Available online at www.tjnsa.com
J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 6 (2013), 152–161
Research Article

Controllability result of nonlinear higher order fractional damped dynamical system

Junpeng Liu, Suli Liu, Huilai Li*

School of Mathematics, Jilin University, 130012, Changchun, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the controllability of nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system, which involved fractional Caputo derivatives of any different orders. In the process of proof, we mainly use the Schaefer's fixed-point theorem and Mittag-Leffler matrix function. At last, we give an example to illustrate our main result.

Keywords: Controllability, Mittag-Leffler matrix, Schaefer's fixed-point theorem, Gramian matrix,

1. Introduction

In this article, we study the controllability result for following system:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D^{\alpha}x(t) = A{}^{C}D^{\beta}x(t) + Bu(t) + f\big(t, x(t), u(t), {}^{C}D^{\alpha}x(t), {}^{C}D^{\beta}x(t)\big), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \quad \cdots, \quad x^{(p)}(0) = x_p. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p-1 < \alpha \leq p$, $q-1 < \beta \leq q$, $q \leq p-1$, A is an $n \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times m$ matrix, $x \in R^n$, $u \in L^{\infty}(J, R^m)$, $t \in [0, T]$ and the nonlinear function f being continuous. In order to solve the problem, we will use Mittag-Leffler matrix function, Gramian matrix and the theorem of Schaefer's fixed-point.

Fractional differential equation has increasingly attracted the attention of many researchers during the last three decades, see [1-16]. The various types of fractional differential equation, playing significant roles and tools, are used for solving some mathematical issues of general physical phenomena in physics and engineering. Especially, the field of control theory sparked the interest of many scholars which can be seen from the literatures [17-19]. In recent years, several authors[20-25] have made a detailed research about controllability results of linear and proposed many new ideas about he low-order fractional equation.

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: liujunpeng86619@163.com (Junpeng Liu), liusl15@mails.jlu.edu.cn (Suli Liu), lihuilai@jlu.edu.cn (Huilai Li)

Received 2016-5-20

Kang Yonggang [26] introduce a fractional oscillator satisfied the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^{2-\varepsilon}{}_0D_t^{\varepsilon}[x(t)] = A\delta(t),$$

where the elastic restoring force $\omega_0^{2-\varepsilon}{}_0D_t^{\varepsilon}[x(t)]$ of arbitrary order ε with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. $x(t)$ is an unknown function that in the equilibrium position, t is a time variable, ω_0 is the proper frequency, A is the strength of pulses, $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac δ function.

Narahari Achar et al.[27] studied the response of several specific forcing functions and analyzed resonance characteristic of the fractional oscillator model. Ali-Tofighi [28] have been defined and obtained the expression of the fractional oscillator system. Al-rabth et al.[29] took advantage of the differential transform technique and efficient algorithm to solve the a fractional oscillator equation.

K.Balachandran [30] studied the controllability result for the type of fractional damped system represented by the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^CD^{\alpha}x(t) = A{}^CD^{\beta}x(t) + Bu(t) + f\big(t, x(t)\big), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_0', \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1 < \alpha \leq 2$, A and B are $n \times n$ and $n \times m$ matrices respectively, and $f : J \times R^n \to R^n$ is a continuous function.

Motivated by the work mentioned above, in this article we study the nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system of (1). To the best of our knowledge, the controllability of nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system of order $p - 1 < \alpha \leq p$ $(p \in N)$ have not been discussed.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 1, we illustrate the background and motivation of writing this article. In Section 2, we make preparation of basic knowledge for the main result, and controllability of linear system is proved. In Section 3, the main result of this article is obtained. Finally, an example is provided to illustrate the main result in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definitions and preliminary facts

In this section, we introduce some necessary definitions which are used throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1[2] The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in R$ with $n-1 < \alpha \leq n$, $n \in N$, for a suitable function f is defined as

$$\big({}^CD_{0+}^{\alpha}f\big)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1}f^{(n)}(s)ds,$$

where $f^{(n)}(s)=\frac{d^nf}{ds^n}$. In particular, if $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ then

$$\big({}^CD_{0+}^{\alpha}f\big)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{1-\alpha}f''(s)ds.$$

For the brevity, the Caputo fractional derivative ${}^CD_{0+}^{\alpha}$ is taken as ${}^CD^{\alpha}$.

Definition 2.2[4] The Mittag-leffler matrix function for an arbitrary square matrix A is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha,\beta}(A) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{A^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}, \quad \alpha,\beta > 0, \\ E_{\alpha,1}(A) &= E_{\alpha}(A), \quad with\ \beta = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1[31] The Mittag-leffler matrix function derivative of order $p(p \in N)$ is defined as

$$\big(\frac{d}{dt}\big)^{(p)}(t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(At^{\alpha-\beta})) = t^{\alpha-p-1}E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(At^{\alpha-\beta}),\ (p \in N). \tag{2.2}$$

In order to find the solution of system (1.1), take Laplace transform and inverse Laplace transform on both sides of the following formula, we get the solution of the system (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = &\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) Bu(s)ds \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) f(\cdot)ds \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} x^k(0) t^{\alpha-\beta+k} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-\beta+1+k}(At^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k(0) t^k E_{\alpha-\beta,1+k}(At^{\alpha-\beta}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. Linear system

Consider the liner fractional dynamical system represented by fractional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A\,{}^C D^\beta x(t) + Bu(t), & t \in [0,T], \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \quad \cdots, \quad x^{(p)}(0) = x_p. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where $p-1 < \alpha \le p$, $q-1 < \beta \le q$ and $q \le p-1$, A is an $n \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times m$ matrix, $x \in R^n$, $u \in L^2(J, R^m)$.

Definition 2.3 The system (2.4) are said to be controllable on J if for every $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_p, y \in R^n$, if there exists a control $u(t)$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of such system satisfies the conditions $x(0) = x_0,\ x'(0) = x_1,\ \cdots,\ x^{(p)}(0) = x_p,\ x(T) = y$.

Define the controllability Grammian matrix W as

$$\begin{aligned} W = &\int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) BB^* \\ &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.1 The linear system (2.4) is controllable on J iff the $n \times n$ Grammian matrix

$$\begin{aligned} W = &\int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) BB^* \\ &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

is invertible.

proof. Suppose W is invertible, then given $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_p$ and y. can choose the input function $u(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = &(T-t)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \\ &(y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} x^k(0) t^{\alpha-\beta+k} E_{(\alpha-\beta),(\alpha-\beta+1+k)}(At^{\alpha-\beta}),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k(0) t^k E_{(\alpha-\beta),(1+k)}(At^{\alpha-\beta}).$$

The corresponding solution of the system (2.4) at $t = T$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(T) = &\int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B (T-s)^{\alpha-1} B^* \\ &E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \Big[y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \Big] ds - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \\ = &\, y, \end{aligned}$$

so that the system (2.4) is controllable on J.

On the other hand, suppose that the the system (2.4) is controllable, but for the sake of a contradiction, assume that the matrix W is not invertible. If W is not invertible, then there exists a vector $z \neq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^* W z = &\int_0^T z^* (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B B^* \\ &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) z ds \\ = &\, 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$z^*(T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B = 0, \quad t \in J.$$

Consider the initial points $x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_p = 0$ and the final point $y = z$, so the system (2.4) is controllable there exists a control $u(t)$ on J that steer the response from 0 to $y = z$ at $t = T$,

$$y = z = \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B u(s) ds,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} z^* z = &\int_0^T z^* (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B u(s) ds \\ \neq &\, 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.Thus the matrix W is invertible.

□

Lemma 2.2 (Schaefer's Theorem[32]) Let S be a normed space, T a continuous mapping of S into S, which is compact on each bounded subset of X. Then, either (i) the equation $x = \lambda T(x)$ has a solution for $\lambda = 1$, or (ii) the set of all such solutions x for $0 < \lambda < 1$ is unbounded.

We assume the following hypotheses.
(E_1) For each $t \in J$,the function $f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : J \times R^n \times R^m \times R^n \times R^n \to R^n$ is continuous, the function $f(\cdot, x(\cdot), u(\cdot), y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) : J \to R^n$ is strongly measurable for each $x, y, z \in R^n$, $u \in R^m$.
(E_2)

$$\| f\big(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)\big) \| \leq M,$$

where $t \in J$, $x \in R^n$, $u \in R^m$, $M \in R$.
(E_3) Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k; \qquad \triangle = y + L;\\
&n_1 = \sup |(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})|;\\
&n_2 = \sup |(t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})|;\\
&n_3 = \sup |\Big[\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k\Big]^{(p)}|;\\
&n_4 = \sup |(t-s)^{p-\alpha-1}|.
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Nonlinear system

Consider the nonlinear fractional dynamical system represented by the fractional differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{cases}
{}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A\,{}^C D^\beta x(t) + Bu(t) + f\big(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)\big),\\
x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \quad \cdots, \quad x^{(p)}(0) = x_p.
\end{cases} \tag{3.1}
$$

where $p-1 < \alpha \le p$, $q-1 < \beta \le q$, $q \le p-1$, A is an $n \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times m$ matrix, $x \in R^n$, $u \in L^\infty(J, R^m)$, $t \in [0, T]$ and the nonlinear function f being continuous, the solution of (3.1) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) = &\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) Bu(s) ds\\
&+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})\\
&\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)\big) ds\\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}
$$

Theorem 3.1 Assume that hypotheses $(E_1) - (E_3)$ hold and the linear system (2.4) is controllable on J, then the nonlinear system (3.1) is controllable on J.

proof. We give the space $X = \{x : x^{(p)}, {}^C D^\alpha x, {}^C D^\beta x \in C(J, R^n)\ and\ u(t) \in L^\infty(J, R^m)\}$ be a Banach space endowed with the norm $\|x\|_X = \max_{t\in J}\{\|x\|, \|{}^C D^\alpha x\|, \|{}^C D^\beta x\|, \|u\|\}$, Using the hypothesis, for an arbitrary $x(\cdot)$, the control

$$
u(t) = (T-t)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-t)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigtriangledown = &y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k\\
&- \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})\\
&\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)\big) ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall show that: the nonlinear operator $F : X \to X$

$$\begin{aligned}(Fx)(t) =& \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) Bu(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^{\alpha} x(s), {}^C D^{\beta} x(s)\big) ds \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k,\end{aligned}$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is a solution of Eq.(3.1). Substituting the control $u(t)$ in the above, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}(Fx)(t) =& \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown ds \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^{\alpha} x(s), {}^C D^{\beta} x(s)\big) ds \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Clearly, $(Fx)(T) = y$, thus means that the system (3.1) was steered from the x_0 to y by the control u, if we can obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator F. The first step is to obtain a priori bound of the set

$$\zeta(F) = \{x \in X : x = \lambda F x, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Let $x \in \zeta(F)$, them $x = \lambda F x$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. So for each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) =& \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown ds \\ &+ \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^{\alpha} x(s), {}^C D^{\beta} x(s)\big) ds \\ &- \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k,\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}||x(t)|| &\le \int_0^t n_1 BB^* n_1 W^{-1} [\bigtriangledown + \int_0^T n_1 M d\xi] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t n_1 M ds + L \\ &\le n_1^2 \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \|T \bigtriangledown\| \\ &\quad + n_1 T^2 M ds + n_1 T M + L,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\| \leq \|&(T-t)^{\alpha-1}B^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-t)^{\alpha-\beta})\\ &\times W^{-1}\Big[y+\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\Phi(T)_1^k-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\Phi(T)_2^k\\ &-\int_0^T(T-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})\\ &\times f\big(s,x(s),u(s),{}^CD^\alpha x(s),{}^CD^\beta x(s)\big)ds\Big]\|\\ \leq\ & n_1\|B^*\|\|W^{-1}\|\Big[\|\triangle\|+Tn_1M\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Due to the lemma (2.1),we have

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(p)}(t) \leq &\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-p-1}E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})BB^*\\ &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})W^{-1}\triangledown\\ &+\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-p-1}E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})\\ &\times f\big(s,x(s),u(s),{}^CD^\alpha x(s),{}^CD^\beta x(s)\big)ds\\ &-\Big[\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\Phi(t)_1^k+\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\Phi(t)_2^k\Big]^{(p)}.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\|x^{(p)}(t)\| &\leq n_2\|B\|\|B^*\|n_1\|W^{-1}\|(\|\triangle\|+Tn_1M)T\\ &\quad+n_2TM+n_3\\ &=M_0,\end{aligned}$$

we can get

$$\|{}^CD^\alpha x(t)\| \leq \|\frac{1}{\Gamma(p-\alpha)}\|\|\int_0^t n_4M_0ds\|.$$

Hence, ${}^CD^\alpha x(t)$ is bounded. In the same way, ${}^CD^\beta x(t)$ is bounded too. And because $\|x\|_X=\max_{t\in J}\{\|x\|,\|{}^CD^\alpha x\|,\|{}^CD^\beta x\|,\|u\|\}$, so $\zeta(F)$ is bounded, Next, we proved that F is completely continuous operator.

Let $B_\rho=\{x\in X;\|x\|\leq\rho\}$, then we first show that F maps B_ρ into equicontinuous family. Let $x\in B_\rho$, $t_1,t_2\in J$, $0<t_1<t_2<T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fx)(t_2)-(Fx)(t_1)\| \\
\le &\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\
&\times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown ds\| \\
&+ \| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
&- (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_1-s)^{\alpha-\beta})] \\
&\times (T-s)^{\alpha-1} BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown \|ds \\
&+ \| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
&\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C\!D^{\alpha} x(s), {}^C\!D^{\beta} x(s)\big) ds\| \\
&+ \| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
&- (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t_1-s)^{\alpha-\beta})] \\
&\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C\!D^{\alpha} x(s), {}^C\!D^{\beta} x(s)\big) ds\| \\
&+ \| \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t_2)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t_1)_1^k\| \\
&+ \| \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t_2)_2^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t_1)_2^k\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fu)(t_2)-(Fu)(t_1)\| \\
\le &\|[(T-t_2)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-t_2)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
&- (T-t_1)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-t_1)^{\alpha-\beta})] W^{-1} \bigtriangledown \|.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|{}^C\!D^{\alpha}(Fx)(t_2) - {}^C\!D^{\alpha}(Fx)(t_1)\| \\
= &\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds \\
&- \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_2-s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds\| \\
\le &\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \Big(\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds\| \\
&+ \| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{-\alpha} - (t_1-s)^{-\alpha}] (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds\| \Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly: when $t_2 \to t_1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fx)(t_2)-(Fx)(t_1)\| \ \to \ 0, \\
&\|{}^C\!D^{\alpha}(Fx)(t_2) - {}^C\!D^{\alpha}(Fx)(t_1)\| \ \to \ 0, \\
&\|{}^C\!D^{\beta}(Fx)(t_2) - {}^C\!D^{\beta}(Fx)(t_1)\| \ \to \ 0, \\
&\|(Fu)(t_2)-(Fu)(t_1)\| \ \to \ 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

So F maps B_ρ into an equicontinuous family of functions. Then, the family FB_ρ is uniformly bounded.

Next show that F is a compact operator. Obviously, the closure of FB_ρ is compact. Let $0 \le t \le T$, t be fixed and τ a real number satisfying $0 < \tau < t$. For $x \in B_\rho$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}(F_\tau x)(t) = &\int_0^{t-\tau} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times (T-s)^{\alpha-1} BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times W^{-1}\Big[y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \\ &- \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi)\big) d\xi\Big] ds \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k + \int_0^{t-\tau} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)\big) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using the previous method, we can get the bounded and equicontinuous property of F_τ, Hence,

$$S_\tau(t) = \{(F_\tau x)(t),\ x \in B_\rho\}$$

is relatively compact in X for every $0 < \tau < t$. And for every $x \in B_\rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(Fx)(t) - (F_\tau x)(t)\| \\ \le &\| \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \bigtriangledown ds\| \\ &+ \| \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)\big) ds\| \\ \le &\, n_1^2 \tau \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \| \bigtriangledown \| + n_1 \tau M,\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(Fx)^{(p)}(t) - (F_\tau x)^{(p)}(t)\| \\ \le &\int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \\ &\times \Big[\bigtriangleup - \int_0^T (T-\xi)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-\xi)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi)\big) d\xi\Big] ds \\ &+ \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f\big(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)\big) ds \\ \le &\, n_2^2 \tau \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \| \bigtriangledown \| + n_2 \tau M.\end{aligned}$$

So $\|(Fx)(t)-(F_\tau x)(t)\|\to 0$, $\|(Fx)^{(p)}(t)-(F_\tau x)^{(p)}(t)\|\to 0$, as $\tau\to 0$.
Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|{}^CD^\alpha(Fx)(t)-{}^CD^\alpha(F_\tau x)(t)\|\\ =&\|\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(t-s)^{-\alpha}[(Fx)^{(p)}(s)-(F_\tau x)^{(p)}]ds\|\\ \le&\|\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_0^t(t-s)^{-\alpha}[(Fx)^{(p)}(s)-(F_\tau x)^{(p)}]ds\|\to 0,\ \tau\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, $\|{}^CD^\beta(Fx)(t)-{}^CD^\beta(F_\tau x)(t)\|\to 0$, as $\tau\to 0$.

Hence, relatively compact sets $S_\tau(t)=\{(F_\tau x)(t),\ x\in B_\rho\}$ are arbitrary close to the set $\{(Fx)(t),\ x\in B_\rho\}$, so $\{(Fx)(t),\ x\in B_\rho\}$ is compact in X by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Next we show that F is continuous. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X, $\|x_n-x\|\to 0$, as $n\to\infty$. then for all n and $t\in J$, there is an integer k_0 such that $\|x_n\|\le k_0$, $\|u_n\|\le k_0$, $\|{}^CD^\alpha x_n\|\le k_0$, $\|{}^CD^\beta x_n\|\le k_0$. Hence $\|x(t)\|\le k_0$, $\|u(t)\|\le k_0$, $\|{}^CD^\alpha x(t)\|\le k_0$, $\|{}^CD^\beta x(t)\|\le k_0$. $x,u,{}^CD^\alpha x,{}^CD^\beta x\in X$. By (E_1)

$$f\big(t,x_n(t),u_n(t),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(t),{}^CD^\beta x_n(t)\big)\to f\big(t,x(t),u(t),{}^CD^\alpha x(t),{}^CD^\beta x(t)\big),$$

for each $t\in J$ and since

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f\big(t,x_n(t),u_n(t),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(t),{}^CD^\beta x_n(t)\big)-f\big(t,x(t),u(t),{}^CD^\alpha x(t),{}^CD^\beta x(t)\big)\|\\ &\le 2M.\end{aligned}$$

By the fatou-lebesque theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(Fx_n)(t)-(Fx)(t))\|\\ \le&\|\int_0^t n_1^3BB^*W^{-1}\int_0^s\big[f\big(\xi,x_n(\xi),u_n(\xi),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(\xi),{}^CD^\beta x_n(\xi)\big)\\ &-f\big(\xi,x(\xi),u(\xi),{}^CD^\alpha x(\xi),{}^CD^\beta x(\xi)\big)d\xi\big]ds\\ &+\int_0^t n_1[f\big(s,x_n(s),u_n(s),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(s),{}^CD^\beta x_n(s)\big)\\ &-f\big(s,x(s),u(s),{}^CD^\alpha x(s),{}^CD^\beta x(s)\big)\big]ds\|\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\|(Fx_n)(t)-(Fx)(t))\|\to 0$, as $n\to\infty$.

By x and corresponding relationship of u, we get $\|(Fu_n)(t)-(Fu)(t))\|\to 0$, as $n\to\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(Fx_n)^{(p)}(t)-(Fx)^{(p)}(t)\|\\ \le&\int_0^T n_2^3BB^*W^{-1}\big[f\big(\xi,x_n(\xi),u_n(\xi),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(\xi),{}^CD^\beta x_n(\xi)\big)\\ &-f\big(\xi,x(\xi),u(\xi),{}^CD^\alpha x(\xi),{}^CD^\beta x(\xi)\big)d\xi\big]ds\\ &+\int_0^T n_2\big[f\big(s,x_n(s),u_n(s),{}^CD^\alpha x_n(s),{}^CD^\beta x_n(s)\big)\\ &-f\big(s,x(s),u(s),{}^CD^\alpha x(s),{}^CD^\beta x(s)\big)\big]ds\|.\end{aligned}$$

So $\|(Fx_n)^{(p)}(t)-(Fx)^{(p)}(t))\|\to 0$, as $n\to\infty$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|{}^{C}D^{\alpha}(Fx_n)(t) - {}^{C}D^{\alpha}(Fx)(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(p-\alpha)}\int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1}\|(Fx_n)^p(s) - (Fx)^p(s))\|(s)ds \to 0,\ n\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is continuous. Finally, the set $\zeta(F) = \{x \in X; x = \lambda Fx, \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ is bounded as shown in front part. By Schaefer's theorem, the operator F has a fixed point in X. This fixed point is the solution of (3.1). In summary, the system (3.1) is controllable on $[0,T]$.

□

4. An example

Consider the problem of nonlinear fractional dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D^{\alpha}x(t) = A{}^{C}D^{\beta}x(t) + Bu(t) + f\big(t,x(t),u(t),{}^{C}D^{\alpha}x(t),{}^{C}D^{\beta}x(t)\big), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \quad \cdots, \quad x^{(p)}(0) = x_p. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $p-1 < \alpha \leq p$, $q-1 < \beta \leq q$, $p,q \in N$, $t \in J$, and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 7 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 & 5 \\ 7 & 2 & -11 & 9 \\ 0 & 5 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \\ x_4(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the non-linear function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&f\big(t,x(t),u(t),{}^{C}D^{\alpha}x(t),{}^{C}D^{\beta}x(t)\big) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sin({}^{C}D^{\alpha}x_1(t)) + \cos({}^{C}D^{\beta}x_2(t)) + \frac{\sqrt{x_3(t)+u^2(t)}}{\ln(x_4(t))} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

First, let us consider the Mittag-Leffler matrix function for the given system,

$$E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(At^{\alpha-\beta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^{k(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma((\alpha-\beta)k+\alpha)}.$$

Do some matrix calculations, we can get the following matrices:

$$E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})B = \frac{1}{e}\begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \\ l_3 \\ l_4 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$B^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) = \frac{1}{e}\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & l_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$l_1(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{4t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} + \frac{74t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \cdots,$$

$$l_2(t) = \frac{3t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} + \frac{44t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{790t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \cdots,$$
$$l_3(t) = \frac{7t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} - \frac{43t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{1781t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \cdots,$$
$$l_4(t) = \frac{78t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{613t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \frac{26109t^{4(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(5\alpha-4\beta)} + \cdots,$$
$$l_n = l_n(T-s).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})BB^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^2}\begin{pmatrix} l_1^2 & l_1l_2 & l_1l_3 & l_1l_4 \\ l_1l_2 & l_2^2 & l_2l_3 & l_2l_4 \\ l_1l_3 & l_2l_3 & l_3^2 & l_3l_4 \\ l_1l_4 & l_2l_4 & l_3l_4 & l_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= l^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,the controllability matrix W for the system is found by

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad BB^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}ds \\ &= \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} l^* ds \\ &> 0, \qquad\qquad for\ T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above, we have shown that W is an invertible matrix. Moreover, the nonlinear function f satisfies the hypothesis. Hence the system (4.1) is controllable on J.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to anonymous referees for their constructive comments and suggestions which have greatly improved this paper. This work is supported by NSF of China(11271154).

References

[1] I.Petrâŝ, *Fractional-Order Nonlinear Systems, Higher Education Press*, Beijing and Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg,2011.
[2] R.Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific Publisher,Singapure,2000.
[3] K.S.Miller,B.Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equation*, Wiley, New York,1993.
[4] I.Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York,1999.

[5] A.A.Kilbas, H.M.Srivastava, J.J.Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.

[6] Y.Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore,2014.

[7] A.Ahmeda,A.Elgazzar, *On fractional order diffusion wave equations model for noniocal epidemics*, J.Phys.A: Math. Gen. **379(2)** (2007)607-614.

[8] B.Bonilla,M.Rivero,L.Rodriguez-Germa,J.J.Trujillo, *Fractional differential equations as alternative models to nonlinear differential equations*, Appl.Math.Comput.**187(1)** (2007)79-88.

[9] Y.E.Luchko,M.Rivero,J.J.Trujillo,M.P.Velasco, *Fractional models, non-locality and complex systems*, Comput. Math.Appl. **59(3)** (2010)1048-1056.

[10] R.Metzler,J.Klafter, *Random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys.Rep. **339(1)** (2000)1-77.

[11] H.Xu, *Analytical approximations for population growth model with fractional order*, commun.NonlinearSci. Numer.Simul.**14(5)** (2009)1978-1983.

[12] R.C.Koller, *Applications of fractional calculus to the theory of viscoelasticity*, J. Appl.Mech.**51(2)** (1984)299-307.

[13] A.Atangana, D.Baleanu. New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model. Thermal Science 20(2)(2016)763-769.

[14] BST.Alkahtani. Chua's circuit model with Atangana-Baleanu derivative with fractional order. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Volume 89(2016)547-551.

[15] OJJ.Algahtani. Comparing the AtanganaCBaleanu and CaputoCFabrizio derivative with fractional order: Allen Cahn model. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Volume 89(2016)552-559.

[16] A.Atangana, I.Koca. Chaos in a simple nonlinear system with AtanganaCBaleanu derivatives with fractional order. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Volume 89(2016)447-454.

[17] T.Kaczorek, *Selected Problems of Fractional Systems Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg,2011.

[18] C.A.Monje,Y.Q.Chen,B.Vinagre,X.Xue,V.Feliu, *Fractional-order Systems and Controls: Fundamentals and Applications*, Springer, London,2010.

[19] K.Balachandran,V.Govindaraj,L.Rodriguez-Germá,J.J.Trujillo, *Controllability results for nonlinear fractional-order dynamical systems*, J.Optim.Theory Appl.**156(1)** (2013)33-44.

[20] K.Balachandran,V.Govindaraj,M.D.Ortigueira,M.Rivero,J.J.Trujillo, *Observability and controllability of fractional linear dynamical systems*. In: 6th Workshop on Fractional Differentiation and Its Applications, France,2013.

[21] M.Bettayeb,S.Djennoune, *New results on the controllability and observability of fractional dynamical systems*, J.Vib.Control.**14(9-10)** (2008)1531-1541.

[22] Y.Chen,H.S.Ahn,D.Xue, *Robust controllability of interval fractional order linear time invariant systems*, Signal Process.**86(10)** (2006)2794-2802.

[23] S.Guermah,S.Djennoune,M.Bettayeb, *Controllability and observability of linear discrete-time fractional-order systems*, Int.J.Appl.Math.Comput.Sci.**18(2)** (2008)213-222.

[24] K.Balachandran,J.Kokila, *On the controllability of fractional dynamical systems*, Int.J.Appl.Math.Comput. Sci.**12(3)** (2012)523-531.

[25] K.Balachandran,J.Y. Park,J.J.Trujillo, *Controllability of nonlinear fractional dynamical systems*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.**75(4)** (2012)1919-1926.

[26] K.Yongyong,Z.Xiu'e, *Some comparison of two fractional oscillator*, Phys.B.**405(1)** (2010) 369-373.

[27] B.N.Narahari Achar,J.W.Hanneken,T.Clarke, *Response characteristics of a fractional oscillator*, Phys.A.**309(3-4)** (2002)275-288.

[28] A.Tofighi, *The intrinsic damping of the fractional oscillator*, Phys.A.**329(1-2)** (2003)29-34.

[29] A.Al-rabtah,V.S.Ertürk,S.Momani, *Solutions of a fractional oscillator by using differential transform method*, Comput.Math.Appl.**59(3)** (2010)1356-1362.

[30] K.Balachandran,V.Govindaraj,M.Rivero,J.J.Trujillo, *Controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems*, Appl.Math.Comput.**257** (2015)66-73

[31] A.Erdélyied. Hiìgher, *Transcendental Functions[M]*, New York:McGraw-Hill,1955

[32] Smart.D.R, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, New York(1974)