Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions

Lishan Liu[a,b,1], **Hongdan Li**[a], **Yonghong Wu**[b]

[a] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, People's Republic of China*

[b] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia*

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions. By using the properties of the Green function, the mixed monotone method and the fixed point theory in cones, we obtain the existence and uniqueness results for the problem. The results obtained herein generalize and improve some known results including singular and non-singular cases.

Keywords. Singular fractional differential equations; Riemann-Stieltjes integral boundary value problem; positive solution; fixed point theorem in cone.

AMS (MOS) subject classification: 34B16, 34B18, 47H05

1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions as follows

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) + p_1(t)f_1(t,u(t),v(t)) + q_1(t)g_1(t,u(t),v(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}v(t) + p_2(t)f_2(t,u(t),v(t)) + q_2(t)g_2(t,u(t),v(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, u(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 a(s)v(s)dA(s), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0, v(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 b(s)u(s)dB(s), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, n-1 < \alpha \leq n, m-1 < \beta \leq m$, $n,\ m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n,\ m \geq 2$, D_{0+}^{α} and D_{0+}^{β} denote the Riemann-Liouville derivatives of orders α and β, respectively. $p_i, q_i \in C((0,1),[0,\infty))$, $a, b \in C([0,1],[0,\infty))$, $f_i \in C((0,1)\times[0,\infty)\times(0,\infty),[0,\infty))$, $g_i \in C((0,1)\times(0,\infty)\times[0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ and $f_i(t,x,y)$ may be singular at $t = 0,1$ and $y = 0$, and $g_i(t,x,y)$ may be singular at $t = 0,1$ and $x = 0$ $(i = 1,2)$. $\int_0^1 a(s)v(s)dA(s), \int_0^1 b(s)u(s)dB(s)$ denote

[1]Corresponding author: Lishan Liu, School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, Peoples Republic of China. Tel.:86-537-4458275; Fax:86-537-4458275.

[2]E-mail addresses: mathlls@163.com(L. Liu), lhd200908@163.com(H. Li), yhwu@maths.curtin.edu.au(Y. Wu).

the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with a signed measure, that is, $A, B : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ are functions of boundary variation. By a positive solution of BVP(1.1), we mean a pair of functions $(u,v) \in C[0,1] \times C[0,1]$ satisfying BVP(1.1) with $u(t) > 0$ and $v(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0,1]$.

In recent years, boundary value problems for a coupled system of nonlinear differential equations have gained its popularity and importance due to its various applications in heat conduction, chemical engineering, underground water flow, thermo-elasticity and plasma physics. There have appeared some results for the existence of solutions or positive solutions of boundary value problems for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, see [1-11] and the references therein. Most of the results show that the equations have either single or multiple positive solutions.

In [12], Cui et al. investigated the following singular problem

$$\begin{cases} -x''(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), t \in (0,1), \\ -y''(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t)), t \in (0,1), \\ x(0) = \int_0^1 y(t)d\alpha(t), y(0) = \int_0^1 x(t)d\beta(t), \\ x(1) = y(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\int_0^1 y(t)d\alpha(t)$ and $\int_0^1 x(t)d\beta(t)$ denote the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals of y and x with respect to α and β, respectively; $f \in C((0,1) \times [0,\infty) \times (0,\infty), [0,\infty))$, $g \in C((0,1) \times (0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), [0,\infty))$ and $f(t,x,y)$ is nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y and may be singular at $t = 0, 1$ and $y = 0$, while $g(t,x,y)$ is nonincreasing in x and nondecreasing in y and may be singular at $t = 0, 1$ and $x = 0$.

In [13], Wang et al. considered the following singular fractional differential system with coupled boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha_1} u(t) + f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^{\alpha_2} v(t) + g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)} = 0, u(1) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 v(s)dA_1(s), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots = v^{(n-2)} = 0, v(1) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 u(s)dA_2(s), \end{cases}$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha_i \le n, n \ge 2$, and $D_{0+}^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard Riemann-Liouvill derivative. $f \in C((0,1) \times [0,\infty) \times (0,\infty), [0,\infty))$, $g \in C((0,1) \times (0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), [0,\infty))$ and $f(t,x,y)$ is nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y and may be singular at $t = 0, 1$ and $y = 0$, while $g(t,x,y)$ is nonincreasing in x and nondecreasing in y and may be singular at $t = 0, 1$ and $x = 0$. By using the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, they obtained the existence of a positive solution and the uniqueness of the positive solution under the condition $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

In [14], Henderson and Luca studied the system of nonlinear fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) + f(t, v(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, n-1 < \alpha \le n, \\ D_{0+}^{\beta}v(t) + g(t, u(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, m-1 < \beta \le m, \end{cases}$$

with the integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, u(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 u(s)dH(s), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0, v(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 v(s)dK(s), \end{cases}$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n,\ m \ge 2$, D_{0+}^{α} and D_{0+}^{β} denote the Riemann-Liouville derivatives of orders α and β respectively and $f, g : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are continuous and $f(t, 0) = g(t, 0) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. They obtained the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the above BVP by using the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem and some theorems from the fixed point index theory, but they did not discuss the uniqueness of positive solutions.

Motivated by the above mentioned work, the purpose of this article is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions under certain conditions on the functions f_i and g_i $(i = 1, 2)$. The main new features presented in this paper are as follows. Firstly, we divided the functions of the BVP into f_i and g_i so that the boundary value problem has a more general form. Secondly, D_{0+}^{α} and D_{0+}^{β} denote the Riemann-Liouville derivatives of orders α and β in which $\alpha \in (n-1, n], \beta \in (m-1, m], n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thirdly, if $dA(s) = dB(s) = ds$ or $h(s)ds$, then BVP(1.1) reduces to a multi-point boundary value problem as a special case. Fourthly, the nonlinearity is allowed to be singular in regard to time and space variable elements. In particular, for any $\alpha, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for singular fractional differential systems (1.1). The results obtained herein generalize and improve some known results including singular and non-singular cases.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the necessary definitions and properties to prove our main results, and obtain the corresponding Green function and some of its properties. In Section 3, we give the existence and uniqueness theorem for the positive solutions with respect to a cone for the BVP (1.1). In Section 4, as an application, an interesting example is presented to illustrate the main result. Conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

For the convenience of the reader, we present some notations and lemmas to be used in the proof of our main result. They also can be found in the literature [15-18].

Definition 2.1 *The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order* $\alpha > 0$ *of a function* $y : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is given by*

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds$$

provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.2 *The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order* $\alpha > 0$ *of a continuous function* $y : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is given by*

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \int_0^t \frac{y(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha-n+1}} ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, $[\alpha]$ *denotes the integer part of the number* α, *provided that the right hand side is pointwise defined on* $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.1 [18] *Let* $\alpha > 0$. *If we assume* $u \in C(0,1) \cap L(0,1)$, *then the fractional differential equation*

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = 0$$

has $u(t) = C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N}$, $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, N)$ *as the unique solution, where* $N = [\alpha] + 1$.

From the definition of the Riemann-Liouville derivative, we can obtain the statement.

Lemma 2.2 [18] *Assume that* $u \in C(0,1) \cap L(0,1)$ *with a fractional derivative of order* $\alpha > 0$ *that belongs to* $C(0,1) \cap L(0,1)$. *Then*

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = u(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N},$$

for some $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, N)$, *where* $N = [\alpha] + 1$.

In the following, we present the Green function of the fractional differential equation boundary value problem.

Lemma 2.3 *Let* $x, y \in C(0,1) \cap L^1(0,1)$ *be given functions. Then the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) + x(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, n-1 < \alpha \leq n, \\ D_{0+}^{\beta} v(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, m-1 < \beta \leq m, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, u(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 a(s) v(s) dA(s), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0, v(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 b(s) u(s) dB(s), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, n, $m \geq 2$, *is equivalent to*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)x(s)ds + \int_0^1 H_1(t,s)y(s)ds, \quad t \in [0,1], \\ v(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t,s)y(s)ds + \int_0^1 H_2(t,s)x(s)ds, \quad t \in [0,1], \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} G_1(t,s) = g_1(t,s) + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta} t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau), \\ H_1(t,s) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau), \\ G_2(t,s) = g_2(t,s) + \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta} t^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau), \\ H_2(t,s) = \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Delta} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau), \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$g_1(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} [t(1-s)]^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ [t(1-s)]^{\alpha-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

$$g_2(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} [t(1-s)]^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ [t(1-s)]^{\beta-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

in which $\Delta = 1 - \Delta_1\Delta_2 \neq 0$, *and* $\Delta_1 = \int_0^1 a(s)s^{\beta-1}dA(s), \Delta_2 = \int_0^1 b(s)s^{\alpha-1}dB(s)$.

Proof By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the solution of the system (2.1) is

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + \cdots + c_n t^{\alpha-n}, t \in [0,1], \\ v(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds + d_1 t^{\beta-1} + \cdots + d_m t^{\beta-m}, t \in [0,1], \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1,2,3,\cdots,n; j = 1,2,3,\cdots,m)$. By using the conditions $u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ and $v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0$, we obtain $c_2 = c_3 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ and $d_2 = d_3 = \cdots = d_m = 0$. Then, by (2.6) we conclude

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = c_1 t^{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds, t \in [0,1], \\ v(t) = d_1 t^{\beta-1} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds, t \in [0,1]. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.7) with the conditions $u(1) = \int_0^1 a(s)v(s)dA(s)$ and $v(1) = \int_0^1 b(s)u(s)dB(s)$, we deduce

$$\begin{cases} c_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds = \int_0^1 a(s)[d_1 s^{\beta-1} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\beta-1}y(\tau)d\tau]dA(s), \\ d_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds = \int_0^1 b(s)[c_1 s^{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}x(\tau)d\tau]dB(s), \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} c_1 - d_1 \int_0^1 a(s)s^{\beta-1}dA(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds, \\ d_1 - c_1 \int_0^1 b(s)s^{\alpha-1}dB(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

The above system in the unknowns c_1 and d_1 has the determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta =& \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\int_0^1 a(s)s^{\beta-1}dA(s) \\ -\int_0^1 b(s)s^{\alpha-1}dB(s) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ =& 1 - \left(\int_0^1 a(s)s^{\beta-1}dA(s)\right)\left(\int_0^1 b(s)s^{\alpha-1}dB(s)\right) \\ =& 1 - \Delta_1\Delta_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

So by (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 =& \frac{1}{\Delta}\Bigg[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds - \frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds\Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 =& \frac{1}{\Delta}\Bigg[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds - \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds + \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, combining (2.7) with (2.10) and (2.11), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) =& -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta}\Bigg[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds \\ & - \frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds\Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) =& -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds + \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Delta}\Bigg[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1}y(s)ds \\ & - \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds \\ & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds + \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) =&\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\Bigg[\int_0^t[t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}]x(s)ds\\
&+\int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds-\int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\Bigg]\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds-\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\Bigg[\int_0^1\int_0^1 a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\\
&-\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\Bigg]\\
=&\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\Bigg[\int_0^t[t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}]x(s)ds\\
&+\int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds-\frac{1}{\Delta}\int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\\
&+\frac{\Delta_1\Delta_2}{\Delta}\int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds+\frac{1}{\Delta}\int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\\
&-\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\Bigg]\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\Bigg[\int_0^1\int_0^1 a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds-\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\Bigg]\\
=&\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\Bigg\{\int_0^t[t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}]x(s)ds+\int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds+\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\\
&\Bigg[\int_0^1\int_0^1 b(\tau)(\tau)^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds-\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\Bigg[\int_0^1\int_0^1 a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds-\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) =&\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\Bigg\{\int_0^t[t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}]x(s)ds+\int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds+\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\\
&\Bigg[\int_0^1\int_0^s b(\tau)(\tau)^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds+\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau)^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\\
&-\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\left[\int_0^1\int_0^s a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\right.\\
&\left.+\int_s^1\int_0^1 a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds-\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\right]\\
=&\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\{\int_0^t[t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}]x(s)ds+\int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}x(s)ds\right.\\
&+\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\left[\int_0^1\int_0^s b(\tau)(\tau)^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}dB(\tau)x(s)ds\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\int_0^1\int_s^1 b(\tau)[\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\tau-s)^{\alpha-1}]dB(\tau)x(s)ds\right]\right\}\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\left[\int_0^1\int_0^s a(\tau)\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}dA(\tau)y(s)ds\right.\\
&\left.+\int_0^1\int_s^1 a(\tau)[\tau^{\beta-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1}-(\tau-s)^{\beta-1}]dA(\tau)y(s)ds\right]\\
=&\int_0^1 g_1(t,s)x(s)ds+\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau)x(s)ds\\
&+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta}\int_0^1\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau)y(s)ds\\
=&\int_0^1 G_1(t,s)x(s)ds+\int_0^1 H_1(t,s)y(s)ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.15}
$$

In a similar manner, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t)=&\int_0^1 g_2(t,s)y(s)ds+\frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta}t^{\beta-1}\int_0^1\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau)y(s)ds\\
&+\frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Delta}\int_0^1\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau)x(s)ds\\
=&\int_0^1 G_2(t,s)y(s)ds+\int_0^1 H_2(t,s)x(s)ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.16}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the expression (2.2) for the solution of problem (2.1). □

Lemma 2.4([19]) *The functions g_1 and g_2 given by (2.4) and (2.5) have the following properties:*

$$\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\le g_1(t,s)\le\frac{s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\left(or\quad\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)\quad\forall t,s\in[0,1],$$

$$\frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)s(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\le g_2(t,s)\le\frac{s(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-1)}\left(or\quad\frac{t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\right)\quad\forall t,s\in[0,1].$$

The following properties of the Green function play an important role in this paper.

Lemma 2.5 *The Green functions $G_i(t,s), H_i(t,s)$ $(i=1,2)$ defined by (2.3) have the following properties:*

(1) $G_i(t,s), H_i(t,s)$ *are continuous functions on* $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ *and* $G_i(t,s), H_i(t,s) \geq 0, s,t\in[0,1]$ $(i=1,2)$*;*

(2) $G_i(t,s) \leq k_1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_1}$ *(or* $k_1 t^{\gamma_1}$*),* $H_i(t,s) \leq k_1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_1}$ *(or* $k_1 t^{\gamma_1}$*),* $G_i(t,s) \geq k_2 t^{\gamma_2} s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}$*,* $H_i(t,s) \geq k_2 t^{\gamma_2} s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}$ $(i=1,2)$*, where*

$$k_1 = \max\left\{ \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\int_0^1 b(\tau)dB(\tau) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)},\ \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta-1)}\int_0^1 a(\tau)dA(\tau) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)},\right.$$
$$\left. \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\int_0^1 b(\tau)dB(\tau),\ \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta-1)}\int_0^1 a(\tau)dA(\tau)\right\},$$
$$k_2 = \min\left\{ \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\tau)b(\tau)dB(\tau),\ \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 \tau^{\beta-1}(1-\tau)a(\tau)dA(\tau),\right.$$
$$\left. \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^1 \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\tau)b(\tau)dB(\tau),\ \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)}\int_0^1 \tau^{\beta-1}(1-\tau)a(\tau)dA(\tau)\right\}$$

and $\gamma_1 = \min\{\alpha-1, \beta-1\}$, $\gamma_2 = \max\{\alpha-1, \beta-1\}$.

Proof For any $t,s\in[0,1]$, by (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t,s) =& g_1(t,s) + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau) \\ \leq& \frac{s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau) \\ \leq& \frac{s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} + \frac{\Delta_1 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\int_0^1 b(\tau)dB(\tau) \\ =& \left(\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\int_0^1 b(\tau)dB(\tau) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\right)s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t,s) \leq& \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}b(\tau)dB(\tau) \\ \leq& \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha-1)}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 b(\tau)dB(\tau) \\ \leq& k_1 t^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In a similar way, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(t,s) =& g_2(t,s) + \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta}t^{\beta-1}\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau) \\ \leq& \left(\frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta-1)}\int_0^1 a(\tau)dA(\tau) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-1)}\right)s(1-s)^{\beta-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

or

$$G_2(t,s) \le k_1 t^{\beta-1}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t,s) =& g_1(t,s) + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta} t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s) b(\tau) dB(\tau) \\ \ge& \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta} t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\tau)s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b(\tau) dB(\tau) \\ =& \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\tau) b(\tau) dB(\tau) t^{\alpha-1} s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

In a similar way, we get

$$G_2(t,s) \ge \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \tau^{\beta-1}(1-\tau) a(\tau) dA(\tau) t^{\beta-1} s(1-s)^{\beta-1}. \tag{2.21}$$

In the same way, we obtain the other inequalities about $H_i(t,s)$ $(i=1,2)$, so we omit it. The proof is complete. □

For convenience in presentation, we present the assumptions to be used later in the following.

(H$_0$) $A, B : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions of bounded variation and $\int_0^1 g_i(t,s) b(t) dB(t) > 0$, $\int_0^1 g_i(t,s) a(t) dA(t) > 0$ $(i = 1,2)$ for all $s \in [0,1]$;

(H$_1$) $f_i \in C((0,1) \times [0,\infty) \times (0,\infty), [0,\infty))$ may be singular at $t = 0,1$ and $y = 0$, $f_i(t,x,y)$ is nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y, and there exist $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in [0,1)$ such that

$$c^{\lambda_i} f_i(t,x,y) \le f_i(t,cx,y), \quad f_i(t,x,cy) \le c^{-\mu_i} f_i(t,x,y), \quad \forall x,y > 0, c \in (0,1),\ i = 1,2.$$

(H$_2$) $g_i \in C((0,1) \times (0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), [0,\infty))$ may be singular at $t = 0,1$ and $x = 0$, $g_i(t,x,y)$ is nonincreasing in x and nondecreasing in y, and there exist $\xi_i, \eta_i \in [0,1)$ such that

$$c^{\xi_i} g_i(t,x,y) \le g_i(t,x,cy), \qquad g_i(t,cx,y) \le c^{-\eta_i} g_i(t,x,y), \quad \forall x,y > 0, c \in (0,1),\ i = 1,2.$$

(H$_3$) $0 < \int_0^1 p_i(t) f_i(t,1,t^{\gamma_2}) dt < \infty, 0 < \int_0^1 q_i(t) g_i(t,t^{\gamma_2},1) dt < \infty, i = 1,2.$

Remark 1 *(1) (H_1) implies that*

$$f_i(t,cx,y) \le c^{\lambda_i} f_i(t,x,y), \qquad f_i(t,x,cy) \le c^{\mu_i} f_i(t,x,y), \forall x,y > 0, c > 1,\ i = 1,2;$$

(2) (H_2) implies that

$$g_i(t,x,cy) \le c^{\xi_i} g_i(t,x,y), \quad g_i(t,x,y) \le c^{\eta_i} g_i(t,cx,y), \forall x,y > 0, c > 1,\ i = 1,2.$$

Remark 2 *By (H_1), (H_2) and (H_3), we can get*

$$0 < \int_0^1 p_i(t) f_i(t, t^{\gamma_2}, 1)dt < \infty, 0 < \int_0^1 q_i(t) g_i(t, 1, t^{\gamma_2})dt < \infty, i = 1, 2.$$

For our constructions, we shall consider the Banach space $E = C[0, 1]$ equipped with the standard norm $\|u\| = \max_{t\in[0,1]} |u(t)|$. Let $Q = \{u \in E | u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$, Q is a cone of E. Similarly, for each $(x, y) \in E \times E$, we write $\|(x, y)\|_1 = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. It is easy to see that $(E \times E, \| \cdot \|_1)$ is a Banach space. We define a cone P of $E \times E$ by

$$P = \{(x, y) \in E \times E : x(t) \geq kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1, y(t) \geq kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

where $k = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \in (0, 1)$, in which k_1 and k_2 are defined by Lemma 2.5. For any $r > 0$, let $P_r = \{(x, y) \in P : \|(x, y)\|_1 < r\}, \partial P_r = \{(x, y) \in P : \|(x, y)\|_1 = r\}$.

Define an operator $T : P \setminus \{\theta\} \to E \times E$ by

$$T(x, y) = (T_1(x, y), T_2(x, y)),$$

where the operators $T_1, T_2 : P \setminus \{\theta\} \to Q$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) [p_1(s)f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s, x(s), y(s))] ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 H_1(t, s) [p_2(s)f_2(s, x(s), y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s, x(s), y(s))] ds, \\ T_2(x, y)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) [p_2(s)f_2(s, x(s), y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s, x(s), y(s))] ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 H_2(t, s) [p_1(s)f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s, x(s), y(s))] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6 *Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Then, for any $0 < r < R < +\infty$, $T : (\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r) \to P$ is a completely continuous operator.*

Proof Firstly, we claim that $T(x, y)$ is well defined for $(x, y) \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$. In fact, since $(x, y) \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$, we can see that

$$x(t) \geq kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1 > 0, \quad y(t) \geq kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1 > 0, \ t \in (0, 1].$$

Let c be a positive number such that $c > 1$ and $\|(x, y)\|_1/c < 1$. From $(H_1), (H_2)$ and Remark 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(t, x(t), y(t)) &\leq f_i(t, c, kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1) \\ &\leq c^{\lambda_i} f_i(t, 1, \frac{kt^{\gamma_2}\|(x, y)\|_1}{c}) \\ &\leq c^{\lambda_i} \left(\frac{k\|(x, y)\|_1}{c}\right)^{-\mu_i} f(t, 1, t^{\gamma_2}) \\ &= c^{\lambda_i+\mu_i}(k\|(x, y)\|_1)^{-\mu_i} f_i(t, 1, t^{\gamma_2}), i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

$$g_i(t,x(t),y(t)) \le c^{\xi_i+\eta_i}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_i} g_i(t,t^{\gamma_2},1), i=1,2. \tag{2.23}$$

Hence, for any $t\in[0,1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x,y)(t) \le & k_1\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))ds \\ & + k_1\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))ds \\ \le & k_1 c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_1}\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\ & + k_1 c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_1}\int_0^1 q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\ & + k_1 c^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_2}\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\ & + k_1 c^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_2}\int_0^1 q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\ < & \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Similarly, we can prove $T_2(x,y)(t)<\infty$. Thus we can say that T is well defined on $P\backslash\{\theta\}$.

Secondly, we show that $T(\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r)\subset P$. By Lemma 2.5, for all $\tau,t,s\in[0,1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t,s) &\ge kt^{\alpha-1}G_1(\tau,s), \quad & G_2(t,s) &\ge kt^{\beta-1}G_2(\tau,s), \\ H_1(t,s) &\ge kt^{\alpha-1}H_1(\tau,s), \quad & H_2(t,s) &\ge kt^{\beta-1}H_2(\tau,s), \\ H_1(t,s) &\ge kt^{\alpha-1}G_2(\tau,s), \quad & G_1(t,s) &\ge kt^{\alpha-1}H_2(\tau,s), \\ H_2(t,s) &\ge kt^{\beta-1}G_1(\tau,s), \quad & G_2(t,s) &\ge kt^{\beta-1}H_1(\tau,s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $(x,y)\in(\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r), t\in[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x,y)(t) \ge & kt^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 G_1(\tau,s)\left[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\right]ds \\ & + kt^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 H_1(\tau,s)\left[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\right]ds \\ \ge & kt^{\gamma_2}T_1(x,y)(\tau), \quad \forall\tau\in[0,1], \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x,y)(t) \ge & kt^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 H_2(\tau,s)\left[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\right]ds \\ & + kt^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1 G_2(\tau,s)\left[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\right]ds \\ \ge & kt^{\gamma_2}T_2(x,y)(\tau), \quad \forall\tau\in[0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Then, $T_1(x,y)(t)\ge kt^{\gamma_2}\|T_1(x,y)\|$ and $T_1(x,y)(t)\ge kt^{\gamma_2}\|T_2(x,y)\|$, that is

$$T_1(x,y)(t)\ge kt^{\gamma_2}\|(T_1(x,y),T_2(x,y))\|_1.$$

In the same way, we can prove that

$$T_2(x,y)(t) \geq kt^{\gamma_2}\|(T_1(x,y),T_2(x,y))\|_1.$$

Therefore, $T(\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r) \subset P$.

Next, we prove that T is a compact operator. Suppose $V \subset \overline{P_R}\setminus P_r$ is an arbitrary bounded set in $E\times E$. Then from the above proof, we know that $T(V)$ is uniformly bounded. In the following, we shall show that $T(V)$ is equicontinuous on $[0,1]$. For all $(x,y)\in V,\ t\in[0,1]$, using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x,y)(t) =& \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 H_1(t,s)\big[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) + q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ =& \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-1}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau)\right)\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \int_t^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Delta}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau)\right)\big[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Differentiating the above formula with respect to t and combining (H_1) and (H_2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1(x,y)'(t)| =& \frac{(\alpha-1)\Delta_1}{\Delta}t^{\alpha-2}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau)\right)\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\ &+ \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}}{\Delta}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau)\right)\big[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) \\ &+ q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \frac{(\alpha-1)\Delta_1}{\Delta}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_1(\tau,s)b(\tau)dB(\tau)\right)[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\
& + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))]ds \\
& + \int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\
& + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\
& + \int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\big[p_1(s)f_1(s,x(s),y(s)) \\
& + q_1(s)g_1(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\
& + \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}}{\Delta}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1 g_2(\tau,s)a(\tau)dA(\tau)\right)\big[p_2(s)f_2(s,x(s),y(s)) \\
& + q_2(s)g_2(s,x(s),y(s))\big]ds \\
\leq & (\alpha-1)k_1c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_1}\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + (\alpha-1)k_1c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_1}\int_0^1 q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\
& + k_1c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_1}\int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\
& p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + k_1c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_1}\int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\
& q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\
& + k_1c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_1}\int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + k_1c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_1}\int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\
& + (\alpha-1)k_1c^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\mu_2}\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + (\alpha-1)k_1c^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(k\|(x,y)\|_1)^{-\eta_2}\int_0^1 q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds \\
\leq & c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(kr)^{-\mu_1}\Bigg[(\alpha-1)k_1\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + \int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds \\
& + \int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(kr)^{-\eta_1}\Bigg[(\alpha-1)k_1\int_0^1 q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
&+\int_0^t \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}-(\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
&+\int_t^1 \frac{(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\Bigg]\\
&+(\alpha-1)k_1c^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(kr)^{-\mu_2}\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds\\
&+(\alpha-1)k_1c^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(kr)^{-\eta_2}\int_0^1 q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds=:K(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.28}
$$

Exchanging the integration order, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 K(t)dt=&c^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(kr)^{-\mu_1}\left[(\alpha-1)k_1\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds\right]\\
&+c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(kr)^{-\eta_1}\left[(\alpha-1)k_1\int_0^1 q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\right]\\
&+(\alpha-1)k_1c^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(kr)^{-\mu_2}\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})ds\\
&+(\alpha-1)k_1c^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(kr)^{-\eta_2}\int_0^1 q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
&<+\infty.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.29}
$$

From the absolute continuity of the integral, we know that $T_1(V)$ is equicontinuous on [0,1]. Thus, according to the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, $T_1(V)$ is a relatively compact set. In the same way, we can prove that $T_2(V)$ is a relatively compact set. Therefore, $T(V)$ is relatively compact.

Finally, we prove that $T:(\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r)\to Q$ is continuous. We need to prove only $T_1,T_2:(\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r)\to Q$ are continuous. Suppose that $(x_n,y_n),(x_0,y_0)\in\overline{P_R}\setminus P_r$ and $\|(x_n,y_n)-(x_0,y_0)\|_1\to 0$ $(n\to\infty)$. Let $S=\sup\{\|(x_n,y_n)\|_1|n=0,1,2,\cdots\}$. We choose a positive constant M such that $S/M<1$ and $M>1$. From (2.22) and (2.23), for any $t\in(0,1)$, we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_i(t,x_n(t),y_n(t))\le M^{\lambda_i+\mu_i}(kr)^{-\mu_i}f_i(t,1,t^{\gamma_2}),n=0,1,2\cdots,i=1,2;\\
&g_i(t,x_n(t),y_n(t))\le M^{\xi_i+\eta_i}(kr)^{-\eta_i}g_i(t,t^{\gamma_2},1),n=0,1,2\cdots,i=1,2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.30}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.5, for any $t \in [0,1]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T_1(x_n,y_n)(t)-T_1(x_0,y_0)(t)| \le & k_1\int_0^1 \big[|p_1(s)||f_1(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_1(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
& +|q_1(s)||g_1(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_1(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))|\big]ds \\
& +k_1\int_0^1 \big[|p_2(s)||f_2(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_2(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
& +|q_2(s)||g_2(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_2(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))|\big]ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}
$$

For any $\epsilon>0$, by (H_3), there exists a positive number $\delta \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H_{(\delta)}} k_1 M^{\lambda_i+\mu_i}(kr)^{-\mu_i} p_i(s) f_i(t,1,t^{\gamma_2})ds < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \\
&\int_{H_{(\delta)}} k_1 M^{\xi_i+\eta_i}(kr)^{-\eta_i} q_i(s) g_i(t,t^{\gamma_2},1)ds < \frac{\epsilon}{4},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}
$$

where $H_{(\delta)}=[0,\delta]\cup[1-\delta,1]$. On the other hand, for $(x,y)\in \overline{P_R}\setminus P_r$ and $t\in[\delta,1-\delta]$, we have

$$
0<rk\delta \le x(t), y(t) \le R. \tag{2.33}
$$

Since $f_i(t,x,y)$ and $g_i(t,x,y)$ $(i=1,2)$ are uniformly continuous in $[\delta,1-\delta]\times[rk\delta,b]\times[rk\delta,b]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to+\infty}|f_i(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_i(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
=&\lim_{n\to+\infty}|g_i(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_i(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
=&0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}
$$

holds uniformly on $[\delta,1-\delta]$ for s. Then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\delta^{1-\delta}|p_i(s)|\,|f_i(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_i(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))|\,ds\to 0, \\
&\int_\delta^{1-\delta}|q_i(s)|\,|g_i(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_i(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))|\,ds\to 0, \quad n\to\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}
$$

Thus, for above $\epsilon>0$, there exists a natural number N such that, for $n>N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&k_1\int_\delta^{1-\delta}\big[|p_1(s)||f_1(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_1(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad +|q_1(s)||g_1(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_1(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))|\big]ds \\
&+k_1\int_\delta^{1-\delta}\big[|p_2(s)||f_2(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-f_2(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad +|q_2(s)||g_2(s,x_n(s),y_n(s))-g_2(s,x_0(s),y_0(s))\big]| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}
$$

It follows from (2.30)-(2.36) that when $n > N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|T_1(x_n, y_n) - T_1(x_0, y_0)\| \\
&\le k_1 \int_0^1 \big[|p_1(s)||f_1(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_1(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad + |q_1(s)||g_1(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - g_1(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))|\big] ds \\
&+k_1 \int_0^1 \big[|p_2(s)||f_2(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_2(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad + |q_2(s)||g_2(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - g_2(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))|\big] ds \\
&\le k_1 \int_{H(\delta)} M^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(kr)^{-\mu_1} p_1(s) f_1(s, 1, s^{\gamma_2}) + c^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(kr)^{-\eta_1} q_1(s) g_1(s, s^{\gamma_2}, 1) ds \\
&+k_1 \int_{H(\delta)} M^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(kr)^{-\mu_2} p_2(s) f_2(s, 1, s^{\gamma_2}) + M^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(kr)^{-\eta_2} q_2(s) g_2(s, s^{\gamma_2}, 1) ds \\
&+k_1 \int_\delta^{1-\delta} \big[|p_1(s)||f_1(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_1(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad + |q_1(s)||g_1(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - g_1(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))|\big] ds \\
&+k_1 \int_\delta^{1-\delta} \big[|p_2(s)||f_2(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - f_2(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))| \\
&\qquad + |q_2(s)||g_2(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) - g_2(s, x_0(s), y_0(s))|\big] ds < \epsilon.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.37}
$$

This implies that $T_1 : (\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r) \to Q$ is continuous. Similarly, we can prove that $T_2 : (\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r) \to Q$ is continuous. So, $T : (\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r) \to Q$ is continuous. By summing up, we get that $T : (\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r) \to P$ is completely continuous. □

To prove the main results, we need the following well-known fixed point theorem.

Lemma 2.7 [20] *Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two bounded open sets in a Banach space E such that $\theta \in \Omega_1$ and $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$, $A : P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \to P$ be a completely continuous operator, where θ denotes the zero element of E and P is a cone of E. Suppose that one of the flowing two conditions holds:*

(i) $\|Au\| \le \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1; \|Au\| \ge \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2;$

(ii) $\|Au\| \ge \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1; \|Au\| \le \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2.$

Then A has a fixed point in $P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3. Main results

In this section, we shall give sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for the BVP(1.1).

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that conditions $(H_0) - (H_3)$ hold. Then the BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution (x^*, y^*) and there exists a real number $0 < m < 1$ such that*

$$
mt^{\gamma_1} \le x^*(t) \le \frac{1}{m} t^{\gamma_1}, \quad mt^{\gamma_1} \le y^*(t) \le \frac{1}{m} t^{\gamma_1}, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{3.1}
$$

where $\gamma_1 = \min\{\alpha - 1, \beta - 1\}$.

Proof We first prove that the differential system (1.1) has at least one positive solution (x^*, y^*). Choose d and D such that

$$0 < d \le \min_{i=1,2}\left\{\left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\gamma_2} k_2 k^{\max\{\lambda_i,\mu_i\}} \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}(p_i(s)f_i(s,s^{\gamma_2},1) + q_i(s)g_i(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}))ds\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\max\{\lambda_i,\mu_i\}}}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

$$D \ge \max\left\{\left[k_1\left(\int_0^1 (p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}) + q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1))ds + \int_0^1 (p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}) + q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1))ds\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\max\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\xi_1,\xi_2\}}}, \frac{1}{k}, 2\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Clearly $0 < d < 1 < D$. By Lemma 2.6, $T : \overline{P_D} \setminus P_d \to P$ is completely continuous. Extend T (denote T yet) to $T : \overline{P_D} \to P$ which is completely continuous. Then, for $(x, y) \in \partial P_d$, we have

$$dkt^{\gamma_2} \le x(t),\ y(t) \le d, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.3}$$

By Remark 1 and (H_1)-(H_3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i(x,y)(t) &\ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\gamma_2} k_2 \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}(p_i(s)f_i(s,dks^{\gamma_2},d) + q_i(s)g_i(s,d,dks^{\gamma_2}))ds \\
&\ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\gamma_2} k_2 \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}(p_i(s)f_i(s,dks^{\gamma_2},1) + q_i(s)g_i(s,1,dks^{\gamma_2}))ds \\
&\ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\gamma_2} k_2 \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}(d^{\lambda_i}k^{\lambda_i}p_i(s)f_i(s,s^{\gamma_2},1) + d^{\xi_i}k^{\eta_i}q_i(s)g_i(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}))ds \\
&\ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\gamma_2} k_2 d^{\max\{\lambda_i,\xi_i\}} k^{\max\{\lambda_i,\xi_i\}} \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma_2}(p_i(s)f_i(s,s^{\gamma_2},1) + q_i(s)g_i(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}))ds \\
&\ge d = \|(x,y)\|_1 \quad i = 1,2, t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This guarantees that

$$\|T(x,y)\|_1 \ge \|(x,y)\|_1, \forall (x,y) \in \partial P_d. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, for any $(x, y) \in \partial P_D$, we have

$$Dkt^{\gamma_2} \le x(t), y(t) \le D, t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, for any $(x,y)\in\partial P_D$ and $t\in[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i(x,y)(t) \leq & k_1\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,D,Dks^{\gamma_2})+q_1(s)g_1(s,Dks^{\gamma_2},D)ds\\
&+k_1\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,D,Dks^{\gamma_2})+q_2(s)g_2(s,Dks^{\gamma_2},D)ds\\
\leq & k_1\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,D,s^{\gamma_2})+q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},D)ds\\
&+k_1\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,D,s^{\gamma_2})+q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},D)ds\\
\leq & k_1\int_0^1 D^{\lambda_1}p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})+D^{\xi_1}q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
&+k_1\int_0^1 D^{\lambda_2}p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})+D^{\xi_2}q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
\leq & k_1D^{\max\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\xi_1,\xi_2\}}\Bigg(\int_0^1 p_1(s)f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})+q_1(s)g_1(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\\
&+\int_0^1 p_2(s)f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})+q_2(s)g_2(s,s^{\gamma_2},1)ds\Bigg)\\
\leq & D=\|(x,y)\|_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

This guarantees that

$$\|T(x,y)\|_1\leq\|(x,y)\|_1,\forall(x,y)\in\partial P_D. \tag{3.8}$$

By the complete continuity of T, (3.5) and (3.8), and Lemma 2.7, we obtain that T has a fixed point (x^*,y^*) in $\overline{P_D}\setminus P_d$. Consequently, BVP(1.1) has a positive solution (x^*,y^*) in $\overline{P_D}\setminus P_d$.

Next we will prove that there exists a real number $0<m<1$ satisfying (3.1). Firstly, we show that for any $\theta\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$ we have

$$mt^{\gamma_1}\leq x^*(t)\leq\frac{1}{m}t^{\gamma_1},\quad mt^{\gamma_1}\leq y^*(t)\leq\frac{1}{m}t^{\gamma_1},\quad t\in[\theta,1]. \tag{3.9}$$

From Lemma 2.6, we know that $(x^*,y^*)\in P\setminus\{\theta\}$. So we obtain that

$$0<k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1t^{\gamma_2}\leq x^*(t),\ y^*(t)\leq\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1.$$

Let h be a constant such that $\frac{\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1}{h} < 1$ and $h > \frac{1}{d} > 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) \leq & k_1 t^{\gamma_1}\left[\int_0^1 p_1(s) f_1\left(s, h, \frac{k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1}{h} s^{\gamma_2}\right)\right. \\ & + q_1(s) g_1\left(s, \frac{k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1}{h} s^{\gamma_2}, h\right) ds \\ & + \int_0^1 p_2(s) f_2\left(s, h, \frac{k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1}{h} s^{\gamma_2}\right) \\ & \left. + q_2(s) g_2\left(s, \frac{k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1}{h} s^{\gamma_2}, h\right) ds\right] \\ \leq & k_1 t^{\gamma_1}\left[\int_0^1 h^{\lambda_1+\mu_1}(k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1)^{-\mu_1} p_1(s) f_1(s,1,s^{\gamma_2})\right. \\ & + h^{\xi_1+\eta_1}(k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1)^{-\eta_1} q_1(s) g_1(s, s^{\gamma_2}, 1) ds \\ & + \int_0^1 h^{\lambda_2+\mu_2}(k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1)^{-\mu_2} p_2(s) f_2(s,1,s^{\gamma_2}) \\ & \left. + h^{\xi_2+\eta_2}(k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1)^{-\eta_2} q_2(s) g_2(s, s^{\gamma_2}, 1) ds\right] \\ =: & C t^{\gamma_1}, \qquad t \in [\theta, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, it is obvious to see that $\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \geq 0$, where $\gamma_1 = \min\{\alpha-1, \beta-1\}$, $\gamma_2 = \max\{\alpha-1, \beta-1\}$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) \geq & k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1 t^{\gamma_2} \\ = & k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1 t^{\gamma_2-\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_1} \\ \geq & k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1 \theta^{\gamma_2-\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_1}, t \in [\theta, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In the same way, we can prove that $y^*(t) \leq C t^{\gamma_1}$ and $y^*(t) \geq k\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1 \theta^{\gamma_2-\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_1}, t \in [\theta, 1]$. Then, we pick out m such that

$$m = \min\left\{k\theta^{(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)}\|(x^*,y^*)\|_1, \frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{2}\right\},$$

which implies that (3.9) holds. Moreover, from the arbitrariness of θ, we get that for any $t \in (0,1]$, (3.9) is satisfied. Specially, when $t = 0$, by the boundary value conditions of (1.1), we have $x^*(0) = y^*(0) = 0$. So that we get that for any $t \in [0,1]$, (3.1) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that conditions* $(H_0) - (H_3)$ *hold. If* $\lambda_i + \mu_i < 1$ *and* $\xi_i + \eta_i < 1$ $(i = 1, 2)$*, then the BVP(1.1) has a unique positive solution* (x^*, y^*) *and it satisfies (3.1).*

Proof Assuming the contrary, we find that the BVP(1.1) has a positive solution (x_*, y_*) different from (x^*, y^*). By (3.1), there exist $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_1 t^{\gamma_1} \leq x^*(t), \quad y^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1} t^{\gamma_1}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1], \\ &\rho_2 t^{\gamma_1} \leq x_*(t), \quad y_*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho_2} t^{\gamma_1}, \quad \forall t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_1\rho_2 x_*(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1\rho_2} x_*(t), \\ &\rho_1\rho_2 y_*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1\rho_2} y_*(t), \quad \forall t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Clearly, $\rho_1\rho_2 \neq 1$. Put

$$\rho^* = \sup\left\{\rho > 0 \mid \rho x_*(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} x_*(t),\ \rho y_*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho} y_*(t),\ \forall t \in [0,1]\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $1 > \rho^* \geq \rho_1\rho_2 > 0$ and

$$\rho^* x_*(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho^*} x_*(t),\ \rho^* y_*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{\rho^*} y_*(t),\ \forall t \in [0,1]. \tag{3.14}$$

By (H_1) and (H_2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(t, x^*(t), y^*(t)) \geq& f_i(t, \rho^* x_*(t), \frac{1}{\rho^*} y_*(t)) \\ \geq& (\rho^*)^{\lambda_i+\mu_i} f_i(t, x_*(t), y_*(t)) \\ \geq& (\rho^*)^{\sigma} f_i(t, x_*(t), y_*(t)), \\ g_i(t, x^*(t), y^*(t)) \geq& g_i(t, \rho^* x_*(t), \frac{1}{\rho^*} y_*(t)) \\ \geq& (\rho^*)^{\xi_i+\eta_i} g_i(t, x_*(t), y_*(t)) \\ \geq& (\rho^*)^{\sigma} g_i(t, x_*(t), y_*(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\sigma = \max\left\{\lambda_i + \mu_i, \xi_i + \eta_i, i = 1, 2\right\}$ such that $\sigma < 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) =& T_1(x^*, y^*)(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)\big[p_1(s) f_1(s, x^*(s), y^*(s)) + q_1(s) g_1(s, x^*(s), y^*(s))\big] ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 H_1(t,s)\big[p_2(s) f_2(s, x^*(s), y^*(s)) + q_2(s) g_2(s, x^*(s), y^*(s))\big] ds \\ \geq& (\rho^*)^{\sigma}\Bigg[\int_0^1 G_1(t,s)\left[p_1(s) f_1(s, x^*(s), y^*(s)) + q_1(s) g_1(s, x^*(s), y^*(s))\right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^1 H_1(t,s)\left[p_2(s) f_2(s, x^*(s), y^*(s)) + q_2(s) g_2(s, x^*(s), y^*(s))\right] ds\Bigg] \\ =& (\rho^*)^{\sigma} T_1(x_*, y_*)(t) = (\rho^*)^{\sigma} x_*(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$y^*(t) \ge (\rho^*)^\sigma y_*(t), \quad x_*(t) \ge (\rho^*)^\sigma x^*(t), \quad y_*(t) \ge (\rho^*)^\sigma y^*(t).$$

Noticing that $(\rho^*)^\sigma > \rho^*$ $(0 < \rho^*, \sigma < 1)$, we get to a contradiction with the maximality of ρ^*. Thus, the BVP(1.1) has a unique positive solution (x^*, y^*). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 3 Compared with the result in [12], we can see that for any $\alpha \in (n-1, n], \beta \in (m-1, m], n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can get the uniqueness of positive solutions of the BVP (1.1). That is, we do not need the condition of $\alpha = \beta$. So our result is better than that in [12]

4. An example

We give an explicit example to illustrate our main result in Section 3. Let us consider the singular differential system with couple boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\frac{5}{2}} x(t) + \dfrac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt[3]{y(1-t)t}} + \dfrac{\sqrt[3]{y}}{\sqrt{x}} = 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \\ D_{0+}^{\frac{7}{2}} y(t) + \dfrac{\sqrt[3]{x}}{\sqrt{y}} + \dfrac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt[3]{x(1-t)t}} = 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = 0, x(1) = y\left(\dfrac{1}{3}\right) + y\left(\dfrac{1}{2}\right), \\ y(0) = y'(0) = y''(0) = 0, y(1) = \displaystyle\int_0^1 x(s) ds^2. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{5}{2}, \beta = \frac{7}{2}$,

$$f_1(t,x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt[3]{y(1-t)t}}, \qquad g_1(t,x,y) = \frac{\sqrt[3]{y}}{\sqrt{x}};$$

$$f_2(t,x,y) = \frac{\sqrt[3]{x}}{\sqrt{y}}, \qquad g_2(t,x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt[3]{x(1-t)t}};$$

$$a(t) = b(t) = 1;$$

$$A(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in \left[0, \dfrac{1}{3}\right), \\ 1, & t \in \left[\dfrac{1}{3}, \dfrac{1}{2}\right), \\ 2, & t \in \left[\dfrac{1}{2}, 1\right]; \end{cases}$$

$$B(t) = t^2.$$

$$\lambda_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \mu_1 = \frac{1}{3}; \quad \xi_1 = \eta_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_2 = \eta_1 = \frac{1}{3},$$

then

$$\int_0^1 p_1 f_1(s, 1, 1-s)ds = B\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right), \quad \int_0^1 q_1 g_1(s, 1-s, 1)ds = B\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$\int_0^1 p_2 f_2(s, 1, 1-s)ds = B\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \int_0^1 q_2 g_2(s, 1-s, 1)ds = B\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right).$$

So all conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are satisfied for (4.1), and our conclusion follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, namely the BVP(4.1) has a unique positive solution (x^*, y^*) and there exists an real number $0 < m < 1$ such that

$$mt^{\gamma_1} \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{m}t^{\gamma_1}, \quad mt^{\gamma_1} \leq y^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{m}t^{\gamma_1}, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{3.1}$$

where $\gamma_1 = \min\{\alpha - 1, \beta - 1\} = \frac{3}{2}$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, by using the mixed monotone operators and the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorems, we have established the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions for any real number $\alpha, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$. It is worth noting that in this paper we divide the functions into the former of $f_i + g_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and add different conditions to f_i and g_i. From this point, our result is more general than that in [12, 13].

Acknowledgements

The authors were supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371221, 11571296).

References

[1] H. Salem, On the existence of continuous solutions for a singular system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 198 (2008) 445-452.

[2] X. Su, Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (2009) 64-69.

[3] W. Feng, S. Sun, Z. Han, Y. Zhao, Existence of solutions for a singular system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011) 1370-1378.

[4] M. Rehman, R. Khan, A note on boundary value problems for a coupled system of fractional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011) 2630-2637.

[5] C. Bai, J. Fang, The existence of a positive solution for a singular coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 150 (2004) 611-621.

[6] B. Ahmad, J. Nieto, Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 58 (2009) 1838-1843.

[7] W. Yang, Positive solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012) 288-297.

[8] S. Liu, G. Wang, L. Zhang, Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear neutral fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 26 (2013) 1120-1124.

[9] C. Yuan, Two positive solutions for $(n-1,1)$-type semipositone integral boundary value problems for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 17 (2012) 930-942.

[10] W. Liu, L. Liu, Y. Wu, Positive solutions of a singular boundary value problem for systems of second-order differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 208, 511-519 (2009).

[11] J. Henderson, R. Luca, Existence and multiplicity for positive solutions of a system of higher-order multi-point boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl.* 20 (3) (2013) 1035-1054.

[12] Y. Cui, L. Liu, X. Zhang, Uniqueness and existence of positive solutions for singular differential systems with coupled integral boundary value problems, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2013) Article ID: 340487.

[13] Y. Wang, L. Liu, X. Zhang, Y. Wu, Positive solutions for (n-1,1)-type singular fractional differential system with coupled integral boundary conditions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2014) Article ID: 142391.

[14] J. Henderson, R. Luca, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a system of fractional boundary value problems, *Bound. Value. Prob.* 2014 (60)(2014) 1-17.

[15] A. Lomtatidze, L. Malaguti, On a nonlocal boundary-value problems for second order nonlinear singular differential equations, *Georgian Math. J.* 7 (2000) 133-154.

[16] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integral and Derivative: Theory and Applications, Gordon Breach, Switzerland (1993).

[17] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 198. Academic Press, New York (1999).

[18] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006).

[19] C. Yuan, Multiple positive solutions for (n-1, 1)-type semipositone conjugate boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ.* Equ. 36 (2010) 1-12.

[20] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones, Academic Press, San Diego (1988).

[21] D. Guo, Y. Cho, J. Zhu, Partial Ordering Methods in Nonlinear problems, Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York, 2004.