

УДК 517.968.74

DOI 10.46698/h5012-2008-4560-g

ON A NONLOCAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR A PARTIAL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DEGENERATE KERNEL

T. K. Yuldashev¹

¹ National University of Uzbekistan,
4 University St., Tashkent 100174, Uzbekistan
E-mail: tursun.k.yuldashev@gmail.com

Abstract. In this article the problems of the unique classical solvability and the construction of the solution of a nonlinear boundary value problem for a fifth order partial integro-differential equations with degenerate kernel are studied. Dirichlet boundary conditions are specified with respect to the spatial variable. So, the Fourier series method, based on the separation of variables is used. A countable system of the second order ordinary integro-differential equations with degenerate kernel is obtained. The method of degenerate kernel is applied to this countable system of ordinary integro-differential equations. A system of countable systems of algebraic equations is derived. Then the countable system of nonlinear Fredholm integral equations is obtained. Iteration process of solving this integral equation is constructed. Sufficient coefficient conditions of the unique solvability of the countable system of nonlinear integral equations are established for the regular values of parameter. In proof of unique solvability of the obtained countable system of nonlinear integral equations the method of successive approximations in combination with the contraction mapping method is used. In the proof of the convergence of Fourier series the Cauchy–Schwarz and Bessel inequalities are applied. The smoothness of solution of the boundary value problem is also proved.

Key words: nonlinear boundary value problem, integro-differential equation, degenerate kernel, Fourier series, classical solvability.

AMS Subject Classification: 35A02, 35M10, 35S05.

For citation: Yuldashev, T. K. On a Nonlocal Boundary Value Problem for a Partial Integro-Differential Equations with Degenerate Kernel, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, 2022, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 130–141. DOI: 10.46698/h5012-2008-4560-g.

1. Formulation of the Problem

The theory of boundary value problems is currently one of the most important directions of the theory of higher order partial differential equations and nonlinear mathematical physics. So, a large number of research works are devoted to the study these theories of higher order partial differential equations and nonlinear mathematical physics (see, in particular [1–11]). Studies of the many problems of gas dynamics, theory of elasticity, theory of plates and shells are described by the aid of the high-order partial differential equations. Partial differential equations of Boussinesq and Benney-Luke types have differential applications in different branches of sciences (see, for example, [12–14]). When the boundary of the flow domain of a physical process is unavailable for measurements, nonlocal (often nonlinear) conditions

in integral form can serve as an information sufficient for the unique solvability of the problem [15]. Therefore, in recent years, research on the study of nonlocal boundary value problems for differential and integro-differential equations with integral conditions has been intensified (see, for example, [16–30]).

In this paper, we study the regular solvability of a fifth order partial integro-differential equations with some nonlinear integral conditions and parameter. This paper is further development of the works [31, 32].

In the domain $\Omega = \{0 < t < T, 0 < x < l\}$ the following form of the partial integro-differential equations is considered

$$U_{tt}(t, x) + \omega_1(t) U_{ttxx}(t, x) - \omega_2(t) \int_0^T U_{xxxx}(\theta, x) d\theta = \nu \int_0^T K(t, s) U_{txxxx}(s, x) ds, \quad (1)$$

where T and l are given positive real numbers, ν is a real non-zero parameter $0 \leq \omega_i(t) \in C[0; T]$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 \neq K(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s)$, $a_i(t), b_i(s) \in C[0; T]$. It is supposed that the system of functions $a_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the system of functions $b_i(s)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are linear independent.

PROBLEM: Find in the domain Ω a function from the class

$$U(t, x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_{t,x}^{2,4}(\Omega) \cap C_{t,x}^{2+2}(\Omega) \cap C_{t,x}^{1+4}(\Omega), \quad (2)$$

satisfying the integro-differential equation (1) and the following boundary conditions

$$U(0, x) = \varphi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_1(\theta, z, U(\theta, z)) d\theta dz \right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad (3)$$

$$U_t(T, x) = \psi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_2(\theta, z, U(\theta, z)) d\theta dz \right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad (4)$$

$$U(t, 0) = U(t, l) = U_{xx}(t, 0) = U_{xx}(t, l) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\psi(x, \cdot)$, $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ are enough smooth functions in the domain $\Omega_l \times (-\infty; \infty)$, $0 < \int_0^T \int_0^l |R_i(\theta, z, U(\theta, z))| d\theta dz < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, $C_{t,x}^{r,s}(\Omega)$ is the class of functions $U(t, x)$, possessing the continuous derivatives $\frac{\partial^r U}{\partial t^r}$, $\frac{\partial^s U}{\partial x^s}$ in the domain Ω , $C_{t,x}^{r+s}(\Omega)$ is the class of functions $U(t, x)$, possessing the continuous derivatives $\frac{\partial^{r+s} U}{\partial t^r \partial x^s}$ in the domain Ω , r, s are arbitrary natural numbers, $\bar{\Omega} = \{0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq l\}$.

2. Expansion of the Solution of the Problem into Fourier Series

Taking into account the Dirichlet conditions (5) the nontrivial solutions of the problem (1)–(5) are sought as a following sine Fourier series

$$U(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \vartheta_n(x), \quad (6)$$

where

$$u_n(t) = \int_{\Omega_l} U(t, x) \vartheta_n(x) dx, \quad (7)$$

$$\vartheta_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sin \frac{\pi n}{l} x, \quad \Omega_l = [0; l], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We also suppose that the following functions can be expanded into Fourier series

$$\varphi(x, \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\cdot) \vartheta_n(x), \quad \psi(x, \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(\cdot) \vartheta_n(x), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(\cdot) &= \int_0^l \varphi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_1(\theta, z, U(\theta, z)) d\theta dz \right) \vartheta_n(x) dx, \\ \psi_n(\cdot) &= \int_0^l \psi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_2(\theta, z, U(\theta, z)) d\theta dz \right) \vartheta_n(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Substituting Fourier series (6) into partial integro-differential equation (1), we obtain the countable system of ordinary integro-differential equations of second order

$$u_n''(t) - \lambda_n^2(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta = \nu \lambda_n^2(t) \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) b_i(s) u_n'(s) ds, \quad (10)$$

where $\lambda_n^2(t) = \frac{\omega_2(t) \mu_n^4}{1 + \omega_1(t) \mu_n^2}$, $\mu_n = \frac{\pi n}{l}$. By the aid of the designations

$$\tau_{i,n} = \int_0^T b_i(s) u_n'(s) ds \quad (11)$$

the countable system (10) can be rewritten as

$$u_n''(t) = \nu \lambda_n^2(t) \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \tau_{i,n} + \lambda_n^2(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta. \quad (12)$$

The second order countable system of integro-differential equations (12) is solved by the variation method of arbitrary constants

$$u_n(t) = A_{1,n} t + A_{2,n} + \eta_n(t), \quad (13)$$

where we are used the following denotations

$$\eta_n(t) = \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i,n} h_{i,n}(t) + \delta_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta,$$

$$h_{i,n}(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) \lambda_n(s) a_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \delta_n(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) \lambda_n(s) ds.$$

By virtue of Fourier coefficients (7) and (9), the conditions (3) and (4) take the forms

$$u_n(0) = \int_{\Omega_l} U(0, x) \vartheta_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega_l} \varphi(x, \cdot) \vartheta_n(x) dx = \varphi_n(\cdot), \quad (14)$$

$$u'_n(T) = \int_{\Omega_l} U_t(T, x) \vartheta_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega_l} \psi(x, \cdot) \vartheta_n(x) dx = \psi_n(\cdot). \tag{15}$$

To find the unknown Fourier coefficients $A_{1,n}$ and $A_{2,n}$ in (13), we use the boundary value conditions (14) and (15). Applying (14) to the representation (13), we find

$$A_{2,n} = \varphi_n(\cdot). \tag{16}$$

After differentiation (13) once, by the aid of the condition (15) we have

$$u'_n(t) = A_{1,n} + \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i,n} h'_{i,n}(t) + \delta'_n(t) \int_{-T}^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \tag{17}$$

where

$$h'_{i,n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_n(s) a_i(s) ds, \quad \delta'_n(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_n(s) ds.$$

By virtue of the condition (15), from (17) we obtain

$$A_{1,n} = \psi_n(\cdot) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i,n} h'_{i,n}(T) - \delta'_n(T) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta. \tag{18}$$

Substituting determined Fourier coefficients (16) and (18) into presentation (13), we find

$$u_n(t) = \varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) t + \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i,n} M_{i,n}(t) + N_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \tag{19}$$

where

$$M_{i,n}(t) = h_{i,n}(t) - t \cdot h'_{i,n}(T), \quad N_n(t) = \delta_n(t) - t \cdot \delta'_{i,n}(T),$$

$$h_{i,n}(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) \lambda_n(s) a_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \delta_n(t) = \int_0^t (t-s) \lambda_n(s) ds.$$

After differentiation (19) once, we have

$$u'_n(t) = \psi_n(\cdot) + \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i,n} M'_{i,n}(t) + N'_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \tag{20}$$

where

$$M'_{i,n}(t) = h'_{i,n}(t) - h'_{i,n}(T), \quad N'_n(t) = \delta'_n(t) - \delta'_{i,n}(T),$$

$$h'_{i,n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_n(s) a_i(s) ds, \quad \delta'_n(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_n(s) ds.$$

Substituting derivative (20) into designation (11), we obtain the system of algebraic equations (SAE)

$$\tau_{i,n} + \nu \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_{i,n} H_{i,j,n} = \psi_n(\cdot) \Phi_{1,i,n} + \Phi_{2,i,n} \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \tag{21}$$

where

$$H_{i,j,n} = \int_{-T}^T b_i(s) M'_{j,n}(s) ds, \quad \Phi_{1,i,n} = \int_0^T b_i(s) ds, \quad \Phi_{2,i,n} = \int_0^T b_i(s) N'_n(s) ds.$$

We recall that the systems of functions $a_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $b_i(s)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are independent. So, here follows that $H_{i,j,n} \neq 0$. The SAE (21) is unique solvable for all bounded right-hand side of SAE, if the following Fredholm condition is fulfilled

$$\Delta(\nu) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \nu H_{11} & \nu H_{12} & \dots & \nu H_{1k} \\ \nu H_{21} & 1 + \nu H_{22} & \dots & \nu H_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \nu H_{k1} & \nu H_{k2} & \dots & 1 + \nu H_{kk} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \quad (22)$$

The values of the parameter ν , at which the condition (22) is satisfied are called regular. On the set of regular values of the parameter ν , the solutions of the SAE (21) can be written as

$$\tau_{i,n} = \psi_n \frac{\Delta_{1,i}(\nu)}{\Delta(\nu)} + \frac{\Delta_{2,i}(\nu)}{\Delta(\nu)} \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Delta_{m,i}(\nu) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \nu H_{11} & \dots & \nu H_{1(i-1)} & \Phi_{m1} & \nu H_{1(i+1)} & \dots & \nu H_{1k} \\ \nu H_{21} & \dots & \nu H_{2(i-1)} & \Phi_{m2} & \nu H_{2(i+1)} & \dots & \nu H_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \nu H_{k1} & \dots & \nu H_{k(i-1)} & \Phi_{mk} & \nu H_{k(i+1)} & \dots & 1 + \nu H_{kk} \end{vmatrix},$$

$i = 1, \dots, k$, $m = 1, 2$.

Substituting solutions (23) into representation (19), we obtain the solution of the countable system of integral equations (CSIE)

$$u_n(t) = \varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n(t) + W_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta.$$

Hence, taking into account (9), the CSIE we rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) = I(t; u_n) &\equiv \int_0^l \varphi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_1 \left(\theta, z, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(\theta) \vartheta_m(z) \right) d\theta dz \right) \vartheta_n(x) dx \\ &+ V_n(t) \int_0^l \psi \left(x, \int_0^T \int_0^l R_2 \left(\theta, z, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m(\theta) \vartheta_m(z) \right) d\theta dz \right) \vartheta_n(x) dx + W_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$V_n(t) = t + \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\Delta_{1,i}(\nu)}{\Delta_1(\nu)} M_{i,n}(t), \quad W_n(t) = N(t) + \nu \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\Delta_{2,i}(\nu)}{\Delta(\nu)} M_{i,n}(t),$$

$\varphi_n(\cdot)$ and $\psi_n(\cdot)$ are Fourier coefficients in series (8) and are determined from (9), respectively.

Now, to obtain an expansion of the formal solution to the problem (1)–(5), the representation (24) we substitute into the Fourier series (6)

$$U(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_n(x) \left[\varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n(t) + W_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta \right]. \tag{25}$$

3. One Value Solvability CSIE (24)

We consider the concepts of the following well-known Banach spaces: the space $B_2(T)$ of sequences of continuous functions $\{u_i(t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ on the segment $[0; T]$ with norm

$$\|u(t)\|_{B_2(T)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{t \in [0; T]} |u_i(t)| \right)^2} < \infty;$$

the Hilbert coordinate space ℓ_2 of number sequences $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\ell_2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_i|^2} < \infty;$$

the space $L_2(\Omega_l)$ of square-summable functions on the domain $\Omega_l = [0; l]$ with norm

$$\|\vartheta(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} = \sqrt{\int_0^l |\vartheta(y)|^2 dy} < \infty.$$

Assume that for the smooth functions $V_n(t)$, $W_n(t)$ from (24) we obtain that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$C_1 = \max_n \left\{ \max_{t \in [0; T]} |V_n(t)|; \max_{t \in [0; T]} |V'_n(t)|; \max_{t \in [0; T]} |V''_n(t)| \right\} < \infty, \tag{26}$$

$$\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^4 C_{2n})^2} < \infty, \tag{27}$$

where

$$C_{2n} = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0; T]} |W_n(t)|; \max_{t \in [0; T]} |W'_n(t)|; \max_{t \in [0; T]} |W''_n(t)| \right\}.$$

Conditions of smoothness. Let the functions $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in C^4(\Omega_l)$ in the given domain Ω_l have piecewise continuous derivatives up to the fifth order and

$$\varphi(0, \cdot) = \varphi(l, \cdot) = \varphi_{xx}(x, \cdot) = \varphi_{xx}(x, \cdot) = \varphi_{xxxx}(0, \cdot) = \varphi_{xxxx}(l, \cdot) = 0. \tag{28}$$

Conditions similar to (28) also hold for the function $\psi(x)$:

$$\psi(0, \cdot) = \psi(l, \cdot) = \psi_{xx}(x, \cdot) = \psi_{xx}(x, \cdot) = \psi_{xxxx}(0, \cdot) = \psi_{xxxx}(l, \cdot) = 0.$$

Then, after integration the integrals (9):

$$\varphi_n(\cdot) = \int_{\Omega_l} \varphi(x, \cdot) \vartheta_n(x) dx, \quad \psi_n(\cdot) = \int_{\Omega_l} \psi(x, \cdot) \vartheta_n(x) dx$$

by parts five times over the variable x , we derived

$$\varphi_n(\cdot) = \left(\frac{l}{\pi}\right)^5 \frac{\varphi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)}{n^5}, \quad \psi_n(\cdot) = \left(\frac{l}{\pi}\right)^5 \frac{\psi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)}{n^5}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\varphi_n^{(5)}(\cdot) = \int_{\Omega_l} \frac{\partial^5 \varphi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \vartheta_n(x) dx, \quad \psi_n^{(5)}(\cdot) = \int_{\Omega_l} \frac{\partial^5 \psi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \vartheta_n(x) dx. \quad (30)$$

Then for the functions in (30) the Bessel inequalities are valid

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\varphi_n^{(5)}(\cdot) \right]^2 \leq \frac{2}{l} \int_{\Omega_l} \left[\frac{\partial^5 \varphi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right]^2 dx, \quad (31)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\psi_n^{(5)}(\cdot) \right]^2 \leq \frac{2}{l} \int_{\Omega_l} \left[\frac{\partial^5 \psi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right]^2 dx. \quad (32)$$

Then we prove the unique solvability of a countable system of nonlinear Fredholm integral equations (24). We define the iterative Picard process for countable system (24) as follows

$$\begin{cases} u_n^0(t) = 0, \\ u_n^k(t) = I(t; u_n^{k-1}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Theorem 1. *Let conditions (22), (26), (27), smoothness be fulfilled. If the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- 1) $|\varphi(x, p_1) - \varphi(x, p_2)| \leq P_1(x) |p_1 - p_2|$;
- 2) $|\psi(x, p_1) - \psi(x, p_2)| \leq P_2(x) |p_1 - p_2|$;
- 3) $|R_i(t, x, p_1) - R_i(t, x, p_2)| \leq Q_i(t, x) |p_1 - p_2|$, $i = 1, 2$;
- 4) $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^2 \|P_i(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} \int_0^T \|Q_i(\theta, x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} d\theta + T \|C_2\|_{\ell_2} < 1$.

Then the countable system of nonlinear integral equations (24) is uniquely solvable in the segment $[0; T]$. The solution of the countable system of nonlinear integral equations (24) can be find by the Picard iteration process (33).

◁ Taking into account the formulas (26), (27), (29), (32) and applying the Cauchy–Schwarz and Bessel inequalities for the first approximation, from the iteration (33) we obtain the

estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{t \in [0; T]} |u_n^1(t) - u_n^0(t)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(\cdot)| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{t \in [0; T]} |V_n(t)| \cdot |\psi_n(\cdot)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(\cdot)| + C_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi_n(\cdot)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} |\varphi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)| + C_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5} |\psi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)| \\
 & \leq \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{10}}} \left[\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)]^2} + C_1 \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\psi_n^{(5)}(\cdot)]^2} \right] \tag{34} \\
 & \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{10}}} \left[\sqrt{\int_{\Omega_i} \left[\frac{\partial^5 \varphi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right]^2 dx} + C_1 \sqrt{\int_{\Omega_i} \left[\frac{\partial^5 \psi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right]^2 dx} \right] < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (27) and applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for an arbitrary difference of the approximation (33), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{t \in [0; T]} |u_n^{k+1}(t) - u_n^k(t)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^l P_i(x) \vartheta_n(x) dx \int_0^T \int_0^l Q_i(\theta, z) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |u_m^k(\theta) - u_m^{k-1}(\theta)| \vartheta_m(z) d\theta dz \right| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{t \in [0; T]} |W_n(t)| \int_0^T |u_n^{k-1}(t) - u_n^{k-2}(t)| dt \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \|P_i(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_i)} \left| \int_0^T \int_0^l Q_i(\theta, z) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |u_m^k(\theta) - u_m^{k-1}(\theta)| \vartheta_m(z) d\theta dz \right| \tag{35} \\
 & \quad + \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{2n} |u_n^{k-1}(t) - u_n^{k-2}(t)| dt \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \|P_i(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_i)} \int_0^T \|Q_i(\theta, x)\|_{L_2(\Omega_i)} \|u_m^k(\theta) - u_m^{k-1}(\theta)\|_{B_2(T)} d\theta \\
 & + T \|C_2\|_{\ell_2} \|u^k(t) - u^{k-1}(t)\|_{B_2(T)} \leq \rho \|u^k(t) - u^{k-1}(t)\|_{B_2(T)}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the last condition of the theorem, it follows from (35) that the operator on the right-hand side of (24) is contracting. It follows from (34) and (35) that there is a unique fixed point $u(t) \in B_2(T)$ on the segment $[0; T]$. This implies the unique solvability of the countable system of integral equations (24) on the interval $[0; T]$. Consequently, the iterative Picard process (33) converges absolutely and uniformly to the function $u(t) \in B_2(T)$, if the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled. The Theorem 1 is proved. \triangleright

4. Convergence of Fourier Series

Theorem 2. *Let the conditions of the theorem 1 are fulfilled. Then the boundary value problem (1)–(5) is uniquely solvable in the domain Ω . The solution is determined by the series (25). The function (25) is differentiable with respect to all variables, i. e. the derivatives of the solution (25) included in equation (1) exist and are continuous.*

◁ If these conditions of the theorem are fulfilled, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t, x)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\vartheta_n(x)| \left| \varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n(t) + W_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(\cdot)| + C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi_n(\cdot)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{2n} |u_n(t)| dt \\ &\leq \gamma \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^5 \varphi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} + \left\| \frac{\partial^5 \psi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} \right] + T \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \|C_2\|_{\ell_2} \|u(t)\|_{B_2(T)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \left(\frac{2}{l}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{10}}}$.

The function (25) is formally differentiated the required number of times with respect to the required arguments

$$U_{tt}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vartheta_n(x) \left[\varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n''(t) + W_n''(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta \right], \quad (36)$$

$$U_{xxxx}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi n}{l}\right)^4 \vartheta_n(x) \left[\varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n(t) + W_n(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta \right], \quad (37)$$

$$U_{ttxxxx}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi n}{l}\right)^4 \vartheta_n(x) \left[\varphi_n(\cdot) + \psi_n(\cdot) V_n''(t) + W_n''(t) \int_0^T u_n(\theta) d\theta \right]. \quad (38)$$

For the function (25), it is easy to verify that the continuity of all derivatives entering into equation (1). The proof of the convergence of functions (36) exactly coincides with the proof of the convergence of function (25). For the function (37) we present a proof of the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{xxxx}(t, x)| &\leq \frac{\pi^4}{l^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^4 |u_n(t)| \cdot |\vartheta_n(x)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \frac{\pi^4}{l^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^4 |\varphi_n| + C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \frac{\pi^4}{l^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^4 |\psi_n| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \frac{\pi^4}{l^4} \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^4 C_{2n} |u_n(t)| dt \\ &\leq \gamma \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^5 \varphi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} + C_1 \left\| \frac{\partial^5 \psi(x, \cdot)}{\partial x^5} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_l)} \right] \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \frac{\pi^4}{l^4} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^4 C_{2n})^2} \|u(t)\|_{B_2(T)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the function (38) is proved in exactly the same way as above.

Consequently, in the domain Ω the function $U(t, x)$, defined by series (25), satisfies the conditions (2) of the problem (1)–(5) for all possible n . The Theorem 2 is proved. \triangleright

References

1. Costin, O. and Tanveer, S. Existence and Uniqueness for a Class of Nonlinear Higher-Order Partial Differential Equations in the Complex Plane, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2000, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1067–1091. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0312(200009)53:9<1092::AID-CPA2>3.0.CO;2-Z.
2. Galaktionov, V. A., Mitidieri, E. and Pohozaev, S. Global Sign-Changing Solutions of a Higher Order Semilinear Heat Equation in the Subcritical Fujita Range, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 2012, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 569–596. DOI: 10.1515/ans-2012-0308.
3. Hwang, S. Kinetic Decomposition for Singularly Perturbed Higher Order Partial Differential Equations, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 2004, vol. 200, no. 2, pp. 191–205. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2003.12.001.
4. Karimov, Sh. T. The Cauchy Problem for the Degenerated Partial Differential Equation of the High Even Order, *Sibir. Electr. Matem. Izvestia*, 2018, vol. 15, pp. 853–862. DOI: 10.17377/semi.2018.15.073.
5. Littman, W. Decay at Infinity of Solutions to Higher Order Partial Differential Equations: Removal of the Curvature Assumption, *Israel Journal of Math.*, 1970, vol. 8, pp. 403–407. DOI: 10.1007/BF02798687.
6. Sabitov, K. B. The Dirichlet Problem for Higher-Order Partial Differential Equations, *Mathematical Notes*, 2015, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 255–267. DOI: 10.1134/S0001434615010277.
7. Yan, J. and Shu, C.-W. Local Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Partial Differential Equations with Higher Order Derivatives, *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 2002, vol. 17, pp. 27–47. DOI: 10.1023/A:1015132126817.
8. Yuldashev, T. K. and Kadirkulov, B. J. Inverse Problem for a Partial Differential Equation with Gerasimov–Caputo-Type Operator and Degeneration, *Fractal Fractions*, 2021, vol. 5, no. 2, ID 58, 13 pp. DOI: 10.3390/fractalfract5020058.
9. Yuldashev, T. K. and Shabadikov, K. Kh. Mixed Problem for a Higher-Order Nonlinear Pseudoparabolic Equation, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2021, vol. 254, no. 6, pp. 776–787. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-021-05339-w.
10. Yuldashev, T. K. and Shabadikov, K. Kh. Initial-Value Problem for a Higher-Order Quasilinear Partial Differential Equation, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2021, vol. 254, no. 6, pp. 811–822. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-021-05343-0.
11. Zhao, H., Zhu, C. and Yu, Z. Existence and Convergence of Solutions to a Singularly Perturbed Higher Order Partial Differential Equation, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 1995, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1435–1455.
12. Benney, D. J. and Luke, J. C. Interactions of Permanent Waves of Finite Amplitude, *Journal Math. Physics*, 1964, vol. 43, pp. 309–313.
13. Cavalcanti, M. M., Domingos Cavalcanti, V. N. and Ferreira, J. Existence and Uniform Decay for a Nonlinear Viscoelastic Equation with Strong Damping, *Math. Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2001, vol. 24, pp. 1043–1053. DOI: 10.1002/mma.250.
14. Whitham, G. B. *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, New-York–London–Sydney–Toronto, A Willey-Interscience Publication, 1974.
15. Gordeziani, D. G. and Avilishbili, G. A. Solving the Nonlocal Problems for One-Dimensional Medium Oscillation, *Matematicheskoe modelirovanie*, 2000, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 94–103 (in Russian).
16. Abdullaev, O. K. Gellerstedt Type Problem with Integral Gluing Condition for a Mixed Type Equation with Nonlinear Loaded Term, *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 2021, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 479–489. DOI: 10.1134/S1995080221030021.
17. Assanova, A. T. A Two-Point Boundary Value Problem for a Fourth Order Partial Integro-Differential Equation. *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 2021, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 526–535. DOI: 10.1134/S1995080221030082.
18. Assanova, A. T., Imanchiyev, A. E. and Kadirbayeva, Zh. M. A Nonlocal Problem for Loaded Partial Differential Equations of Fourth Order, *Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics*, 2020, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 6–16.
19. Assanova, A. T., Sabalakhova, A. P. and Toleukhanova, Z. M. On the Unique Solvability of a Family of Boundary Value Problems for Integro-Differential Equations of Mixed Type, *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 2021, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1228–1238. DOI: 10.1134/S1995080221060044.
20. Beshtokov, M. Kh., Beshtokova, Z. V. and Khudalov, M. Z. A Finite Difference Method for Solving a Nonlocal Boundary Value Problem for a Loaded Fractional Order Heat Equation, *Vladikavkaz. Math. J.*, 2020, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 45–57 (in Russian). DOI: 10.46698/p2286-5792-9411-x.

21. Dzhamalov, S. Z., Ashurov, R. R. and Ruziev, U. S. On a Seminonlocal Boundary Value Problem for a Multidimensional Loaded Mixed Type Equation of the Second Kind, *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 2021, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 536–543. DOI: 10.1134/S1995080221030094.
22. Heydarzade, N. A. On one Nonlocal Inverse Boundary Problem for the Second-Order Elliptic Equation, *Transections National Acad. Sciences of Azerbaijan. Mathematics*, 2020, vol. 40, no. 4, p. 97–109.
23. Kabanikhin, S. I. and Shishlenin, M. A. Recovery of the Time-Dependent Diffusion Coefficient by Known Non-Local Data, *Numerical Anal. Applications*, 2018, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 38–44. DOI: 10.1134/S1995423918010056.
24. Khalilov, Q. S. A Nonlocal Problem for a Third Order Parabolic-Hyperbolic Equation with a Spectral Parameter, *Lobachevskii Journal of Math.*, 2021, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1274–1285. DOI: 10.1134/S1995080221060123.
25. Kostin, A. B. The Inverse Problem of Recovering the Source in a Parabolic Equation Under a Condition of Nonlocal Observation, *Sbornik. Mathematics*, 2013, vol. 204, no. 10, pp. 1391–1434. DOI: 10.1070/SM2013v204n10ABEH004344.
26. Zaitseva, N. V. Nonlocal Boundary Value Problem with an Integral Condition for a Mixed Type Equation with a Singular Coefficient, *Differential Equations*, 2021, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 210–220. DOI: 10.1134/S0012266121020105.
27. Yuldashev, T. K. Solvability of a Boundary Value Problem for a Differential Equation of the Boussinesq Type, *Differential Equations*, 2018, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 1384–1393. DOI: 10.1134/S0012266118120108.
28. Yuldashev, T. K. Determination of the Coefficient in a Nonlocal Problem for an Integro-Differential Equation of Boussinesq Type with a Degenerate Kernel, *Vladikavkaz. Math. J.*, 2019, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 67–84 (in Russian). DOI: 10.23671/VNC.2019.2.32118.
29. Yuldashev, T. K. Nonlocal Inverse Problem for a Pseudohyperbolic-Pseudoelliptic Type Integro-Differential Equations, *Axioms*, 2020, vol. 9, no. 2, ID 45, 21 pp. DOI: 10.3390/axioms9020045.
30. Yuldashev, T. K. and Kadirkulov, B. J. Nonlocal Problem for a Mixed Type Fourth-Order Differential Equation with Hilfer Fractional Operator, *Ural Math. Journal*, 2020, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 153–167. DOI: 10.15826/umj.2020.1.013.
31. Yuldashev, T. K. Nonlocal Mixed-Value Problem for a Boussinesq-Type Integro-Differential Equation with Degenerate Kernel, *Ukrainian Math. Journal*, 2016, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 1278–1296. DOI: 10.1007/s11253-017-1293-y.
32. Yuldashev, T. K. Mixed Problem for Pseudoparabolic Integro-Differential Equation with Degenerate Kernel, *Differential Equations*, 2017, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 99–108. DOI: 10.1134/S0012266117010098.

Received October 26, 2021

TURSUN K. YULDASHEV
National University of Uzbekistan,
4 University St., Tashkent 100174, Uzbekistan,
Professor
E-mail: tursun.k.yuldashev@gmail.com
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9346-5362>

Владикавказский математический журнал
2022, Том 24, Выпуск 2, С. 130–141

О НЕЛОКАЛЬНОЙ КРАЕВОЙ ЗАДАЧЕ
ДЛЯ ИНТЕГРО-ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ
В ЧАСТНЫХ ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ С ВЫРОЖДЕННЫМ ЯДРОМ

Юлдашев Т. К.¹

¹ Национальный университет Узбекистана,
Узбекистан, 100174, Ташкент, ул. Университетская, 4
E-mail: tursun.k.yuldashev@gmail.com

Аннотация. В статье исследуются вопросы однозначной классической разрешимости и построения решения нелинейной краевой задачи для интегро-дифференциального уравнения в частных производных пятого порядка с вырожденным ядром. Для этой задачи заданы граничные условия Дирихле

по пространственной переменной. Итак, используется метод рядов Фурье, основанный на разделении переменных. Получена счетная система обыкновенных интегро-дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка с вырожденным ядром. К этой счетной системе обыкновенных интегро-дифференциальных уравнений применяется метод вырожденного ядра. Выводится система счетных систем алгебраических уравнений. Далее, получена счетная система нелинейных интегральных уравнений Фредгольма. Построен итерационный процесс решения этого интегрального уравнения. Установлены достаточные коэффициентные условия однозначной разрешимости счетной системы нелинейных интегральных уравнений при регулярных значениях параметра. Для доказательства однозначной разрешимости полученной счетной системы нелинейных интегральных уравнений используется метод последовательных приближений в сочетании его с методом сжимающего отображения. При доказательстве сходимости рядов Фурье используются неравенства Коши — Шварца и Бесселя. Доказана гладкость решения краевой задачи.

Ключевые слова: нелинейная краевая задача, интегро-дифференциальное уравнение, вырожденное ядро, ряд Фурье, классическая разрешимость.

AMS Subject Classification: 35A02, 35M10, 35S05.

Образец цитирования: *Yuldashev, T. K.* On a Nonlocal Boundary Value Problem for a Partial Integro-Differential Equations with Degenerate Kernel // Владикавказ. мат. журн.—2022.—Т. 24, № 2.—С. 130–141 (in English). DOI: 10.46698/h5012-2008-4560-g.