

NONLOCAL PROBLEM FOR THE HYPERBOLIC SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FIRST ORDER

BY LECH ZARĘBA

Abstract. In the present paper we consider the nonlocal problem for the system of hyperbolic equations of the first order in two independent variables in the case when nonlinear functions satisfy Caratheodory assumptions. Some conditions for uniqueness and existence of a solution are obtained.

Nonlocal problems for hyperbolic equations of the first order describe the dynamic of population [1]–[3]. During the last thirty years the existence and uniqueness of the solution of nonlocal problems for the system of hyperbolic equations have been considered in a number of papers [4]–[8]. The authors assumed that the nonlinear functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with respect to the unknown functions. In this paper we consider the nonlocal problem for the system of hyperbolic equations of the first order in two independent variables in the case when nonlinear functions satisfy Caratheodory conditions.

We shall consider the system of hyperbolic equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} &u_t(x, t) + A(x)u_x(x, t) + C(x, t)u(x, t) + \\ &+ G(x, u) + \int_a^b Q(\xi, t)u(\xi, t)d\xi = F(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

in the domain

$$\Omega_T = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < c, 0 < t < T\}, \quad T < \infty,$$

For this system we put the following boundary and initial conditions:

$$(2) \quad u(0, t) = \Lambda u(c, t)$$

$$(3) \quad u(x, 0) = \Phi(x)$$

where A, C, Q, Λ are square matrices of order n , and

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T, \quad G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^T,$$

$$F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)^T, \quad \Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)^T, \quad 0 \leq a < b \leq c.$$

For equation (1), we consider the following conditions:

- (A) $A \in C_{n^2}^1([0, c]); \quad \det A(x) \neq 0, \quad A(x) = A^t(x)$
for every $\xi \in R^n$ and $x \in [0, c];$
 $A(c) - \Lambda^t A(0) \Lambda = 0.$
- (G) The function G is continuous with respect to ξ for almost all $x \in (0, c)$ and measurable with respect to x for every $\xi \in R^n$ and satisfies the following inequalities:
 $(G(x, \xi) - G(x, \mu), \xi - \mu) \geq G_0 |\xi - \mu|^p,$
where $2 < p < \infty, G_0 \geq 0,$
 $|g_i(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)| \leq G_1 \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{p-1}, \quad G_1 > 0$
for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and for every $\xi \in R^n$ and almost all $x \in (0, c).$
By (\cdot, \cdot) we denote the scalar product in $R^n.$

DEFINITION 1. We call a function u a solution of problem (1)–(3) if

$$u \in W_n^{1,2}((0, T); L^2(0, c)), \quad u_x \in L_n^2(\Omega_T) + L_n^q(\Omega_T), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

and u satisfies (1), (2), (3) for almost all $(x, t) \in \Omega_T.$

Denote

$$Q_0 := \sup_{a < x < b, 0 < t < T} \|Q(x, t)\|$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm of the matrix $Q.$

THEOREM 1. *If the conditions (A), (G) hold and $C, Q \in L_{n^2}^\infty(\Omega_T)$ then the problem (1)–(3) has at most one solution.*

PROOF. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that there exist two solutions u^1, u^2 of the problem (1)–(3) such that $u^1 \neq u^2.$ Denote $u = u^1 - u^2.$ It is easy to show that for every $\tau \in (0, T]$ the following equality is satisfied

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega_\tau} \left[(u_t(x, t), u(x, t)) + (A(x)u_x(x, t), u(x, t)) + \right. \\ \left. + (C(x, t)u(x, t), u(x, t)) + ((G(x, u^1) - G(x, u^2), u(x, t))) + \right. \\ \left. + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u(\xi, t), u(x, t)) d\xi \right] e^{-\lambda t} dx dt = 0$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $u(x, 0) = 0$. Hence if we consider the respective components of the last equality we will have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} (u_t(x, t), u(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt = \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |u(x, \tau)|^2 e^{-\lambda \tau} dx. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} (A(x)u_x(x, t), u(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} (A(x)u(x, t), u(x, t))_x e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} (A_x(x)u(x, t), u(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (A) we have

$$I_2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} A_1 \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt,$$

where $A_1 = \sup_{[0, c]} \|A_x(x)\|$. Since $C \in L_{n^2}^\infty(\Omega_T)$, we obtain

$$I_3 = \int_{\Omega_\tau} (C(x, t)u(x, t), u(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \geq c_0 \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt.$$

By (G)

$$I_4 = \int_{\Omega_\tau} (G(x, u^1) - G(x, u^2), u(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \geq 0,$$

and since $Q \in L_{n^2}^\infty(\Omega_T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u(\xi, t), u(x, t)) d\xi e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_\tau} \int_a^b \|Q(\xi, t)\| |u(\xi, t)| d\xi |u(x, t)| e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} Q_0 (c(b-a) + 1) \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the following inequality

$$(5) \quad \int_0^c |u(x, \tau)|^2 e^{-\lambda\tau} dx + (\lambda + 2c_0 - A_1 - Q_0(c(b - a) + 1)) \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \leq 0$$

for $\tau \in (0, T]$. We choose λ such that

$$\lambda + 2c_0 - A_1 - Q_0(c(b - a) + 1) \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_0^c |u(x, t)|^2 \leq 0, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

which means that $u(x, t) = 0$ for almost all $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Denote by J the Jacobian matrix of the function $G(x, u)$

$$J = \left[\frac{\partial g_i(x, u)}{\partial u_j} \right]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Let $\widehat{W}_n^{1,2}$ be the closure of the function space $C_n^1([0, c])$, satisfying (2) with respect to the norm of the space $W_n^{1,2}(0, c)$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the conditions (A) and (G) hold and $C, C_t, Q, Q_t \in L_n^\infty(\Omega_T)$; $F, F_t \in L_n^2(\Omega_T)$; $\Phi \in \widehat{W}_n^{1,2}(0, c)$. Moreover, assume that*

$$(6) \quad (J(x, \mu)\xi, \xi) \geq 0$$

for every $\mu, \xi \in R^n$ and almost every $x \in (0, c)$. Then there exists a solution of the problem (1)–(3).

PROOF. Consider the following problem for eigenfunctions:

$$(7) \quad y'' = \lambda y,$$

$$(8) \quad y(0) = \Lambda y(c); \quad y'(c) = \Lambda^T y(0)$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$. Then there exists an orthogonal system of eigenfunctions $\{w^k(x)\}$, $w^k(x) = (w_1^k(x), \dots, w_n^k(x))^T$, of the problem (7), (8), which is a basis of the space $L_n^2(0, c)$. We consider a sequence of functions of the form

$$u^N(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k^N(t) w^k(x)$$

for $N = 1, 2, \dots$ where the functions C_1^N, \dots, C_N^N constitute the solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$(9) \quad \int_0^c \left[(u_t^N(x, t), w^k(x)) + (A(x)u_x^N(x, t), w^k(x)) + \right. \\ \left. + (C(x, t)u^N(x, t), w^k(x)) + (G(x, u^N), w^k(x)) \right. \\ \left. + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u^N(\xi, t), w^k(x))d\xi - \right. \\ \left. - (F(x, t), w^k(x)) \right] dx = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, N,$$

with

$$(10) \quad C_k^N(0) = \phi_k^N \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, N,$$

where

$$\Phi^N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \phi_k^N w^k(x)$$

and

$$\|\Phi^N - \Phi\|_{\widehat{W}_n^{2,1}(0,c)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observe that the assumptions of Theorem 2 guarantee the existence of the solution of the problem (9), (10), which is differentiable in the interval $(0, T)$. Multiplying (9) by the functions $C_k^N(t)e^{-\lambda t}$, respectively, then summing by k from 1 to N and integrating with respect to t from 0 to τ , $\tau \in (0, T]$ we obtain

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Omega_\tau} \left[(u_t^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + (A(x)u_x^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + \right. \\ \left. + (C(x, t)u^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + (G(x, u^N), u^N(x, t)) + \right. \\ \left. + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u^N(\xi, t), u^N(x, t))d\xi \right. \\ \left. - (F(x, t), u^N(x, t)) \right] e^{-\lambda t} dx dt = 0.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} \left[(u_t^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + (A(x)u_x^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (C(x, t)u^N(x, t), u^N(x, t)) + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u^N(\xi, t), u^N(x, t))d\xi \right] e^{-\lambda t} dx \geq \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |u^N(x, \tau)|^2 e^{-\lambda \tau} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |\Phi^N(x)|^2 dx + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + 2c_0 - A_1 - Q_0(c(b-a) + 1)) \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u^N(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (G) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} (G(x, u^N), u^N(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \geq \\
&\geq G_0 \int_{\Omega_\tau} (|u^N(x, t)|^p e^{-\lambda t} dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} (F(x, t), u^N(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \leq \\
&\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} |F(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u^N(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose now

$$\lambda = \max\{A_1 + Q_0(c(b-a) + 1) + 1 - 2c_0, 3\}$$

then from the estimates of I_6, I_7, I_8 and (11), for N large enough, we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad &2G_0 \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u^N(x, t)|^p e^{\lambda t} dx dt + \int_0^c |u^N(x, \tau)|^2 dx e^{\lambda \tau} \leq \\
&\leq e^{\lambda \tau} \left(2 \int_0^c |\Phi(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_\tau} |F(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau \in [0, T]$. Differentiating (9) with respect to t , then multiplying by functions $C_{kt}^N(t)e^{-\lambda t}$, respectively, summing by k from 1 to N and integrating

by t from 0 to τ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \int_{\Omega_\tau} \left[(u_{tt}^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + (A(x)u_{xt}^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + \right. \\
 & + (C(x, t)u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u_t^N(\xi, t), u_t^N(\xi, t))d\xi - \\
 & - (F(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + (C_t(x, t)u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + \\
 & + (J(x, u^N)u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) \\
 & \left. + \int_a^b (Q_t(\xi, t)u_t^N(\xi, t), u_t^N(x, t))d\xi \right] e^{-\lambda t} dx dt = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, it is easy to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_9 &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} \left[(u_{tt}^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + (A(x)u_{xt}^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + \right. \\
 & + (C(x, t)u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u_t^N(\xi, t), u_t^N(x, t))d\xi - \\
 & \left. - (F_t(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) \right] e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \geq \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |u_t^N(x, \tau)|^2 e^{-\lambda \tau} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |u_t^N(x, 0)|^2 dx - \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} |F_t(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + 2c_0 - A_1 - 1 - \\
 & - Q_0(c(b-a) + 1)) \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u_t^N(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t} dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, from the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{10} &= \int_{\Omega_\tau} (C_t(x, t)u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} (|u_t^N(x, t)|^2 + \sup_{Q_T} \|C_t(x, t)\|^2 |u_t^N(x, t)|^2) e^{-\lambda t} dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{11} = \int_{\Omega_\tau} (J(x, u^N) u_t^N(x, t), u_t^N(x, t)) dx dt \geq 0$$

and

$$I_{12} = \int_{\Omega_\tau} \int_a^b (Q_t(\xi, t) u^N(\xi, t), u_t^N(x, t)) d\xi dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\tau} [|u_t^N(x, t)|^2 + \sup_{a < x < b, 0 < t < T} \|Q_t(x, t)\|^2 (c(b-a) + 1) |u^N(x, t)|^2 e^{-\lambda t}] dx dt.$$

To estimate the integral

$$\int_0^c |u_t^N|^2 dx$$

we again use (9). Hence we obtain

$$(14) \quad \int_0^c \left[|u_t^N(x, 0)|^2 + (A(x) u_x^N(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) + (G(x, u^N), u_t^N(x, 0)) + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, 0) u^N(\xi, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) d\xi + (C(x, 0) u^N(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) - (F(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) \right] dx = 0.$$

Thus

$$I_{13} = \int_0^c \left[(A(x) u_x^N(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) + (C(x, 0) u^N(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) + (G(x, u^N), u_t^N(x, 0)) + \int_a^b (Q(\xi, 0) u^N(\xi, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) d\xi - (F(x, 0), u_t^N(x, 0)) \right] dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |u_t^N(x, 0)|^2 dx + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \int_0^c (|\Phi(x)|^2 + |\Phi_x(x)|^2) dx,$$

where the constant μ_1 depends on matrices A, C, Q , the function $F(x, 0)$ and constants G_1, n, p . From (14) we obtain the following estimation

$$(15) \quad \int_0^c |u_t^N(x, 0)|^2 dx \leq \mu_1 \int_0^c (|\Phi(x)|^2 + |\Phi_x(x)|^2) dx.$$

From the estimates of the integrals $I_9, I_{10}, I_{11}, I_{12}$ and from (12), (15) we obtain the inequality

$$(16) \quad \int_0^c |u_t^N(x, \tau)|^2 dx \leq \mu_2 \left[\int_0^c (|\Phi(x)|^2 + |\Phi_x(x)|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega_\tau} (|F(x, t)|^2 + |F_t(x, t)|^2) dx dt \right]$$

for $\tau \in (0, T]$, where the constant μ_2 does not depend on N . Moreover, from the assumptions (G) and (12)

$$(17) \quad \int_{\Omega_\tau} |g_i(x, u^N)|^q dx dt \leq \int_{\Omega_\tau} (G_1 \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i^N|^{p-1})^q dx dt \leq \mu_3 \int_{\Omega_\tau} |u^N(x, t)|^p dx dt \leq \mu_4$$

for $\tau \in (0, T]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. By inequalities (12), (15), (17) there exists a subsequence $\{u^m(x, t)\}$ of the sequence $\{u^N(x, t)\}$ such that

$$u^m \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } L_n^2(\Omega_T)$$

$$u_t^m \rightarrow u_t \quad \text{weakly in } L_n^2(\Omega_T)$$

$$G(x, u^m) \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{weakly in } L_n^q(\Omega_T)$$

when $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we consider a sequence $\{y_m\}$ defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq y_m &= \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} (G(x, u^m) - G(x, v), u^m(x, t) - v(x, t)) dx dt + \\
&+ \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} (G(x, v), u^m(x, t) - v(x, t)) dx dt - \\
&- \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} (G(x, u^m), v(x, t)) dx dt + \\
&+ \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} (G(x, u^m), u^m(x, t)) dx dt = \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} \left[(F(x, t), u^m(x, t)) + \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (A_x(x) u^m(x, t), u^m(x, t)) - (C(x, t) u^m(x, t), u^m(x, t)) - \\
&- (u_t^m(x, t), u^m(x, t)) - \left. \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t) u^m(\xi, t), u^m(x, t)) d\xi \right] dx dt - \\
&- \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} [(G(x, v), u^m(x, t) - v(x, t)) + (G(x, u^m), v(x, t))] dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where v is an arbitrary function in $L_n^p(\Omega_T)$. It is easy to prove that for the same λ the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(18) \quad 0 \leq y_m &\leq \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} \left[(F(x, t), u(x, t)) + \frac{1}{2} (A_x(x) u(x, t), u(x, t)) - \right. \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2} (u(x, t), u(x, t)) - (C(x, t) u(x, t), u(x, t)) - \\
&\left. \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t) u(\xi, t), u(x, t)) d\xi \right] dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |\Phi(x)|^2 dx - \\
&- \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} [(\omega, v) + (G(x, v), u(x, t) - v(x, t))] dx dt \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c e^{-\lambda \tau} |u(x, \tau)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (9) we obtain that for every $v \in \widehat{W}_n^{2,1}(\Omega_T)$ the following equality holds

$$(19) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} \left[(u_t(x, t), u(x, t)) - (A_x(x)u(x, t), v(x, t)) - (A(x)u(x, t), v_x(x, t)) + (C(x, t)u(x, t), v(x, t)) - \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u(\xi, t), v(x, t))d\xi + (\omega, v(x, t)) - (F(x, t), v(x, t)) \right] dxdt = 0.$$

Using (A) and (19) we find that $u_x \in L_n^2(\Omega_T) + L_n^q(\Omega_T)$. Thus $u \in L_n^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Then if we put the function u instead of the function v in (19) we obtain

$$(20) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} e^{-\lambda t} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(u(x, t), u(x, t)) - \frac{1}{2}(A_x(x)u(x, t), u(x, t)) + (C(x, t)u(x, t), u(x, t)) - \int_a^b (Q(\xi, t)u(x, t), u(x, t))d\xi + (\omega, u(x, t)) - (F(x, t), u(x, t)) \right] dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c e^{-\lambda T} |u(x, T)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c |\Phi(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Adding (19) and (20) we get

$$(21) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} (\omega - G(x, v), u(x, t) - v(x, t))e^{-\lambda t} dxdt \geq 0.$$

Let $v = u - \alpha w$, $\alpha > 0$, $w \in \widehat{W}_n^{2,1}(\Omega_T)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (\omega - G(x, u), w)e^{-\lambda t} dxdt = 0$$

for every $w \in L_n^p(\Omega_T)$, which means that

$$\omega = G(x, u).$$

From (20) we obtain that u is the solution of the problem (1)–(3), which completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

1. von Foerster H., *Some remarks on changing population*, "The kinetics of cellular proliferation" (F. Stohlman Jr. ed.) Grune and Stratton, New-York (1959), 382–407.
2. Ważewska-Czyżewska M., Lasota A., *Mathematical problems of the dynamics of a system of red blood cells*, *Mat. Stos.* **6** (3) (1976), 23–40.
3. Nakhushev A.M., *On one nonlocal problem for partial differential equations*, *Differentsialnyye uravneniya* **22** N 1 (1986), 171–174 (in Russian).
4. Kyrylych V.M., *The problem with nonlocal boundary conditions for hyperbolic system of the first order with two independent variables*, *Visnyk Lviv university, Ser. mekh-mat.* **24** (1984), 90–94 (in Ukrainian).
5. Mel'nyk Z.O., *One nonclassical boundary problem for hyperbolic system of the first order with two independent variables*, *Differentsialnyye uravneniya* **17** N 6 (1981), 1096–1104 (in Russian).
6. Mel'nyk Z.O., Kyrylych V.M., *Nonlocal problems without initial conditions for hyperbolic equations and systems with two independent variables*, *Ukrayinskiy matem. zhurnal* **35** N 6 (1983), 722–727 (in Russian).
7. Dawidowicz A.L., Haribash N., *On the periodic solution on von Foerster tipe equation*, *Univ. Jagell. Acta Math.* **37** (1999), 321–324.
8. Dawidowicz A.L., Forystek E., Haribash N., Zalasinski J., *Pewne nowe wyniki dotyczące równań typu Foerstera*, *Materiały XXXIII Ogólnopolskiej Konferencji Zastosowań Matematyki, Zakopane-Kościelisko* (1999), 29.

Received March 6, 2000

University in Rzeszów
Department of Mathematics
Rejtana 16A
35-959 Rzeszów
Poland
e-mail: Lzareba@univ.rzeszow.pl