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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider $F$-planar mappings from affine-connected spaces onto (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces. We found the equations of these mappings in the form of the system of Cauchy equations under some very general conditions. These results generalize the results obtained for geodetic, holomorphically projective and special $F$-planar mappings of Riemannian and Kählerian spaces, by N.S. Sinyukov, J. Mikeš, V.V. Domashev, I.N. Kurbatova, V.E. Berezovsky, M. Shiha. We continue the investigations of the $F$-planar mappings for covariantly constant structures.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with certain questions of $F$-planar mapping from affineconnected spaces onto (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces. The analysis is carried out in tensor form, locally in a class of sufficiently smooth real functions.

Let us consider the space $A_{n}$ with an affine connection without torsion equipped with a coordinate system $x$ in which, the affine connection $\Gamma_{i j}^{h}(x)$, the affinor structure $F_{i}^{h}(x)$ is defined.

A curve $L$ : $x^{h}=x^{h}(t)$ is said to be $F$-planar (J. Mikeš, N.S. Sinykov [13], [11]) if, under the parallel translation along it, the tangent vector $\lambda^{h} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} d x^{h} / d t$ lies in the tangent 2-plane formed by the tangent vector $\lambda^{h}$ and its conjugate $F_{\alpha}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha}$, i.e.

$$
\nabla_{t} \lambda^{h} \equiv d \lambda^{h} / d t-\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta}=\rho_{1} \lambda^{h}+\rho_{2} F_{\alpha}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha},
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are functions of the parameter $t$.
$F$-planar curves generalize, in a natural way, geodesic, analytically planar ([14], [17], [18], [19], [20]), and quasigeodesic curves ([15]).

Let in the spaces $A_{n}$ and $\bar{A}_{n}$, together with the objects of affine connections $\Gamma_{i j}^{h}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{h}$, the affinor structures $F_{i}^{h}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ be defined.

A diffeomorfism $\gamma: A_{n} \rightarrow \bar{A}_{n}$ is said to be an F-planar mapping [13] if, under this mapping, any $F$-planar curve $A_{n}$ passes into the $\bar{F}$-planar curve $\bar{A}_{n}$.

[^0]Under the condition Rank $\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>1$ the mapping of $A_{n}$ onto $\bar{A}_{n}$ is $F$ planar if and only if the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (a) } \bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{h}(x)=\Gamma_{i j}^{h}(x)+\delta_{i}^{h} \psi_{j}+\delta_{j}^{h} \psi_{i}+F_{i}^{h} \varphi_{j}+F_{j}^{h} \varphi_{i} \\
& \text { (b) } \bar{F}_{i}^{h}(x)=\alpha F_{i}^{h}(x)+\beta \delta_{i}^{h} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

holds ([13], [8], [11]), where $\psi_{i}(x), \varphi_{i}(x)$ are covectors, $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$ are functions in the coordinate system $x$ which is general with respect to the mapping.
$F$-planar mappings generalize geodesic (if $\varphi_{i} \equiv 0$ or $F_{i}^{h}=\alpha \delta_{i}^{h}$ ), quasigeodesic, holomorphically projective, planar, and almost geodesic of the type of $\pi_{2}$ mappings ([1], [11], [14], [15], [17], [18], [20]).

If space $A_{n}$ with affine connection admits an $F$-planar mapping onto a Riemannian space $\bar{V}_{n}$, then equation (1a) are equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{i j, k}=2 \psi_{k} \bar{g}_{i j}+\psi_{(i} \bar{g}_{j) k}+\varphi_{k} \bar{F}_{(i j)}+\varphi_{(i} \bar{F}_{j) k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{i}(x), \varphi_{i}(x)$ are covectors, $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$ are functions, $\bar{F}_{i j} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bar{g}_{i \alpha} F_{j}^{\alpha}$, and $\bar{g}_{i j}$ is the metric tensor of $\bar{V}_{n}$. Here and in what follows comma denotes the covariant derivative in $A_{n}$ and ( $i j$ ) denotes a symmetrization of indices.

The necessity of condition (2) follows from (1a) and from investigation of covariant derivative of the metric tensor $\bar{g}_{i j}$ of the space $A_{n}$ with the affine connections and its sufficiency follows from the complementary investigation of this derivative.

## 2. Fundamental equation of F-planar mapping in Cauchy form

In the space $A_{n}$ equations (2) form a system of differential equations with covariant derivative relative to the components of the unknown tensors $\bar{g}_{i j}, \psi_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}$. Under the condition $\left|\bar{g}_{i j}\right| \neq 0$ the solution of (2) generate a Riemannian space $\bar{V}_{n}$ with the metric tensor $\bar{g}_{i j}$, on which the space $A_{n}$ admits an $F$-planar mapping, where the structure $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ in $V_{n}$ is (non-uniquely) defined by formulas (1b).

We shall prove that the general solution of the system (2) in the given space $A_{n}$ depends on a finite number of parameters. ¿From this follows that from equations (2) we can find a fundamental system describing the $F$-planar mappings in the Cauchy form. It holds

Theorem 1. Let $A_{n}$ be a space with affine connection and let be defined an affinor $F_{i}^{h}(x)$ such that Rank $\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>5$. Then $A_{n}$ admits an F-planar mapping onto a Riemannian space $\bar{V}_{n}$ if and only if the system of differential equations of
the Cauchy type:
(a) $\bar{g}_{i j, k}=2 \psi_{k} \bar{g}_{i j}+\psi_{(i} \bar{g}_{j) k}+\varphi_{k} \bar{F}_{(i j)}+\varphi_{(i} \bar{F}_{j) k} ;$
(b) $\psi_{i, j}=\alpha \bar{g}_{i j}+\beta \bar{F}_{i j}+\stackrel{1}{Q}_{i j}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi) ;$
(c) $\varphi_{i, j}=\beta \bar{g}_{i j}+\gamma \bar{F}_{i j}+\stackrel{2}{Q}_{i j}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi)$;
(d) $\alpha_{, i}=\stackrel{3}{Q_{i}}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) ;$
(e) $\beta_{, i}=\stackrel{4}{Q_{i}}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$;
(f) $\gamma_{, i}=\stackrel{5}{Q}_{i}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$;
has a solution in $A_{n}$ for the unknown tensors $\bar{g}_{i j}(x)\left(\bar{g}_{i j}=\bar{g}_{j i},\left\|\bar{g}_{i j}\right\| \neq 0\right)$, covectors $\psi_{i}(x), \varphi_{i}(x)$ and functions $\alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x)$.

Here $\stackrel{\sigma}{Q}(\sigma=\overline{1,5})$ are tensors which are expressed as the functions of the shown arguments, and also of the objects defined in $A_{n}$, i.e. affine connection and affinor $F_{i}^{h}$.

Proof. Let $A_{n}$ be a space with any affine connection and let be there define affinor $F_{i}^{h}(x)$ following relation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Rank}\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>5 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is a function. Let space $A_{n}$ admits of an $F$-planar mappings onto a Riemannian space $\bar{V}_{n}$. Then in $A_{n}$ the equation (2) holds.

We shall investigate the integrability conditions of these equations. Let them differentiate covariantly by $x^{l}$ and then alternate by indices $k$ and $l$. With respect to Ricci identity and equations (2) we find the following:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 \psi_{[k l]} \bar{g}_{i j}+\psi_{i l} \bar{g}_{j k}+\psi_{j l} \bar{g}_{i k}-\psi_{i k} \bar{g}_{j l}-\psi_{j k} \bar{g}_{i l}+ \\
+\varphi_{[k l]} \bar{F}_{(i j)}+\varphi_{i l} \bar{F}_{j k}+\varphi_{j l} \bar{F}_{i k}-\varphi_{i k} \bar{F}_{j l}-\varphi_{j k} \bar{F}_{i l}=\stackrel{6}{Q}_{i j k l}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi), \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

where $[k l]$ is the alternation by $k$ and $l$ without division, $\psi_{i j} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \psi_{i, j} ; \varphi_{i j} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \varphi_{i, j}$. The tensor $\stackrel{6}{Q}$ has a form analogical to previous tensors $\stackrel{\sigma}{Q}$, where $\sigma=\overline{1,5}$. Its concrete form is the following:

$$
\stackrel{6}{Q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bar{g}_{i \alpha} Q_{j k l}^{\alpha}+\bar{g}_{j \alpha} Q_{i k l}^{\alpha}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{i k l}^{h} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{i k l}^{h}+F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{l}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{k}-F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{k}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{l}+F_{i, k k}^{h} \varphi_{l]}-F_{[k, l]}^{h} \varphi_{i}+ \\
& +\delta_{k}^{h}\left(\psi_{i} \psi_{l}+\psi_{\alpha} F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{l}+\psi_{\alpha} F_{l}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)+F_{k}^{h}\left(\varphi_{\alpha} F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{l}+\varphi_{\alpha} F_{l}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)- \\
& -\delta_{l}^{h}\left(\psi_{i} \psi_{k}+\psi_{\alpha} F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{k}-\psi_{\alpha} F_{k}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)-F_{l}^{h}\left(\varphi_{\alpha} F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{k}+\varphi_{\alpha} F_{k}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)+
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R_{i j k}^{h}$ is the Riemannian tensor.
We shall investigate the homogeneous equation in the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 \stackrel{*}{\psi}_{[k l]} \bar{g}_{i j}+\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{i l} \bar{g}_{j k}+\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{j l} \bar{g}_{i k}-\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{i k} \bar{g}_{j l}-\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{j k} \bar{g}_{i l}+  \tag{6}\\
+\stackrel{*}{[k l]}^{\bar{F}_{(i j)}+\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{i l} \bar{F}_{j k}+\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{j l} \bar{F}_{i k}-\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{i k} \bar{F}_{j l}-\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{j k} \bar{F}_{i l}=0 .}
\end{array}
$$

with unknowns $\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{i j}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{i j}$. We shall prove that this equation has, by the condition (4), the solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } \quad \stackrel{*}{\psi}_{i j}=\alpha \bar{g}_{i j}+\beta \bar{F}_{i j} ; \quad \text { (b) } \quad \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{i j}=\beta \bar{g}_{i j}+\gamma \bar{F}_{i j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are numbers.
a) Let us assume that there exists a vector $\varepsilon^{h}$ such that the vectors $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha i}$, $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha i}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ are linearly independent.

Then there exists a vector $\eta^{i}$ such that holds

$$
\varepsilon^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha \beta}=1, \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}=0, \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \bar{F}_{\alpha \beta}=0
$$

Contracting (6) with $\varepsilon^{i} \varepsilon^{j} \eta^{l}$ we see that the vector $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ is a linear combination of the following vectors

$$
\eta^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\psi}_{[k \alpha]}, \quad \eta^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{[k \alpha]}, \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{k \alpha}
$$

After the contraction (6) with $\varepsilon^{j} \eta^{l}$ and the elimination of vector $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ with $\varepsilon^{j} \eta^{l}$, we see that $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|\bar{F}_{i j}-\alpha g_{i j}\right\| \leq 5$, which is a contradiction with (4).

Therefore the vectors $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha i}, \varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha i}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ are linearly dependent for any vector $\varepsilon^{h}$. It follows from this fact that for any $\varepsilon^{h}$ the equation $\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{[i} \delta_{\beta}^{j} F_{\gamma}^{k]} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma}=0$ holds, where $\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bar{g}^{h \alpha} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha i}$. This condition is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{(\alpha}^{[i} \delta_{\beta}^{j} F_{\gamma)}^{k]}=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[i j k]$ and $(\alpha \beta \gamma)$ denote the alternation and the symmetrisation by mentioned indices, respectively.

Since $F_{i}^{h} \neq \alpha \delta_{i}^{h}$, there exists a vector $\varepsilon^{i}$ such that $\varepsilon^{i}$ and $\xi^{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \varepsilon^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{i}$ are lineary independent. Contracting (8) with $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma}$, we see that the vector $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{* i}$ is a linear combination of the vectors $\varepsilon^{i}$ and $\xi$. Then, after the contraction, (8) with $\varepsilon^{\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma}$ we
obtain that ${ }^{* i}=\beta \delta_{\alpha}^{i}+\gamma F_{\alpha}^{i}+a_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{i}+b_{\alpha} \xi^{i}$, where $a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}$ are covectors and $\beta, \gamma$ are functions. Under the assumption that $a_{\alpha}$ or $b_{\alpha}$ is non-zero, after the substitution of $\stackrel{*}{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{i}$ into (8) we get a contradiction with (4).

Hence $\stackrel{* i}{\varphi}_{\alpha}^{i i}=\beta \delta_{\alpha}^{i}+\gamma F_{\alpha}^{i}$. From this formulas (7b) follow easily.
b) Analogously, let us suppose the existence of a vector $\varepsilon^{h}$ such that the vectors $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\psi}_{\alpha i}, \varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha i}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ are linearly independent. However, this assumption is in contradiction with (4) and the regularity of the metric tensor $\bar{g}_{i j}$. That is why the vectors $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \stackrel{*}{\psi}_{\alpha i}, \varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha i}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha} \bar{F}_{\alpha i}$ are linearly dependent for any vector $\varepsilon^{h}$. ¿From this follows that $\stackrel{*}{\psi}_{i j}=\alpha \bar{g}_{i j}+\bar{\beta} \bar{F}_{i j}$, where $\alpha, \bar{\beta}$ are numbers. Substituting this relation and (7b) into (6), we see that $\bar{\beta}=\beta$.

In this way we proved that the general solution of the homogeneous system of equations (6) is of the form (7). Therefore the conditions (5) imply the equations (3b) and (3c).

Further we shall investigate the integrability conditions of equations (3b). Differentiating the equations (3b) covariantly by $x^{k}$ and then alternating by $j$ and $k$, by the Ricci identity and (3a, b, c), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{i j} \alpha_{, k}-\bar{g}_{i k} \alpha_{, j}+\bar{F}_{i j} \beta_{, k}-\bar{F}_{i k} \beta_{, j}=\stackrel{7}{Q}_{i j k}(\bar{g}, \psi, \varphi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homogeneous equation

$$
\bar{g}_{i j} \stackrel{*}{\alpha}_{k}-\bar{g}_{i k} \stackrel{*}{\alpha}_{j}+\bar{F}_{i j} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{k}-\bar{F}_{i k} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{j}=0
$$

with unknowns $\stackrel{*}{\alpha}_{i}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\beta}_{i}$ has only trivial solution $\stackrel{*}{\alpha}_{i}=0, \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{i}=0$ if the conditions (4) are satisfied. That is why the equations (3d, e) follow from the condition (9).

Similarly, the last equation (3f) of the system (3) can be obtained using the integrability conditions of equations (3c).

Evidently, the system (3) is closed with respect to unknown tensors $\bar{g}_{i j}, \psi_{i}, \varphi_{i}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. The Theorem 1 is proved.

We know from the theory of differential equations that the initial value problem (3) with initial conditions

$$
\bar{g}_{i j}\left(x_{o}\right)=\stackrel{o}{=} \overline{g_{i j}} ; \psi_{i}\left(x_{o}\right) \stackrel{o}{=}{ }_{i} ; \varphi_{i}\left(x_{o}\right)=\stackrel{o}{\varphi_{i}} ; \alpha\left(x_{o}\right)=\stackrel{o}{\alpha ;} \beta\left(x_{o}\right) \stackrel{o}{\beta} ; \gamma\left(x_{o}\right)=\stackrel{o}{\gamma},
$$

has at most one solution. As the tensor $\bar{g}_{i j}$ is symmetric, the general solution of this system depends on

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{2} n(n+5)+3
$$

real parameters.
¿From this the following theorem follows.
Theorem 2. Let $A_{n}$ be a space with affine connection, where an affinor $F_{i}^{h}(x)$ is defined such that $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>5$. The set of all Riemannian spaces $\bar{V}_{n}$,
for which $A_{n}$ admits $F$-planar mappings, depends on at most $\frac{1}{2} n(n+5)+3$ real parameters.

This theorem was proved in v [7] under more restrictive conditions: Rank $\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>18$. By a detailed analysis of the proof we can see in both theorems 1 and 2 that the condition $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>5$ can be substituted by the assumptions $n>8$ and Rank $\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\|>4$.

Theorems 1 and 2 generalize similar results obtained by N.S. Sinyukov [17] for geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces, J. Mikeš and V.E. Berezovski [12] for geodesic mappings of spaces with affine connection onto Riemannian spaces, V.V. Domashev and J. Mikeš [2], [6], for holomorphically projective mappings of Kählerian spaces, I.N. Kurbatova [5] for holomorphically projective mappings of hyperbolic Kählerian spaces, $K$ - and $H$-spaces and M. Shiha [16] for holomorphically projective mappings of $m$-parabolic Kählerian spaces (see [17], [10], [11]).

## 3. F-PLANAR mappings with covariantly constant conditions of AFFINOR STRUCTURES $F$

As we said before, $F$-planar mappings generalize a whole series of previously studied mappings. We list below some conditions under which the $F$-planar mapping will be one of the mappings studied earlier by authors.

Let us recall that an affinor $F_{i}^{h}$ is said to be an e-structure if the relation [17], [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}=e \delta_{i}^{h}, \quad \text { where } \quad e= \pm 1,0, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
The affinor $\stackrel{*}{F}_{i}^{h}$ is equivalent to e-structure if there exist an $e$-structure $F_{i}^{h}$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{*}{F_{i}^{h}}=\alpha F_{i}^{h}+\beta \delta_{i}^{h} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
We have a following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let a diffeomorphism $A_{n} \rightarrow \bar{A}_{n}$ be a non-affine F-planar mapping. If the structures $F_{i}^{h}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ are covariantly constant and $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|\bar{F}_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\| \geq 4$, then this mapping is semigeodesic of type $\pi_{2}(e)$ and the structures are covariantly constant equivalent e-structures.

Proof. Let $A_{n}$ admits non-affine $F$-planar mapping onto $\bar{A}_{n}$, and the structures $F_{i}^{h}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ are covariantly constant in $A_{n}$ and $\bar{A}_{n}$, respectively, and Rank $\| \bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ $\rho \delta_{i}^{h} \| \geq 4$. Then the formulas (1) hold.

We express covariant derivative $F_{i}^{h}$ in the space $\bar{A}_{n}: F_{i \mid j}^{h} \equiv \partial_{j} F_{i}^{h}+\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha j}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}-$ $\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{h}$, where $\partial_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \partial / \partial x^{i}$. Using formula (1a) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i \mid j}^{h}=F_{i, j}^{h}+F_{i}^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} \delta_{j}^{h}+\left(F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}-\psi_{i}\right) F_{j}^{h}-\varphi_{i} F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{j}^{\alpha}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "," and " $\mid$ " are covariant differentiate in $A_{n}$ and $\bar{A}_{n}$, respectively.

We differentiate formulas (1b) in $\bar{A}_{n}$ covariantly. As we have assumed $F_{i, j}^{h}=0$ and $\bar{F}_{i \mid j}^{h}=0$, by substitution (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{j} a F_{i}^{h}+\partial_{j} b \delta_{i}^{h}+a\left(F_{i}^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} \delta_{j}^{h}+\left(F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}-\psi_{i}\right) F_{j}^{h}-\varphi_{i} F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{j}^{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\partial_{j} a \neq 0$ we come to contradiction with Rank $\left\|\bar{F}_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\| \geq 4$. Thus $a \equiv$ const. Analogously, for $n>3$ formulas (13) imply that $b \equiv$ const.

Since $a \neq 0$, formula (13) can be simplified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} \delta_{j}^{h}+\left(F_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}-\psi_{i}\right) F_{j}^{h}-\varphi_{i} F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{j}^{\alpha}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mapping $f: A_{n} \rightarrow \bar{A}_{n}$ is not affine and hence $\psi_{i} \neq 0$ or $\varphi_{i} \neq 0$. If $\varphi_{i}=0$, then for $\psi_{i} \neq 0$ it follows from (14) that $F_{i}^{h}=\rho \delta_{i}^{h}$, which is a contradiction. So we have $\varphi_{i} \neq 0$. Than from the relation (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}=\alpha \delta_{i}^{h}+\beta F_{i}^{h} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are functions.
We can show that $\alpha, \beta$ are constants by covariant derivations of the relations (15) in $A_{n}$. Then we can easily see that we can choose numbers $c$ a $d$ such that for affinor structure $\stackrel{*}{F_{i}^{h}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c F_{i}^{h}+d \delta_{i}^{h}$ holds $\stackrel{*}{F_{\alpha}^{h}} \stackrel{*}{F_{i}^{\alpha}}=e \delta_{i}^{h}$, where $e= \pm 1,0$. This means that the affinor $F_{i}^{h}$ is eqvivalent to $e$-structure.

Since in our case $a$ and $b$ in (1b) are constant, we can prove analogously that the structure $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ is also equivalent to $e$-structure. Moreover, both structures $F_{i}^{h}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{h}$ are simultaneously covariantly constant in $A_{n}$ and in $\bar{A}_{n}$.

It follows from the facts mentioned above that in formulas (1) the original structures can be substitute by equivalent covariantly constant $e$-structures. That is why for $F$-planar mapping $f: A_{n} \rightarrow \bar{A}_{n}$ the formulas (1a), $F_{i, j}^{h}=0$ and $F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}=e \delta_{i}^{h}$ are satisfied. These conditions show that the mapping $f$ is almost geodesic mapping of type $\pi_{2}(e)$ in the sence of N.S. Sinyukov [17], [18]. The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.
A.Z. Petrov investigated quasigeodesic mappings of 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian spaces $V_{4} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{4}$, which are in fact special $F$-planar mappings, under the condition of preserving the structure $\bar{F}_{i}^{h} \equiv F_{i}^{h}$ and the skew-symmetry of tensors $F_{i}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha j}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha j}$, where $g_{i j}$ and $\bar{g}_{i j}$ are metric tensors of $V_{4}$ and $\bar{V}_{4}$.

The following result holds:
Theorem 4. Let a diffeomorphism of Riemannian spaces $f: V_{n} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{n}$ be a nonaffine F-planar mapping. If $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\| \geq 4, F_{i}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha j}$ and $\bar{F}_{i}^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha j}$ are skewsymmetric and covariantly constant in $V_{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{n}$, then $V_{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{n}$ are Kähler spaces and this mapping is holomorphically-projective.

Proof. Let $f: V_{n} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{n}$ be non-affine $F$-planar mapping, $\operatorname{Rank}\left\|F_{i}^{h}-\rho \delta_{i}^{h}\right\| \geq 4$, and $\bar{F}_{i}^{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha j}$ be skew-symmetric and covariantly constant in $V_{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{n}$, respectively.

By Theorem 3, the affinor structures are connected by the formula (1b) with $a, b$ constant and we have $F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}=\alpha \delta_{i}^{h}+\beta F_{i}^{h}, \alpha, \beta$ are constant.

As $F_{i}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha j}+F_{j}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha i}=0$ holds, contracting this relation with $F_{k}^{j}$, we obtain

$$
g_{\alpha \beta} F_{i}^{\alpha} F_{j}^{\beta}+\alpha g_{i k}+\beta F_{k}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha i}=0
$$

Alternating this expression, we see that $\beta F_{k}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha i}=0$, which implies $\beta=0$. This means that $F_{\alpha}^{h} F_{i}^{\alpha}=\alpha \delta_{i}^{h}$. Analogously we can see that $\bar{F}_{\alpha}^{h} \bar{F}_{i}^{\alpha}=\bar{\alpha} \delta_{i}^{h}$ holds, too.

Substituting (1b), we easily obtain $2 a b F_{i}^{h}=\delta_{i}^{h}\left(\bar{\alpha}-\alpha a^{2}-b^{2}\right)$. Hence $b=0$, $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha a^{2}, a \neq 0$.

If $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha=0$ then $V_{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{n}$ are parabolic Kählerian spaces (see [10], [16]) and $f: V_{n} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{n}$ is a holomorphically projective mapping.

Let us suppose $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. If we put $e=\operatorname{sign} \alpha \equiv \operatorname{sign} \bar{\alpha}$ and

$$
\stackrel{*}{F}_{i}^{h} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha|}} F_{i}^{h} \quad \text { and } \quad \stackrel{*}{\bar{F}}_{i}^{h} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\bar{\alpha}|}} \bar{F}_{i}^{h},
$$

then $\stackrel{*}{F}_{i}^{h}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\bar{F}}_{i}^{h}$ are Kählerian structures and $V_{n}$ and $\bar{V}_{n}$ are Kählerian spaces ("classical" Kählerian for $e=-1$ and hyperbolic Kählerian for $e=1$ ). It is easy to see that the mapping $f: V_{n} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{n}$ is holomorphically projective (see [10], [17], [18], [20]).

Further, we studied holomorphically projective mappings of almost Hermitian spaces. Results for this type of spaces are interesting from the point of view of their classification (Gray-Hervella [4]).
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