

## A Kneser-Type Theorem for the Equation

### $x^{(m)} = f(t, x)$ in Locally Convex Spaces

A. Szukala

**Abstract.** We shall give sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for the equation  $x^{(m)} = f(t, x)$ . We also prove that the set of these solutions is a continuum.

**Keywords:** *Differential equations, set of solutions, measures of non-compactness*

**AMS subject classification:** 34G20

Let  $E$  be a quasicomplete locally convex topological vector space, and let  $P$  be a family of continuous seminorms generating the topology of  $E$ . Assume that  $I = [0, a]$  and  $B = \{x \in E : p_i(x) \leq b \ (i = 1, \dots, k)\}$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in P$ .

In this paper we investigate the existence of solutions and the structure of the set of solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x^{(m)} &= f(t, x) \\ x(0) &= 0 \\ x'(0) &= \eta_1 \\ &\vdots \\ x^{(m-1)}(0) &= \eta_{m-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{m-1} \in E$  and  $f$  is a bounded continuous function from  $I \times B$  into  $E$ . Our considerations are a continuation of Szufła's paper [8]. For other results concerning differential equations in locally convex spaces see [4].

Put

$$M = \sup \left\{ p_i(f(t, x)) : t \in I, x \in B, i = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Choose a positive number  $d$  such that  $d \leq a$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} p_i(\eta_j) \frac{d^j}{j!} + M \frac{d^m}{m!} \leq b \quad (i = 1, \dots, k). \quad (2)$$

---

A. Szukala: A. Mickiewicz Univ., Fac. Math. & Comp. Sci., J. Matejki 48/49, 60 - 769 Poznań, Poland

Let  $J = [0, d]$ . Denote by  $C = C(J, E)$  the space of all continuous functions from  $J$  into  $E$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence.

For any bounded subset  $A$  of  $E$  and  $p \in P$  we denote by  $\beta_p(A)$  the infimum of all  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which there exists a finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $E$  such that  $A \subset \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} + B_p(\varepsilon)$ , where  $B_p(\varepsilon) = \{x \in E : p(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . The family  $(\beta_p(A))_{p \in P}$  is called the *measure of non-compactness* of  $A$ . It is known [6] that:

- 1°  $X$  is relatively compact in  $E \iff \beta_p(X) = 0$  for every  $p \in P$ .
- 2°  $X \subset Y \implies \beta_p(X) \leq \beta_p(Y)$ .
- 3°  $\beta_p(X \cup Y) = \max\{\beta_p(X), \beta_p(Y)\}$ .
- 4°  $\beta_p(X + Y) \leq \beta_p(X) + \beta_p(Y)$ .
- 5°  $\beta_p(\lambda X) = |\lambda| \beta_p(X) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ .
- 6°  $\beta_p(\bar{X}) = \beta_p(X)$ .
- 7°  $\beta_p(\text{conv } X) = \beta_p(X)$ .
- 8°  $\beta_p(\cup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq h} \lambda X) = h \beta_p(X)$ .

The following lemma is given in [8].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $H$  be a bounded countable subset of  $C$ . For each  $t \in J$  put  $H(t) = \{u(t) : u \in H\}$ . If the space  $E$  is separable, then for each  $p \in P$  the function  $t \mapsto \beta_p(H(t))$  is integrable and*

$$\beta_p \left( \left\{ \int_J u(s) ds : u \in H \right\} \right) \leq \int_J \beta_p(H(s)) ds.$$

Moreover, let us recall the following lemma from [9].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $w : [0, 2b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$  be a continuous non-decreasing function and let  $g : [0, c) \mapsto [0, 2b]$  be a  $C^m$ -function satisfying the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(j)}(t) &\geq 0 && (j = 0, 1, \dots, m) \\ g^{(j)}(0) &= 0 && (j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1) \\ g^{(m)}(t) &\leq w(g(t)) && (t \in [0, c)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $w(0) = 0, w(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$  and  $\int_{0+} (r^{m-1} w(r))^{-\frac{1}{m}} dr = \infty$ , then  $g = 0$ .

We can now formulate our main result.

**Theorem.** *Suppose that for each  $p \in P$  there exists a continuous non-decreasing function  $w_p : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $w_p(0) = 0, w_p(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$  and*

$$\int_{0+} \frac{dr}{\sqrt[m]{r^{m-1} w_p(r)}} = \infty. \tag{3}$$

If

$$\beta_p(f(t, X)) \leq w_p(\beta_p(X)) \tag{4}$$

for  $p \in P, t \in I$  and bounded subsets  $X$  of  $E$ , then the set  $S$  of all solutions of problem (1) defined on  $J$  is non-empty, compact and connected in  $C(J, E)$ .

**Proof.** 1° Put

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \in B \\ \frac{x}{K(x)} & \text{for } x \in E \setminus B \end{cases}$$

and  $g(t, x) = f(t, r(x))$  for  $(t, x) \in J \times E$ , where  $K$  is the Minkowski functional of  $B$ . As  $B$  is a closed, balanced and convex neighbourhood of 0, from known properties of the Minkowski functional it follows that  $r$  is a continuous function from  $E$  into  $B$  and

$$r(X) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} \lambda X \quad \text{for any subset } X \text{ of } E.$$

Thus  $\beta_p(r(X)) \leq \beta_p(X)$  for any  $p \in P$  and any bounded subset  $X$  of  $E$ . Consequently,  $g$  is a bounded continuous function from  $J \times E$  into  $E$  such that

$$\beta_p(g(t, X)) \leq w_p(\beta_p(X)) \tag{4}'$$

for  $p \in P, t \in J$  and bounded subsets  $X$  of  $E$  and

$$p_i(g(t, x)) \leq M \quad (i = 1, \dots, k; t \in J, x \in E). \tag{5}$$

We introduce a mapping  $F$  defined by

$$F(x)(t) = q(t) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} g(s, x(s)) ds \quad (t \in J, x \in C)$$

where  $q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \eta_j \frac{t^j}{j!}$ . It is known (cf. [2]) that  $F$  is a continuous mapping  $C \mapsto C$  and the set  $F(C)$  is bounded and equicontinuous. It is clear from (1) and (5) that if  $x = F(x)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(x(t)) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} p_i(\eta_j) \frac{d^j}{j!} + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} M ds \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} p_i(\eta_j) \frac{d^j}{j!} + M \frac{d^m}{m!} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) \\ &\leq b \end{aligned}$$

so  $x(t) \in B$  for  $t \in J$ . Therefore, a function  $x \in C$  is a solution of problem (1) if and only if  $x = F(x)$ .

2° For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$u_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{n} \\ q(t - \frac{d}{n}) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^{t-\frac{d}{n}} (t-s)^{m-1} g(s, u_n(s)) ds & \text{if } \frac{d}{n} \leq t \leq d. \end{cases}$$

Then  $u_n$  is a continuous function  $J \mapsto B$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n(t) - F(u_n)(t)) = 0 \quad (6)$$

uniformly for  $t \in J$ . Let  $V = \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . From (6) it follows that the set  $\{u_n - F(u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively compact in  $C$ . Since

$$V \subset \{u_n - F(u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} + F(V) \quad (7)$$

and the set  $F(V)$  is bounded and equicontinuous, we conclude that the set  $V$  is also bounded and equicontinuous. Hence for each  $p \in P$  the function  $t \mapsto \beta_p(V(t))$  is continuous on  $J$ . Denote by  $H$  a closed separable subspace of  $E$  such that

$$g(s, u_n(s)) \in H \quad (s \in J, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let  $(\beta_p^H)_{p \in P}$  be the measure of non-compactness in  $H$ . Fix  $t \in J$  and  $p \in P$ . From (4)' we have

$$\beta_p^H(g(s, V(s))) \leq 2\beta_p(g(s, V(s))) \leq 2w_p(\beta_p(V(s))) \quad (s \in [0, t]).$$

By Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_p(F(V)(t)) &= \beta_p \left( \left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} g(s, u_n(s)) ds : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \beta_p^H \left( \left\{ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} g(s, u_n(s)) ds : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t \beta_p^H(\{(t-s)^{m-1} g(s, u_n(s)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \beta_p^H(g(s, V(s))) ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w_p(\beta_p(V(s))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\beta_p(V(t)) \leq \beta_p(F(V)(t)).$$

Hence

$$\beta_p(V(t)) \leq \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w_p(\beta_p(V(s))) ds \quad (t \in J, p \in P).$$

Putting

$$g(t) = \frac{2}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w_p(\beta_p(V(s))) ds$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g &\in C^m \\ \beta_p(V(t)) &\leq g(t) \\ g^{(j)}(t) &\geq 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m \\ g^{(j)}(0) &= 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \\ g^{(m)}(t) &= 2w_p(\beta_p(V(t))) \leq 2w_p(g(t)) \text{ for } t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (3),

$$\int_{0+} \frac{dr}{\sqrt[m]{r^{m-1} 2w_p(r)}} = \infty.$$

By Lemma 2 from this we deduce that  $g(t) = 0$  for  $t \in J$ . Thus  $\beta_p(V(t)) = 0$  for  $t \in J$  and  $p \in P$ . Therefore for each  $t \in J$  the set  $V(t)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ . As the set  $V$  is equicontinuous, Ascoli's theorem proves that  $V$  is relatively compact in  $C$ . Hence the sequence  $(u_n)$  has a limit point  $u$ . As  $F$  is continuous from (6) we conclude that  $u = F(u)$ , i.e.  $u$  is a solution of problem (1). This proves that the set  $S$  is non-empty.

3° Let us first remark that the set  $S$  is compact in  $C$ . Indeed, as  $(I - F)(S) = \{0\}$ , in the same way as in Step 2°, we can prove that  $S$  is relatively compact in  $C$ . Moreover, from the continuity of  $F$  it follows that  $S$  is closed in  $C$ . Suppose that  $S$  is not connected. Thus there exist non-empty closed sets  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  such that  $S = S_0 \cup S_1$  and  $S_0 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ . As  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are compact subsets of  $C$  and  $C$  is a Tichonov space, this implies (see [3: §41, II, Remark 3]) the existence of a continuous function  $v : C \mapsto [0, 1]$  such that  $v(x) = 0$  for  $x \in S_0$  and  $v(x) = 1$  for  $x \in S_1$ . Further, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define a mapping  $F_n$  by

$$F_n(x)(t) = F(x)(r_n(t)) \quad (x \in C, t \in J)$$

where

$$r_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{n} \\ t - \frac{d}{n} & \text{for } \frac{d}{n} \leq t \leq d. \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified (cf. [10]) that:

- (i)  $F_n$  is a continuous mapping  $C \mapsto C$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$  uniformly for  $x \in C$ .
- (iii)  $I - F_n$  is a homeomorphism  $C \mapsto C$  ( $I$  - identity mapping).

Fix  $u_0 \in S_0, u_1 \in S_1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put

$$e_n(\lambda) = \lambda(u_1 - F_n(u_1)) + (1 - \lambda)(u_0 - F_n(u_0)) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

Let  $u_{n\lambda} = (I - F_n)^{-1}(e_n(\lambda))$ . As  $e_n(\lambda)$  depends continuously on  $\lambda$  and  $I - F_n$  is a homeomorphism, we see that the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto v(u_{n\lambda})$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover,

$u_{n0} = u_0$  and  $u_{n1} = u_1$ , so that  $v(u_{n0}) = 0$  and  $v(u_{n1}) = 1$ . Thus there exists  $\lambda_n \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$v(u_{n\lambda_n}) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

For simplicity put  $v_n = u_{n\lambda_n}$  and  $V = \{v_n : n \geq 1\}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(\lambda) = 0$  uniformly for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v_n - F(v_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e_n(\lambda) + F_n(v_n) - F(v_n)) = 0 \quad (9)$$

and therefore the set  $(I-F)(V)$  is relatively compact in  $C$ . Using now a similar argument as in Step 2°, we can prove that the set  $V$  is relatively compact in  $C$ . Consequently, the sequence  $(v_n)$  has a limit point  $z$ . In view of (9) and the continuity of  $F$ , we infer that  $z \in S$ , so  $v(z) = 0$  or  $v(z) = 1$ . On the other hand, from (8) it is clear that  $v(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ , which yields a contradiction. Thus  $S$  is connected ■

## References

- [1] Hukuhara, M.: *Théorems fondamentaux de la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires dans l'espace vectoriel topologique*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo (sec. I) 8 (1956), 111 – 138.
- [2] Januszewski, J. and S. Szufła: *On the Urysohn integral equation in locally convex spaces*. Publ. Inst. Math. 51 (1992), 77 – 80.
- [3] Kuratowski, K.: *Topologie*, Vol. II. New York - London - Warszawa 1968.
- [4] Lobanov, C. G. and O. G. Smolianov: *Ordinary differential equations in locally convex spaces*. Uspekhi. Mat. Nauk 49 (1991), 3(297), 93 – 168.
- [5] Millionschikov, V.: *A contribution to the theory of differential equations  $dx/dt = f(x, t)$  in locally convex space*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 131 (1960), 510 – 513.
- [6] Sadowskii, B. N.: *Limit-compact and condensing mappings*. Russian Math. Surveys 27 (1972), 85 – 155.
- [7] Schaefer, H.: *Topological Vector Spaces*. New York - London: The Macmillan Company 1966.
- [8] Szufła, S.: *On the equation  $x' = f(t, x)$  in locally convex spaces*. Math. Nachr. 118 (1984), 179 – 185.
- [9] Szufła, S.: *On the differential equation  $x^{(m)} = f(t, x)$  in Banach spaces*. Funkcial. Ekvac. 41 (1998), 101 – 105.
- [10] Vidossich, G.: *A fixed point theorem for function spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 36 (1971), 581 – 587.

Received 28.10.1998