_{qb}(A,B) + \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$d_{qb}(a,B) = \inf\{d_{qb}(a,b) : b \in B\} \ge H_{qb}(A,B) + \varepsilon \ge \delta_{qb}(A,B) + \varepsilon$$
$$= \sup\{d_{qb}(x,B) : x \in A\} + \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.16 Let $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $\mu > 1$. Then for every $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a,b) \leq \mu H_{qb}(A,B)$.

Proof. Suppose that A = B and $a \in A$. Then, we have

$$H_{qb}(A, B) = H_{qb}(A, A) = \delta_{qb}(A, A) = \sup\{d_{qb}(x, x) : x \in A\},\$$

and hence

$$d_{qb}(a,a) \le \sup\{d_{qb}(x,x) : x \in A\} = H_{qb}(A,B) \le \mu H_{qb}(A,B).$$

Thus b = a satisfies $d_{qb}(a, b) \leq \mu H_{qb}(A, B)$. Let $A \neq B$. Assume that there exists $a \in A$ such that $d_{qb}(a, b) > \mu H_{qb}(A, B)$ for all $b \in B$. Then,

$$d_{qb}(a, B) = \inf\{d_{qb}(a, z) : z \in B\} \ge \mu H_{qb}(A, B)$$

Note that

$$H_{qb}(A,B) \ge \delta_{qb}(A,B) = \sup\{d_{qb}(x,B) : x \in A\} \ge d_{qb}(a,B) \ge \mu H_{qb}(A,B)$$

As H_{qb} is dislocated and $A \neq B$, $H_{qb}(A, B) \neq 0$. Thus $\mu \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Lemma 1.17 Let $A, B \in C(X)$ and $\mu \ge 1$. Then for every $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a,b) \le \mu H_{qb}(A,B)$.

From the viewpoint of application of contraction mapping, it is possible that a mapping T defined on the space X satisfies contractive condition on the subset Y of the space X rather than on the entire space X. In addition the contraction mapping under consideration may not be continuous. In this paper, we establish the existence of common fixed points for multivalued α -dominated mappings which are assumed to satisfy contractive conditions only on a closed ball in dq b-metric spaces. We also obtain certain fixed point and common fixed point theorems of multivalued mappings on a complete dq b-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation. We apply our results to prove the existence of fixed point and common fixed point of single valued mappings in the setup of dislocated quasi b- metric spaces.

2 Main results

In this section, we present some results dealing with the existence of common fixed point for α -dominated multivalued mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions on closed balls in the framework of left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric spaces.

We start with the following result.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. If

(i) for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \tag{3}$$

and

$$H_{qb}(Tx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Tx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)], \tag{4}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$.

(ii) there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$
(5)

holds, where
$$k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$$
 and $sk < 1$.

(iii) either

- (a) S, T are continuous or
- (b) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ as $n \to \infty$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- Then S and T have a common fixed point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. If, in addition, S and T are compact valued mappings, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. Let x_0 be a given point in X and $x_1 \in Sx_0$. Note that,

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r \le r.$$
 (6)

Hence $x_1 \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$kd_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta} \le k^2(1-sk)r \tag{7}$$

holds. Since $x_1 \in Sx_0$, and S is α -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$. By Lemma 1.15, there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) \le H_{qb}(Sx_0, Tx_1) + \varepsilon.$$

It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{array}{lcl} d_{qb}(x_{1},x_{2}) &\leq & \lambda d_{qb}(x_{0},x_{1}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{0},Sx_{0}) + d_{qb}(x_{1},Tx_{1})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq & \lambda d_{qb}(x_{0},x_{1}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{0},x_{1}) + d_{qb}(x_{1},x_{2})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq & (\lambda + \beta) d_{qb}(x_{0},x_{1}) + \beta d_{qb}(x_{1},x_{2}) + \varepsilon, \end{array}$$

that is,

$$d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) \le k d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta}$$

which further implies that

$$d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) \le k^2 (1 - sk)r.$$
(8)

Thus from (5) and (8), we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_{qb}(x_0, x_2) &\leq& s\{d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + d_{qb}(x_1, x_2)\}\\ &\leq& sk(1 - sk)r + sk^2(1 - sk)r\\ &\leq& sk(1 - sk)r + (sk)^2(1 - sk)r\\ &\leq& sk(1 - sk)r[1 + sk]\\ &\leq& sk(1 - sk)r[1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots]\\ &=& (sk)(1 - sk)r\frac{1}{1 - sk} \leq r \end{array}$$

which shows that $x_2 \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$k^{2}d_{qb}(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta}(1+k) \le k^{3}(1-sk)r$$
(9)

holds. By Lemma 1.15, there exists $x_3 \in Sx_2$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_2, x_3) \le H_{qb}(Tx_1, Sx_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $x_2 \in Tx_1$, and T is α -dominated mapping, we get $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \ge 1$. It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x_2, x_3) &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_1, Tx_1) + d_{qb}(x_2, Sx_2)] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + d_{qb}(x_2, x_3)] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq (\lambda + \beta) d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + \beta d_{qb}(x_2, x_3) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x_2, x_3) &\leq k d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta} \\ &\leq k (k d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta} \\ &\leq k^2 d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta} (1 + k) \end{aligned}$$

which further implies that

$$d_{qb}(x_2, x_3) \le k^3 (1 - sk)r.$$
(10)

Thus from (5), (8) and (10), we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} d_{qb}(x_0, x_3) &\leq & s\{d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + d_{qb}(x_1, x_3)\} \\ &\leq & s\{d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + s(d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + d_{qb}(x_2, x_3))\} \\ &\leq & sk(1 - sk)r + s^2k^2(1 - sk)r + s^2k^3(1 - sk)r \\ &\leq & sk(1 - sk)r + (sk)^2(1 - sk)r + s^3k^3(1 - sk)r \\ &\leq & sk(1 - sk)r[1 + sk + (sk)^2] \\ &\leq & sk(1 - sk)r[1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots] \\ &= & (sk)(1 - sk)r\frac{1}{1 - sk} \leq r \end{array}$$

which shows that $x_3 \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Let $x_{2i} \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_{2i} \in Tx_{2i-1}$ and

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) \le k^{2i-1} d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{2i-2} k^p \le k^{2i}(1-sk)r.$$
(11)

Now choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$k^{2i}d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{2i-1} k^p \le k^{2i+1}(1-sk)r.$$
(12)

Since $x_{2i} \in Tx_{2i-1}$ and T is α -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) \ge 1$. By Lemma 1.15, there exists $x_{2i+1} \in Sx_{2i}$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \le H_{qb}(Tx_{2i-1}, Sx_{2i}) + \varepsilon.$$

It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, Tx_{2i-1}) + d_{qb}(x_{2i}, Sx_{2i})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) + d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq (\lambda + \beta) d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) + \beta d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \le k d_{qb}(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta}$$

It follows from (11) that

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \le k^{2i} d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta} \sum_{p=0}^{2i-1} k^p.$$
(13)

Now by (12), we get

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \le k^{2i+1}(1-sk)r.$$
(14)

Since $x_{2i+1} \in Sx_{2i}$ and S is α -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we obtain $\alpha(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) \ge 1$. Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$k^{2i+1}d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{2i} k^p \le k^{2i+2}(1-sk)r.$$
(15)

By Lemma 1.15, there exists $x_{2i+2} \in Tx_{2i+1}$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le H_{qb}(Sx_{2i}, Tx_{2i+1}) + \varepsilon.$$

Using inequality (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{2i}, Sx_{2i}) + d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, Tx_{2i+1})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \beta [d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2})] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq (\lambda + \beta) d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \beta d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le k d_{qb}(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta}$$

which further implies that

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le k^{2i+1} d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta} \sum_{p=0}^{2i} k^p$$

Now by (14), we get

$$d_{qb}(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le k^{2i+2}(1-sk)r.$$
(16)

Thus, for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$d_{qb}(x_j, x_{j+1}) \le k^{j+1} (1 - sk)r.$$
(17)

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x_0, x_{j+1}) &\leq sd_{qb}(x_0, x_1) + s^2 d_{qb}(x_1, x_2) + \ldots + s^{j-1} d_{qb}(x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}) + s^j d_{qb}(x_{j-1}, x_j) + s^j d_{qb}(x_j, x_{j+1}) \\ &\leq sk(1 - sk)r + s^2 k^2 (1 - sk)r + \ldots + s^{j-1} k^{j-1} (1 - sk)r + s^j k^j (1 - sk)r + s^j k^{j+1} (1 - sk)r \\ &\leq sk(1 - sk)r + s^2 k^2 (1 - sk)r + \ldots + s^{j-1} k^{j-1} (1 - sk)r + s^j k^j (1 - sk)r + s^{j+1} k^{j+1} (1 - sk)r \\ &\leq sk(1 - sk)r \left\{ 1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots + (sk)^{j-2} + (sk)^{j-1} + (sk)^j \right\} \\ &\leq sk(1 - sk)r \left\{ 1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots + (sk)^{j-2} + (sk)^{j-1} + (sk)^j + \ldots \right\} \\ &\leq sk(1 - sk)r \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - sk} \right\} \leq r, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x_{j+1} \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Hence by induction $x_n \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Also $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ and inequality (17) can be written as

$$d_{qb}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le k^{n+1}(1 - sk)r \tag{18}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now

$$\begin{array}{lll} d_{qb}(x_n, x_{n+m}) &\leq & sd_{qb}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 d_{qb}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \ldots + s^{m-1} d_{qb}(x_{n+m-2}, x_{n+m-1}) + s^{m-1} d_{qb}(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m}) \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}(1 - sk)r + s^2 k^{n+2}(1 - sk)r + \ldots + s^{m-1} k^{n+m-1}(1 - sk)r + s^{m-1} k^{n+m}(1 - sk)r \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}(1 - sk)r + s^2 k^{n+2}(1 - sk)r + \ldots + s^{m-1} k^{n+m-1}(1 - sk)r + s^m k^{n+m}(1 - sk)r \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}(1 - sk)r \left\{ 1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots + (sk)^{m-2} + (sk)^{m-1} \right\} \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}(1 - sk)r \left\{ 1 + sk + (sk)^2 + \ldots + (sk)^{m-2} + (sk)^{m-1} + \ldots \right\} \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}(1 - sk)r \frac{1}{1 - sk} \\ &\leq & sk^{n+1}r. \end{array}$$

Hence $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d_{qb}(x_n, x_{n+m}) = 0$ and we get that $\{x_n\}$ is a left K-Cauchy sequence in $(B_{qb}[x_0, r], d_{qb})$. As every closed ball in a complete dq b-metric space is complete, so $(B_{qb}[x_0, r], d_{qb})$ is left K-sequentially complete, there exists a point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{qb}(x_n, x^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_{qb}(x^*, x_n) = 0.$$
 (19)

Suppose that condition (iii) (a) holds: Note that

$$d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) \leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+1}) + d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, Sx^*)\} \\ \leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+1}) + H_{qb}(Sx_{2n}, Sx^*)\}.$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of above inequality, we obtain that $d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) \leq 0$. That is, $d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) = 0$ and hence $x^* \in Sx^*$. Similarly,

$$d_{qb}(x^*, Tx^*) \le s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + d_{qb}(x_{2n+2}, Tx^*)\}.$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of above inequality, we obtain that $d_{qb}(x^*, Tx^*) \leq 0$ gives that $x^* \in Tx^*$. Next, we suppose that condition (iii) (b) holds: As $\alpha(x_n, x^*) \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) &\leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + d_{qb}(x_{2n+2}, Sx^*)\} \\ &\leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + H_{qb}(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx^*)\} \\ &\leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, x^*) + \beta[d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*)]\} \\ &\leq s\{d_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + \lambda d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, x^*) + \beta[d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*)]\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1-s\beta)d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) \le sd_{qb}(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + s\lambda d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, x^*) + s\beta d_{qb}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of above inequality, we obtain $(1 - s\beta)d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) \leq 0$. This implies that $d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) = 0$ Hence $x^* \in Sx^*$. Similarly, $x^* \in Tx^*$. Since S and T are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x^*, x^*) \geq 1$. Now if S and T are compact valued mappings, then by Lemma 1.17 with $\mu = 1$, we have

$$d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) \leq H_{qb}(Sx^*, Tx^*)$$

$$\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) + \beta [d_{qb}(x^*, Sx^*) + d_{qb}(x^*, Tx^*)]$$

which implies that $(1 - \lambda - 2\beta)d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) \leq 0$ and hence $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Remark 2.2 (i) Mappings S and T satisfying the contractive conditions (3) and (4) are not necessarily continuous.

(ii) Mappings S and T satisfying the contractive conditions (3) are Suzuki type contraction mappings on a closed ball. Indeed, if for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\frac{1}{2} \min\{d_{qb}(x, Sx), d_{qb}(y, Ty)\} \leq d_{qb}(x, y)$, we have

 $H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)].$

Then define $\alpha(x,y) = d_{qb}(x,y) - \frac{1}{2}\min\{d_{qb}(x,Sx), d_{qb}(y,Ty)\} + 1$ for all $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0,r]$, then we have $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$, which further implies that

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)]$$

Example 2.3 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + \frac{|x|^2}{18}$$
 for all $x, y \in X$.

Define the mappings $S, T: X \to CB(X)$ by

$$Sx = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\frac{2x}{3}\} \ \textit{if} \ x \in [0,1], \\ \{x+1\} \ \textit{if} \ x \in (1,\infty) \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{3}] & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ [x, 2x] & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Note that (X, d_{qb}) is a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric with s = 2. If $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $r = \frac{1}{2}$, then $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, 1]$. Define $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x-y|} & \text{if } x, y \in [0,1] \text{ and } x \neq y \\ 1 & \text{if } x, y \in [0,1] \text{ and } x = y, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly S, T are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ but not on $X - B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and satisfy the inequalities (3) and (4) on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $k = \frac{1}{3}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{5}$, and $\beta = \frac{1}{10}$. Also, for $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3} = x_1 \in Sx_0 = \{\frac{2x_0}{3}\}$, we have $d_{qb}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{24} \leq \frac{1}{3}(1 - 2(\frac{1}{3}))\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{18} = k(1 - sk)r$. For any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ as $n \to \infty$, we obtain that $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Hence $x^* = 0$ is the common fixed point of S and T in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. As Sx and Tx are compact sets for each $x \in X$, we have $d_{qb}(0, 0) = 0$.

Corollary 2.4 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $S : X \to CB(X)$ is α -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Sx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$$

$$\tag{20}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$
(21)

where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$ and sk < 1. Then S has a fixed point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ provided that S is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, if S is compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. If S = T in Theorem 2.1, we obtain $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $x^* \in Sx^*$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Corollary 2.5 Let (X, d_q) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $S, T : X \to CB(X)$ are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$\alpha(x,y)H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \tag{22}$$

and

$$\alpha(x,y)H_{qb}(Tx,Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Tx) + d_{qb}(y,Sy)], \tag{23}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If, there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$

where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$ and sk < 1. Then S and T have a common fixed point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ provided that either S, T are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, if S, and T are compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

In Theorem 2.1, the condition (5) restricts the conditions (3) and (4) to $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. If we relax the condition (5) and consider the conditions (3) and (4) for all elements x, y in X, then we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $S, T : X \to CB(X)$ are α -dominated mappings on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \qquad (24)$$

and

$$H_{qb}(Tx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Tx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$$

$$\tag{25}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If either S, and T are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in X$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then S and T have a common fixed point $x^* \in X$. Moreover, if S and T are compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and choose r > 0 such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r$$

for $x_1 \in Sx_0$, where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1. The result then follows from Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.7 If $X = \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $d_{qb}(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + \frac{|x|}{2} + \frac{|y|}{3}$. Then (X, d_{qb}) is a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with s = 2. Define the mappings $S, T : X \to CB(X)$ by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{Q}^+ | 3 < z^2 < 5\} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \\ \{x\} & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{Q}^+ | 4 \le z^2 < 5\} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \\ \{\frac{x}{2}\} & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ as:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} \ln(x+y+e) & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the mappings S, T are α -dominated mappings on X and satisfy inequalities (24) and (25) for any $x, y \in X$. Moreover, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, all conditions of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied and we obtain x^* in X such that $x^* \in Sx^* \cap Tx^* = \{x^* \in \mathbb{Q}^+ | 4 \le (x^*)^2 < 5\} \cup \{0\}$. As S and T are not compact valued, $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ does not hold for some $x^* \in Sx^* \cap Tx^*$. For example, $2 \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $2 \in S2 \cap T2 = \{y \in \mathbb{Q}^+ | 4 \le y^2 < 5\}$ but $d_{qb}(2, 2) = |2-2|^2 + \frac{|2|}{2} + \frac{|2|}{3} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \neq 0$.

Corollary 2.8 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $S : X \to CB(X)$ is α -dominated mapping on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Sx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$$

$$(26)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If S is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in X$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then S has a fixed point x^* in X. Moreover, if S is compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.9 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $S, T : X \to CB(X)$. Suppose that for any x, y in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)],$$

and

 $H_{qb}(Tx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Tx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$

where $\lambda + 2\beta \in [0, 1)$. If there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$

where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$ and sk < 1. Then S and T have a common fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Moreover, if S and T are compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. If, we define $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all x, y in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Then the result follows from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.10 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $S : X \to CB(X)$. Suppose that for any x, y in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Sx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$$

where $\lambda + 2\beta \in [0, 1)$. If there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$

where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$ and sk < 1. Then S has a fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Moreover, if S is compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Corollary 2.11 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space and $S : X \to CB(X)$. If for any x, y in X, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Sx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)],$$

$$(27)$$

where $\lambda + 2\beta \in [0, 1)$. Then S has a fixed point x^* in X. Moreover, if S is compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Example 2.12 If $X = [0, \infty)$ and $d_{qb}(x, y) = |x - y|^2$. Then (X, d_{qb}) is a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with s = 2. Define the mappings $S : X \to CB(X)$ by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{3}] & if [0, 1], \\ [\frac{2x}{3}, \frac{3x}{4}] & if x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

If $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $r = \frac{1}{2}$, then $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, 1]$. Define $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ as:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2 & if x, y \in [0,1], \\ \frac{4}{3} & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the mapping S is α -dominated mapping on X. For $\lambda = \frac{1}{9}$, $\beta = \frac{2}{9}$, and $k = \frac{3}{7}$, the mapping S satisfies inequality (27) for any $x, y \in X$. Thus, all conditions of Corollary 2.11 are satisfied and S has a fixed point $x^* = 0$. Also, $d_{qb}(0,0) = 0$.

Remark 2.13 Note that, dislocated quasi metric, dislocated b-metric, quasi b-metric, partial b-metric, bmetric, dislocated metric, quasi metric, partial metric and ordinary metric versions of our results are also new in the literature.

3 Applications on a complete dq b-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation

In this section, we study the necessary conditions for existence of common fixed point of mappings defined on left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric spaces endowed with an arbitrary binary relation \mathcal{R} .

Let us recall the following definitions and known results.

Definition 3.1 (See [19, 28]) Let X be a nonempty set. A subset \mathcal{R} of X^2 is called a binary relation on X. For each pair $x, y \in X$, we say that "x is \mathcal{R} -related to y" or "x relates to y under \mathcal{R} " if and only if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(x, y) \notin \mathcal{R}$ means that "x is not \mathcal{R} -related to y" or "x does not relate to y under \mathcal{R} ".

Notice that X^2 and \emptyset being subsets of X^2 are binary relations on X, which are called universal relation (or full relation) and empty relation respectively.

Definition 3.2 [1] Let \mathcal{R} be a binary relation defined on a nonempty set X. Then any pair of points x, y in X is called \mathcal{R} -comparative if either $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ or $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$, which is written as $[x, y] \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition 3.3 [19] A binary relation \mathcal{R} defined on a nonempty set X is called (i) reflexive if $(x, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ $\forall x \in X$; (ii) irreflexive if $(x, x) \notin \mathcal{R}$ for some $x \in X$; (iii) symmetric if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ $\forall x, y \in X$; (iv) antisymmetric if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$; (v) transitive if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ imply $(x, z) \in \mathcal{R} \forall x, y, z \in X$; (vi) preorder if \mathcal{R} is reflexive and transitive; (vii) partial order if \mathcal{R} is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive.

We set

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{R}} = \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : [x, y] \in \mathcal{R} \}.$$
(28)

Definition 3.4 [1] Let X be a nonempty set and \mathcal{R} a binary relation on X. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is called \mathcal{R} -preserving if $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 3.5 Let X be a nonempty set, and \mathcal{R} a binary relation on X. A multivalued mapping $T: X \to N(X)$ is called \mathcal{R} -dominated mapping on X if for each $x \in X$, we have $(x, u) \in \mathcal{R}$ for some $u \in Tx$. In particular T is \mathcal{R} -dominated mapping on $A \subseteq X$ if for each $x \in A$, we have $(x, u) \in \mathcal{R}$ for some $u \in Tx$.

Theorem 3.6 Let (X, d_{qb}, \mathcal{R}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $S, T : X \to CB(X)$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) S and T are \mathcal{R} -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$;
- (ii) there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \le \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B_{qb}[x_0, r] \times B_{qb}[x_0, r] \cap \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}$, we have

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)],$$
(29)

and

$$H_{qb}(Tx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Tx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)], \tag{30}$$

(iii) there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r, \tag{31}$$

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1.

- (iii) either S, and T are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is \mathcal{R} -preserving and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $(x_n, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- Then S and T have a common fixed point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Moreover, if S, and T are compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. If we define the mapping $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } (x,y) \in \nabla_{\mathcal{R}} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and hence the result.

Remark 3.7 Similar result as the above theorem can be established if the binary relation \mathcal{R} is \mathcal{R} -reversing. **Example 3.8** Let X = [0,1] and $d_{ab} : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ be defined by

$$d_{ab}(x,y) = |x-y|^2.$$

It is clear that (X, d_{qb}) is a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric with s = 2. As $d_{qb}(1, 0) \nleq d_{qb}(1, \frac{1}{2}) + d_{qb}(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, so (X, d_{qb}) is not a metric space. Define the mappings $S, T: X \to CB(X)$ by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \{0, \frac{x}{3}\} & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \{\frac{x}{2}\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{3}] & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \{\frac{x}{4}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the binary relation calR as:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(x,y) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]^2 : 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}, \ 0 \le y \le x\}.$$

If, $x_0 = \frac{1}{4}$, $x_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, and $r = \frac{1}{4}$, then $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Note that, for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) &= \left|\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{9}d_{qb}(x,y) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \text{ and} \\ H_{qb}(Tx,Sy) &= \left|\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{9}d_{qb}(x,y) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Tx) + d_{qb}(y,Sy)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S and T satisfy inequalities (29) and (30) for $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{9}$ and $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Also, S and T are \mathcal{R} -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. For $\frac{1}{6} \leq k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, we have, $d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \leq k(1-sk)r$. The mappings S and T are continuous. Indeed, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Then, $H_{qb}(Sx_n, Sx) = H_{qb}(\{0, \frac{x_n}{3}\}, \{0, \frac{x}{3}\}) = \left|\frac{x_n}{3} - \frac{x}{3}\right|^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, we have $H_{qb}(Tx_n, Tx) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.6 are satisfied for on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Moreover, $x^* = 0$ is a common fixed point of S and T and d(0, 0) = 0.

In example 3.8, the relation \mathcal{R} is a partial order but Theorem 3.6 holds for any relation \mathcal{R} .

Definition 3.9 Let X be a nonempty set. Then (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) is called a partially ordered dq b-metric space if (X, d) is a dq b-metric space and (X, \preceq) a partially ordered space.

Definition 3.10 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered dq b-metric space. We say that $T: X \to CB(X)$ is \preceq -dominated mapping if for each $x \in X$, we have $x \preceq u$ for any $u \in Tx$.

Definition 3.11 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered dq b-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ is called \preceq -preserving if $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Corollary 3.12 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and S and $T, \preceq -dominated$ mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B_{qb}[x_0, r] \times B_{qb}[x_0, r] \cap \bigtriangledown_{\preceq}$, the following conditions hold:

$$H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \tag{32}$$

and

$$H_{qb}(Tx, Sy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, Tx) + d_{qb}(y, Sy)].$$

$$(33)$$

If there exists $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that

Ì

$$d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$
(34)

where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1. Then S and T have a common fixed point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ provided that either S, and T are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is \leq -preserving and $x_n \rightarrow x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, if S, and T are compact valued, then $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Example 3.13 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $d_{qb} : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + \frac{|x|}{16}.$$

As $d_{qb}(2,0) \nleq d_{qb}(2,1) + d_{qb}(1,0)$, so (X, d_{qb}) is not a metric space. Define the mappings $S, T: X \to CB(X)$ by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{2}] & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ [\frac{2x}{3}, \frac{4x}{5}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [0, \frac{x}{4}] & if \ x \in [0, 1], \\ \{\frac{x}{8}\} & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Define a relation \leq as:

$$\preceq = \{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : x \le y \}$$

Note that (X, d_{qb}) is a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric with s = 2. If, $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $x_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, and $r = \frac{1}{2}$, then $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, 1]$. Note that, for any $x \leq y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{qb}(Sx,Ty) &= \left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{4}\right|^2 + \frac{|x|}{32} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}d_{qb}(x,y) + \frac{1}{16}\left[d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)\right] \\ &= \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta[d_{qb}(x,Sx) + d_{qb}(y,Ty)], \text{ and} \\ H_{qb}(Tx,Sy) &= \left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{2}\right|^2 + \frac{|x|}{64} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}d_{qb}(x,y) + \frac{1}{16}\left[d_{qb}(x,Tx) + d_{qb}(y,Sy)\right] \\ &= \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta[d_{qb}(x,Tx) + d_{qb}(y,Sy)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S and T satisfy inequalities (29) and (30) on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ for $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{16}$. Also, S and T are $\preceq -$ dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. For $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta} = \frac{1}{3}$, we have, $d_{qb}(x_0, x_1) \leq k(1 - sk)r$. For any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is $\preceq -$ preserving and $x_n \rightarrow x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $x_n \preceq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, all the conditions of corollary 3.12 are satisfied on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Moreover, $x^* = 0$ is a common fixed point of S and T and d(0, 0) = 0.

4 Application to single valued mappings

In this section we obtain several common fixed point results of single valued mappings in the setup of left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric spaces. These results extend, unify and generalize the results in [30, Theorem 2.2] and [4, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 respectively].

Theorem 4.1 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$d_{qb}(fx,gy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)], \tag{35}$$

and

$$d_{qb}(gx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)],$$

$$(36)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r, \tag{37}$$

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$. Then there exists a point $x^* \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $x^* = fx^* = gx^*$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that f, g are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Define $S, T : X \to CB(X)$ as: $Sx = \{fx\}$ and $Tx = \{gx\}$. Note that S and T satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and hence have a common fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Thus, $x^* = fx^* = gx^*$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Example 4.2 Let $X = \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $d_{qb}(x, y) = x + 2y + |x - y|^2$. Then (X, d_{qb}) is a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with s = 2. Define the mappings $f, g : X \to X$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \in [0,4] \cap X, \\ 2x & \text{if } x \in (4,\infty) \cap X \end{cases}$$

and

$$gx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4} \text{ if } x \in [0,4] \cap X, \\ 3x \text{ if } x \in (4,\infty) \cap X \end{cases}$$

Define $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ as:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2x + y + 1 & \text{if } x \in [0,4] \cap X, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in (4,\infty) \cap X \end{cases}$$

If, $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and r = 9, then $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, 4] \cap X$. Note that f, g are α -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Also, $\lambda = \frac{1}{24}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{6}$ give that $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta} = \frac{1}{4}$ and

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) = d_{qb}(\frac{1}{2}, f\frac{1}{2}) = d_{qb}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}) = \frac{17}{16}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}(1 - 2(\frac{1}{4}))9 = k(1 - sk)r.$$

When $x, y \in (4, \infty) \cap X$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(fx,gy) &= d_{qb}(2x,3y) = 2x + 6y + |2x - 3y|^2 \\ &> \frac{1}{24} \left[x + 2y + |x - y|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[5x + 4x^2 + 7y + 4y^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{24} d_{qb}(x,y) + \frac{1}{6} \left[d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy) \right] \\ &= \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta \left[d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(gx, fy) &= d_{qb}(3x, 2y) = 3x + 4y + |3x - 2y|^2 \\ &> \frac{1}{24} \left[x + 2y + |x - y|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[7x + 4x^2 + 5y + 4y^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{24} d_{qb}(x, y) + \frac{1}{6} \left[d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy) \right] \\ &= \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta \left[d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So the contractive conditions do not hold on X. However, for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(fx,gy) &= d_{qb}(\frac{x}{2},\frac{y}{4}) = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} + \left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{4}\right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \left[x + 2y + |x - y|^2\right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[2x + \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{9}{16}y^2\right] \\ &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta \left[d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qb}(gx, fy) &= d_{qb}(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{y}{2}) = \frac{x}{4} + y + \left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{2}\right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \left[x + 2y + |x - y|^2\right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{3}{2}x + \frac{9}{16}x^2 + 2y + \frac{1}{4}y^2\right] \\ &\leq \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta \left[d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Moreover, $x^* = 0$ is a common fixed point of f and g in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and $d_{qb}(0, 0) = 0$.

Corollary 4.3 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $f, g : X \to X$ are α -dominated mappings on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$d_{qb}(fx,gy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)], \tag{38}$$

and

$$d_{qb}(gx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)]$$

$$\tag{39}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If, either f, g are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfying $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in X$ give that $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then f and g have a common fixed point $x^* \in X$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Corollary 4.4 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $f : X \to X$ is α -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that for any $x, y \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$d_{qb}(fx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, fx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)],$$
(40)

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$
(41)

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{1-\beta}$. Then f has a fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ imply that $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Corollary 4.5 Let (X, d_{qb}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $f : X \to X$ is α -dominated mapping on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have

$$d_{qb}(fx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, fx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)],$$

$$\tag{42}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$. If, either f is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x_n \to x \in X$ imply that $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then f has a fixed point $x^* \in X$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and choose r > 0 such that

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r$$

where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$. The result follows from Corollary 4.4.

Theorem 4.6 Let (X, d_{qb}, \mathcal{R}) be a left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$ and $f, g : X \to X$ be \mathcal{R} -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B_{qb}[x_0, r] \times B_{qb}[x_0, r] \cap \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}$, we have

 $d_{qb}(fx,gy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)],$

and

$$d_{qb}(gx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)]$$

 $I\!f$

 $d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r,$

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1. Then f and g have a common fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f, and g are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is \mathcal{R} -preserving and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $(x_n, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.1. ■

Corollary 4.7 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with $x_0 \in X$, f and g be \preceq -dominated mappings on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B_{qb}[x_0, r] \times B_{qb}[x_0, r] \cap \bigtriangledown \preceq$, we have

$$d_{qb}(fx,gy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)],$$

and

$$d_{qb}(gx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)]$$

If

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1. Then f and g have a common fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f, and g are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is $\leq -p$ reserving and $x_n \to x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.6.

Example 4.8 Let $X = [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Define $d_{qb} : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$d_{qb}(x,y) = |x-y|^2 + x + 2y$$

Define the order \preceq on X as $x \preceq y$ if $d_{qb}(y, y) \leq d_{qb}(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) is a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space with s = 2. Let $f, g: X \to X$ be defined by

$$fx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{8} & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \\ x + \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x \in (1,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

and

$$gx = \begin{cases} \frac{3x}{8} & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \\ x + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in (1,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

For $x_0 = 1$, r = 27, $\lambda = \frac{1}{6}$, and $\beta = \frac{1}{8}$, we have $k = \frac{1}{3}$, $B_{qb}[x_0, r] = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) = \frac{129}{64} \leq 3 = k(1 - sk)r$. Clearly, f and g are $\leq -$ dominated mappings and the contractive conditions hold on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Therefore, all the conditions of Corollary 4.7 are satisfied. Moreover, 0 is the common fixed point of f and g and $d_{qb}(0, 0) = 0$.

Corollary 4.9 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, f and g be \preceq -dominated mappings on X. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in \bigtriangledown_{\preceq}$, we have,

$$d_{qb}(fx,gy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x,y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x,fx) + d_{qb}(y,gy)],$$

and

 $d_{qb}(gx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, gx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)].$

Then f and g have a common fixed point x^* in X and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f, and g are continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\{x_n\}$ is $\leq -p$ reserving and $x_n \to x \in X$, we have $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If in Corollary 4.7 we choose g = f, then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.10 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, f be \preceq -dominated mapping on $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B_{qb}[x_0, r] \times B_{qb}[x_0, r] \cap \bigtriangledown \preceq$, we have,

 $d_{qb}(fx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, fx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)].$

If

$$d_{qb}(x_0, fx_0) \le k(1 - sk)r,$$

holds, where $k = \frac{\lambda + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ and sk < 1. Then f has a fixed point x^* in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $B_{qb}[x_0, r]$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is $\leq -p$ reserving and $x_n \rightarrow x \in B_{qb}[x_0, r]$, we have $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If in Corollary 4.9, we choose g = f, then we obtain the following Corollary which in turn generalizes results in [24], [21], and [22].

Corollary 4.11 Let (X, d_{qb}, \preceq) be a partially ordered left K-sequentially complete dq b-metric space, f be \preceq -dominated mapping on X. Suppose that there exist some constants λ, β satisfying $0 \leq \lambda + 2\beta < 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in \bigtriangledown_{\preceq}$, we have,

$$d_{qb}(fx, fy) \le \lambda d_{qb}(x, y) + \beta [d_{qb}(x, fx) + d_{qb}(y, fy)].$$

Then f has a fixed point x^* in X and $d_{qb}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ provided that either f is continuous or for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\{x_n\}$ is $\leq -p$ reserving and $x_n \to x \in X$, we have $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 4.7. ■

References

- A. Alam, M. Imdad, *Relation-Theoretic contraction principle*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 17(4) 2015 693-702.
- [2] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain, P. Salimi, Fixed point and couple fixed point theorems in b-metric like space, J. Inequalities Appl. (2013), 2013:402.
- [3] T. V. An, L. Q. Tuyen, N.V. Dung, Stone-type theorem on b-metric spaces and applications, Topology and its application, 185-186 (2015) 50-64.
- [4] M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, I. Beg, Fixed point of a pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered complete dislocated metric space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 1–15 (2013).
- [5] A. Azam, M. Waseem, M. Rashid, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy contractive mappings in quasi-pseudometric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 2013:27.

- [6] I. A. Bakhtin, the contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, In: Functional Analysis, 30 (1989) 26-37.
- [7] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3 (1922) 133-181.
- [8] M. Boriceanu, Fixed Point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica, 3 (2009) 1-14.
- [9] Lj. Čirić, Fixed points for generalized multivalued contractions, Matematički Vesnik 9 (1972) 265–272.
- [10] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, 1 (1993) 5–11.
- [11] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell' Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, 46 (2) (1998) 263-276.
- [12] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, J. Electrical Engineering, 51 (12/s) (2000), 3-7.
- [13] P. Hitzler, Generalized Metrics and Topology in Logic Programming Semantics, Ph.D. Thesis, National University of Ireland (University College, Cork), 2001.
- [14] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points II, Am. Math. Mon. 76 (1969) 405-408.
- [15] C. Klin-eam, C. Suanoom, Dislocated quasi b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2015) 2015:74.
- [16] A. Latif and D.T. Luc, Variational relation problems: existence of solutions and fixed points of set-valued contraction mappings, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2013), 2013:315
- [17] A. Latif and Afrah A. N. Abdou, Multivalued generalized nonlinear contractive maps and fixed points, Nonlinear Analysis, 74 (2011), 1436-1444
- [18] A. Latif and I. Tweddle, Some Results On Coincidence Points, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol. 59, No. 1 (1999), 111-117.
- [19] S. Lipschutz, Schaum's outlines of theory and problems of set theory and related topics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.
- [20] S.B. Nadler Jr., Multivalued contraction mappings, Pac. J. Math., 30 (1969) 475–488.
- [21] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005) 223-239.
- [22] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially oredered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl.Ser.) 23 (2007) 2205-2212.
- [23] M. U. Rahman, M. Sarwar, dislocated quasi b-metric space and fixed point theorems, Electronic Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 4(2) 2016 16-24.
- [24] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132 (2003) 1435-1443.
- [25] I. L. Reilly, P. V. Subrahmanyam, M. K. Vamanamurthy, Cauchy sequences in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces, Monatsh. Math. 93 (1982) 127–140.
- [26] J.R. Roshan, N. Hussain, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, Suzuki-type fixed point results in b-metric spaces, J. Math. Sci. 9 (2015) 153-160.
- [27] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, I. Altun, Some coincidence point results in ordered b-metric spaces and applications in a system of integral equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 226 (2014) 725–737.

- [28] B. Samet, M. Turinici, Fixed point theorems on a metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation and applications, Commun. Math. Anal. 13 (2012), 82–97.
- [29] M. H. Shah, N. Hussain, Nonlinear contractions in partially ordered quasi b-metric spaces, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 27(1) (2012) 117-128.
- [30] A. Shoaib, M. Arshadatjana, S. Radenović, *alpha dominated mappings, dislocated metric spaces and fixed point results*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. to be appeared.
- [31] W. A. Wilson, On quasi-metric spaces, Am. J. Math. 53(3), 675-684 (1931)
- [32] F. M. Zeyada, G. H. Hassan, M. A. Ahmed, A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasimetric spaces, Arab J. Sci. Eng. A31(1) (2006) 111–114.
- [33] C. X. Zhu, C. F. Chen, X. Zhang, Some results in quasi-b-metric-like spaces, J. Inequalities Appl. 2014, 437 (2014).