

УДК 517.53

DOI 10.46698/b2503-7977-9793-e

ON JANOWSKI TYPE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS
ASSOCIATED WITH THE WRIGHT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

G. Murugusundaramoorthy¹ and S. Porwal²

¹ School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology,
Vellore 632014, Tamil Nadu, India;

² Ram Sahai Government Degree College,
Bairi-Shivrajpur, Kanpur 209205, Uttar Pradesh, India

E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com, saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com

Abstract. In our present study we consider Janowski type harmonic functions class introduced and studied by Dziok, whose members are given by $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n$, such that $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G) = \{f = h + \bar{g} \in H : \frac{\Re_H f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Fz}{1+Gz}; (-G \leq F < G \leq 1, \text{ with } g_1 = 0)\}$, where $\Re_H f(z) = zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}$ and $z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. We investigate an association between these subclasses of harmonic univalent functions by applying certain convolution operator concerning Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions and several special cases are given as a corollary. Moreover we pointed out certain connections between Janowski-type harmonic functions class involving the generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. Relevant connections of the results presented herewith various well-known results are briefly indicated.

Keywords: harmonic functions, univalent functions, Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C55.

For citation: Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Porwal, S. On Janowski Type Harmonic Functions Associated with the Wright Hypergeometric Functions, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, 2023, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 91–102. DOI: 10.46698/b2503-7977-9793-e.

1. Introduction

A continuous complex-valued function $f = u + iv$ defined in a simply-connected domain \mathbb{D} is said to be harmonic in \mathbb{D} if both u and v are real harmonic in \mathbb{D} . In any simply-connected domain \mathbb{D} , we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in \mathbb{D} and are commonly denoted by H . In 1984 Clunie and Sheil-Small [1] introduced a class \mathcal{S}_H of complex-valued harmonic maps f which are univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. The function $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$ can be represented by $f = h + \bar{g}$, is given by

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n},$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad |g_1| < 1 \quad (1.1)$$

are analytic in the open unit disk in \mathbb{U} . They also proved that the function $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H$ is locally univalent and sense preserving in \mathbb{U} , if and only if $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$. For more basic study one may refer Duren [2] and Ahuja [3]. It is worthy to note that if $g(z) \equiv 0$ in (1.1), then the class \mathcal{S}_H reduces to the familiar class \mathcal{S} of analytic functions. For this class $f(z)$ may be expressed as of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n. \quad (1.2)$$

Further, we suppose that \mathcal{S}_H^0 subclass of \mathcal{S}_H consisting of function $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$ of the form (1.1) with $g_1 = 0$ and is given by

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n},$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad g_1 = 0.$$

Now, we let \mathcal{K}_H^0 , \mathcal{S}_H^0 and \mathcal{C}_H^0 denote the subclasses of \mathcal{S}_H^0 of harmonic functions which are respectively convex, starlike and close-to-convex in \mathbb{U} . Also let \mathcal{T}_H^0 be the class of sense preserving, typically real harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ in \mathcal{S}_H . For detailed study of these classes one may refer to [1, 2].

Now, we recall the subclass \mathcal{S}_H of \mathcal{S}_H consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$, so that h and g are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |h_n| z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n| z^n, \quad (1.3)$$

which has been introduced and studied extensively by Silverman [4].

Let $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $((a_i/A_i) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, p)$ and $((b_i/B_i) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, q)$, for $A_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$), $B_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) with $1 + \sum_{i=1}^q B_i - \sum_{i=1}^p A_i \geq 0$ the Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions [5] is defined by

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + nA_i) z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i + nB_i) n!}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is analytic for suitable bounded values of $|z|$ (see also [6, 7]). The generalized Mittag-Leffler, Bessel–Maitland and generalized hypergeometric functions are some of the important special cases of Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions and for their details one may refer to [7–9].

For $A_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$), $B_i > 0$, $b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) with $1 + \sum_{i=1}^q B_i - \sum_{i=1}^p A_i \geq 0$ and $C_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $D_i > 0$, $d_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) with $1 + \sum_{i=1}^s D_i - \sum_{i=1}^r C_i \geq 0$, we define Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + nA_i) z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i + nB_i) n!}$$

and

$${}_r\Psi_s \left[\begin{matrix} (c_i, C_i)_{1,r} \\ (d_i, D_i)_{1,s} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(c_i + nC_i) z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i + nD_i) n!} \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i| + nC_i) / \Gamma(|c_i|)}{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i + nD_i) / \Gamma(d_i)} < 1.$$

We consider a harmonic univalent function

$$\mathfrak{F}(z) = \mathfrak{H}(z) + \overline{\mathfrak{G}(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}(z) = z \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i)} {}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_n z^n \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{G}(z) = \sigma z \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(c_i)} {}_r\Psi_s \left[\begin{matrix} (c_i, C_i)_{1,r} \\ (d_i, D_i)_{1,s} \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n z^n, \quad |\sigma| < 1, \quad (1.8)$$

and θ_n and ζ_n are given by

$$\theta_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + (n-1)A_i) / \Gamma(a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^q (\Gamma(b_i + (n-1)B_i) / \Gamma(b_i)) (n-1)!} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\zeta_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(c_i + (n-1)C_i) / \Gamma(c_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^s (\Gamma(d_i + (n-1)D_i) / \Gamma(d_i)) (n-1)!}. \quad (1.10)$$

From (1.9) and (1.10), we have for $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$

$$|\theta_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i| + (n-1)A_i) / \Gamma(|a_i|)}{\prod_{i=1}^q (\Gamma(b_i + (n-1)B_i) / \Gamma(b_i)) (n-1)!} = \nu_n \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$|\zeta_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i| + (n-1)C_i) / \Gamma(c_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^s (\Gamma(d_i + (n-1)D_i) / \Gamma(d_i)) (n-1)!} = \eta_n. \quad (1.12)$$

For some fixed value of $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for

$$\prod_{i=1}^q B_i^{B_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^p A_i^{A_i}; \quad \prod_{i=1}^s D_i^{D_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^r C_i^{C_i},$$

we denote

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (|a_i| + jA_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (|b_i| + jB_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix}; 1 \right] = {}_p\Psi_q^j; \quad {}_r\Psi_s \left[\begin{matrix} (|c_i| + jC_i, C_i)_{1,r} \\ (|d_i| + jD_i, D_i)_{1,s} \end{matrix}; 1 \right] = {}_r\Psi_s^j \quad (1.13)$$

provided that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q b_i - \sum_{i=1}^p |a_i| + \frac{p-q}{2} > \frac{1}{2} + j; \quad \sum_{i=1}^s d_i - \sum_{i=1}^r |c_i| + \frac{r-s}{2} > \frac{1}{2} + j. \quad (1.14)$$

Making use of (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13), we have

$$\sum_{n=1+j}^{\infty} (n-j)_j \nu_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} {}_p\Psi_q^j \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1+j}^{\infty} (n-j)_j \eta_n = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} {}_r\Psi_s^j \quad (1.16)$$

provided that (1.14) holds true.

The convolution of two functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and $\mathcal{F}(z)$ of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}_n z^n} \quad (1.17)$$

be given by

$$(f * \mathcal{F})(z) = f(z) * \mathcal{F}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n \mathbf{h}_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \mathbf{g}_n z^n}. \quad (1.18)$$

Now, we introduce a convolution operator $\Omega(p, q, r, s)$ as

$$\Omega(p, q, r, s)f(z) = f(z) * \mathfrak{F}(z) = h(z) * \mathfrak{H}(z) + \overline{g(z) * \mathfrak{G}(z)}, \quad (1.19)$$

where $f = h + \bar{g}$ and $\mathfrak{F}(z) = \mathfrak{H}(z) + \overline{\mathfrak{G}(z)}$ given by (1.1) and (1.6) respectively. Hence

$$\Omega(p, q, r, s)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_n h_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n g_n z^n}. \quad (1.20)$$

We consider the following classes of functions due to Dziok [10]. Let $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F, G)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$ whose members are given by (1.1), such that

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G) = \left\{ f = h + \bar{g} \in H : \frac{\mathfrak{D}_H f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Fz}{1+Gz}; (-G \leq F < G \leq 1, \text{ with } g_1 = 0) \right\}, \quad (1.21)$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_H f(z) = zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$, where H denote the class of harmonic functions in the unit disc \mathbb{U} .

Moreover, let us define

$$\mathcal{CV}_H(F, G) := \{f \in \mathcal{ST}_H : \mathfrak{D}_H f \in \mathcal{ST}_H(F, G)\}.$$

We should notice that the class

$$\mathcal{ST}(F, G) := \mathcal{ST}_H(F, G) \cap \mathcal{A}$$

was introduced by Janowski [11]. The classes

$$\mathcal{ST}_H(\alpha) := \mathcal{ST}_H(2\alpha - 1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CV}_H(\alpha) := \mathcal{CV}_H(2\alpha - 1, 1)$$

were investigated by Jahangiri [12, 13] also see [4]. Finally, the classes

$$\mathcal{ST}_H := \mathcal{ST}_H(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CV}_H := \mathcal{CV}_H(0)$$

are the classes of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_H$ which are starlike in \mathbb{U} and $\mathcal{ST}_H(F, G) \subset \mathcal{ST}_H$, $\mathcal{CV}_H(F, G) \subset \mathcal{CV}_H$.

Lately, Dziok [10] gave the following necessary and sufficient coefficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{ST}_H(F, G)$.

Lemma 1 [10]. *Let $f \in H$ be assumed as in (1.1), then $f \in \mathcal{ST}_H(F, G)$ if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n(1 + G) - (1 + F)] |h_n| + [n(1 + G) + (1 + F)] |g_n|) \leq G - F, \quad (1.22)$$

where $h_1 = 1, g_1 = 0$ and $(-G \leq F < G \leq 1)$.

Lemma 2 [10]. *Let $f \in H$ be assumed as in (1.3) and $f \in \mathcal{ST}_{\overline{H}}(F, G)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n(1 + G) - (1 + F)] |h_n| + [n(1 + G) + (1 + F)] |g_n|) \leq G - F, \quad (1.23)$$

where $h_1 = 1, g_1 = 0$ and $(-G \leq F < G \leq 1)$.

REMARK 1. In [10], it is also shown that $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.3) is in the family $\mathcal{ST}_{\overline{H}}(F, G)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1 + G) - (1 + F)] |h_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(1 + G) + (1 + F)] |g_n| \leq G - F, \quad (1.24)$$

where $h_1 = 1, |g_1| < 1$ and $(-G \leq F < G \leq 1)$.

Moreover we note that, if $f \in \mathcal{ST}_H(F, G)$, then

$$|h_n| \leq \frac{G - F}{n(1 + G) - (1 + F)}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |g_n| \leq \frac{G - F}{n(1 + G) + (1 + F)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The application of the special functions on Geometric Function Theory always attracts researchers various kinds of special functions for example hypergeometric functions [14–16], confluent hypergeometric functions [17], generalized hypergeometric functions [5, 18]. Wright functions [19–22], Fox–Wright functions [5, 23], Mittag-Leffler functions [24] generalized Bessel functions [25], have rich applications in analytic and harmonic univalent functions. Motivated with the work of [21, 26, 27], we obtain some inclusion relation between the classes $\mathcal{ST}_H(F, G)$, \mathcal{K}_H^0 , and \mathcal{ST}_H^0 , or \mathcal{CV}_H^0 by applying the convolution operator Ω .

2. Mapping Properties of H Related with Convolution Operator Ω

In order to establish our main results we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 3 [1]. If $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{K}_H^0$, where h and g are given by (1.1) with $g_1 = 0$, then

$$|h_n| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad |g_n| \leq \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Lemma 4 [1]. Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H^0$ or \mathcal{C}_H^0 , where h and g are given by (1.1) with $g_1 = 0$. Then

$$|h_n| \leq \frac{(2n+1)(n+1)}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad |g_n| \leq \frac{(2n-1)(n-1)}{6}.$$

Theorem 1. Let $\sum_{i=1}^q b_i - \sum_{i=1}^p |a_i| + \frac{p-q}{2} > \frac{5}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i - \sum_{i=1}^r |c_i| + \frac{r-s}{2} > \frac{5}{2}$, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} \left\{ (1+G)_p \Psi_q^2 + (3+4G-F)_p \Psi_q^1 + 2(G-F) ({}_p\Psi_q^0 - 1) \right\} \\ & + |\sigma| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} \left\{ (1+G)_r \Psi_s^2 + (3+2G+F)_r \Psi_s^1 \right\} \leq 2(G-F) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

holds. Then $\Omega(\mathcal{K}_H^0) \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

\triangleleft Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{K}_H^0$, where h and g are given by (1.1) with $g_1 = 0$. We have to prove that $\Omega(f) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$, where $\Omega(f)$ is defined by (1.20). To prove $\Omega(f) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$, in view of Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove that $P_1 \leq G - F$, where

$$P_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+G) - (1+F)] |\theta_n h_n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+G) + (1+F)] |\zeta_n g_n|. \quad (2.2)$$

By using Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+1) [n(1+G) - (1+F)] |\theta_n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) [n(1+G) + (1+F)] |\zeta_n| \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (n-1)(n-2)(1+G) + (n-1)[3+4G-F] + 2(G-F) \right\} \nu_n \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{|\sigma|}{2} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1+G)(n-2) + (3+2G+F) \right\} \eta_n \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} \left\{ (1+G)_p \Psi_q^2 + [3+4G-F]_p \Psi_q^1 + 2(G-F) ({}_p\Psi_q^0 - 1) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\sigma| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} \left\{ (1+G)_r \Psi_s^2 + (3+2G+F)_r \Psi_s^1 \right\} \right] \leq G - F \end{aligned}$$

by the given hypothesis. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

The result is sharp for the function

$$\Lambda(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) z^n - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \bar{z}^n. \quad \triangleright$$

Theorem 2. Let $\sum_{i=1}^q b_i - \sum_{i=1}^p |a_i| + \frac{p-q}{2} > \frac{7}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i - \sum_{i=1}^r |c_i| + \frac{r-s}{2} > \frac{7}{2}$. If the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} \{2(1+G)_p \Psi_q^3 + (15G+2F+13)_p \Psi_q^2 + (24G-9F+15)_p \Psi_q^1 \\ & + 6(G-F)(\Psi_q^0 - 1)\} + |\sigma| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} \{2(1+G)_r \Psi_s^3 + (9G+2F+11)_r \Psi_s^2 \\ & + 3(2G+F+3)_r \Psi_s^1\} \leq 6(G-F) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

holds, then $\Omega(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H^0) \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{C}_H^0) \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

\triangleleft Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H^0$ (or, \mathcal{C}_H^0), where h and g are given by (1.1) with $g_1 = 0$, we need to prove that $\Omega(f) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$, where $\Omega(f)$ is defined by (1.20). In view of Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove that $P_1 \leq 1 - \gamma$, where P_1 is given by (2.2). Now using Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 & \leq \frac{1}{6} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+1)(2n+1)[n(1+G) - (1+F)] |\theta_n| + |\sigma| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)(2n-1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [n(1+G) + (1+F)] |\zeta_n| \right] = \frac{1}{6} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{2(1+G)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (15G+2F+13)(n-1)(n-2) + (24G-9F+15)(n-1) + 6(G-F)\} \nu_n \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{|\sigma|}{6} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{2(1+G)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) + (9G+2F+11)(n-1)(n-2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (6G+3F+9)(n-1)\} \eta_n \right] = \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} \{2(1+G)_p \Psi_q^3 + (15G+2F+13)_p \Psi_q^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (24G-9F+15)_p \Psi_q^1 + 6(G-F)(\Psi_q^0 - 1)\} + \frac{|\sigma|}{6} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} \{2(1+G)_r \Psi_s^3 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (9G+2F+11)_r \Psi_s^2 + 3(2G+F+3)_r \Psi_s^1\} \right] \right] \leq G-F \end{aligned}$$

by the given hypothesis. Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is established.

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}{(1-z)^3} + \frac{\overline{\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}}{(1-z)^3}. \triangleright$$

In our next theorem, we establish connections between $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\sum_{i=1}^q b_i - \sum_{i=1}^p |a_i| + \frac{p-q}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i - \sum_{i=1}^r |c_i| + \frac{r-s}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$. If the inequality

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} ({}_p\Psi_q^0 - 1) + |\sigma| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} {}_r\Psi_s^0 \leq 1 \tag{2.4}$$

holds, then $\Omega(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

\triangleleft Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$ be given by (1.1) with $|g_1| < 1$. We have to prove that $P_2 \leq G - F$, where

$$P_2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+G) - (1+F)] |\theta_n h_n| + |\sigma| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(1+G) + (1+F)] |\zeta_n g_n|. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, using Remark 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &\leq (G - F) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \nu_n + (G - F) \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n \\ &= (G - F) \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(b_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(|a_i|)} ({}_p\Psi_q^0 - 1) + |\sigma| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s \Gamma(d_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(|c_i|)} {}_r\Psi_s^0 \right) \leq G - F \end{aligned}$$

by the given hypothesis, this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{G - F}{n(1+G) - (1+F)} \right) |x_n| z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{G - F}{n(1+G) + (1+F)} \right) |y_n| \bar{z}^n,$$

where

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1. \triangleright$$

3. Some Consequences Related with Mittag-Leffler Functions

If we let $p = q = r = s = 1$ and $a_1 = A_1 = c_1 = C_1 = 1$ in (1.6), then $W(z)$ reduces to a harmonic univalent function $\mathbf{E}(z)$ involving the following generalized Mittag-Leffler functions as

$$\mathbf{E}(z) = z\Gamma(b_1) \mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1}[z] + \overline{\sigma z\Gamma(d_1) \mathbf{E}_{d_1, D_1}^{1,1}[z]}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1}[z] = {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1) \\ (b_1, B_1) \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(b_1 + nB_1)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_{d_1, D_1}^{1,1}[z] = {}_1\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (1, 1) \\ (d_1, D_1) \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(d_1 + nD_1)}.$$

With these specializations, the convolution operator $\Omega(p, q, r, s)$ reduces to the operator $\Phi(b_1; B_1; d_1; D_1)$, which is defined as

$$\Phi(b_1; B_1; d_1; D_1)f(z) = f(z) * \mathbf{E}(z) = h(z) * z\Gamma(b_1)\mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1}[z] + \overline{\sigma g(z) * z\Gamma(d_1)\mathbf{E}_{d_1, D_1}^{1,1}[z]}. \quad (3.2)$$

For these specific values of $p = q = r = s = 1$ and $a_1 = A_1 = c_1 = C_1 = 1$, Theorems 1–3 yield the following results.

Corollary 1. *If the inequality*

$$\Gamma(b_1) \left\{ (1 + G) \mathbf{E}_{b_1+2B_1, B_1}^{3,1}(1) + (3 + 4G - F) \mathbf{E}_{b_1+B_1, B_1}^{2,1}(1) + 2(G - F)(\mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1} - 1) \right\} + |\sigma| \Gamma(d_1) \left\{ (1 + G) \mathbf{E}_{d_1+2D_1, D_1}^{3,1}(1) + (3 + 2G + F) \mathbf{E}_{d_1+D_1, D_1}^{2,1}(1) \right\} \leq 2(G - F) \quad (3.3)$$

holds. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}^0) \subset \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}(F, G)$.

Now, we state new inclusion results for Janowski-type harmonic functions due to Dziok [10] without proof.

Corollary 2. *If the inequality*

$$\Gamma(b_1) \left\{ 2(1 + G) \mathbf{E}_{b_1+3B_1, B_1}^{4,1}(1) + (15G + 2F + 13) \mathbf{E}_{b_1+2B_1, B_1}^{3,1}(1) + (24G - 9F + 15) \mathbf{E}_{b_1+B_1, B_1}^{2,1}(1) + 6(G - F)(\mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1} - 1) \right\} + |\sigma| \Gamma(d_1) \left\{ 2(1 + G) \mathbf{E}_{d_1+3D_1, D_1}^{4,1}(1) + (9G + 2F + 11) \mathbf{E}_{d_1+2D_1, D_1}^{3,1}(1) + 3(2G + F + 3) \mathbf{E}_{d_1+D_1, D_1}^{2,1}(1) \right\} \leq 6(G - F) \quad (3.4)$$

holds. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_H^0) \subset \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$, and $\Phi(C_{\mathcal{H}}^0) \subset \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

Corollary 3. *If the inequality*

$$\Gamma(b_1) \left\{ (\mathbf{E}_{b_1, B_1}^{1,1} - 1) \right\} + |\sigma| \Gamma(d_1) (\mathbf{E}_{d_1, D_1}^{1,1}) \leq 1 \quad (3.5)$$

holds. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_{\overline{H}}(F, G)) \subset \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_H(F, G)$.

REMARK 2. If we put $p = q = r = s = 1$, $a_1 = c_1 = 1$, $A_1 = C_1 = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{W}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(b_1)}{\Gamma(b_1 + B_1(n-1))(n-1)!} z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(d_1)}{\Gamma(d_1 + D_1(n-1))(n-1)!} z^n$$

and results of Theorems 1–3 gives to new inclusion results for Janowski-type harmonic functions due to Dziok [10].

REMARK 3. If we put $p = r = 2$, $q = s = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = B_1 = C_1 = C_2 = D_1 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{W}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (a_2)_{n-1}}{(b_1)_{n-1} (n-1)!} z^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{n-1} (c_2)_{n-1}}{(d_1)_{n-1} (n-1)!} z^n$$

and results of Theorems 1–3 yields the new results for the subclasses of Janowski-type harmonic functions due to Dziok [10].

REMARK 4. By taking $F = 2\alpha - 1$ and $G = 1$ one can get the inclusion results for the subclasses $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(2\alpha - 1, 1) \equiv \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_H(2\alpha - 1, 1) \equiv \mathcal{CV}_H(\alpha)$ defined and studied by Jahangiri [12, 13].

CONCLUDING REMARK. By defining

$$\mathcal{CV}_H(F, G) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H : \mathfrak{D}_H f \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G)\}$$

due to Dziok[10] as in Lemma 1 we state the following result: A function $f \in \mathcal{CV}_H^0(F, G)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{n(1+G) - (1+F)}{G-F} |h_n| + \frac{n(1+G) + (1+F)}{G-F} |g_n| \right) \leq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $h_1 = 1$; $g_1 = 0$ and $(-G \leq F < G \leq 1)$. Proceeding as in above results we can obtain analogous inclusion results for the function class $\mathcal{CV}_H^0(F, G)$ we left this as an exercise to interested readers.

Acknowledgement. We authors record our sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments to revise the paper in present form.

References

1. Clunie, J. and Sheil-Small, T. Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Series A I, Mathematica*, 1984, vol. 9, pp. 3–25.
2. Duren, P. *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 156, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2004. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511546600.
3. Ahuja, Om. P. Planar Harmonic Univalent and Related Mappings, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2005, vol. 6, no. 4, Article 122, 18 p.
4. Silverman, H. Harmonic Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficients, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1998, vol. 220, pp. 283–289. DOI: 10.1006/jmaa.1997.5882.
5. Wright, E. M. The Asymptotic Expansion of the Generalized Hypergeometric Function, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 1940, vol. s2-46, no. 1, pp. 389–408. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s2-46.1.389.
6. Srivastava, H. M. Some Fox–Wright Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Associated Families of Convolution Operators, *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, 2007, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 56–71.
7. Srivastava, H. M. and Manocha, H. L. *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and its Applications, Chichester, Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1984.
8. Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H. M. Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Associated with the Generalized Hypergeometric Function, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 2003, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 7–18. DOI: 10.1080/10652460304543.
9. Themangani R., Porwal, S. and Magesh, N. Inclusion Relation Between Various Subclasses of Harmonic Univalent Functions Associated with the Wright’s Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2020, vol. 2020, Article ID 8811810, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1155/2020/8811810.
10. Dziok, J. On Janowski Harmonic Functions, *Journal of Applied Analysis*, 2015, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 99–107. DOI: 10.1515/jaa-2015-0010.
11. Janowski, W. Some Extremal Problems For Certain Families Of Analytic Functions I, *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, 1973, vol. 28, pp. 297–326.
12. Jahangiri, J. M. Coefficient Bounds and Univalence Criteria for Harmonic Functions with Negative Coefficients, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio A – Mathematica*, 1998, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 57–66.
13. Jahangiri, J. M. Harmonic Functions Starlike in the Unit Disk, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1999, vol. 235, pp. 470–477. DOI: 10.1006/jmaa.1999.6377.
14. Ahuja, Om. P. Connections Between Various Subclasses of Planar Harmonic Mappings Involving Hypergeometric Functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2008, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 305–316. DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2007.08.035.
15. Ponnusamy, S. and Rønning, F. Starlikeness Properties for Convolutions Involving Hypergeometric Series, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio A – Mathematica*, 1998, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 141–155.

16. Porwal, S. and Dixit, K. K. An Application of Hypergeometric Functions on Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2010, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 97–105.
17. Miller, S. S. and Mocanu, P. T. Univalence of Gaussian and Confluent Hypergeometric Functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 1990, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 333–342.
18. Owa, S. and Srivastava, H. M. Univalent and Starlike Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 1987, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1057–1077.
19. Aouf, M. K. and Dziok, J. Distortion and Convolution Theorems for Operators of Generalized Fractional Calculus Involving Wright Function, *Journal of Applied Analysis*, 2008, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 183–192. DOI: 10.1515/JAA.2008.183.
20. Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Raina, R. K. On a Subclass of Harmonic Functions Associated with Wright's Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, *Synthesis Lectures on Mathematics and Statistics*, 2009, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 129–136.
21. Raina, R. K. and Sharma, P. Harmonic Univalent Functions Associated with Wright's Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 2011, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 561–572. DOI: 10.1080/10652469.2010.535797.
22. Raina, R. K. and Nahar, T. S. On Characterization of Certain Wright's Generalized Hypergeometric Functions Involving Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions, *Informatica*, Vilnius, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, 1999, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 219–230.
23. Chaurasia, V. B. L. and Parihar, H. S. Certain Sufficiency Conditions on Fox–Wright Functions, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 2008, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 813–822. DOI: 10.1515/dema-2008-0409.
24. Attiya, A. A. Some Applications of Mittag-Leffler Function in the Unit Disk, *Filomat*, 2016, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2075–2081. DOI: 10.2298/FIL1607075A.
25. Porwal, S., Connections Between Various Subclasses of Planar Harmonic Mappings Involving Generalized Bessel Functions, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 2015, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 33–42.
26. Maharana, S. and Sahoo, S. K. Inclusion Properties of Planar Harmonic Mappings Associated with the Wright Function, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 2020, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 1619–1641. DOI: 10.1080/17476933.2020.1772765.
27. Vijaya, K., Dutta, H. and Murugusundaramoorthy, G. Inclusion Relation Between Subclasses of Harmonic Functions Associated with Mittag-Leffler Functions, *Mathematics in Engineering, Science and Aerospace*, 2020, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 959–968.

Received February 2, 2021

GANGADHARAN MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY
School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology,
Vellore 632014, Tamil Nadu, India,
Professor of Mathematics
E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8285-6619>

SAURABH PORWAL
Ram Sahai Government Degree College,
Bairi-Shivrajpur, Kanpur 209205, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Assistant Professor of Mathematics
E-mails: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0847-3550>

О ГАРМОНИЧЕСКИХ ФУНКЦИЙ ТИПА ЯНОВСКОГО,
СВЯЗАННЫХ С ГИПЕРГЕОМЕТРИЧЕСКИМИ ФУНКЦИЯМИ РАЙТАМуругусундарамурти Г.¹, Поруал С.²¹ Школа передовых наук, Технологический институт Веллора,
Индия, Тамил Наду, 632014, Веллор;² Государственный колледж Рам Сахай,
Индия, Уттар-Прадеш, 209205, Канпур, Баири-Шивраджпур

E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com, saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com

Аннотация. В настоящей работе мы рассматриваем класс гармонических функций типа Яновского, введенный и изученный Дзюком, члены которого задаются формулой $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n$, $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ такой, что

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_H(F, G) = \left\{ f = h + \bar{g} \in H : \frac{\mathfrak{D}_H f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Fz}{1 + Gz}; \quad (-G \leq F < G \leq 1, g_1 = 0) \right\},$$

где $\mathfrak{D}_H f(z) = zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}$, $z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ и } |z| < 1\}$. Мы изучаем связь между этими подклассами гармонических однолистных функций, применяя определенный оператор свертки, касающийся обобщенных гипергеометрических функций Райта, и в качестве следствия приводятся несколько частных случаев. Кроме того, мы указали на определенные связи между классом гармонических функций типа Яновского, включающими обобщенные функции Миттаг-Леффлера. Кратко указаны соответствующие связи представленных результатов с различными известными результатами.

Ключевые слова: гармонические функции, однолистные функции, обобщенные гипергеометрические функции Райта.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C55.

Образец цитирования: Murugusundaramoorthy G. and Porwal S. On Janowski Type Harmonic Functions Associated with the Wright Hypergeometric Functions // Владикавк. матем. журн.—2023.—Т. 25, № 4.—С. 91–102 (in English). DOI: 10.46698/b2503-7977-9793-e.